

IDEMPOTENT ENDOMORPHISMS OF AN INDEPENDENCE ALGEBRA OF FINITE RANK*

by GRACINDA M. S. GOMES and JOHN M. HOWIE

(Received 12th May 1993)

The result of Ballantine [1] to the effect that a singular matrix A is a product of k idempotent matrices if and only if the rank of $I - A$ does not exceed k times the nullity of A is generalized to endomorphisms of a class of independence algebras.

1991 Mathematics subject classification: 20M20.

1. Introduction

In 1966 Howie [8] showed that every singular selfmap of the set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is expressible as a composition of idempotent selfmaps. An analogous result concerning the expressibility of every singular $n \times n$ matrix over a field as a product of idempotent matrices was proved by J. A. Erdos [3] in 1967.

In any semigroup S generated by its set E of idempotents there is for each element s a least k with the property that $s \in E^k$. Saito [12] gave a formula determining k for any singular selfmap of $[n]$ —see also Iwahori [10] and Howie [9]—and a corresponding formula for singular matrices was given by Ballantine [1].

In effect, it was clear that there was a strong analogy between the properties of the endomorphism monoid of the finite set $[n]$ and those of the endomorphism monoid of an n -dimensional vector space, an analogy strong enough to prompt Fountain and Lewin [4, 5] to seek a common framework. The key lay in the idea of an *independence algebra of finite rank*, due to Narkiewicz [11] and Gould [6], of which both a set $[n]$ without structure and a finite dimensional vector space over a field are special cases. Fountain and Lewin [4] were able to show that every singular endomorphism of an independence algebra of finite rank is expressible as a product (that is to say, a composition) of idempotent endomorphisms. Both the Howie theorem and the Erdos theorem are special cases of this result.

Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over a field F , and let $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ be an endomorphism (a linear transformation). Denote the image of α by $\text{im } \alpha$ and let $\text{fix } \alpha$ be the subspace $\{x \in V: x\alpha = x\}$. Let $d(\alpha)$ (the *defect* of α) be $n - \dim(\text{im } \alpha)$, and let $s(\alpha)$ (the

*This research was supported by a grant under the Treaty of Windsor Programme. The authors wish to express thanks to the British and Portuguese authorities, and in particular to the Lisbon Office of the British Council and the Conselho de Reitores das Universidades Portuguesas.

shift of α) be $n - \dim(\text{fix } \alpha)$. Ballantine's result [1] can be regarded as saying that α is expressible as a product of k idempotents if and only if $s(\alpha)/d(\alpha) \leq k$.

For a wide class of independence algebras A we can define $s(\alpha)$ and $d(\alpha)$ in an analogous manner. In Section 2 we show that half of Ballantine's result is then true. Precisely, we denote the set of singular idempotent endomorphisms of A by E , and show that if a singular endomorphism α belongs to E^k , then $s(\alpha)/d(\alpha) \leq k$.

The converse half of Ballantine's result is, however, known to be untrue in the case where A is simply a set $[n]$ without structure. Here we define

$$s(\alpha) = |\{x \in [n] : x\alpha \neq x\}|, \quad d(\alpha) = n - |\text{im } \alpha|,$$

and it is clear that the largest possible value of $s(\alpha)/d(\alpha)$ (for a singular α) is n . On the other hand, it follows from Howie's result [9] that if n is odd then there exist elements α for which $\alpha \notin E^{3(n-3)/2}$.

It is natural therefore to seek to determine in an abstract fashion a class of independence algebras for which the full Ballantine property holds. We show that it holds for 'connected' independence algebras, a class of algebras that includes vector spaces over fields and a number of other less familiar types of algebra but does not include sets without structure.

2. Preliminaries

We follow the terminology of Fountain and Lewin [4]. We consider an algebra A (where $A \neq \emptyset$) with a collection (perhaps empty) of finitary operations and denote the smallest subalgebra of A containing a subset X of A by $\langle X \rangle$. In particular, the subalgebra $\langle \emptyset \rangle$ is the subalgebra generated by the set of constants (nullary operations) of A . (By convention, if A has no constants then we allow \emptyset as a subalgebra.) An *endomorphism* of A is a map $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$ which respects all the operations of A . The composition of two endomorphisms is again an endomorphism, and indeed the set of all endomorphisms of A is a monoid, denoted by $\text{End } A$. An endomorphism which is also a bijection is called an *automorphism*, and the set $\text{Aut } A$ of automorphisms of A forms a group under composition. We denote the set $\text{End } A \setminus \text{Aut } A$ of *singular* endomorphisms by Sing_A . We shall consistently denote the set of idempotents in Sing_A by E .

A subset X of A is called *independent* if $x \notin \langle X \setminus \{x\} \rangle$ for every x in X . A *basis* of A is defined as a subset X which is independent and is such that $\langle X \rangle = A$. The algebra A is called an *independence algebra* if it has the properties:

- (I1) for every independent subset X of A and every $u \notin \langle X \rangle$, the set $X \cup \{u\}$ is independent;
- (I2) for every basis X of A and for every map $\alpha: X \rightarrow A$ there is an endomorphism $\bar{\alpha}$ of A such that $\bar{\alpha}|_X = \alpha$.

The independence algebra A is called *strong* if:

- (I3) for every pair X, Y of independent subsets, $\langle X \rangle \cap \langle Y \rangle = \langle \emptyset \rangle$ implies that $X \cup Y$ is independent.

Many of the standard techniques of linear algebra can be adapted to this more general class of algebras. It is convenient to list a number of properties that will be of use later in the article. Let A be a strong independence algebra with a finite basis.

- (I4) Every subalgebra B of A has a finite basis, and all bases of B have the same number of elements; this number is called rank B , the *rank* of the subalgebra B .
- (I5) Every set of independent elements in a subalgebra B can be extended to form a basis of B . If rank $B = r$, then every set of r independent elements of B is a basis, and so is every set of r elements generating B .
- (I6) If $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\} \subseteq A$ then there is a subset Y of X such that Y is a basis of $\langle X \rangle$.
- (I7) If B, C are subalgebras of A and $B \vee C$ is the smallest subalgebra of A containing B and C , then

$$\text{rank}(B \vee C) = \text{rank } B + \text{rank } C - \text{rank}(B \cap C).$$

3. Shift and defect

Let $\alpha \in \text{End } A$, where A is a strong independence algebra of finite rank n . Then both $\text{im } \alpha$ and $\text{fix } \alpha (= \{x \in A : x\alpha = x\})$ are subalgebras of A . We define $s(\alpha)$, the *shift* of α , to be $n - \text{rank}(\text{fix } \alpha)$, and $d(\alpha)$, the *defect* of α , to be $n - \text{rank}(\text{im } \alpha)$. We begin by establishing some elementary properties of shift and defect which will be of assistance in proving the main theorem of this section.

If ε belongs to the set E of singular idempotents of $\text{End } A$, then $\text{im } \varepsilon = \text{fix } \varepsilon$, and so certainly

$$d(\varepsilon) = s(\varepsilon). \tag{1}$$

In general, for α in $\text{End } A$ we have $\text{fix } \alpha \subseteq \text{im } \alpha$, and so

$$d(\alpha) \leq s(\alpha). \tag{2}$$

If $\alpha, \beta \in \text{End } A$ then it is clear that $\text{im}(\alpha\beta) \subseteq \text{im } \beta$; hence

$$d(\alpha\beta) \geq d(\beta). \tag{3}$$

If $\text{im } \alpha = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r \rangle$ then $\text{im}(\alpha\beta)$ is generated by $\{x_1\beta, x_2\beta, \dots, x_r\beta\}$ and so has rank at most r . Thus

$$d(\alpha\beta) \geq d(\alpha). \tag{4}$$

It is clear that $\text{fix } \alpha \cap \text{fix } \beta \subseteq \text{fix}(\alpha\beta)$. Hence by (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(\text{fix}(\alpha\beta)) &\geq \text{rank}(\text{fix } \alpha \cap \text{fix } \beta) \\ &= \text{rank}(\text{fix } \alpha) + \text{rank}(\text{fix } \beta) - \text{rank}(\text{fix } \alpha \vee \text{fix } \beta) \\ &\geq \text{rank}(\text{fix } \alpha) + \text{rank}(\text{fix } \beta) - n, \end{aligned}$$

and from this it follows that

$$s(\alpha\beta) \leq s(\alpha) + s(\beta). \quad (5)$$

We can now easily establish:

Theorem 1. *Let A be a strong independence algebra and let E be the set of singular idempotents in $\text{End } A$. If $\alpha \in E^k$ then $s(\alpha)/d(\alpha) \leq k$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_k$, where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k \in E$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s(\alpha) &\leq s(\varepsilon_1) + s(\varepsilon_2) + \dots + s(\varepsilon_k) \quad \text{by (5)} \\ &= d(\varepsilon_1) + d(\varepsilon_2) + \dots + d(\varepsilon_k) \quad \text{by (1)} \\ &\leq kd(\alpha) \quad \text{by (3) and (4),} \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete.

4. Connected algebras

An independence algebra A of finite rank is called *connected* if it is strong and if for any two independent elements x, y in A there exists z in A such that

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle. \quad (6)$$

A vector space V over a field F certainly has this property—we simply take $z = x + y$. By contrast, the set $[n]$ (with no algebraic structure) does not have the property, for in this case $\langle x, y \rangle = \{x, y\}$, and the only z for which $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle$ is the element y itself.

Another example, of a connected independence algebra, attributed to Narkiewicz [11], is quoted by Grätzer ([7, Exercise 5.26]). Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a division ring, let $(A, +)$ be a left module over R , and let A_0 be a submodule of A with the property that for all a in A_0 and all $r \neq 0$ in R there exists b in A_0 such that $a = rb$. Let T be the set of all n -ary operations f on A (with $n \geq 0$) of the form

$$f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i + a, \tag{7}$$

where $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \in R$ and $a \in A_0$. When $n=0$, (7) is to be interpreted as specifying a constant (0-ary operation) a . Then (A, T) is a connected independence algebra in which $\langle \emptyset \rangle = A_0$. The verifications are routine, and the element z required by the condition (6) is again $x + y$.

A third example, which we owe to Dr John Fountain, shows that a connected independence algebra need not have constants. Let $A = \{a, b, c\}$, and let \circ be a binary relation specified by the table

\circ	a	b	c
a	a	c	b
b	c	b	a
c	b	a	c

This is not a semigroup: $(a \circ b) \circ c = c$, $a \circ (b \circ c) = a$. It is, however, not hard to check that it is a strong independence algebra, in which $\langle x \rangle = \{x\}$ for all x , and $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle A \rangle = A$ for all $x \neq y$, and in which the non-empty independent sets are $\{a\}$, $\{b\}$, $\{c\}$, $\{a, b\}$, $\{a, c\}$ and $\{b, c\}$. Every permutation of $\{a, b, c\}$ is an automorphism. Singular endomorphisms are scarcer: if, for example, $a\alpha = b\alpha = t$, (where $t \in A$), then

$$c\alpha = (a \circ b)\alpha = (a\alpha) \circ (b\alpha) = t \circ t = t$$

also. Hence the only singular endomorphisms are the constant maps

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ a & a & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ b & b & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ c & c & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

The algebra is, moreover, connected. Given $x \neq y$ (which implies that $\{x, y\}$ is independent), we take z as the unique element of $A \setminus \{x, y\}$ and immediately observe that $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle = A$.

We shall require the following technical lemma:

Lemma 1. *Let A be a connected independence algebra of finite rank, and let $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_s\}$ be independent, with $r \geq s$. Let $\alpha \in \text{End } A$ and let $f < r$ be such that $y_i\alpha = y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq f$. Suppose that*

$$C = \langle y_1\alpha, \dots, y_f\alpha, z_1\alpha, \dots, z_s\alpha \rangle = \langle y_1, \dots, y_f, y_{f+1}\alpha, \dots, y_r\alpha, z_1\alpha, \dots, z_s\alpha \rangle$$

has ranks $s + p \leq r$. Then there exist y'_{f+1}, \dots, y'_r in A such that:

1. $\{y_1, \dots, y_f, y'_{f+1}, \dots, y'_r, z_1, \dots, z_s\}$ is independent;

$$2. \langle y_1, \dots, y_f, y'_{f+1}\alpha, \dots, y'_r\alpha \rangle = C.$$

Proof. Since $\{y_1, \dots, y_f\}$ is an independent subset of $\langle y_1\alpha, \dots, y_r\alpha \rangle$, we can find a subset Y of $\{y_{f+1}\alpha, \dots, y_r\alpha\}$ such that $\{y_1, \dots, y_f\} \cup Y$ is a basis for $\langle y_1\alpha, \dots, y_r\alpha \rangle$. Relabelling if necessary, we write this basis as

$$\{y_1, \dots, y_f, y_{f+1}\alpha, \dots, y_l\alpha\}.$$

We can now extend this set to obtain a basis

$$\{y_1, \dots, y_f, y_{f+1}\alpha, \dots, y_l\alpha, z_1\alpha, \dots, z_m\alpha\}$$

for C , where the elements $z_i\alpha$ have been relabelled if necessary, and where $l + m = s + p$.

For $i = 1, \dots, m$ the set $\{z_i, y_{l+i}\}$ is independent. Hence, since A is connected, there exists y'_{l+i} such that

$$\langle z_i, y_{l+i} \rangle = \langle z_i, y'_{l+i} \rangle = \langle y_{l+i}, y'_{l+i} \rangle.$$

Let

$$B = \langle y_1, \dots, y_l, y_{l+m+1}, \dots, y_r, z_{m+1}, \dots, z_s \rangle.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle y_1, \dots, y_l, y'_{l+1}, y'_{l+2}, \dots, y'_{l+m}, y_{l+m+1}, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_s \rangle \\ &= B \vee \langle y'_{l+1}, z_1 \rangle \vee \langle y'_{l+2}, z_2 \rangle \vee \dots \vee \langle y'_{l+m}, z_m \rangle \\ &= B \vee \langle y_{l+1}, z_1 \rangle \vee \langle y_{l+2}, z_2 \rangle \vee \dots \vee \langle y_{l+m}, z_m \rangle \\ &= \langle y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_s \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

of rank $r + s$, and so the set

$$\{y_1, \dots, y_l, y'_{l+1}, \dots, y'_{l+m}, y_{l+m+1}, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_s\}$$

must be independent.

Next, we show that the set

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \{y_1\alpha, \dots, y_l\alpha, y'_{l+1}\alpha, \dots, y'_{l+m}\alpha\} \\ &= \{y_1, \dots, y_f, y_{f+1}\alpha, \dots, y_l\alpha, y'_{l+1}\alpha, \dots, y'_{l+m}\alpha\} \end{aligned}$$

is independent. Since $z_i \in \langle y_{l+i}, y'_{l+i} \rangle$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, it follows that $z_i\alpha \in \langle y_{l+i}\alpha, y'_{l+i}\alpha \rangle$. Now the elements

$$y_1, \dots, y_f, y_{f+1}\alpha, \dots, y_l\alpha$$

were chosen so as to generate $\langle y_1\alpha, \dots, y_r\alpha \rangle$; hence both $y_{l+i}\alpha$ and $y'_{l+i}\alpha$ are in $\langle D \rangle$, and it follows that $z_i\alpha \in \langle D \rangle$. Since $\langle D \rangle$ contains the independent set

$$\{y_1, \dots, y_f, y_{f+1}\alpha, \dots, y_l\alpha, z_1\alpha, \dots, z_m\alpha\},$$

it must have rank at least $l+m$. But $|D|=l+m$, and so the rank is exactly $l+m=s+p$. Thus D is independent. Finally we conclude that $\langle D \rangle$, being a subalgebra of C of rank $s+p$, is equal to C .

If we now define $y'_i = y_i$ for $i=f+1, \dots, l$ and $i=l+m+1, \dots, r$, we have a set $\{y'_{f+1}, \dots, y'_r\}$ with the required properties. We are now ready to prove:

Theorem 2. *Let A be a connected independence algebra of finite rank n and let $\alpha \in \text{Sing}_A$. Denote the set of singular idempotents in $\text{End } A$ by E . Then $\alpha \in E^k$ if and only if $s(\alpha) \leq k d(\alpha)$.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, we need only consider the converse half. We prove the result by induction on k . Certainly if $k=1$, so that $s(\alpha) \leq d(\alpha)$, we deduce using (2) that $\text{fix } \alpha = \text{im } \alpha$; hence $(x\alpha)\alpha = x\alpha$ for all x in A , and so $\alpha \in E$.

Suppose now that $k \geq 2$ and that

$$(k-1)d(\alpha) < s(\alpha) \leq k d(\alpha). \tag{8}$$

We write $d(\alpha) = d$, $\text{rank}(\text{fix } \alpha) = f$ (so that $s(\alpha) = n - f$), $b = (k-2)d (\geq 0)$, $a = n - f - (k-1)d$. The condition (8) is equivalent to

$$0 < a \leq d.$$

Choose a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_f\}$ for $\text{fix } \alpha$, noting that from $a = n - f - (k-1)d$ we have

$$f + a = n - (k-1)d \leq n - d.$$

Thus we can extend to obtain an independent subset $\{y_1, \dots, y_f, z_1, \dots, z_a\}$ of $\text{im } \alpha$, and then extend again to obtain a basis

$$\{y_1, \dots, y_{f+(k-1)d}, z_1, \dots, z_a\}$$

of A . Notice that

$$\langle y_1, \dots, y_f, y_{f+1}\alpha, \dots, y_{f+(k-1)d}\alpha, z_1\alpha, \dots, z_a\alpha \rangle = \text{im } \alpha,$$

and so has rank $n - d$. Let us denote this set by C .

We now apply Lemma 1 to this set C , with $r = f + (k-1)d$, $s = a$. The conditions of the lemma are satisfied, since

$$a \leq n - d \leq f + (k - 1)d.$$

We conclude that there exist elements $y'_{f+1}, \dots, y'_{f+(k-1)d}$ in A such that

$$\text{im } \alpha = \langle y_1, \dots, y_f, y'_{f+1}\alpha, \dots, y'_{f+(k-1)d}\alpha \rangle$$

and

$$\{y_1, \dots, y_f, y'_{f+1}, \dots, y'_{f+(k-1)d}, z_1, \dots, z_a\}$$

is independent. Since $a + f + (k - 1)d = n$, this set must be a basis of A . Now write

$$x_i = y_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, f,$$

$$x_{f+i} = z_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, a,$$

$$x_{f+a+i} = y'_{f+i} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, (k - 1)d,$$

and obtain a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for A such that $\text{fix } \alpha = \langle x_1, \dots, x_f \rangle, \langle x_1, \dots, x_{f+a} \rangle \subseteq \text{im } \alpha$ and

$$\text{im } \alpha = \langle x_1, \dots, x_f, x_{f+a+1}\alpha, \dots, x_n\alpha \rangle.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, a$ there is a term T_i such that

$$x_{f+i}\alpha = T_i(x_1, \dots, x_f, x_{f+a+1}\alpha, \dots, x_n\alpha).$$

Now define $\varepsilon \in \text{End } A$ by

$$x_j\varepsilon = x_j \quad \text{if } j = 1, \dots, f \text{ or if } j = f + a + 1, \dots, n,$$

$$x_{f+i}\varepsilon = T_i(x_1, \dots, x_f, x_{f+a+1}, \dots, x_n) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, a.$$

Since

$$T_i(x_1, \dots, x_f, x_{f+a+1}, \dots, x_n) \in \langle x_1, \dots, x_f, x_{f+a+1}, \dots, x_n \rangle$$

foreach i , we easily see that ε is idempotent.

Next, define β in $\text{End } A$ by

$$x_j\beta = \begin{cases} x_j & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, f + a \\ x_j\alpha & \text{for } j = f + a + 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Then $\text{im } \beta \subseteq \text{im } \alpha$ and so $d(\beta) \geq d$. Thus

$$s(\beta) \leq n - f - a = (k - 1)d \leq (k - 1)d(\beta),$$

and so $\beta \in E^{k-1}$ by the induction hypothesis.

Finally, observe that $\varepsilon\beta = \alpha$; for we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_j\varepsilon\beta &= x_j\beta = x_j = x_j\alpha \quad (j = 1, \dots, f); \\ x_{f+i}\varepsilon\beta &= T_i(x_1, \dots, x_f, x_{f+a+1}, \dots, x_n)\beta \\ &= T_i(x_1, \dots, x_f, x_{f+a+1}\beta, \dots, x_n\beta) \\ &= T_i(x_1, \dots, x_f, x_{f+a+1}\alpha, \dots, x_n\alpha) \\ &= x_{f+i}\alpha \quad (i = 1, \dots, a); \\ x_j\varepsilon\beta &= x_j\beta = x_j\alpha \quad (j = f + a + 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\alpha \in E^k$ as required.

It is clear that for a singular element α of $\text{End } A$ the maximum value of $s(\alpha)$ is n and the minimum value of $d(\alpha)$ is 1. In the case of vector spaces both bounds are attainable, but in a more general connected independence algebra A this may fail to be the case. However, provided the algebra A has a non-empty set of constants we can attain the bounds. For the following argument we are indebted to Dr John Fountain. Let A contain at least one constant c . If $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of A , define α in $\text{End } A$ by the rule that

$$x_i\alpha = x_{i+1}, (i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1), \quad x_n\alpha = c.$$

Then $\text{im } \alpha = \langle x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$, and so $d(\alpha) = 1$. Also $x_i\alpha^n = c$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $z \in \text{fix } \alpha$, where z is given in terms of the basis by means of some term $t: z = t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} z &= z\alpha = z\alpha^n = t(x_1\alpha^n, x_2\alpha^n, \dots, x_n\alpha^n) \\ &= t(c, c, \dots, c) \in \langle \emptyset \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\text{fix } \alpha = \langle \emptyset \rangle$, of rank 0, and so $s(\alpha) = n$.

If A , with basis $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, has no constants, then we can consider a slightly different endomorphism α given by

$$x_i\alpha = x_{i+1}, (i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1), \quad x_n\alpha = x_n.$$

Then again $d(\alpha) = 1$, and $x_i\alpha^{n-1} = x_n$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $z = t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \text{fix } \alpha$, then

$$z = z\alpha^{n-1} = t(x_n, x_n, \dots, x_n) \in \langle x_n \rangle;$$

hence $\text{fix } \alpha = \langle x_n \rangle$ has rank 1. It follows that $s(\alpha) = n - 1$.

Accordingly we have the following generalization of a result proved by Dawlings [2], in the linear algebra context:

Corollary 1. *Let A be a connected independence algebra with finite rank n , let Sing_A be the semigroup of all singular endomorphisms of A , and let E be the set of idempotents of Sing_A . If A contains at least one constant, then $\Delta(\text{Sing}_A) = n$. If A contains no constants then $\Delta(\text{Sing}_A) \geq n - 1$.*

For an example of an algebra A with no constants in which $\Delta(\text{Sing}_A) = n - 1$ we need look no further than the earlier Fountain example quoted earlier, in which $n = 2$ and $\Delta(\text{Sing}_A) = 1$.

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to Dr John Fountain for many useful comments, and for pointing out an error in an earlier draft of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. C. S. BALLANTYNE, Products of idempotent matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **19** (1978), 81–86.
2. R. J. H. DAWLINGS, Products of idempotents in the semigroup of singular endomorphisms of a finite-dimensional vector space, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A* **91** (1981), 123–133.
3. J. A. ERDOS, On products of idempotent matrices, *Glasgow Math J.* **8** (1967), 118–122.
4. JOHN FOUNTAIN and ANDREW LEWIN, Products of idempotent endomorphisms of an independence algebra of finite rank, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **35** (1992), 493–500.
5. JOHN FOUNTAIN and ANDREW LEWIN, Products of idempotent endomorphisms of an independence algebra of infinite rank, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **114** (1993), 303–319.
6. V. A. R. GOULD, Endomorphism monoids of independence algebras, preprint.
7. G. GRÄTZER, *Universal algebra* (Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1968).
8. JOHN M. HOWIE, The subsemigroup generated by the idempotents of a full transformation semigroup, *J. London Math. Soc.* **41** (1966), 707–716.
9. JOHN M. HOWIE, Products of idempotents in finite full transformation semigroups, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A* **86** (1980), 243–254.
10. NOBUKO IWAHORI, A length formula in a semigroup of mappings, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. 1A Math.* **24** (1977), 255–260.
11. W. NARKIEWICZ, Independence in a certain class of abstract algebras, *Fund. Math.* **50** (1961/62), 333–340.
12. T. SAITO, Products of idempotents in finite full transformation semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **39** (1989), 295–309.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA
FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS
UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA
1700 LISBOA
PORTUGAL

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
UNIVERSITY OF ST ANDREWS
NORTH HAUGH
ST ANDREWS, FIFE, KY16 9SS
SCOTLAND