

A Real Holomorphy Ring without the Schmüdgen Property

Murray A. Marshall

Abstract. A preordering T is constructed in the polynomial ring $A = \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, \dots]$ (countably many variables) with the following two properties: (1) For each $f \in A$ there exists an integer N such that $-N \leq f(P) \leq N$ holds for all $P \in \text{Sper}_T(A)$. (2) For all $f \in A$, if $N + f, N - f \in T$ for some integer N , then $f \in \mathbb{R}$. This is in sharp contrast with the Schmüdgen-Wörmann result that for any preordering T in a finitely generated \mathbb{R} -algebra A , if property (1) holds, then for any $f \in A$, $f > 0$ on $\text{Sper}_T(A) \Rightarrow f \in T$. Also, adjoining to A the square roots of the generators of T yields a larger ring C with these same two properties but with ΣC^2 (the set of sums of squares) as the preordering.

1 Introduction

For any finite subset $S = \{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, let $K_S = \{a \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f_i(a) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$ and let T_S denote the preordering of $\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ generated by S , i.e., the set of all finite sums of terms of the form $f_1^{e_1} \dots f_m^{e_m} g^2$, $g \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, $e_1, \dots, e_m \in \{0, 1\}$. We have the following result:

Theorem 1 (Schmüdgen [7, Cor. 3]) *Let S be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ with K_S compact. Then, for any $f \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, if $f > 0$ on K_S then $f \in T_S$.*

Notes

- (1) According to the Positivstellensatz (see the version in [5] for example), if $f > 0$ on K_S then $(1 + s)f = 1 + t$ holds for some $s, t \in T_S$. The conclusion in Theorem 1 is stronger. (If $f > 0$ on K_S then, by compactness of K_S , $f > \frac{1}{n}$ on K_S for some integer $n \geq 1$, so, by Theorem 1, $nf = 1 + n(f - \frac{1}{n}) \in 1 + T_S$.) The hypothesis of Theorem 1 is also stronger. In the Positivstellensatz, K_S is not required to be compact.
- (2) As one might expect, the Positivstellensatz is a major ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.
- (3) See [7] for the connection of Theorem 1 to the K -moment problem for Borel measures on compact semi-algebraic sets.

We introduce some terminology and notation. Let A be any commutative ring with 1. If $T \subseteq A$ is any preordering, i.e., any additively and multiplicatively closed subset of A containing the squares, $\text{Sper}_T(A)$ denotes the subspace of the real spectrum $\text{Sper}(A)$ [3], [5] consisting of all orderings P of A such that $P \supseteq T$. We will say $f \in A$ is T -bounded if there exists an integer $N \geq 0$ such that $-N \leq f(P) \leq N$ holds for all $P \in \text{Sper}_T(A)$. The elements of A which are T -bounded form a subring of A which we will denote by $B_T(A)$.

Received by the editors August 13, 1997.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 12D15, 14P10; secondary: 44A60.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 1999.

In the case where $T = \Sigma A^2$, the set of sums of squares, $B_T(A)$ is what is called the real holomorphy ring of A ; see [1]. We will say $f \in A$ is *strongly T -bounded* if there exists an integer $N \geq 0$ such that $N - f, N + f \in T$. The elements of A which are strongly T -bounded also form a subring of A which we denote by $SB_T(A)$. If $T = \Sigma A^2$, we denote $B_T(A)$ (resp., $SB_T(A)$) simply by $B(A)$ (resp., $SB(A)$). Clearly $SB_T(A) \subseteq B_T(A)$.

Theorem 2 *Suppose $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq A$ and $B_T(A) = A$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $SB_T(A) = A$.
- (2) For any $f \in A$, if $f > 0$ on $\text{Sper}_T(A)$, then $f \in T$.

Proof The fact that (1) implies (2) follows from the Kadison-Dubois Theorem; see [2], [8]. The other implication is obvious. ■

In view of Theorem 1, it is natural to consider rings A with the property that (1) and (2) hold for every preordering T of A such that $B_T(A) = A$. We will refer to this property of rings as the *Schmüdgen property*. In [8] Wörmann proves that every finitely generated \mathbb{R} -algebra has the Schmüdgen property. Applying Wörmann’s result to $A = \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, $T = T_S$ (using the well-known correspondence between semi-algebraic sets and constructible sets [3], [5]) yields another proof of Theorem 1. In [6], Monnier shows that the Schmüdgen property holds for certain more general types of \mathbb{R} -algebra of finite transcendence degree.

The object of the present paper is to show that, without some special assumption on A , the Schmüdgen property can fail badly. We do this by producing an \mathbb{R} -algebra A of infinite transcendence degree and a preordering T in A such that $B_T(A) = A$, but $SB_T(A) = \mathbb{R}$; see Proposition 1 below. Also, replacing A by a suitable extension obtained by adjoining square roots of the generators of T , we can even assume $T = \Sigma A^2$ if we want; see Proposition 2 below.

Notes

- (1) $\text{Sper}_T(A) = \text{Sper}_{\tilde{T}}(A)$ and $B_T(A) = B_{\tilde{T}}(A) = SB_{\tilde{T}}(A)$ where $\tilde{T} = \cap \{P \mid P \in \text{Sper}_T(A)\} = \{f \in A \mid f \geq 0 \text{ on } \text{Sper}_T(A)\}$. Thus our question is related to the question of how T “sits” inside the bigger preordering \tilde{T} .
- (2) By the Positivstellensatz (e.g., see [5]), $f > 0$ on $\text{Sper}_T(A)$ iff there exist $s, t \in T$ such that $f(1 + s) = 1 + t$. This is valid for any A and any preordering $T \subseteq A$. Also, going to the localization $A \rightarrow A[1/f]$, $f \geq 0$ on $\text{Sper}_T(A)$ iff $f(f^{2k} + s) = f^{2k} + t$ for some $s, t \in T$ and some integer $k \geq 0$.
- (3) Suppose that $B_T(A) = A$ holds and let $t \in T$ be given. Then, using the Positivstellensatz, there exists a sequence of elements t_i in T and integers $N_i \geq 1$, such that $t_1 = t$ and $(N_i - t_i)(1 + t_{i+1}) \in T$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. This is clear and, moreover, it is the motivation for our construction.

2 The Example

Take $A = \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, \dots]$, the polynomial algebra over \mathbb{R} in countably many variables, and let T be the preordering in A generated by the elements t_i and the elements $(1 - t_i)(1 + t_{i+1})$,

$i \geq 1$. Clearly $T = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} T_n$ where T_n denotes the preordering in $\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ generated by t_1, \dots, t_n and the elements $(1 - t_i)(1 + t_{i+1})$, $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Also, $T_n + T_n(1 - t_n) \subseteq S_n$, where S_n denotes the preordering in $\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ generated by the elements $t_i, 1 - t_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Lemma 1 $S_n \cap -S_n = \{0\}$.

Proof This is well-known, e.g., by [3, Prop. 7.5.6], there exists a support $\{0\}$ ordering on $\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ containing S_n . Here is an elementary proof. We want to show that any sum of non-zero elements of S_n is non-zero. Suppose $f \in S_n$ is a sum of non-zero terms of the form a square in $\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ times some product of the generators $t_1, \dots, t_n, 1 - t_1, \dots, 1 - t_n$ of S_n . Expanding as a polynomial in t_n with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}]$, we see that, in each term, the coefficient of the lowest power of t_n appearing is a square times a product of generators of S_{n-1} . Adding, we see that the coefficient of the lowest power of t_n appearing in f is a sum of non-zero elements of S_{n-1} so, by induction on n , it is not zero. This implies $f \neq 0$. ■

Lemma 2 Suppose $f \in T_n$, $f \notin T_{n-1}$. Then, as a polynomial in t_n with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}]$, f has degree ≥ 1 and the leading coefficient of f is in S_{n-1} .

Proof Since $f \in T_n$, f is a sum of non-zero terms of the form a square in $\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ times some product of elements from the set $\{t_1, \dots, t_n, (1 - t_1)(1 + t_2), \dots, (1 - t_{n-1})(1 + t_n)\}$. Expanding as a polynomial in t_n with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}]$, we see that, in each term, the coefficient of the highest power of t_n appearing is a square times a product of generators of T_{n-1} times $(1 - t_{n-1})^\delta$, $\delta = 0$ or 1 . Adding and using Lemma 1, f has degree ≥ 1 and the coefficient of the highest power of t_n appearing is an element of $T_{n-1} + T_{n-1}(1 - t_{n-1}) \subseteq S_{n-1}$. ■

Proposition 1 $B_T(A) = A$, $SB_T(A) = \mathbb{R}$.

Proof If $P \in \text{Sper}_T(A)$ then $t_i \in P$ and $(1 - t_i)(1 + t_{i+1}) \in P$, so $0 \leq t_i(P) \leq 1$. Since the elements t_i generate A as an \mathbb{R} -algebra, it follows that $B_T(A) = A$. Let $f \in SB_T(A)$, so $N - f^2 \in T$ for some integer $N \geq 0$. If $f \notin \mathbb{R}$, then $N - f^2 \in T_n \setminus T_{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 2, the leading coefficient of $N - f^2$ is $-g^2$, where g is the leading coefficient of f , and $-g^2 \in S_{n-1}$. Since $S_{n-1} \cap -S_{n-1} = \{0\}$, this is impossible. ■

Note Since $B_T(A) = A$, it follows (for example by applying the Kadison-Dubois Theorem [2] to the preordering $\tilde{T} = \bigcap \{P \mid P \in \text{Sper}_T(A)\}$) that the maximal elements in $\text{Sper}_T(A)$ all arise from \mathbb{R} -algebra homomorphisms $\alpha: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha(T) \geq 0$. In this way, $\text{SperMax}_T(A)$ is identified with the Hilbert Cube $[0, 1]^\infty$. If $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in [0, 1]^\infty$, the evaluation mapping $f \mapsto f(a)$ is an \mathbb{R} -algebra homomorphism from A to \mathbb{R} with $f(a) \geq 0$ for all $f \in T$. The corresponding element of $\text{SperMax}_T(A)$ is $P_a = \{f \in A \mid f(a) \geq 0\}$. The identification $\text{SperMax}_T(A) \cong [0, 1]^\infty$ is a homeomorphism of topological spaces.

Formally adjoining to A the square roots of the generators of T , we get the big ring

$$C = A[\sqrt{t_i}, \sqrt{(1-t_i)(1+t_{i+1})} \mid i \geq 1].$$

The ring C with the preordering ΣC^2 has the same two properties as the ring A with the preordering T . That is:

Proposition 2 $B(C) = C, SB(C) = \mathbb{R}$.

Proof Let $u_i = (1-t_i)(1+t_{i+1})$. Since the inequalities $-1 \leq \sqrt{t_i} \leq 1$ and $-\sqrt{2} \leq \sqrt{u_i} \leq \sqrt{2}$ hold on $\text{Sper}(C)$ and since the elements $\sqrt{t_i}, \sqrt{u_i}$ generate C as an \mathbb{R} -algebra, it follows that $B(C) = C$. Formally adjoining square roots of finitely many of the elements t_i and then of finitely many of the elements u_i we obtain a finite tower of subrings $A = D_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq D_s$ of C where, at each stage, $D_k = D_{k-1}[\sqrt{p_k}]$. Any finite subset of C belongs to such a tower. One verifies that C is an integral domain by verifying D_s is an integral domain, by induction on s , for any such tower. This just amounts to checking, in each case (p_s is equal to t_i or u_i for some i), that the polynomial $X^2 - p_s$ is irreducible over the field of fractions of D_{s-1} . Let T_k be the preordering in D_k generated by the elements t_i, u_i . To show $SB(C) = \mathbb{R}$, it suffices to prove, by induction on s , that if $f \in D_s$ and $N - f^2 \in T_s$ for some integer $N \geq 1$, then $f \in \mathbb{R}$. By assumption, $N - f^2 = g_1 + \dots + g_r$ where each g_i is a square in D_s times a product of generators of T . Thus $f = f_1 + f_2\sqrt{p_s}, g_i = g_{i1} + g_{i2}\sqrt{p_s}$, with $f_j, g_{ij} \in D_{s-1}, j = 1, 2$ and $N - (f_1^2 + f_2^2 p_s) = g_{11} + \dots + g_{r1}$. Also, the elements g_{i1} belong to T_{s-1} , so $N - f_1^2 \in T_{s-1}$ and $N^2 - (f_2^2 p_s)^2 = (N - f_2^2 p_s)(N + f_2^2 p_s) \in T_{s-1} T_{s-1} \subseteq T_{s-1}$. Thus, by induction on $s, f_1, f_2^2 p_s \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $f_2^2 p_s$ has a square root in C , we must have $f_2^2 p_s \geq 0$, and since $X^2 - p_s$ is irreducible over the field of fractions of D_{s-1} , this implies $f_2 = 0$. Thus $f = f_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. ■

Note The functorial mapping $\text{Sper}(i): \text{Sper}(C) \rightarrow \text{Sper}(A)$, where $i: A \rightarrow C$ is the inclusion, is continuous with image $\text{Sper}_T(A)$ and, by [4, Th. 6.2], the mapping $\text{Sper}(i)$ is closed. $\text{SperMax}(C)$ is homeomorphic to the infinite torus $(\mathbb{S}^1)^\infty$ where \mathbb{S}^1 is the 1-sphere. If $(b_1, c_1, b_2, c_2, \dots) \in (\mathbb{S}^1)^\infty$ (so $b_i^2 + c_i^2 = 1$) the associated \mathbb{R} -algebra homomorphism from C to \mathbb{R} is given by $\sqrt{t_i} \mapsto b_i, \sqrt{u_i} \mapsto c_i \sqrt{1 + b_{i+1}^2}$. The surjection $(\mathbb{S}^1)^\infty \rightarrow [0, 1]^\infty$ corresponding to the mapping $\text{SperMax}(C) \rightarrow \text{SperMax}_T(A)$ induced by $\text{Sper}(i)$ is given by $(b_1, c_1, b_2, c_2, \dots) \mapsto (b_1^2, b_2^2, \dots)$.

References

- [1] E. Becker and V. Powers, *Sums of powers in rings and the real holomorphy ring*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **480**(1996), 71–103.
- [2] E. Becker and N. Schwartz, *Zum Darstellungssatz von Kadison-Dubois*. Arch. Math. **39**(1983), 421–428.
- [3] J. Bochnak, M. Coste and M.-F. Roy, *Géométrie Algébrique Réelle*. Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1987.
- [4] M. Coste and M.-F. Roy, *La topologie du spectre réel*. In: Ordered fields and real algebraic geometry, Contemp. Math. **8**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1981, 27–59.
- [5] T.-Y. Lam, *An introduction to real algebra*. Rocky Mtn. J. Math. **14**(1984), 767–814.
- [6] J.-P. Monnier, *Schmüdgen Positivstellensatz*. Manuscripta Math., to appear

- [7] K. Schmüdgen, *The K -moment problem for compact semi-algebraic sets*. Math. Ann. **289**(1991), 203–206.
- [8] T. Wörmann, *Strikt positive Polynome in der semialgebraischen Geometrie*. PhD Thesis, Dortmund, 1998.

Department of Mathematics & Statistics
University of Saskatchewan
Saskatoon, SK
S7N 0W0
email: marshall@math.usask.ca