

## FREE ACTIONS OF ABELIAN GROUPS ON A CARTESIAN POWER OF AN EVEN SPHERE

BY  
MICHAEL HOFFMAN

ABSTRACT. We determine an algebraic condition necessary and sufficient for a group  $G$  to act freely on the  $n$ th Cartesian power of an even sphere, and characterize the abelian groups that satisfy this condition.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X_n$  be the Cartesian product of  $n$  copies of  $S^{2k}$ , where  $k$  is any positive integer. Then  $X_n$  has Euler characteristic  $2^n$ , so any group acting freely on  $X_n$  must have order  $2^l$ ,  $l \leq n$ . We consider the problem of which of these 2-groups can act freely on  $X_n$ , concentrating on abelian 2-groups. (This paper is 'orthogonal' to the ones by Carlsson [1] and Yogita [2], since they consider only actions trivial on integral homology. In the situation considered here, all free actions are nontrivial on homology.)

In §2 we show that deciding whether a given 2-group can act freely on  $X_n$  reduces to determining if an appropriate representation of the group on the cohomology algebra of  $X_n$  exists. Let  $S_n$  be the group of  $n \times n$  signed permutation matrices, i.e. matrices with exactly one nonzero entry in each row and column and all of whose nonzero entries are  $\pm 1$ . There is a canonical homomorphism  $\psi: S_n \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ , where  $\Sigma_n$  is the symmetric group on  $n$  letters. For  $u \in S_n$ , let  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_m$  be the decomposition of  $\psi(u)$  into disjoint cycles. Thinking of  $u$  as a linear map  $\mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ , let  $K_i$  be the subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  corresponding to  $\sigma_i$ , and define  $\epsilon_i$  by  $\det(u|K_i) = \epsilon_i \operatorname{sgn} \sigma_i$  (Clearly  $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ ). Set

$$\lambda(u) = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + \epsilon_i).$$

Then we can characterize the 2-groups that act freely on  $X_n$  as follows.

**THEOREM 1.** *A 2-group  $G$  acts freely on  $X_n$  if and only if  $G$  admits a representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow S_n$  such that, for any  $g \in G$  with  $g \neq 1$ ,  $\lambda(\rho(g)) = 0$ .*

**REMARK.** Note that  $\lambda(\operatorname{id}) = 2^n$ , so any representation of the type specified in the theorem is faithful.

In §3 we construct free actions of cyclic groups on spaces  $X_n$ . This gives a free action of  $G$  on some  $X_n$  for any finite abelian 2-group  $G$ . We also show that such a group

---

Received by the editors March 26, 1986, and, in revised form, October 22, 1986.  
Research partially supported by a grant from the Naval Academy Research Council.  
AMS Subject Classification (1980): Primary 57S25, Secondary 57S17.  
© Canadian Mathematical Society 1986.

cannot act freely on  $X_n$  for any  $n$  smaller than the ‘obvious’ value, and thus obtain the following result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian 2-group, so that*

$$G \cong \mathbf{Z}_2^{n_1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_4^{n_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^l}^{n_l}$$

*Then  $G$  acts freely on  $X_n$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^l n_i 2^{i-1} \leq n.$$

**2. Free actions and Lefschetz numbers.** The cohomology ring  $H^*(X_n; \mathbf{Z})$  is the commutative algebra generated by  $n$   $2k$ -dimensional elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  with relations  $x_i^2 = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus, for any self-map  $f: X_n \rightarrow X_n$  the endomorphism  $f^*: H^*(X_n; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(X_n; \mathbf{Z})$  is determined by the  $n \times n$  matrix  $\rho(f) = (a_{ij})$ , where

$$f^*(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Then

$$0 = f^*(x_i^2) = 2 \sum_{j < k} a_{ij} a_{ik} x_j x_k,$$

so  $\rho(f)$  has at most one nonzero entry in each row. Thus, there is a function  $\sigma: \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  so that  $f^*(x_i) = a_{i\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(i)}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $H^{2kn}(X_n; \mathbf{Z})$  is generated by  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$ , we have  $\deg f = 0$  if  $\sigma$  is not a permutation and

$$\deg f = a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}$$

if it is. In particular, if  $f$  is invertible,  $\deg f = \pm 1$  and  $\rho(f) \in S_n$ .

Now suppose a group  $G$  acts on  $X_n$ . By the preceding paragraph, the action gives rise to a representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow S_n$ . If in addition the action is free, we have

$$L(g) = \sum_{i=0}^{2kn} (-1)^i \text{Tr}(g_i^*: H^i(X_n; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^i(X_n; \mathbf{Z})) = 0$$

for every nonidentity element  $g \in G$ , by the Lefschetz fixed point theorem. To determine  $L(g)$  directly from the matrix  $\rho(g)$ , we first assume without loss of generality that the decomposition of  $\psi\rho(g)$  contains the cycle  $(1\ 2 \cdots l)$ . Then  $g^*$  cyclically permutes the elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l$  in  $H^2(X_n; \mathbf{Z})$ , so  $g^*$  sends no monomial in the subalgebra of  $H^*(X_n; \mathbf{Z})$  generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_l$  to a multiple of itself except  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_l$ . In fact  $g^*$  sends this monomial to  $a_{12} a_{23} \cdots a_{l1}$  times itself, and this number is  $(-1)^{l-1} \det(\rho(g)|K)$ , where  $K$  is the submodule of  $H^2(X_n; \mathbf{Z})$  generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_l$ . Thus, the trace of  $g^*$  on the subalgebra of  $H^*(X_n; \mathbf{Z})$  generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_l$  is

$$1 + (-1)^{l-1} \det(\rho(g)|K) = 1 + \text{sgn}(1\ 2 \cdots l) \det(\rho(g)|K).$$

Now let  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_m$  be the decomposition of  $\psi\rho(g)$  into disjoint cycles,  $K_i$  be the

submodule of  $H^2(X_n; \mathbf{Z})$  generated by the  $x_j$  permuted by  $\sigma_i$ , and

$$\epsilon_i = \text{sign } \sigma_i \det (\rho(g)|K_i).$$

Then by the preceding analysis and the multiplicative property of trace on tensor products,

$$L(g) = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + \epsilon_i) = \lambda(\rho(g)).$$

We have evidently proved the forward implication of Theorem 1.

REMARK. Henceforth we shall call those cycles  $\sigma_i$  with  $\epsilon_i = -1$  essential. We have just proved that for any  $g \neq 1$  in  $G$ , the matrix  $\rho(g)$  has an essential cycle.

Now suppose  $\rho: G \rightarrow S_n$  is a representation of a group  $G$  such that  $\rho(g)$  has an essential cycle for all nonidentity  $g \in G$ . We define an action of  $G$  on  $X_n$  as follows. Represent an element of  $X_n$  as an  $n$ -tuple  $(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$  of unit vectors  $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbf{R}^{2k+1}$ . Think of the  $n$ -tuple as a column vector and let the matrices  $\rho(g)$  act on it. That is, put

$$g \cdot (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) = (a_{1\sigma(g)}\mathbf{v}_{\sigma(1)}, a_{2\sigma(g)}\mathbf{v}_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, a_{n\sigma(g)}\mathbf{v}_{\sigma(n)}),$$

where  $\rho(g) = (a_{ij})$  and  $\sigma = \psi\rho(g)$ . To see that this action is free, suppose  $g \neq 1$  fixes  $(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$ . Now  $\rho(g)$  has an essential cycle: without loss of generality we can assume the cycle is  $(1\ 2 \cdots l)$ . Then we must have

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = a_{12}\mathbf{v}_2 = a_{12}a_{23}\mathbf{v}_3 = \cdots = a_{12}a_{23} \cdots a_{l1}\mathbf{v}_1 = -\mathbf{v}_1,$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**3. Abelian groups.** Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow S_n$  be a representation of an abelian group  $G$ . We think of elements of  $S_n$  as acting linearly on the free  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module generated by  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . Each  $g \in G$  gives rise to an element  $\psi\rho(g) \in \Sigma_n$ , so we can think of  $G$  as acting on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . We say that  $g \in G$  fixes a  $G$ -orbit  $\Omega$  if  $\rho(g)x_i = x_i$  for all  $i \in \Omega$ , and that  $g$  negates  $\Omega$  if  $\rho(g)x_i = -x_i$  for all  $i \in \Omega$ . We have the following result.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $g$  be a member of  $G$ ,  $\Omega$  an orbit under the  $G$ -action on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . If  $\psi\rho(g)$  fixes some  $i \in \Omega$ , then  $g$  either fixes or negates  $\Omega$ .*

PROOF. Suppose  $\rho(g)x_i = a_{ii}x_i$ , where  $i \in \Omega$ , and let  $j$  be another member of  $\Omega$ . Then there is some element  $h$  of  $G$  with  $\rho(h)x_i = b_{ij}x_j$ . Hence  $\rho(hg)x_i = b_{ij}a_{ii}x_j$ . But  $G$  is abelian, so  $\rho(hg)x_i = \rho(gh)x_i = b_{ij}\rho(g)x_j$ . Thus  $\rho(g)x_j = a_{ii}x_j$ , and the conclusion follows.

The next result gives a criterion for  $\rho(g)$ ,  $g \in G$ , to have an essential cycle in a given orbit.

LEMMA 2. *For  $g \in G$ , an orbit  $\Omega$  contains an essential cycle of  $\rho(g)$  if and only if some power of  $g$  negates  $\Omega$ . In this case  $\text{card } \Omega \geq p$ , where  $g^p$  is the lowest power of  $g$  that negates  $\Omega$ .*

PROOF. If  $i \in \Omega$  is in an essential cycle of  $g$ , say of length  $l$ , then

$$\rho(g^l)x_i = -x_i.$$

Then  $g^l$  negates  $\Omega$ , by Lemma 1. Conversely, suppose  $g^p$  negates  $\Omega$ , and assume  $p$  minimal. Then no power of  $\psi\rho(g)$  lower than the  $p$ th can fix anything in  $\Omega$  (Lemma 1 again), so  $\psi\rho(g)|\Omega$  must consist of cycles of length  $p$ , each an essential cycle of  $\rho(g)$ .

For any cyclic 2-group  $Z_{2^i}$ , we can define an embedding in  $S_{2^{i-1}}$  by sending a generator to the element  $u_i$  given by

$$u_i(x_j) = x_{j+1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2^{i-1} - 1, \quad u_i(x_{2^{i-1}}) = -x_1.$$

Then evidently  $u_i$  has order  $2^i$  and  $\lambda(u_i) = 0$ . In fact,  $\lambda(u_i^r) = 0$  for all powers  $r < 2^i$ . This is immediate for  $i = 1$ , so assume  $i \geq 2$ . Then  $u_i$  has determinant 1: for odd powers  $r$ ,  $\psi(u_i^r)$  is a single cycle and  $1 = \det(u_i^r) = \epsilon \operatorname{sgn} \psi(u_i^r) = (-1)^r \epsilon$ , so  $\epsilon = -1$ . For even powers, note that  $w = u_i^{2^{i-1}}$  negates the single orbit, and some power of  $u_i^r$  is  $w$ , for any even  $r < 2^i$ . Thus every power  $u_i^r$ ,  $r < 2^i$ , has an essential cycle. By Theorem 1, this means that  $Z_{2^i}$  acts freely on  $X_{2^{i-1}}$ . Then any abelian 2-group

$$G \cong Z_2^{n_1} \oplus Z_4^{n_2} \oplus \dots \oplus Z_{2^i}^{n_i}$$

acts freely on

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n_1} X_1 \times \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} X_2 \times \dots \times \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} X_{2^{j-1}} = X_{n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + 2^{i-1}n_i}.$$

Now suppose an abelian group  $G$  acts on  $X_n$ , so there is a representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow S_n$  such that  $\rho(g)$  has an essential cycle for every  $g \neq 1$ . Let  $G_0$  be the set of elements of order  $\leq 2$  in  $G$ . Then  $G_0$  is a vector space over  $Z_2$ , and Lemma 2 implies that every nonidentity element of  $G_0$  negates some orbit. (Though we shall think of  $G_0$  as a vector space, we shall continue to use multiplicative notation.)

LEMMA 3. *Let  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_s$  be a basis for a subspace  $V \subset G_0$ . Then there are orbits  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_s$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and a basis  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s\}$  for  $V$  such that, for each  $i$ ,*

1.  $uh_i$  negates  $\Omega_i$ , where  $u \in \operatorname{span}\{h_1, \dots, h_{i-1}\}$ , and
2.  $g_i$  negates  $\Omega_i$ , and no product of the  $g_j$ ,  $j \neq i$ , does so.

PROOF. We proceed by induction on  $s$ , the case  $s = 1$  being immediate. By the induction hypothesis there exist orbits  $\Omega_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s - 1$ , and a basis  $\{k_1, \dots, k_{s-1}\}$  for  $\operatorname{span}\{h_1, \dots, h_{s-1}\}$  such that (1) and (2) (with  $g$  replaced by  $k$ ) hold. Let  $N$  be the set of  $k_i$  such that something in  $\operatorname{span}\{k_1, \dots, k_{i-1}, k_{i+1}, \dots, k_{s-1}, h_s\}$  negates  $\Omega_i$ , and let  $u$  be the product of the elements of  $N$ . Now suppose something in  $\operatorname{span}\{k_1, \dots, k_{i-1}, k_{i+1}, \dots, k_{s-1}, uh_s\}$  negates  $\Omega_i$ , for some  $1 \leq i \leq s - 1$ . By the induction hypothesis, it must have form  $wuh_s$ , where  $w \in W_i = \operatorname{span}\{k_1, \dots, k_{i-1}, k_{i+1}, \dots, k_{s-1}\}$ . If  $k_i \in N$ , then  $u = k_i v$  for  $v \in W_i$  and something of form  $yh_s$ ,  $y \in W_i$ , negates  $\Omega_i$ ; but then  $wvh_s$  fixes  $\Omega_i$  and  $yh_s$  negates it, so  $wvy \in W_i$  negates  $\Omega_i$ , contradicting the

induction hypothesis. But if  $k_i \notin N$ , then  $u \in W_i$  and having  $wuh_s$  negate  $\Omega_i$  contradicts the definition of  $N$ . Let  $g_s = uh_s$ .

Now choose an orbit  $\Omega_s$  that  $g_s$  negates (This evidently satisfies (1) for  $i = s$ ). Let  $W = \text{span} \{k_1, \dots, k_{s-1}\}$ . Then there is a homomorphism  $f: W \rightarrow S_m$ , where  $m = \text{card } \Omega_s$ , defined by  $f(w) = \rho(w)|_{\hat{\Omega}_s}$  (Here  $\hat{\Omega}_s$  is the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module generated by  $\{x_i | i \in \Omega_s\}$ ). We identify  $\bar{W} = W/\ker f$  with the image of  $f$  in the usual way, and denote the class of  $w \in W$  in  $\bar{W}$  by  $\{w\}$ . Now if  $\mu = \rho(g_s)|_{\hat{\Omega}_s}$  is not in  $\bar{W}$  we can set  $g_i = k_i$ , so assume otherwise. Choose a basis  $B$  for  $\bar{W}$  that includes  $\mu$ . Now define  $g_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s - 1$ , to be  $k_i g_s$  if  $\mu$  occurs in the representation of  $\{k_i\}$  in terms of  $B$ , and  $k_i$  otherwise. Then  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s\}$  is the required basis for  $V$ .

Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 2. Since  $G$  is a 2-group,

$$G \cong \mathbf{Z}_2^{n_1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_4^{n_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^l}^{n_l}$$

for some  $n_1, \dots, n_l$ . Choose generators  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k$  for the summands, arranged so that  $r_i$  has order greater than or equal to  $r_{i+1}$ . If we raise each generator  $r_i$  to half its order, we obtain a basis for  $G_0$ . Now apply Lemma 3 with  $V = G_0$ : we obtain distinct orbits  $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_k$ , and (1) of the lemma implies that, for each  $i$ , there is an element  $w_i$  that when raised to half the order of  $r_i$  negates  $\Omega_i$ . Hence, by Lemma 2,  $\text{card } \Omega_i$  is at least half the order of  $r_i$ . Now we have  $n_1$  generators of order 2,  $n_2$  of order 4, etc., so

$$n \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \text{card } \Omega_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^l 2^{i-1} n_i.$$

REFERENCES

1. Gunnar Carlsson, *On the rank of abelian groups acting freely on  $(S^n)^k$* , Invent. math. **69** (1982), pp. 393–400.
2. Nobuaki Yogita, *On the dimension of spheres whose product admits a free action by a nonabelian group*, Quart. J. Math. **36** (1985), pp. 117–127.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
U.S. NAVAL ACADEMY