postmarket surveillance agenda played a leading role in actions carried out with the updating of the Technovigilance Manual and the creation of pharmacovigilance bulletins, both of which were available to health professionals and citizens. Finally, 27 courses were offered, and 1,276 certificates were issued (606 to workers linked to health regulation).

**Conclusions:** The Brazilian experience enabled to capacity building and critical analysis of evidence in regulatory scope. It has facilitated the preparation of productions that align with the regulatory agenda. Health technology assessment and health regulation need to converge in monitoring processes to reduce uncertainties and increase user safety.

## PD222 Balancing Patient Preferences With Feasible Healthcare Delivery: Using Discrete Choice Experiments Alongside Knowledge Exchange To Inform Care Pathways

Emily Holmes (e.holmes@bangor.ac.uk), Beth Morris, Pete Dixon, Amy Mathieson, Leone Ridsdale, Myfanwy Morgan, Alison McKinlay, John Dickson, Steve Goodacre, Mike Jackson, Dyfrig Hughes, Tony Marson and Adam Noble

**Introduction:** Emergency department (ED) visits for epilepsy are common, costly, and often clinically unnecessary. Configuration of care pathways (CPs) that could divert people away from ED offer an alternative. The aim was to measure patient and carer preferences for alternative CPs and to explore the feasibility of implementing the preferred CPs in the National Health Service (NHS) England with a wider group of stakeholders.

Methods: Formative work (provider survey, service-user interviews, knowledge exchange, and think-aloud piloting) informed a discrete choice experiment (DCE) with six attributes: access to care plan, conveyance, time, epilepsy specialist today, general practitioner (GP) notification, and epilepsy specialist follow-up. This was hosted online with random assignment to two of three scenarios (home, public, or atypical). Logistic regression generated preference weights that were used to calculate the utility of CPs. The highest ranked CPs plus a status quo were discussed at three online knowledge exchange workshops. The nominal group technique was used to ascertain stakeholder views on preference evidence and to seek group consensus on optimal feasible alternatives.

**Results:** A sample of 427 people with epilepsy and 167 friends or family completed the survey. People with epilepsy preferred paramedics to have access to care plan, non-conveyance, one to three hours, epilepsy specialists today, GP notification, and specialist follow-up within two to three weeks. Family and friends differed

when considering atypical seizures, favoring conveyance to urgent treatment centers and shorter time. Optimal configuration of services from service users' perspectives outranked current practice. Knowledge exchange (n=27 participants) identified the optimal CP as feasible but identified two scenarios for resource reallocation: care plan substitutes specialist advice today and times of strain on NHS resources.

Conclusions: Preferences differed to current practice but had minimal variation by seizure type or stakeholder. This study clearly identified optimal and feasible alternative CPs. The mixed-methods approach allowed for robust measurement of preferences, whilst knowledge exchange examined feasibility to enhance implementation of optimal alternative CPs in the future.

## PD223 Early Dialogue With Researchers: The Case Of The OPTIBIO Study, Innovating From The Investigation Stages

Lucinda Paz-Valiñas (lucinda.paz.valinas@sergas.es), Sandra Rotea-Salvo, Maria del Carmen Maceira-Rozas, Beatriz Casal-Acción and María-José Faraldo Vallés

**Introduction:** An early dialogue (ED) is non-binding scientific advice given to industry in the initial stages of technology development to help create evidence that the health technology assessment (HTA) agency will request. ED could also be used in the academic ecosystem. We report our experience with the clinical validation of an algorithm to predict persistent remission in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with biological therapy.

Methods: A systematic review (SR) was undertaken to compare optimization algorithms with current clinical management. The review focused on the effectiveness and safety of these tools and included clinical practice guidelines, SRs, and primary studies. Several meetings took place between the research team and HTA researchers to integrate HTA requirements (e.g., choice of comparators, relevant outcomes, quality of life, and patient groups) into the study design to ensure the quality and accuracy assurance of data collected as well as the proper monitoring of good clinical practice. **Results:** Local clinical practice guidelines pointed to the importance of optimization strategies to select the most suitable patients in remission. However, there is currently no validated algorithm to select these patients. The literature search retrieved 1,809 references. There were no primary studies identified and only two ongoing randomized controlled trials met the inclusion criteria: REMRABIT-Plus (OPTIBIO) and PATIO. There were some important differences between the studies with respect to the patient populations and stages of the disease. Based on these results, the review will continue in "living evidence" mode, with the aim of collecting new evidence as it becomes available.

**Conclusions:** There is currently an unfulfilled need between research projects in the academic context and HTA that can be resolved with