

SET COVERING NUMBER FOR A FINITE SET

H.-C. CHANG AND N. PRABHU

Given a finite set S of cardinality N , the minimum number of j -subsets of S needed to cover all the r -subsets of S is called the covering number $C(N, j, r)$. While Erdős and Hanani's conjecture that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} C(N, j, r) / \binom{N}{r} / \binom{j}{r} = 1$ was proved by Rödl, no nontrivial upper bound for $C(N, j, r)$ was known for finite N . In this note we obtain a nontrivial upper bound by showing that for finite N , $C(N, j, r) \leq \binom{N}{r} / \binom{j}{r} \ln \binom{N}{r}$.

Let S be a set with N elements. If J_1, \dots, J_k are j -subsets of S , (that is, subsets of S of cardinality j) then $\mathcal{J} = \{J_1, \dots, J_k\}$ is called a k -collection of j -subset of S . Further, the k -collection \mathcal{J} is said to cover all the r -subsets of S , if for every r -subset R of S , there is some $J_i \in \mathcal{J}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that $R \subset J_i$. The set covering number $C(N, j, r)$, $j > r$, is the smallest integer k such that some k -collection of j -subsets of S covers all the r -subsets of S . Clearly

$$C(N, j, r) \geq \frac{\binom{N}{r}}{\binom{j}{r}},$$

and in 1963, Erdős and Hanani [1] conjectured that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C(N, j, r)}{\binom{N}{r} / \binom{j}{r}} = 1.$$

Erdős and Hanani's conjecture was proved by Rödl [2] in 1985. However, for finite N a nontrivial upper bound for $C(N, j, r)$ was not known. In this note, using probabilistic arguments we obtain a nontrivial upper bound for $C(N, j, r)$ by proving:

Received 1 June 1995

Supported in part by NSF Research Initiation Award

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/95 \$A2.00+0.00.

THEOREM 1. For $N \geq j > r$,

$$C(N, j, r) \leq \frac{\binom{N}{r}}{\binom{j}{r}} \ln \binom{N}{r}.$$

PROOF: Let S be a finite set of cardinality N . Let $\mathcal{J} = \{J_1, \dots, J_k\}$ be a k -collection of j -subsets of S , where $J_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ are chosen randomly and independently from among the $\binom{N}{j}$ j -subsets of S ; J_1, \dots, J_k need not all be distinct.

Consider an r -subset R of S . Then for any j -subset \tilde{J} of S , the probability that $R \subset \tilde{J}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} P[R \subset \tilde{J}] &= \frac{\binom{N-r}{j-r}}{\binom{N}{j}} = \frac{(N-r)!(N-j)!j!}{N!(N-j)!(j-r)!} = \frac{(N-r)!j!r!}{N!(j-r)!r!} \\ &= \frac{\binom{j}{r}}{\binom{N}{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$P[R \not\subset \tilde{J}] = 1 - \frac{\binom{j}{r}}{\binom{N}{r}}.$$

The probability that none of the randomly chosen j -subsets of \mathcal{J} , namely J_1, \dots, J_k , contains R is hence

$$P[(R \not\subset J_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (R \not\subset J_k)] = \left(1 - \frac{\binom{j}{r}}{\binom{N}{r}}\right)^k.$$

Label the r -subsets of $S, R_1, \dots, R_{\binom{N}{r}}$. Let A_i be the event that R_i does not belong to any of the j -subsets of $\mathcal{J}, 1 \leq i \leq \binom{N}{r}$. Then the probability that a randomly chosen k -collection of j -subsets \mathcal{J} does not contain at least one r -subset is

$$P[A_1 \vee \dots \vee A_{\binom{N}{r}}] \leq \binom{N}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\binom{j}{r}}{\binom{N}{r}}\right)^k.$$

Therefore if $P[A_1 \vee \dots \vee A_{\binom{N}{r}}] < 1$, then $P[\bar{A}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{A}_{\binom{N}{r}}] > 0$ and hence some k -collection of j -subsets must cover all the r -subsets of S . \bar{A}_i denotes the complement of the event A_i , $1 \leq i \leq \binom{N}{r}$. Thus we want

$$\binom{N}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\binom{j}{r}}{\binom{N}{r}}\right)^k < 1,$$

or

$$k \ln \left(1 - \frac{\binom{j}{r}}{\binom{N}{r}}\right) + \ln \binom{N}{r} < 0,$$

or

$$(1) \quad k > \frac{-\ln \binom{N}{r}}{\ln \left(1 - \frac{\binom{j}{r}}{\binom{N}{r}}\right)}.$$

The direction of the inequality is changed in equation (1) since, for $j < N$, $\binom{j}{r} < \binom{N}{r}$ and hence $\ln \left(1 - \frac{\binom{j}{r}}{\binom{N}{r}}\right) < 0$.

For $0 < x < 1$, $-\ln(1-x) \geq x$, since $f(x) = e^{-x} - 1 + x \geq 0$ for $0 < x < 1$. ($f(0) = 0$ and $f'(x) > 0$ for $0 < x < 1$.) Hence

$$k > \frac{\ln \binom{N}{r}}{\binom{j}{r} / \binom{N}{r}} \Rightarrow k > \frac{-\ln \binom{N}{r}}{\ln \left(1 - \frac{\binom{j}{r}}{\binom{N}{r}}\right)}.$$

Therefore, for any $k > \left(\frac{\binom{N}{r}}{\binom{j}{r}} \ln \binom{N}{r}\right)$, $P[\bar{A}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{A}_{\binom{N}{r}}] > 0$ and hence there exists a k -collection of j -subsets of S which covers all the r -subsets of S . □

REFERENCES

[1] P. Erdős and H. Hanani, 'On a limit theorem in combinatorial analysis', *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 10 (1963), 10-13.
 [2] V. Rödl, 'On a packing and covering problem', *European J. Combin.* 5 (1985), 69-78.

Department of Mathematics
 Purdue University MGL 1303
 West Lafayette IN 47907
 United States of America