

# ON THE COMPLEXITY OF FINDING A NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR BLASCHKE-OSCILLATORY EQUATIONS

JANNE HEITTOKANGAS AND ATTE REIJONEN

*Department of Physics and Mathematics, University of Eastern Finland,  
P.O. Box 111, 80101 Joensuu, Finland  
e-mails: janne.heittokangas@uef.fi, atte.reijonen@uef.fi*

(Received 27 September 2013; revised 12 February 2014; accepted 4 March 2014; first published online 17 December 2014)

**Abstract.** If  $A(z)$  belongs to the Bergman space  $B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then the differential equation  $f'' + A(z)f = 0$  is Blaschke-oscillatory, meaning that the zero sequence of every nontrivial solution satisfies the Blaschke condition. Conversely, if  $A(z)$  is analytic in the unit disc such that the differential equation is Blaschke-oscillatory, then  $A(z)$  almost belongs to  $B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . It is demonstrated that certain “nice” Blaschke sequences can be zero sequences of solutions in both cases when  $A \in B^{\frac{1}{2}}$  or  $A \notin B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . In addition, no condition regarding only the number of zeros of solutions is sufficient to guarantee that  $A \in B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34M10; Secondary 30J10.

**1. Introduction.** In 1982, Pommerenke [17] proved that if  $A(z)$  is analytic in the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  (denoted by  $A \in H(\mathbb{D})$  for short) and

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |A(z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} dm(z) < \infty, \quad (1)$$

where  $dm(z) = r dr d\theta$  is the Lebesgue area measure, then all solutions of

$$f'' + A(z)f = 0 \quad (2)$$

belong to the Nevanlinna class  $N$  [4]. This in turn implies that (2) is Blaschke-oscillatory, meaning that the zero sequence  $\{z_n\}$  of any nontrivial solution of (2) satisfies the Blaschke condition

$$\sum_n (1 - |z_n|) < \infty. \quad (3)$$

The converse direction is considered in [10, Theorems 2 and 4 (b)]: If  $A \in H(\mathbb{D})$  and if either (2) is Blaschke-oscillatory or (2) possesses a nontrivial solution in  $N$ , then

$$\int_{D(0,r)} |A(z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} dm(z) = O\left(\log^2 \frac{e}{1-r}\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1^-. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, if the solution  $f \in N$  has the property that  $f' \in N$ , then (4) improves to

$$\int_{D(0,r)} |A(z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} dm(z) = O\left(\log \frac{e}{1-r}\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1^- \tag{5}$$

The problem of finding a necessary and sufficient condition for (2) to be Blaschke-oscillatory is still open. To illustrate the complexity of this problem, and also to obtain partial results in this direction, we will concentrate on prescribed zero sequences.

In 1959, Šeda [12, 19] proved that if  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a given sequence of pairwise distinct points in  $\mathbb{C}$  with no finite limit points, then there is an entire function  $A(z)$  such that the differential equation (2) has a solution with zeros precisely at the points  $z_n$ . Here, we consider prescribed Blaschke sequences having certain constraints. For example, we say that an arbitrary sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  is *separated*, if

$$\delta := \inf_{n \neq k} \left| \frac{z_n - z_k}{1 - \bar{z}_n z_k} \right| > 0, \tag{6}$$

and *uniformly separated*, if

$$\delta_u := \inf_k \prod_{n \neq k} \left| \frac{z_n - z_k}{1 - \bar{z}_n z_k} \right| > 0. \tag{7}$$

It is known that a uniformly separated sequence is a Blaschke sequence, while a separated sequence need not be one.

The convergence condition (3) guarantees that the corresponding Blaschke product

$$B(z) = \prod_{n=1}^\infty \frac{|z_n|}{z_n} \frac{z_n - z}{1 - \bar{z}_n z}$$

converges in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ , and hence is an analytic function with zeros precisely at the points  $z_n$ . Since, by [2, Theorem 3], a uniformly separated sequence is interpolating, a Blaschke product with a uniformly separated zero sequence is known as interpolating. At times (3) needs to be strengthened, for example, to

$$\sum_n (1 - |z_n|)^\alpha < \infty, \tag{8}$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . For example, if (8) holds for  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ , then the corresponding Blaschke product  $B$  has the property that  $B'$  belongs to the Hardy space  $H^{1-\alpha}$ , and hence to  $N$  [18, Theorem 2].

For  $p > 0$ , the Bergman space  $B^p$  consists of all functions  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p dm(z) < \infty.$$

The discussion above leads us to consider the following problem.

**Problem.** Which assumptions on a given Blaschke sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  will guarantee that there exists a function  $A \in B^{\frac{1}{2}}$  such that the differential equation (2) has a solution with zeros precisely at the points  $z_n$ ?

Clearly the zeros must be pairwise distinct and the possible limit points must be on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . Assuming, for example, that  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is separated will guarantee both requirements. We have the following partial result.

**THEOREM 1** ([10], Theorem 19). *Let  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a uniformly separated sequence of nonzero points in  $\mathbb{D}$  satisfying the condition (8) for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Then there exists a function  $A \in B^{\frac{1}{2}}$  such that the differential equation (2) has a solution with zeros precisely at the points  $z_n$ .*

We sketch the proof as follows. Take  $f = Be^g$  for a candidate solution, where  $B$  is a Blaschke product with zeros at the points  $z_n$ , and the function  $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$  has the interpolation property

$$g'(z_n) = -\frac{B''(z_n)}{2B'(z_n)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{9}$$

The latter guarantees that

$$A(z) = -\frac{B''(z)}{B(z)} - 2g'(z)\frac{B'(z)}{B(z)} - g'(z)^2 - g''(z) \tag{10}$$

is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$ , and that  $f$  solves (2). After a suitable  $g$  is found, then the growth of  $A(z)$  in (10) is estimated [10, p. 78].

Theorem 1 in the case  $\alpha = 1$  extends to the following result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a uniformly separated sequence of nonzero points in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then there exists a function  $A \in H(\mathbb{D})$  satisfying (5) such that the differential equation (2) has a solution with zeros precisely at the points  $z_n$ .*

Although not entirely removable, the assumption (8) for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  in Theorem 1 can be slightly weakened to

$$\sum_n h(1 - |z_n|) < \infty, \tag{11}$$

where  $h(x)$  is a positive continuous function on  $(0, 1)$  tending to zero as  $x \rightarrow 0^+$ , and satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $h(x)/x$  is decreasing and  $h(x)$  is increasing on  $(0, 1)$ ;
- (ii)  $\int_0^1 (1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} h(1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} r dr < \infty$ .

Then the choice  $h(x) = x \log^p \frac{e^x}{x}$ ,  $p > 2$ , shows that the following result is an improvement of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $h(t)$  be a function as above, and let  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a uniformly separated sequence of nonzero points in  $\mathbb{D}$  satisfying (11). Then there exists a function  $A \in B^{\frac{1}{2}}$  such that the differential equation (2) has a solution with zeros precisely at the points  $z_n$ .*

Next we turn our attention to the separation of the zero sequences. If  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a union of two exponential sequences [4, p. 156] approaching pairwise to one another exponentially, then it is proved in [8, Theorem 5] that  $A(z)$  cannot belong to the Korenblum space  $A^{-\infty}$  [9, p. 110]. In particular, both of the two component sequences are uniformly separated, and yet all solutions of (2) are “far away” from the class  $N$  as being of infinite order of growth.

In the recent study [3], it is illustrated that the growth of  $A(z)$  within the Korenblum space is restricted by the (weighted) separation of the zeros of any solution, and conversely. Here, we assume the usual separation, but require the separation constant  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  in (6) to be close enough to the constant one in terms of

$$(2\pi + 1) \frac{\sqrt{1 - \delta}}{(1 - \sqrt{1 - \delta})^2} < 1. \tag{12}$$

This makes  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  to be interpolating for some Bergman space  $B^p$  with  $p > 1$  [5, p. 192]. Now the assumption on uniform separation in Theorem 1 can be relaxed at the expense of strengthening the Blaschke condition.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a separated sequence of nonzero points in  $\mathbb{D}$  such that the separation constant  $\delta$  in (6) satisfies (12). Suppose in addition that (8) holds for  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$ . Then there exists a function  $A \in B^{\frac{1}{2}}$  such that the differential equation (2) has a solution with zeros precisely at the points  $z_n$ .*

**REMARK.** The assumption (12) in Theorem 4 can in fact be replaced by a weaker condition that the upper uniform density  $D^+$  of  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is less than one. See [5, pp. 171–172] for more details.

So far we have concentrated in one prescribed zero sequence only. Next we will widen our point of view to concern all solutions. First, an example of a nonoscillatory differential equation of the form (2) is constructed in [10, Section 4.3] such that all nontrivial solutions of (2) are of unbounded characteristic. In this case it is clear that  $A \notin B^{\frac{1}{2}}$  [17]. Second, by a more careful analysis on an example, which can be found in [10, 13], we will prove that the solutions of (2) can have infinite uniformly separated and sparse zero sequences even if  $A \notin B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . This result also shows that the requirement  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$  in Theorem 4 is essential.

**THEOREM 5.** *There exists a function  $A \in H(\mathbb{D})$  with  $A \notin B^{\frac{1}{2}}$  such that the differential equation (2) has the following properties:*

- (a) *There exists a zero-free solution base.*
- (b) *There are solutions with infinitely many zeros.*
- (c) *Every infinite zero sequence satisfies (8) for every  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1]$ .*
- (d) *Every infinite zero sequence is uniformly separated.*
- (e) *There are infinite separated zero sequences whose separation constant  $\delta$  satisfies (12).*
- (f) *Every solution and all of their derivatives belong to  $N$ .*

Note that even if the differential equation (2) is disconjugate, it is still possible that  $A \notin B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . This can be seen by means of the gap series

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(\frac{2^n}{n}\right)^2 z^{2^n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

First, by [1, Proposition 2.1], we infer  $g \notin B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Second, using [20, Theorem 1 (II)] together with the standard representation

$$g(z) = g(0) + \int_0^z g'(\xi) d\xi$$

yields  $g \in H_2^\infty$ . Finally, denoting  $A(z) = g(z)/\|g\|_{H_2^\infty}$ , we see that (2) is disconjugate by the proof of [16, Theorem I], while  $A \notin B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Summarizing, we conclude that no condition regarding only the number of zeros of solutions of (2) is sufficient to guarantee that  $A \in B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

**2. Proof of Theorem 2.** One of the key results in proving Theorem 1 is

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{B^{(k)}(z)}{B(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{k}} dm(z) < \infty, \tag{13}$$

valid for an interpolating Blaschke product  $B$  with zeros  $\{z_n\}$  satisfying (8) for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  [10, Theorem 15]. We will require a variant of this result.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $B$  be an interpolating Blaschke product. Then*

$$\int_{D(0,r)} \left| \frac{B^{(k)}(z)}{B(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{k}} dm(z) = O\left(\log \frac{e}{1-r}\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1^-,$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* If  $B$  is an arbitrary Blaschke product, then Cauchy’s formula yields

$$|B^{(k)}(z)| = O\left((1 - |z|)^{-k}\right), \quad |z| \rightarrow 1^-,$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |B^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})|^{\frac{1}{k}} d\theta = O\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{14}$$

Suppose that  $B$  is interpolating, and denote the pseudo-hyperbolic disc with centre  $a \in \mathbb{D}$  and radius  $r \in (0, 1)$  by

$$\Delta(a, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\varphi_a(z)| < r\}, \tag{15}$$

where  $\varphi_a(z) = (a - z)/(1 - \bar{a}z)$ . Let  $K = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta(z_n, \delta_u/2)$ , where  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is the zero sequence of  $B$  and  $\delta_u$  is the interpolation constant defined in (7). Now, by using (14) instead of [7, Theorem 1.1] in the proof of [10, Theorem 15], we obtain

$$\int_{D(0,r) \setminus K} \left| \frac{B^{(k)}(z)}{B(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{k}} dm(z) = O\left(\log \frac{e}{1-r}\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1^-.$$

Integration over the discs  $\Delta(z_n, \delta_u/2)$  can be done in a similar way as in the proof of [10, Theorem 15]. Thus the assertion follows. □

We proceed to prove Theorem 2. Let  $B$  be an interpolating Blaschke product having zeros at the points  $z_n$ . By the proof of [11, Theorem 4.2], we can find  $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$  satisfying (9). Indeed, the derivative of  $g$  is given by

$$g'(z) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sigma_k \frac{C_k(z)}{B'(z_k)} \frac{|z_k|^2 - 1}{(1 - \bar{z}_k z)^2}, \tag{16}$$

where

$$C_k(z) = B(z) \frac{1 - \bar{z}_k z}{z_k - z} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_k = -\frac{B''(z_k)}{2B'(z_k)}.$$

Now  $f = Be^g$  solves (2), where  $A(z)$  is given by (10) and belongs to  $H(\mathbb{D})$ .

Next, we proceed to prove that

$$\int_{D(0,r)} |g'(z)| dm(z) = O\left(\log \frac{e}{1-r}\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1^-, \tag{17}$$

and

$$\int_{D(0,r)} |g''(z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} dm(z) = O\left(\log \frac{e}{1-r}\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1^-. \tag{18}$$

Following [11], we know that there exist constants  $M_1, M_2 > 0$  such that

$$|\sigma_k| \leq \frac{M_1}{1 - |z_k|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{|B'(z_k)|} \leq M_2(1 - |z_k|^2) \tag{19}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence

$$|g'(z)| \leq R \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_k|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_k z|^2}, \tag{20}$$

where the constant  $R > 0$  is independent of  $z$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |g'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta &\leq R \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_k|^2}{1 - |z_k|^2 r^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z_k|^2 r^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_k t e^{i\theta}|^2} d\theta \\ &\leq 2\pi R \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_k|^2}{1 - |z_k|^2 r^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1^-. \end{aligned}$$

This implies (17). Now the Hölder inequality and the proof of [4, Theorem 5.5] yield

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |g''(re^{i\theta})|^{\frac{1}{2}} d\theta \leq (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |g''(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = O\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right), \quad r \rightarrow 1^-,$$

and so (18) follows.

Finally, by (10) it is clear that

$$|A(z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left| \frac{B''(z)}{B(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2} |g'(z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \frac{B'(z)}{B(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |g'(z)| + |g''(z)|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{21}$$

Thus, the assertion follows by the Hölder inequality, (17), (18) and Lemma 1.

**3. Proof of Theorem 3.** We begin by introducing two lemmas in which  $h(t)$  is a positive continuous function defined on  $(0, 1)$  and tends to zero as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $B$  be a Blaschke product formed with zeros  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  satisfying (11) such that  $h(x)/x$  is decreasing and  $h(x)$  is increasing on  $(0, 1)$ , and that

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\frac{1-k}{k}} h(1-r)^{-\frac{1}{k}} r dr < \infty. \tag{22}$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |B^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})|^{\frac{1}{k}} dm(z) < \infty. \tag{23}$$

*Proof.* By [6, Theorem 3.1] the assertion holds for  $k = 1$ . Hence, by  $k - 1$  applications of the proof of [4, Theorem 5.5], we can easily see that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |B^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = O\left(\frac{1}{(1-\rho)^{k-1}h(1-\rho)}\right)$$

for  $\rho \in (r, 1)$ . Thus the Hölder inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |B^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})|^{\frac{1}{k}} d\theta &\leq (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{k}} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |B^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})| d\theta\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{(1-\rho)^{\frac{k-1}{k}}h(1-\rho)^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and so the assertion follows. □

REMARK. As noted in [6], since our sequences already satisfy the Blaschke condition, the condition (11) provides with further information on the rate of increase of the zeros only if  $h(x) \geq x$  as  $x \rightarrow 0^+$ . This means that if (22) is valid for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then (22) is valid when  $k$  is replaced with any integer  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .

By using Lemma 2, we can rewrite the proof of [10, Theorem 15], and achieve the following result.

LEMMA 3. Let  $B$  and  $h$  be as in Lemma 2, and, in addition, suppose that  $B$  is interpolating. Then (13) holds.

We proceed to prove Theorem 3. Let  $B$  be an interpolating Blaschke product having zeros at the points  $z_n$  satisfying (11). As in the proof of Theorem 2, we can find a function  $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $f = Be^g$  solves (2), where  $A(z)$  is given by (10) and belongs to  $H(\mathbb{D})$ .

By (20) and [6, Lemma 2.1], we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |g'(z)| d\theta \leq R \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1 - |z_k|^2}{1 - |z_k|^2 r^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z_k|^2 r^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_k r e^{i\theta}|^2} d\theta = \frac{2\pi R}{h(1-r)}.$$

Hence, the Hölder inequality and the proof of [4, Theorem 5.5] yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |g''(z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} d\theta &\leq (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |g''(z)| d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= O\left( \frac{1}{(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}} h(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right), \quad r \rightarrow 1^-. \end{aligned}$$

Using the condition (ii) related to (11), we obtain  $g' \in B^1$  and  $g'' \in B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Thus the assertion follows by (21), the Hölder inequality and Lemma 3.

**4. Proof of Theorem 4.** We require a new lemma on logarithmic derivatives of noninterpolating Blaschke products.

LEMMA 4. *Suppose that  $B$  is a Blaschke product with a zero sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . Then (13) holds if*

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty (1 - |z_n|) \log \frac{e}{1 - |z_n|} < \infty \tag{24}$$

in the case  $k = 1$ , and if (8) holds for  $\alpha \in (0, 1/k]$  in the case  $k \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* Since

$$\left| \frac{B'(z)}{B(z)} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_n z| |z_n - z|} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left| \frac{\varphi'_{z_n}(z)}{\varphi_{z_n}(z)} \right|,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{B'(z)}{B(z)} \right| dm(z) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \Delta(z_n, \frac{1}{2})} \left| \frac{\varphi'_{z_n}(z)}{\varphi_{z_n}(z)} \right| dm(z) + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{\Delta(z_n, \frac{1}{2})} \left| \frac{\varphi'_{z_n}(z)}{\varphi_{z_n}(z)} \right| dm(z) \\ &=: S_1 + S_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta(a, r)$  is the pseudo-hyperbolic disc defined in (15). By the condition (24), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\varphi'_{z_n}(z)| dm(z) \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{1 - |z_n|^2 r^2} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z_n|^2 r^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_n r e^{i\theta}|} d\theta \right) r d\theta dr \\ &= 4\pi \sum_{n=1}^\infty (1 - |z_n|^2) \int_0^1 \frac{r dr}{1 - |z_n|^2 r^2} \\ &\leq C_1 \sum_{n=1}^\infty (1 - |z_n|) \log \frac{e}{1 - |z_n|} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C_1 > 0$ . On the other hand, by a change of variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta(z_n, \frac{1}{2})} \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{(1 - |z_n|)^2 |\varphi_{z_n}(z)|} dm(z) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - |z_n|} \int_{\Delta(z_n, \frac{1}{2})} \frac{dm(z)}{|\varphi_{z_n}(z)|} \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - |z_n|} \int_{|w| < \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{|w|} |\varphi'_{z_n}(w)|^2 dm(w) \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - |z_n|} \int_{|w| < \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{|w|} \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_n w|^4} dm(w) \\ &\leq 2^7 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|) \int_{|w| < \frac{1}{2}} \frac{dm(w)}{|w|} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the assertion for  $k = 1$  is proved.

If the condition (8) holds for some  $\alpha = 1/k$ , where  $k \geq 2$ , then also (24) holds. Hence, we make an induction assumption that (13) holds for some  $k \geq 1$ , and so we have to prove that (13) holds also for the index  $k + 1$ .

By [15, p. 44], we have

$$\frac{B^{(k+1)}(z)}{B(z)} = \sum_{n=2}^{k+1} \sum^* M \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{B^{(n_j)}(z)}{B(z)} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)^j \bar{z}_n^j k!}{(1 - \bar{z}_n z)^{j+1} (z_n - z)^{k-j+1}},$$

where  $M$  is a constant and the sum  $\sum^*$  is taken over all  $m$ -tuples  $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)$  for which  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m = k + 1$ , and  $n_j \geq 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Next, we use the general form of the Hölder inequality with conjugate indices  $p_j = \frac{k+1}{n_j}$  for which

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} = 1$$

to conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \prod_{j=1}^m \left| \frac{B^{(n_j)}(z)}{B(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{k+1}} dm(z) \leq \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{B^{(n_j)}(z)}{B(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{n_j}} dm(z) \right)^{\frac{n_j}{k+1}} < \infty.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{B^{(k+1)}(z)}{B(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{k+1}} dm(z) \\ &\leq C_2 + C_3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_n z|^{k+2} |\varphi_{z_n}(z)|^{k-j+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} dm(z), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_2, C_3 > 0$  are constants. It suffices to consider the term with index  $j = 0$  since the associated integrand takes the largest value for every  $z$  with index  $j = 0$ , because

$|\varphi_{z_n}(z)| < 1$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_n z|^{k+2} |\varphi_{z_n}(z)|^{k+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} dm(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \Delta(z_n, \frac{1}{2})} + \int_{\Delta(z_n, \frac{1}{2})} \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_n z|^{k+2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} dm(z) + \frac{2^{\frac{1}{k+1}}}{1 - |z_n|} \int_{\Delta(z_n, \frac{1}{2})} \frac{dm(z)}{|\varphi_{z_n}(z)|} \\ &\leq 2(1 - |z_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{dm(z)}{|1 - \bar{z}_n z|^{\frac{k+2}{k+1}}} + \frac{2^{\frac{1}{k+1}}}{1 - |z_n|} \int_{|w| < \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{|w|} |\varphi'_{z_n}(z)|^2 dm(w) \\ &\leq C_4(1 - |z_n|)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_4 > 0$  is a constant. This proves (13) for the index  $k + 1$ , and hence the assertion follows by the principle of mathematical induction. □

REMARK. For  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p > 0$ , we recall from [14, pp. 179–180] the asymptotic comparability

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |D^k f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \asymp \int_0^{2\pi} |f^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta, \quad r \rightarrow 1^-,$$

where  $D^k f$  is the fractional derivative of  $f$  of order  $k$ . Now Lemma 4 can be seen as an improvement of a special case of [14, Theorem 3.1. (2)(3)], where  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\beta = k$ ,  $p = 1/k$ , and the fractional derivative is replaced with the usual derivative of the same order  $k$ .

We proceed to prove Theorem 4. Let  $B$  be a Blaschke product having zeros at the points  $z_n$  satisfying the given assumptions. Then, by [5, p. 192], the sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is interpolating for some Bergman space  $B^p$  with  $p > 1$ . Hence we can find a function  $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$  satisfying (9) such that  $g' \in B^p$  and that  $f = Be^g$  solves (2), where  $A(z)$  is given by (10) and belongs to  $H(\mathbb{D})$ . On the other hand, by [5, p. 80], it is clear that  $g'' \in B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Thus the assertion follows by (21), the Hölder inequality and Lemma 4.

**5. Proof of Theorem 5.** Let  $g(z) = \log(1 - z)$  and  $h(z) = z/(1 - z)$ . We see that the functions

$$f_j(z) = \exp(g(z) + (-1)^j h(z)), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

are linearly independent solutions of (2), where

$$A(z) = -(g''(z) + g'(z)^2 + h'(z)^2) = -(1 - z)^{-4}$$

belongs to  $H(\mathbb{D})$  and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D(0,r)} |A(z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} dm(z) &= \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - te^{i\theta}|^2} d\theta dt \\ &= \int_0^r \frac{1}{1 - t^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - t^2}{|1 - te^{i\theta}|^2} d\theta dt \\ &= \pi \int_0^r \frac{2t}{1 - t^2} dt = \pi \log \frac{1}{1 - r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A \notin B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Since the base functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  have no zeros, we turn our attention to their linear combinations. For simplicity, we consider the special case  $f = f_2 - Cf_1$ ,  $C > 0$ , leaving the general solution  $cf_1 + df_2$ ,  $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ , to the reader.

By the  $2\pi i$ -periodicity of the exponential function, the solution  $f$  has zeros exactly at points  $z_n$  satisfying  $h(z_n) = D + \pi ni$ , where  $D = (\log C)/2$ . Since  $h$  maps  $\mathbb{D}$  onto the half-plane  $\Re z > -1/2$ , we need to assume  $C > 1/e$  in order for the solution  $f$  to have zeros in the first place. Under the assumption  $C > 1/e$  the zeros of  $f$  are precisely at the points

$$z_n = \frac{D + \pi ni}{1 + D + \pi ni} = \frac{D(1 + D) + \pi^2 n^2 + \pi ni}{(1 + D)^2 + \pi^2 n^2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{25}$$

We see that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|^2)^\alpha = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1 + 2D}{(1 + D)^2 + \pi^2 n^2} \right)^\alpha < \infty$$

holds for every  $\alpha > 1/2$ . In addition, (8) is not valid for  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$ . On the other hand, by a direct calculation, we obtain

$$1 - \left| \frac{z_n - z_k}{1 - \bar{z}_n z_k} \right|^2 = \frac{(1 + 2D)^2}{\pi^2(n - k)^2 + (1 + 2D)^2}, \tag{26}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \neq k} \left( 1 - \left| \frac{z_n - z_k}{1 - \bar{z}_n z_k} \right| \right) &\leq \frac{(1 + 2D)^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{n \neq k} \frac{1}{(n - k)^2} \\ &= \frac{2(1 + 2D)^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} = \frac{(1 + 2D)^2}{3} \end{aligned}$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, the upper bound is independent on  $k$ . This implies (7), and so the sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is uniformly separated.

Since  $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is uniformly separated, it is also separated. From (26) we see that the separation constant  $\delta$  defined in (6) is  $\delta = \pi/\sqrt{\pi^2 + (1 + 2D)^2}$ . If the constant  $C > 1/e$  is chosen close enough to  $1/e$ , then this  $\delta$  clearly satisfies the condition (12).

Finally, since  $e^h \in N$ , it follows that every solution  $f$  belongs to  $N$ . Moreover, the functions  $g, h$  as well as their derivatives belong to the union of all Hardy spaces, and hence in  $N$ , so that  $f^{(n)} \in N$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The research reported in this paper was supported in part by the Academy of Finland, Project #268009, the Faculty of Science and Forestry of the University of Eastern Finland, Project #930349, and the Finnish Academy of Science and Letters.

## REFERENCES

1. S. Buckley, P. Koskela and D. Vukotić, Fractional integration, differentiation, and weighted Bergman spaces, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **126**(2) (1999), 369–385.
2. L. Carleson, An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions, *Am. J. Math.* **80** (1958), 921–930.
3. M. Chuaqui, J. Gröhn, J. Heittokangas and J. Rättyä, Zero separation results for solutions of second order linear differential equations, *Adv. Math.* **245** (2013), 382–422.
4. P. Duren, *Theory of  $H^p$  spaces* (Academic Press, New York, 1970).
5. P. Duren and A. Schuster, *Bergman Spaces, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 100* (American Mathematical Soc., Providence, 2004).
6. E. Fricain and J. Mashreghi, Integral means of the derivatives of Blaschke products, *Glasg. Math. J.* **50**(2) (2008), 233–249.
7. Y. Gotoh, On integral means of the derivatives of Blaschke products, *Kodai Math. J.* **30**(1) (2007), 147–155.
8. J. Gröhn and J. Heittokangas, New findings on Bank-Sauer approach in oscillation theory, *Constr. Approx.* **35** (2012), 345–361.
9. H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman spaces, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 199* (Springer, New York, 2000).
10. J. Heittokangas, A survey on Blaschke-oscillatory differential equations, with updates, in *Blaschke products and their applications, Fields Inst. Commun. vol. 65* (Fricain E. and Mashreghi J., Editors) (Springer, New York, 2013), 43–98.
11. J. Heittokangas, Solutions of  $f'' + A(z)f = 0$  in the unit disc having Blaschke sequences as the zeros, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **5**(1) (2005), 49–63.
12. J. Heittokangas and I. Laine, Solutions of  $f'' + A(z)f = 0$  with prescribed sequences of zeros, *Acta Math. Univ. Comen.* **124**(2) (2005), 287–307.
13. J. Heittokangas, R. Korhonen and J. Rättyä, Linear differential equations with coefficients in weighted Bergman and Hardy spaces, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), 1035–1055.
14. H. Kim, Derivatives of Blaschke products, *Pac. J. Math.* **114**(1) (1984), 175–190.
15. C. Linden,  $H^p$ -derivatives of Blaschke products, *Mich. Math. J.* **23** (1976), 43–51.
16. Z. Nehari, The Schwarzian derivative and Schlicht functions, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **55** (1949), 545–551.
17. Ch. Pommerenke, On the mean growth of the solutions of complex linear differential equations in the disk, *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **1**(1) (1982), 23–38.
18. D. Protas, Blaschke products with derivative in  $H^p$  and  $B^p$ , *Mich. Math. J.* **20** (1973), 393–396.
19. V. Šeda, On some properties of solutions of the differential equation  $y'' + Ay = 0$  with solutions having the prescribed zeros, *Acta Fac. Nat. Univ. Comen. Math.* **4** (1959), 223–253. (Slovak)
20. S. Yamashita, Gap series and  $\alpha$ -Bloch functions, *Yokohama Math. J.* **28** (1980), 31–36.