

LACUNARY SETS FOR GROUPS AND HYPERGROUPS

CATHERINE FINET

(Received 28 June 1991)

Communicated by C. Sutherland

Abstract

In this paper, we generalize the classical F. and M. Riesz theorem to compact groups and compact commutative hypergroups. The group $SU(2)$ of unitary matrices is also studied.

1991 *Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.):* 22 C 05.

1. Introduction

F. and M. Riesz proved the following result ([21], p. 335).

(CLASSICAL) F. AND M. RIESZ THEOREM. *Let μ be a measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} = \{e^{i\theta}, 0 < \theta \leq 2\pi\}$ whose Fourier coefficients*

$$\hat{\mu}(n) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-in\theta} d\mu(\theta)$$

with negative index are equals to zero. Then μ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure.

J. H. Shapiro gave a new proof of this theorem based on a study of duals of subspaces of $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ for $0 < p < 1$ [22]. His ideas were used by G. Godefroy for the study of Riesz subsets of commutative discrete groups [10]. In another direction R. G. M. Brummelhuis generalized Shapiro's methods to compact metrizable groups whose center contains a circle group [3].

In this paper, we give extensions of the classical F. and M. Riesz theorem to compact groups and compact commutative hypergroups. See [15, 23, 24] for the definition and the fundamental properties of hypergroups. A hypergroup is a locally compact space which has enough structure so that a convolution on the space of finite regular Borel measures can be defined. Classical examples are the space of conjugacy classes of a compact group, spaces of orbits in a locally compact group of automorphisms and double-cosets of certain non-normal closed subgroups of a compact group. The class of hypergroups includes the class of locally compact topological groups.

We briefly describe the contents of this paper. In Section 2 we recall some basic properties of hypergroups. In Section 3 we construct approximate units of the space $L^1(K)$ for K a compact hypergroup. In Section 4, we study lacunary sets in the dual of a compact hypergroup. We first extend a result of R. E. Dressler and L. Pigno [7]: the union of a Riesz set and a Rosenthal set is a Riesz set. We also investigate the class of Riesz sets, nicely placed sets and Shapiro sets in the dual object of a compact commutative hypergroup. Shapiro sets are Riesz sets (Theorem 4.6), for which the Mooney-Havin theorem extends. Following [10, 17], we use the localization technique to construct nicely placed sets. The stability by union is studied and extensions to the Mooney-Havin theorem are given. Section 5 is devoted to some examples, we consider in particular the hypergroup K of the conjugacy classes of the compact group $SU(2)$ and construct nicely placed sets in the dual \widehat{K} . In Section 6, we use techniques of infinite dimensional Banach space theory to study $\Lambda(1)$ -sets in the dual object of compact hypergroups and give non-commutative extensions of G. F. Bachelis and S. E. Ebenstein's result [1] and of F. Lust-Piquard's result [16]. We also answer a question of R. G. M. Brummelhuis [5]. In Section 7, we generalize a result of G. Godefroy [10] to compact groups whose center contains a copy of the circle group; we improve a result of R. G. M. Brummelhuis [4, 5]. We also give some applications to the unit sphere of \mathbb{C}^n ($n \geq 2$) and to the Bergman-Shilov boundary of a bounded symmetric domain.

NOTATION. Let K be a compact group or a compact hypergroup. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(K)$ the space of continuous functions on K and by $\mathcal{M}(K)$ the dual of $\mathcal{C}(K)$, the space of finite Borel measures on K . We denote by $\mathcal{M}^+(K)$ the subset of positive measures in $\mathcal{M}(K)$ and $D(K)$ the algebra generated by the discrete measures. We denote by δ_x the Dirac measure at the point x . We denote by μ_a (resp. μ_s) the absolute continuous (resp. singular) part of a measure μ in $\mathcal{M}(K)$ with respect to the Haar measure. The $L(1, \infty)$ ("weak L^1 ") quasi-norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_{1, \infty} = \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \{\lambda P(|f| \geq \lambda)\}.$$

We denote by $B(X)$ the closed unit ball of a Banach space X and by I_E the characteristic function of the set E .

2. Basic properties of hypergroups

Our main reference for hypergroups is [15]. Let us recall the definition of a hypergroup.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let K be a locally compact Hausdorff space. The space K is a hypergroup if there exists a binary mapping $(x, y) \rightarrow \delta_x \star \delta_y$ of $K \times K$ into $\mathcal{M}^+(K)$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (1) The mapping $(\delta_x \star \delta_y) \rightarrow \delta_x \star \delta_y$ extends to a bilinear associative operator \star from $\mathcal{M}(K) \times \mathcal{M}(K)$ into $\mathcal{M}(K)$ such that

$$\int_K f d(\mu \star \nu) = \int_K \int_K \int_K f d(\delta_x \star \delta_y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y)$$

for all continuous functions f on K vanishing at infinity.

- (2) For each $x, y \in K$, the measure $\delta_e \star \delta_y$ is a probability measure with compact support.
- (3) The mapping $(\mu, \nu) \rightarrow \mu \star \nu$ is continuous from $\mathcal{M}^+(K) \times \mathcal{M}^+(K)$ into $\mathcal{M}^+(K)$; the topology on $\mathcal{M}^+(K)$ being the cone topology.
- (4) There exists $e \in K$ such that $\delta_e \star \delta_y = \delta_x = \delta_x \star \delta_e$ for all $x \in K$.
- (5) There exists a homeomorphism involution $x \rightarrow x^-$ of K onto K such that, for all $x, y \in K$, we have

$$(\delta_x \star \delta_y)^- = \delta_{y^-} \star \delta_{x^-}, \text{ where } \delta_{x^-} \text{ is defined by}$$

$$\int_K f(k) d\delta_{x^-}(k) = \int_K f(k^-) d\delta_x(k),$$

and also,

$$e \in \text{supp}(\delta_x \star \delta_y) \text{ if and only if } y = x^-$$

where $\text{supp}(\delta_x \star \delta_y)$ is the support of the measure $\delta_x \star \delta_y$.

- (6) The mapping $(x, y) \rightarrow \text{supp}(\delta_x \star \delta_y)$ is continuous from $K \times K$ into the space $\mathcal{E}(K)$ of compact subsets of K , where $\mathcal{E}(K)$ is given the topology whose subbasis is given by all

$$\mathcal{E}_{U, V} = \{A \in \mathcal{E}(K) : A \cap U \neq \emptyset \text{ and } A \subset V\}$$

where U, V are open subsets of K .

Note that in general $\delta_x \star \delta_y$ is not necessarily a discrete measure. A hypergroup K is *commutative* if $\delta_x \star \delta_y = \delta_y \star \delta_x$ for all x, y in K . Let us first

recall some properties of *compact commutative hypergroups*. Such a hypergroup K carries a Haar measure m such that $m(K) = 1$ and $\delta_x \star m = m$ for all x in K . If f is a Borel function on K and $x, y \in K$ then $x \star y$ is defined by $f(x \star y) = \int_K f d(\delta_x \star \delta_y)$. A complex-valued function χ on K is said to be *multiplicative* if $\chi(x \star y) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$ for all x and y in K . The dual \widehat{K} of K is the space of characters; that is the space of multiplicative continuous functions χ on K such that $\chi(x^-) = \overline{\chi(x)}$ for all x in K . The space \widehat{K} is an orthogonal basis for $L^2(K)$. If K is compact then \widehat{K} is discrete. Let us note that \widehat{K} is not necessarily a hypergroup. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(K)$, the *Fourier-Stieltjes transform* $\widehat{\mu}$ of μ is defined on \widehat{K} by

$$\widehat{\mu}(\chi) = \int_K \overline{\chi} d\mu, \text{ for all } \chi \in \widehat{K}.$$

The mapping $\mu \rightarrow \widehat{\mu}$ is a norm-decreasing \star -algebra isomorphism from $\mathcal{M}(K)$ into the space of bounded functions on \widehat{K} . We are also concerned with compact, not necessarily commutative, hypergroups K . Such hypergroups also carry a Haar measure and are unimodular. The dual object Σ is then the set of equivalence classes of continuous irreducible representations of K . If K is commutative, then Σ is to be identified with \widehat{K} , the space of characters. In the non-commutative case complications arise because not all continuous irreducible representations of K have representation space of dimension 1. However all the representation spaces have finite dimension when K is compact [24]. Let us recall a few definitions and properties [24]. The *Fourier-Stieltjes transform* of a measure μ in $\mathcal{M}(K)$ is then defined by

$$\widehat{\mu}(\tau) = \int_K \overline{\tau} d\mu, \text{ for each } \tau \in \Sigma.$$

It is an operator-valued function on Σ . The spectrum of a measure μ in $\mathcal{M}(K)$ is defined by

$$\text{spec } \mu = \{\alpha \in \Sigma, \widehat{\mu}(\alpha) \neq 0\} = \text{supp } \widehat{\mu}.$$

For any subset Λ of Σ , we let

$$\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(K) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(K), \text{spec } \mu \subset \Lambda\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}(K)$ denote the space of trigonometric polynomials on K : $\mathcal{F}(K) = \{f \in L^1(K) : \text{spec } f \text{ is a finite set}\}$. If $\Lambda \subset \Sigma$, let $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda(K) = \{f \in \mathcal{F}(K) : \text{spec } f \subset \Lambda\}$.

3. Approximate units in $L^1(K)$

In this section, we construct approximate units in $L^1(K)$ for K a compact hypergroup. We get a generalization of a result of J. Boclé [2, Theorem II,

page 17], see also [22, Lemma 1.1]. In the following K will denote a compact not necessarily commutative hypergroup, m its Haar measure. We assume $m(K) = 1$. The main result of this section is the following

THEOREM 3.1. *Let K be a compact hypergroup. There exists a net of functions $\{h_\alpha\}$ in $L^1(K)$ such that for all α :*

- (1) $h_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(K)$.
- (2) $\|h_\alpha\|_1$ is bounded.
- (3) If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_s(K)$ then the net $\{\mu \star h_\alpha\}$ converges in Haar measure to zero.
- (4) If $f \in L^1(K)$ then the net $\{f \star h_\alpha\}$ converges in L^1 -norm to f .

PROOF. Consider \mathcal{U} a basis of neighborhoods at e consisting of symmetric sets. We direct the net in the usual way: $U \geq V$ if $U \subset V$. For $V \in \mathcal{U}$, let $f_V = m(V)^{-1}I_V$. We now prove that the net $\{\mu \star f_V\}_{V \in \mathcal{U}}$ converges in Haar measure to 0 when μ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_s(K)$. Since $|\mu \star f_V| \leq |\mu| \star f_V$ [15, 6.1.B] we may without loss of generality suppose μ to be a positive measure. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a > 0$. Since μ is a regular and singular measure on K there exist a compact set H and an open set U such that $H \subset U \subset K$ and $\mu(U) = \mu(K) = \|\mu\|$, $\mu(U \setminus H) < \varepsilon a/2$, $m(U) < \varepsilon/2$. Define λ in $\mathcal{M}(K)$ as follows:

$$\lambda(B) = \mu(B \cap H) \text{ for } B \text{ a Borel subset of } K.$$

Then $\mu = \lambda + \theta$ where $\theta(K) < \varepsilon a/2$. By [15, 3.2.D], there is a neighborhood W in \mathcal{U} such that $W \star H \subseteq U$. By definition, one has:

$$(\lambda \star I_W)(t) = \int_K I_W(y^- \star t) d\lambda(y) = \int_K (I_W \star \delta_t)(y) d\lambda(y)$$

and,

$$(\lambda \star I_W)(t) = \int_{(W \star t) \cap H} (I_W \star \delta_t)(y) d\mu(y).$$

By [15, 4.1.B], the set $(W \star t) \cap H$ is empty if and only if the set $\{t\} \cap (W^- \star H)$ is empty; that is t does not belong to the set $W^- \star H$ and by the symmetry of W , t does not belong to $W \star H$. It follows that if t does not belong to U then the set $(V \star t) \cap H$ is empty for any $V \subset W$. Now we proceed as in the group case [22]. For $V \subset W$, we have $\mu \star f_V = \theta \star f_V$ off U . Hence,

$$\int_{K \setminus U} (\mu \star f_V)(t) dm(t) = \int_{K \setminus U} (\theta \star f_V)(t) dm(t) \leq \|\theta\| \|f_V\|_1 \leq \varepsilon a/2.$$

By Chebyshev's inequality:

$$m\{\{\mu \star f_V > a\} \cap (K \setminus U)\} \leq \varepsilon/2$$

and

$$m\{\mu \star f_V > a\} \leq \varepsilon/2 + m(U) < \varepsilon.$$

By [15, 5.1.B], we also get that if $g \in L^1(K)$, $\{g \star f_V\}_{V \in \mathcal{Z}}$ converges in L^1 -norm to g . Since each f_V is an element of $L^2(K)$ and $\mathcal{T}(K)$ is dense in $L^2(K)$ [24], we get the theorem.

Let us note that R. C. Vrem constructed in $L^1(K)$, with K a compact hypergroup, approximate units satisfying the assertions (1), (2) and (4) of the Theorem 3.1. See [24].

4. Lacunary sets for compact hypergroups

Following [10, 16], we now define Riesz, nicely placed, Shapiro and Rosenthal sets. In the sequel, K will be a compact hypergroup, Σ its dual and m the Haar measure on K .

DEFINITION 4.1. A subset Λ of Σ is a *Riesz set* if every measure μ in $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(K)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure of K .

DEFINITION 4.2. Let X be a closed subspace of L^1 . The space X is *nicey placed* if $B(X)$ is closed in $L(1, \infty)$. A subset Λ of Σ is *nicey placed* if $L^1_\Lambda(K)$ is nicey placed in $L^1(K)$.

We denote by $[\Lambda]$ the smallest nicey placed subset of Σ containing Λ .

DEFINITION 4.3. A subset Λ of Σ is a *Shapiro set* if every subset of Λ is nicey placed.

DEFINITION 4.4. A subset Λ of Σ is a *Rosenthal set* if $L^\infty_\Lambda(K) = \mathcal{C}_\Lambda(K)$.

It is known that every Rosenthal subset of a commutative discrete group is a Riesz set [16]. More generally, R. E. Dressler and L. Pigno have shown that the union of a Riesz set and of a Rosenthal set is a Riesz set [7]. G. Godefroy extended this result [11]; we generalize Godefroy’s result to compact hypergroups.

PROPOSITION 4.5. *If K is compact hypergroup and Σ its dual, if $\Lambda \subset \Sigma$ is such that $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda = L^1_\Lambda \oplus (\mathcal{M}_s)_\Lambda$ and if Λ_0 is a Rosenthal set, then*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda \cup \Lambda_0} = L^1_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2} \oplus (\mathcal{M}_s)_\Lambda.$$

Let us remark that if Λ is a Riesz set then $(\mathcal{M}_s)_\Lambda = \{0\}$ and $\Lambda \cup \Lambda_0$ is a Riesz set.

PROOF. Let μ be in $\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda \cup \Lambda_0}(K)$ and consider $g_n = k_n \star \mu$ (where (k_n) is

an approximation of the identity in $L^1(K)$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K (k_n \star \mu) f \, dm &= \iint_K k_n(x \star y^-) f(x) d\mu(y) dm(x) \\ &= \iint_K k_n^-(y \star x^-) f^-(x^-) d\mu(y) dm(x^-) \\ &= \iint_K k_n^-(x) f^-(y^- \star x) d\mu(y) dm(x) \\ &= \int_K k_n^-(\mu \star f^-) dm. \end{aligned}$$

We let $\bar{\Lambda} = \{\bar{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ and $\Lambda' \in \Sigma \setminus \bar{\Lambda}$. If $f \in L_{\Lambda'}^\infty$ then $f^- \in L_{\Lambda}^\infty$ and $\mu \star f^- \in L_{\Lambda_0}^\infty = \mathcal{E}_{\Lambda_0}$. Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\int g_n f \, dm)$ exists for every $f \in L_{\Lambda'}^\infty$.

And now the proof proceeds exactly as in the commutative compact group case, see [11].

In the sequel, K will be a commutative compact hypergroup and \hat{K} its dual. The second part of this section is devoted to the proof of the following result.

THEOREM 4.6. *Let K be a commutative compact hypergroup. Then every Shapiro subset of \hat{K} is a Riesz set.*

Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(\hat{K})$ be a family of subsets of \hat{K} . We consider the class $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{E}} = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(\hat{K}) : \text{for all } B \subset A, B \in \mathcal{E}\}$. We have the following lemmas.

LEMMA 4.7. *Let K be a commutative compact hypergroup and \mathcal{E} be a family of subsets of \hat{K} . If every $\Lambda \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfies:*

$$\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(K) \text{ implies } \mu_s \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(K),$$

then every $\Lambda \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{E}}$ is a Riesz set.

PROOF. The proof here is similar to the group case [10, Lemma 1.1].

LEMMA 4.8. *Let K be a commutative compact hypergroup, Λ be a subset of \hat{K} and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(K)$. Then $\mu_s \in \mathcal{M}_{[\Lambda]}(K)$.*

PROOF. The proof proceeds as in the group case [10, Lemma 1.5]. Note that this proof does require Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 4.6 follows from these lemmas.

REMARK. With the same arguments it can be shown that if G is a compact group and Σ its dual then every Shapiro subset of Σ is a Riesz set.

A way to construct Riesz, nicely placed and Shapiro sets is the *localization technique* [10, 17]. Let us introduce the following topology on \widehat{K} . For $\alpha \in \widehat{K}$, we say that V_α is a τ -neighborhood of α if there exists a measure $\nu_\alpha \in D(K)$ such that

$$(1) \quad \hat{\nu}_\alpha(\alpha) \neq 0, V_\alpha \supset \text{spec } \nu_\alpha.$$

This defines a topology. Let us just mention that if U_α and V_α are two τ -neighborhoods of α in \widehat{K} then there exist two measures ν_α and μ_α in $D(K)$ such that

$$\alpha \in U_\alpha \supset \text{spec } \nu_\alpha, \alpha \in V_\alpha \supset \text{spec } \mu_\alpha.$$

We have $\nu_\alpha * \mu_\alpha \in D(K)$ and $\alpha \in U_\alpha \cap V_\alpha \supset \text{spec}(\nu_\alpha * \mu_\alpha)$. Therefore $U_\alpha \cap V_\alpha$ is a τ -neighborhood of α . This topology corresponds to the Bohr topology in the commutative group case.

THEOREM 4.9. *If Λ is a subset of \widehat{K} and if for every $\alpha \in \widehat{K}$ there exists a τ -neighborhood V_α of α such that*

$$(2) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda \cap V_\alpha}(K) \text{ implies } \mu_s \in \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda \cap V_\alpha}(K)$$

then we also have

$$\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(K) \text{ implies } \mu_s \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(K).$$

PROOF. Let $\Lambda \subset \widehat{K}$, $\alpha \notin \Lambda$ and V_α be a τ -neighborhood of α satisfying (1) and (2). Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(K)$. Then $\nu_\alpha * \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda \cap \text{spec } \nu_\alpha}(K)$ and $\nu_\alpha * \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda \cap V_\alpha}(K)$. Then $(\nu * \mu)_s \in \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda \cap V_\alpha}(K)$. Since $\alpha \notin \Lambda$, $\alpha \notin \Lambda \cap V_\alpha$ and $(\widehat{\nu_\alpha * \mu})_s(\alpha) = 0$. Since $L^1(K)$ and $\mathcal{M}_s(K)$ are closed and invariant under convolutions by the elements of $D(K)$ [15], we have $(\nu_\alpha * \mu)_s = \nu_\alpha * \mu_s$ [17]. Thus $\widehat{\mu}_s(\alpha) = 0$ and $\mu_s \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(K)$.

Let us notice that if Λ is a subset of \widehat{K} and if for every $\alpha \in \widehat{K}$ there exists a τ -neighborhood V_α of α such that $\Lambda \cap V_\alpha$ is a Riesz set then Λ is also a Riesz set.

THEOREM 4.10. *If Λ is a subset of \widehat{K} and if for every $\alpha \in \widehat{K}$ there exists a τ -neighborhood V_α of α such that $\Lambda \cap V_\alpha$ is a nicely placed set then Λ is a nicely placed set.*

PROOF. We need two lemmas.

LEMMA 4.11. Let $\nu \in D(K)$ and $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^1(K)$ which converges to 0 in $L(1, \infty)$. Then $\nu \star f_n$ belongs to $L^1(K)$ and there exists a subsequence $(\tilde{f}_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of (f_n) such that the sequence

$$\left(\nu \star \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{f}_k \right)_{n \geq 1}$$

converges to 0 in $L(1, \infty)$.

PROOF. By [15, 6.2.B], we have $\nu \star f_n \in L^1(K)$ and

$$\|\nu \star f_n\|_1 \leq \|\nu\| \|f_n\|_1.$$

By [10, Lemma 1.2], there exists a subsequence (\tilde{f}_k) of (f_n) such that the sequence

$$\left(\nu \star \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{f}_k \right)_{n \geq 1}$$

converges in $L(1, \infty)$. It is easy to see that the assumption that the sequence (f_n) converges to 0 in $L(1, \infty)$ implies that the sequence $(\nu \star \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{f}_k)_{n \geq 1}$ also converges to 0 in $L(1, \infty)$.

LEMMA 4.12. Let Λ be a subset of \widehat{K} and $\alpha \notin \Lambda$. If there exists a τ -neighborhood V_α of α such that $\alpha \notin [V_\alpha \cap V]$ then $\alpha \notin [\Lambda]$.

PROOF. Let $\nu_\alpha \in D(K)$ which satisfies (1). Let $f \in L^1_\Lambda(K)$. By Lemma 4.11, $\nu_\alpha \star f \in L^1(K)$. In fact, $\nu_\alpha \star f \in L^1_{V_\alpha \cap \Lambda}(K)$. Thus $\nu_\alpha \star L^1_\Lambda(K) \subset L^1_{V_\alpha \cap \Lambda}(K)$. Lemma 4.11 proves that if X is nicely placed then $C = \{f : \nu_\alpha \star f \in X\}$ is also nicely placed: consider a sequence (f_n) in C which converges to f in $L(1, \infty)$, then $\nu_\alpha \star f_n$ belongs to X and $\frac{1}{n} \nu_\alpha \star \sum_{k=1}^n f_k$ also belongs to X . It follows by Lemma 4.11 that f belongs to C . Then we have:

$$(3) \quad \nu_\alpha \star L^1_{[\Lambda]}(K) \subset L^1_{[V_\alpha \cap \Lambda]}(K).$$

Since $\nu_\alpha \star \alpha = \hat{\nu}_\alpha(\alpha) \cdot \alpha$ and $\hat{\nu}_\alpha(\alpha) \neq 0$, (3) shows that $\alpha \notin [\Lambda]$.

Let us now prove Theorem 4.10. Let $\alpha \notin \Lambda$ and V_α a τ -neighborhood satisfying (1), then $\alpha \notin \Lambda \cap V_\alpha = [\Lambda \cap V_\alpha]$. And by Lemma 4.11, $\alpha \notin [\Lambda]$.

COROLLARY 4.13. If Λ is a subset of \widehat{K} and if for every $\alpha \in \widehat{K}$ there exists a τ -neighborhood V_α of α such that $\Lambda \cap V_\alpha$ is a Shapiro set then Λ is a Shapiro set.

COROLLARY 4.14. *Let Λ_1 be a nicely placed subset of \widehat{K} and Λ_2 a τ -closed subset of \widehat{K} . Then the union $\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$ is nicely placed. In particular, every τ -closed subset is nicely placed.*

This extends a result of Y. Meyer [17]. We also get an extension of the Mooney-Havin theorem [13, 18].

COROLLARY 4.15. *Let Λ_1 be a nicely placed subset of \widehat{K} and Λ_2 be a τ -closed subset of \widehat{K} . Then the space $L^1/L_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2}^1(K)$ is weakly sequentially complete.*

PROOF. The result follows from Corollary 4.14 and from the fact that if Λ is a nicely placed subset of \widehat{K} then the space $L^1/L_{\Lambda}^1(K)$ is weakly sequentially complete [10].

5. Examples

Conjugacy classes of compact non-commutative groups.

Let G be a compact non-commutative group, with normalized Haar measure σ and Σ be its dual object. We say that a subset Λ of Σ is *central Riesz* if every central measure μ in $\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}(G)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure of G . Central nicely placed and central Shapiro subsets of Σ are defined in the same way. For $x \in G$, let $x^G = \{t^{-1}xt, t \in G\}$ the conjugacy class of x . Let $K = \{x^G, x \in G\}$ have the quotient topology. The space K , with the operation

$$\delta_{x^G} \star \delta_{y^G} = \int_G \delta_{(t^{-1}xt)^G} d\sigma(t)$$

is a compact commutative hypergroup [15]. Each $\tau \in \Sigma$ has a representation space of finite dimension d_{τ} and trace χ_{τ} . The functions χ_{τ} are called characters but the *hypergroup characters* are normalized by dividing χ_{τ} by d_{τ} . More precisely, if $\pi : G \rightarrow K$ is the natural mapping then ψ_{τ} on K is defined by: $\psi_{\tau} \circ \pi = d_{\tau}^{-1} \chi_{\tau}$ and $\widehat{K} = \{\psi_{\tau}, \tau \in \Sigma\}$. The Haar measure m on K is induced from the Haar measure on G . \widehat{K} is a commutative discrete hypergroup. The functions defined on K (respectively the measures of K) correspond to the central functions defined on G (respectively the central measures of G). It follows that Riesz (respectively nicely placed) subsets of \widehat{K} correspond to central Riesz (respectively central nicely placed) subsets of Σ . Let us now consider two examples:

a) The special unitary group $SU(2)$ consists of all 2×2 matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. Following [14] we now recall the construction of the dual Σ of $SU(2)$. Let l be a number in the set $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots\}$. We construct a representation of $SU(2)$ of dimension $2l + 1$ as follows.

Let H_l be the linear space of all complex one variable polynomials of degree not exceeding $2l$. Let $u = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$. For all $f \in H_l$, we define:

$$(T_u^{(l)} f)(z) = (\beta z + \bar{\alpha})^{2l} f\left(\frac{\alpha z - \bar{\beta}}{\beta z + \bar{\alpha}}\right).$$

The mapping $T^{(l)} : u \rightarrow T_u^{(l)}$ is a $(2l + 1)$ -dimensional representation of $SU(2)$ and the set $\{T^{(0)}, T^{(\frac{1}{2})}, T^{(1)}, \dots\}$ is a complete set of continuous unitary irreducible representations of $SU(2)$. That is, for each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, Σ contains exactly one element of dimension n . We write its character by χ_n . We now describe the hypergroup K of conjugacy classes of $SU(2)$, see [15] for more details. We identify K with $[0, \pi]$ where θ in $[0, \pi]$ corresponds to the conjugacy class containing the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The hypergroup character ψ_n on K corresponding to χ_n is equal to

$$\psi_n(\theta) = \frac{\sin n\theta}{n \sin \theta}, \theta \in]0, \pi[; \psi_n(0) = \psi_n(\pi) = 1.$$

The Haar measure m on K is given by

$$\int_K f dm = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(\theta) \sin^2 \theta d\theta.$$

We now give some examples of τ -closed subsets of \widehat{K} . A subset F of \widehat{K} is τ -closed if there exists a measure ν in $D(K)$ such that

$$F = \{\psi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \hat{\nu}(\psi_n) = 0\}.$$

- (1) Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \{1\}$. Then the set $\{\psi_{kr}, k \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ is τ -closed. Indeed, take $\nu = \delta_{\pi/r}$. Then $\hat{\nu}(\psi_n) = (\sin n\pi/r)/(n \sin \pi/r) = 0$ if and only if n is a multiple of r in \mathbb{Z} .
- (2) Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then the set $\{\psi_{(2k+1)r}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is τ -closed. Consider the discrete measure $\nu = \sin \theta_1 \delta_{\theta_1} - \sin \theta_2 \delta_{\theta_2}$, with $\theta_1 = \pi/r - \pi/\sqrt{2}$ and $\theta_2 = \pi/\sqrt{2}$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\nu}(\psi_n) &= \frac{1}{n}(\sin n(\pi/r - \pi/\sqrt{2}) - \sin n\pi/\sqrt{2}) \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \sin n(\pi/2r - \pi/\sqrt{2}) \cos n\pi/2r \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\nu}(\psi_n) = 0) & \text{ if and only if } (\cos n\pi/2r = 0) \\ & \text{ if and only if } (n \in \{(2k + 1)r, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}). \end{aligned}$$

b) C. F. Dunkl and D. E. Ramirez constructed in [8] an interesting family of compact countable hypergroups H_a , for $0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}$. H_a has the topological structure of the one-point compactification of the nonnegative integers. The case $H_{1/p}$, p prime, is the set of equivalence classes of the p -adic integers modulo the group of units (under multiplication). The hypergroup H_a is identified with $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$. The invariant measure m is given by

$$m(\{k\}) = a^k(1 - a) \text{ for } k < \infty, \quad m(\{\infty\}) = 0.$$

$\hat{H}_a = \{\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \dots\}$ where $\psi_0 \equiv 1$ and

$$\psi_n(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } k \geq n \\ a/(a - 1) & \text{for } k = n - 1, \quad n \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } k < n - 1. \end{cases}$$

The following sets are τ -clopen:

- (1) $\{\psi_p, \psi_{p+1}, \psi_{p+2}, \dots\}$ for all $p \geq 2$.
(Take $\nu = \delta_{p-2}$)
- (2) $\{\psi_p\}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. (Take $\nu = ((a + 1)/a\delta_{p-1} - \delta_p) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 1/2^j(\delta_{p+2j+1} - \delta_{p+2j+2})$ for $p \geq 1$ and $\nu = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 1/2^j(\delta_{2j} - \delta_{2j+1})$ for $p = 1$).
- (3) $\{\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_p\}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.
(Take $\nu = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 1/2^j(\delta_{p+2j} - \delta_{p+2j+1})$).

6. $\Lambda(1)$ -sets in compact hypergroups

It is known that if G is a compact commutative group and Λ a subset of its dual then we have the following equivalences.

- (1) Λ is a $\Lambda(1)$ -set if and only if $L^1_{\Lambda}(G)$ is reflexive [1]
- (2) Λ is a Riesz set if and only if $L^1_{\Lambda}(G)$ has Radon-Nikodym property [16].

Let us recall that a Banach space X has the *Radon-Nikodym property* (RNP) if and only if every linear continuous operator

$$T : L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \rightarrow X$$

(where μ is a probability measure) is representable by a X -valued strongly μ -measurable and bounded function F that is for all $\varphi \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$,

$$T(\varphi) = \int \varphi(\omega)F(\omega)d\mu(\omega).$$

If X is a reflexive Banach space then X has RNP [6]. It follows that a $\Lambda(1)$ -set is a Riesz set. Our aim is to extend the results (1) and (2) to compact hypergroups. In the following K will denote a compact not necessarily commutative hypergroup, m its Haar measure and Σ its dual.

DEFINITION 6.1. Let $0 < p < \infty$. A subset Λ of Σ is called $\Lambda(p)$ -set if for some $0 < q < p$ there exists a constant C such that

$$(4) \quad \|f\|_p \leq C\|f\|_q, \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda(K).$$

Λ is called central $\Lambda(p)$ if (4) holds for all f in $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda(K)$ which are central.

PROPOSITION 6.2. Let Λ be a subset of Σ . Then Λ is $\Lambda(1)$ -set if and only if $L^1_\Lambda(K)$ is reflexive.

PROOF. Suppose that Λ is a $\Lambda(1)$ -set of Σ . Then for some $0 < q < 1$ there exists a constant C such that

$$\|f\|_1 \leq C\|f\|_q, \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda(K).$$

Let (F_α) be an approximate unit satisfying Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in L^1_\Lambda(K)$ then $F_\alpha \star f \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda(K)$ and, for $0 < q < 1$, we have

$$\|F_\alpha \star f\|_1 \leq C\|F_\alpha \star f\|_q.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and α be such that

$$\|F_\alpha \star f - f\|_1 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_1 &\leq \|F_\alpha \star f\|_1 + \|f - F_\alpha \star f\|_1 \leq C\|F_\alpha \star f\|_q + \varepsilon \\ &\leq C[\|F_\alpha \star f - f\|_q^q + \|f\|_q^q]^{1/q} + \varepsilon \leq C[\|F_\alpha \star f - f\|_1^q + \|f\|_q^q]^{1/q} + \varepsilon \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon^q + \|f\|_q^q)^{1/q} + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that there exists a constant C depending only on q such that for all $f \in L^1_\Lambda(K)$, we have

$$\|f\|_1 \leq C\|f\|_q;$$

that is, the L^1 and L^q topologies coincide on $L^1_\Lambda(K)$ and $L^1_\Lambda(K)$ is reflexive. For the converse, see [1].

PROPOSITION 6.3. *Let Λ be a subset of Σ . Then Λ is Riesz if and only if $L^1_\Lambda(K)$ has RNP.*

PROOF. Suppose first that Λ is Riesz. The space $L^1_\Lambda(K)$ has RNP if and only if its separable subspaces have RNP [6]. Let S be a separable subspace of $L^1_\Lambda(K)$, then $S \subset L^1_{\Lambda'}(K)$ with $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ and Λ' countable. Since $L^1_\Lambda(K) = \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(K)$, $L^1_{\Lambda'}(K)$ is a separable dual. On the other hand, suppose that $L^1_\Lambda(K)$ has RNP. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(K)$, $f \in L^1(K)$, $\theta \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f \star \mu, \theta \rangle &= \int_K (f \star \mu)\theta \, dm = \int_K f(\theta \star \mu^-) \, dm \quad [15, 6.2D] \\ &= \int_K f(g) \int_K \theta(g \star y^-) \, d\mu^-(y) \, dm(g) \\ &= \int_K \int_K \int_K f(g)\theta(s) \, d(\delta_g \star \delta_{y^-})(s) \, d\mu^-(y) \, dm(g). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K f(g)\langle \delta_g \star \mu, \theta \rangle \, dm(g) &= \int_K f(g) \int_K \theta(x) \, d(\delta_g \star \mu)(x) \\ &= \int_K \int_K f(g)\theta_g(y) \, d\mu(y) \quad [15, 3.1.F] \\ &= \int_K \int_K \int_K f(g)\theta(s) \, d(\delta_g \star \delta_y)(s) \, d\mu(y) \, dm(g) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle f \star \mu, \theta \rangle = \int_K f(g)\langle \delta_g \star \mu, \theta \rangle \, dm(g).$$

Let T_μ be the operator from $L^1(K)$ into $L^1_\Lambda(K)$ defined by $T_\mu(f) = f \star \mu$. $L^1_\Lambda(K)$ has RNP then the function $g \rightarrow \delta_g \star \mu$ is almost everywhere $L^1_\Lambda(K)$ -valued. Thus μ is in $L^1_\Lambda(K)$.

Let G be a compact group, Σ its dual and Λ a subset of Σ . Let us denote by $L^{1C}_\Lambda(G)$ the subspace of central functions of $L^1_\Lambda(G)$. RNP and reflexivity are isomorphic properties therefore by Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.3, we get

COROLLARY 6.4. (1) *Λ is a central $\Lambda(1)$ -set if and only if $L^{1C}_\Lambda(G)$ is reflexive.*

(2) Λ is a central Riesz set if and only if $L_\Lambda^{1C}(G)$ has RNP.

COROLLARY 6.5. *If Λ is a central $\Lambda(1)$ -set then Λ is a central Riesz set.*

This answers a question of R. G. M. Brummelhuis [5].

REMARK. In the non-commutative group case, central $\Lambda(p)$ -sets are more abundant than $\Lambda(p)$ -sets, see [5]. For example for all $n \geq 2$, the dual object of $SU(n)$ does not contain an infinite $\Delta(p)$ -set for any $p > 0$ ([19, 20]).

7. Shapiro sets for compact groups whose center contains the circle

G. Godefroy proved the following result [10]. Let Γ be a totally ordered discrete group and Λ be a subset of Γ such that

$$\Lambda \cap \{\alpha' \leq \alpha\} \text{ is a } \Lambda(1)\text{-set for every } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Then Λ is a Shapiro set of Γ .

We generalize this result to compact groups whose center contains a circle group and precise a result of R. G. M. Brummelhuis [5]. Examples of such groups are the unitary group $U(n)$, isotropy groups of bounded symmetric domains. In this section G will denote a compact metrizable group whose center contains a circle group. We denote by Σ its dual and by m its Haar measure. For τ in Σ , let $H(\tau)$ denote the representation space of τ and d_τ the dimension of $H(\tau)$. If χ_τ denotes the character of τ then for $F \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ and $g \in G$

$$F(g) = \sum_{\tau \in \Sigma} d_\tau (\chi_\tau \star F)(g) = \sum_{\tau \in \Sigma} d_\tau \text{tr}\{\widehat{F}(\tau)\tau(g)\}$$

where tr means trace.

Fix an injective homomorphism $\mathbb{T} = \{e^{i\theta}, \theta \in]-\pi, \pi]\} \hookrightarrow Z(G)$, the center of G ; $e^{i\theta}$ will denote an element of \mathbb{T} as well as an element of $Z(G)$. By Schur’s lemma there exists for each τ in Σ a unique $n(\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(5) \quad \tau(e^{i\theta}) = e^{in(\tau)\theta} I_{d_{H(\tau)}}, \text{ for all } e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}.$$

If f is a function on G and g in G , the “slice” function f_g on \mathbb{T} is defined by:

$$f_g(e^{i\theta}) = f(e^{i\theta}g).$$

For f in $\mathcal{F}(G)$, $f_g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T})$ and

$$f_g(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi_m f(g) e^{im\theta}$$

where the projections π_m are defined by

$$\pi_m f(g) = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \Sigma \\ n(\tau)=m}} d_\tau \operatorname{tr}[\hat{f}(\tau)\tau(g)].$$

Define the projection P_N on $\mathcal{F}(G)$ by

$$(6) \quad P_N(f) = \sum_{m \leq N} \pi_m f.$$

The following lemma follows from [5].

LEMMA 7.1. *For all p , $0 < p < 1$, there exists a constant C such that for all f in $\mathcal{F}(G)$,*

$$\|P_N f\|_p \leq C \|f\|_1.$$

We need the following lemma

LEMMA 7.2. *Let $\Lambda \subset \Sigma$, $f \in L^1(G)$ and $n(\Lambda) = \{n(\tau), \tau \in \Lambda\}$. If f belongs to $L^1_\Lambda(G)$ then for almost every $g \in G$, f_g belongs to $L^1_{n(\Lambda)}(\mathbb{T})$.*

PROOF. There exists a sequence $(f^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$ of trigonometric polynomials in $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda(G)$ such that $(\|f^{(n)}\|_1)_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded and $(f^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$ converges to f in L^1 -norm.

Up to a subsequence, we may assume that $M = \int_G \sum_n |f^{(n)}(g) - f(g)| dm(g)$ is finite; by invariance we get that, for all $e^{i\theta}$ in \mathbb{T} ,

$$M = \int_G \sum_n |f^{(n)}(ge^{i\theta}) - f(ge^{i\theta})| dm(g).$$

So

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_G \sum_n |f^{(n)}(ge^{i\theta}) - f(ge^{i\theta})| dm(g) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \text{ is finite.}$$

Hence, for almost every g in G , $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_n |f^{(n)}(ge^{i\theta}) - f(ge^{i\theta})| d\theta/2\pi$ is finite. So that, for almost every g in G , $(f_g^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$ converges to f_g in $L^1(\mathbb{T})$. Since $f_g^{(n)} \in L^1_{n(\Lambda)}(\mathbb{T})$, it follows that $f_g \in L^1_{n(\Lambda)}(\mathbb{T})$.

Let us remark that if, for almost every $g \in G$, the slice function f_g belongs to $L^1_{n(\Lambda)}(\mathbb{T})$ then the function f belongs to $L^1_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(G)$ where $\tilde{\Lambda} = \{\tau \in \Sigma, n(\tau) = n(\beta), \text{ for some } \beta \in \Lambda\}$. We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

THEOREM 7.3. *Let G be a compact group whose center contains a copy of the circle group. Let Λ be a subset of Σ such that*

$$(7) \quad \{\tau \in \Lambda, n(\tau) \leq N\} \text{ is a } \Lambda(1)\text{-set for every } N \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then Λ is a Shapiro subset of Σ .

PROOF. Since (7) is hereditary, it is enough to prove that Λ is nicely placed. Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $B(L_\Lambda^1)$ which converges to f in $L(1, \infty)$, and let τ be in $\Sigma \setminus \Lambda$; we have to prove that $\hat{f}(\tau) = 0$.

Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$ be such that $n(\alpha) \geq n(\tau)$. Consider the projection $P_{n(\alpha)}$ defined by (6). We let $\Gamma_{n(\alpha)} = \{\delta \in \Sigma, n(\delta) > n(\alpha)\}$. If $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \Lambda$ then $P_{n(\alpha)}f \in L_{\Lambda \setminus \Gamma_{n(\alpha)}}^1$. Since $\Lambda \setminus \Gamma_{n(\alpha)}$ is a $\Lambda(1)$ -set, there exists $K > 0$ such that for every f in L_Λ^1 ,

$$\|P_{n(\alpha)}f\|_1 \leq K\|P_{n(\alpha)}f\|_{1/2}.$$

Also by Lemma 7.1, $\|P_{n(\alpha)}f\|_{1/2} \leq C\|f\|_1$. Thus $P_{n(\alpha)}$ is $\|\cdot\|_1$ -continuous from L_Λ^1 into $L_{\Lambda \setminus \Gamma_{n(\alpha)}}^1$ and $L_\Lambda^1 = L_{\Lambda \setminus \Gamma_{n(\alpha)}}^1 \oplus L_{\Lambda \cap \Gamma_{n(\alpha)}}^1$. Let (f'_n) be a subsequence of (f_n) which converges almost everywhere. Let $g'_n = P_{n(\alpha)}(f'_n)$ and $h'_n = f'_n - g'_n$. The sequences (g'_n) and (h'_n) are $\|\cdot\|_1$ -bounded and thus by Lemma 1.2 [10] there exist subsequences (g''_n) and (h''_n) , indexed by the same set, which converge in Cesaro mean almost everywhere and in $L(1, \infty)$ to g and h , respectively. We have $f = g + h$.

Since $\Lambda \setminus \Gamma_{n(\alpha)}$ is a $\Lambda(1)$ -set, the space $L_{\Lambda \setminus \Gamma_{n(\alpha)}}^1$ is $L(1, \infty)$ closed and thus $g \in L_{\Lambda \setminus \Gamma_{n(\alpha)}}^1$ and $\hat{g}(\tau) = 0$. It remains to show that $\hat{h}(\tau) = 0$. The sequence $k_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h''_j$ converges to h in $L(1, \infty)$ and is bounded in $L_{\Lambda \cap \Gamma_{n(\alpha)}}^1$. In particular k_n is in $L_{\Gamma_{n(\alpha)}}^1$. Thus we have to show that $L_{\Gamma_{n(\alpha)}}^1$ is nicely placed.

In fact, it suffices to prove that $L_{\Gamma^+}^1$ is nicely placed where $\Gamma^+ = \{\alpha \in \Sigma, n(\alpha) > 0\}$. Let $(f^{(n)})$ be a sequence in $B(L_{\Gamma^+}^1)$ which converges to f in $L(1, \infty)$. We have to show that $\hat{f}(\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha \notin \Gamma^+$.

Up to a subsequence we may suppose that $(f^{(n)})$ converges to f a.e. And for almost every $g \in G$, $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T}$, $f_g^{(n)}(e^{i\theta})$ tends to $f_g(e^{i\theta})$. By Lemma 7.2, we have that $f_g^{(n)} \in L_{n(\Gamma^+)}^1$ and $(\|f_g^{(n)}\|_1)$ is bounded. Since $n(\Gamma^+)$ is nicely placed, $f_g \in L_{n(\Gamma^+)}^1$ for almost every g and by the remark after Lemma 7.2 $f \in L_{\Gamma^+}^1$. This proves the theorem.

Our result improves Theorem 2.1 of [5].

We now give some applications of this theorem.

First, we give a non commutative extension of the Mooney-Havin theorem ([13, 18]).

COROLLARY 7.4. *Let G be a compact group whose center contains a copy of circle group. Let Λ be a subset of Σ satisfying (7) then the space $L^1/L_\Lambda^1(G)$ is weakly sequentially complete.*

PROOF. The result follows from Theorem 7.3 and Lemma 1.8 of [10].

We are now interested in the “central version” of Theorem 7.3. Let us note that if (f_n) is a bounded sequence in $L_\Lambda^{1C}(G)$ which converges to f in $L(1, \infty)$ then f is also a central function. And if f is a central trigonometric polynomial then the projection $P_N f$ is also a central function.

THEOREM 7.5. *Let G be a compact group whose center contains a copy of the circle group. Let Λ be a subset of Σ such that*

$$\{\tau \in \Lambda, n(\tau) \leq N \text{ is a central } \Lambda(1)\text{-set for every } N \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then Λ is a central Shapiro subset of Σ .

Let us note that under these assumptions Λ is central Riesz. Another easy consequence of the theorem is the following corollary.

COROLLARY 7.6. *Let G be a compact group whose center contains a copy of the circle group. Let Λ be a subset of Σ such that*

- (1) *For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the set $\{\tau \in \Lambda : n(\tau) = m\}$ is a $\Lambda(1)$ -set.*
- (2) *The set $\{n(\tau) : \tau \in \Lambda\}$ is bounded from below.*

Then Λ is a Shapiro subset of Σ .

Let us mention that under these assumptions R. G. M. Brummelhuis proved that Λ is a Riesz subset of Σ [3].

REMARK. Let G be a compact group and Λ be a subset of its dual. We denote by $\tilde{\Lambda}$ the set of α 's in Λ such that the restriction of $F_\alpha : f \rightarrow \hat{f}(\alpha)$ to $B_\Lambda^{1C}(G)$ is L^p -continuous ($0 < p < 1$). Then we can show that for every central Shapiro set Λ , one has $\Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda}$. We can also give another “central” extension of Theorem 3.2 of [3].

PROPOSITION 7.7. *Let G be a compact group whose center contains a copy of the circle group. Let Λ be a subset of Σ such that*

- (1) *For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the set $\{\tau \in \Lambda, n(\tau) = m\}$ is central Shapiro.*
- (2) *The set $\{n(\tau), \tau \in \Lambda\}$ is bounded from below.*

Then Λ is a central Riesz subset of Σ .

PROOF. Let μ be in $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda^C(G)$ and (F_n) be a sequence of central trigonometric polynomials satisfying Theorem 3.1. Then $F_n \star \mu$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^C(G)$ and μ_a belongs to the set C which is the closure of the set $\|\mu\|B(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^C)$ in $\|\cdot\|_p$ ($0 < p < 1$). Then for all $F \in B(\mathcal{F}^C(G))$, $F \star \mu_a$ also belongs to C . Following [3] we consider for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the projection $\pi_m : \mathcal{F}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(G)$ defined by

$$(\pi_m f)(k) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\theta} k) e^{-im\theta} d\theta / 2\pi = \sum_{n(\tau)=m} d_\tau(\chi_\tau \star f)(k).$$

Since the set $\{n(\tau), \tau \in \Lambda\}$ is bounded from below, π_m is L^p -continuous on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda(G)$ ($0 < p < 1$) [3]. Let (f_n) be a sequence in $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^C(G)$ with $(\|f_n\|_1)$ bounded and let f be in $\mathcal{F}(G)$ such that (f_n) converges to f in $\|\cdot\|_p$ ($0 < p < 1$) then $(\pi_m(f_n))$ converges to $\pi_m(f)$ in $\|\cdot\|_p$. Moreover we have that $\text{spec } \pi_m(f) \subset \{\tau \in \Lambda, n(\tau) = m\}$ since the set $\{\tau \in \Lambda, n(\tau) = m\}$ is central Shapiro. Therefore f belongs to $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^C(G)$. It follows that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}^C(G)$, $F \star \mu_a$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^C(G)$ and,

$$(8) \quad \text{for all } F \in \mathcal{F}^C(G), F \star \mu_s \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda^C(G).$$

For each $\sigma \in \Lambda$ and each $k \in G$, consider the linear functional:

$$(9) \quad f \rightarrow d_\sigma(\chi_\sigma \star f)(k).$$

Let us denote by Y the space $T_{\{\tau \in \Lambda, n(\tau)=n(\sigma)\}}^C$. Let (f_n) and f be in Y such that $(\|f_n\|_1)$ is bounded and (f_n) converges to f in $\|\cdot\|_p$ ($0 < p < 1$). We have that $(\hat{f}_n(\tau))$ converges to $\hat{f}(\tau)$ for $\tau \in \{\tau \in \Lambda, n(\tau) = n(\sigma)\}$ since this set is central Shapiro. And $((\chi_\sigma \star f_n)(k) = \text{tr}(\hat{f}_n(\sigma)\sigma(k)))$ converges to $(\chi_\sigma \star f)(k)$. Hence the functionals (9) are L^p -continuous on the bounded sets of Y . From the L^p -continuity of the projection $\pi_{n(\sigma)}$ it follows that

$$(10) \quad \text{functionals (9) are } L^p\text{-continuous on bounded subsets of } \mathcal{F}_\Lambda^C(G).$$

(8) and (10) imply that $\mu_s = 0$ (see [3] for more details).

We give some applications to the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^n , homogeneous spaces and bounded symmetric domains.

Let $S = S_{2n-1} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n, |z| = 1\}$ be the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^n . S_1 is just the unit circle \mathbb{T} . S_3 can be identified with $SU(2)$ and we can then apply the results of Section 5. For $n > 2$, S_{2n-1} does not have the structure of a

compact group. We will extend the results of Section 7 to S_{2n-1} and more generally to homogeneous spaces.

Let us recall some basic facts about S_{2n-1} [3]. For non-negative integers p and q , we denote by $H(p, q)$ the vector space of all harmonic homogeneous polynomials on \mathbb{C}^n that have degree p in z and degree q in \bar{z} . We let σ be the rotation-invariant positive Borel measure on S for which $\sigma(S) = 1$. The space $L^2(S, \sigma)$ is the direct sum of the pairwise orthogonal spaces $H(p, q)$. Let π_{pq} be the orthogonal projection of $L^2(S, \sigma)$ onto $H(p, q)$. Fix p and q . To every $z \in S$ corresponds a unique K_z in $H(p, q)$ that satisfies

$$(\pi_{pq}f)(z) = \int_S f\bar{K}_z d\sigma \quad (f \in L^2(S, \sigma)).$$

We can define $\pi_{pq}\mu$ when $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S)$. Consider now

$$\text{spec } \mu = \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \pi_{pq}\mu \neq 0\}.$$

Let τ_{pq} be the restriction of the left regular representation of $U(n)$ on $L^2(S, \sigma)$ to $H(p, q)$, that is

$$(\tau_{pq}(U)f)(l) = f(U^{-1}l); \quad f \in H(p, q), \quad U \in U(n), \quad l \in S.$$

The τ_{pq} are pairwise non-equivalent and they represent all irreducible representations of $U(n)$ which occur in $L^2(S, \sigma)$, and $n(\tau_{pq}) = q - p$, where $n(\tau_{pq})$ is defined by the relation (5).

From Theorem 7.3 we then get,

COROLLARY 7.8. *Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ be such that for all $N \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$\{(p, q) \in \Delta, q - p \leq N\} \text{ is a } \Lambda(1)\text{-set.}$$

Then Δ is a Shapiro set.

We can improve Theorem 1.1 of [3]:

COROLLARY 7.9. *Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ be such that*

- (1) *For each $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{(p, q) \in \Delta, q - p = N\}$ is finite,*
- (2) *$\{q - p, (p, q) \in \Delta\}$ is bounded from below (or above).*

Then Δ is a Shapiro set.

Let K be a compact group whose center contains a copy of the unit circle. Let H be a closed subgroup of K . We will extend Corollary 7.8 to the homogeneous space K/H . Functions (resp. measures) on K/H can be identified with functions (resp. measures) on K which are right H -invariant. Let σ be the K -invariant measure on K/H for which $\sigma(K/H) = 1$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(K/H)$

is a right H -invariant measure on K then $\pi_\tau \mu = d_\tau \chi_\tau \star \mu$ ($\tau \in \Sigma$ the dual of K) is again H -invariant. The map $\pi_\tau : f \rightarrow d_\tau \chi_\tau \star f$ ($\tau \in \Sigma$) is an orthogonal projection of $L^2(K/H, \sigma)$ which is different from 0 if and only if τ occurs in the left regular representation of K on $L^2(K/H, \sigma)$. For $f \in L^1(K/H, \sigma)$, consider

$$\text{spec } f = \{ \tau \in \Sigma, \pi_\tau f \neq 0 \}.$$

The “homogeneous version” of Theorem 7.3 (see also Theorem 3.7 [3]) is

COROLLARY 7.10. *Let $\Delta \subset \Sigma$ be such that for all $N \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\{ \tau \in \Delta, n(\tau) \leq N \} \text{ is a } \Lambda(1)\text{-set}.$$

Then for every $\Gamma \subset \Delta$, $B(L_\Gamma^1(K/H), \sigma)$ is L^p -closed ($0 < p < 1$).

Note that for $K = U(n)$, $H = U(n - 1)$ then $K/H = S_{2n-1}$.

Following [3] we can apply these results to bounded symmetric domains. Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded symmetric domain, we may assume Ω to be convex and circular. Let K be the stabilizer of 0 in the component of the identity of the group of holomorphic automorphisms of Ω . The center of K contains a copy of the circle. We can apply Corollary 7.10 to the Bergman-Shilov boundary S of Ω . Let $H^2(S)$ be the closure in $L^2(S, \sigma)$ of the holomorphic polynomials restricted to S . $H^2(S)$ is K -invariant under the left regular representation of K in $L^2(S, \sigma)$. Let \widehat{K}_{Hol} be the set of irreducible representations of K which occur in $H^2(S)$. Let $H(p)$ be the space of holomorphic polynomials which are homogeneous of degree p ($p \in \mathbb{N}$) restricted to S . $H(p)$ is K -invariant and decomposes as a finite sum of representations in \widehat{K}_{hol} . If $\tau \in \widehat{K}_{Hol}$ then $n(\tau) \leq 0$ and $n(\tau) = -p$ if τ occurs in $H(p)$ (see (5) for the definition of $n(\tau)$). Therefore \widehat{K}_{Hol} satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of Corollary 7.9 and \widehat{K}_{Hol} is a Shapiro set.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank M. Bozejko and S. Pichoridès for their helpful suggestions and G. Godefroy for many useful conversations.

References

- [1] G. F. Bachelis and S. E. Ebenstein, ‘On $\Lambda(p)$ -sets’, *Pacific J. Math.* **54** (1974), 35–38.
- [2] J. Boclé, ‘Sur la théorie ergodique’, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **10** (1960), 1–45.

- [3] R. G. M. Brummelhuis, 'An F. and M. Riesz theorem for bounded symmetric domains', *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **37** (1987), 139–150.
- [4] —, 'Variations on a theme of Frederic and Marcel Riesz', Thesis.
- [5] —, 'A note on Riesz sets and lacunary sets', *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **48** (1990), 57–65.
- [6] J. Diestel, *Geometry of Banach spaces. Selected topics*, Lecture Notes in Math. **485** (Springer, Berlin, 1975).
- [7] R. E. Dressler and L. Pigno, 'Une remarque sur les ensembles de Rosenthal et Riesz', *Note aux C.R.A.S.* **280** (1975), 1281–1282.
- [8] C. F. Dunkl and D. E. Ramirez, 'A family of countable compact P_* -hypergroups', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **202** (1975), 338–356.
- [9] J. J. F. Fournier and K. A. Ross, 'Random Fourier series on compact abelian hypergroups', *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **37** (1984), 45–81.
- [10] G. Godefroy, 'On Riesz subsets of abelian discrete groups', *Israel J. Math.* **61** (1988), 301–331.
- [11] —, 'On coanalytic families of sets in harmonic analysis', *Illinois J. Math.* **35** (1991), 241–249.
- [12] P. Halmos, *Measure theory* (Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1950).
- [13] V. P. Havin, 'Weak completeness of the space L^1/H_0^1 ', *Vestnik Leningrad Univ.* **13** (1973), 73–81 (in Russian).
- [14] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis II* (Springer, Berlin, 1970).
- [15] R. I. Jewett, 'Spaces with an abstract convolution of measures', *Adv. Math.* **18** (1975), 1–101.
- [16] F. Lust-Piquard, 'Ensembles de Rosenthal et ensembles de Riesz', *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **282** (1976), 833–835.
- [17] Y. Meyer, 'Spectres des mesures et mesures absolument continues', *Studia Math.* **30** (1968), 87–99.
- [18] M. C. Mooney, 'A theorem on bounded analytic functions', *Pacific J. Math.* **43** (1972), 457–463.
- [19] J. F. Price, 'Non a sono inoemi infiniti di tipo $\Lambda(p)$ per $SU(2)$ ', *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **4(4)** (1971), 879–881.
- [20] D. Rider, ' $SU(n)$ has no infinite local Λ_p sets', *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **12(4)** (1975), 155–160.
- [21] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis* (Mc Graw-Hill, New York, 1966).
- [22] J. Shapiro, 'Subspaces of $L^p(G)$ spanned by characters, $0 < p < 1$ ', *Israel J. Math.* **29** (1978), 248–264.
- [23] R. Spector, 'Aperçu de la théorie des hypergroupes', in: *Analyse harmonique sur les groupes de Lie. sémin. Nancy-Strasbourg 1973-1975*, Lecture Notes in Math. **497** (Springer, Berlin, 1976) pp. 643–673.
- [24] R. C. Vrem, 'Harmonic analysis on compact hypergroups', *Pacific J. Math.* **85** (1979), 239–251.

Université de Mons
 Faculté des Sciences
 Avenue Maistriau 15
 B 7000 Mons
 Belgique