

## Short report

# Cognitive-behavioural therapy v. usual care in recurrent depression

Henk Jan Conradi, Peter de Jonge and Johan Ormel

**Summary**

We examined in a primary care sample whether acute-phase cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) would be more effective than usual care for patients with multiple prior episodes of depression. Depression outcome was based on a 3-monthly administered Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) during a 2-year follow-up. We confirmed that in patients with four or more prior episodes, CBT outperformed usual care by four points

on the BDI, but not in patients with three or fewer prior episodes. Subsequent analyses suggested that CBT may be able to tackle cognitive problems related to rumination in patients with recurrent depression.

**Declaration of interest**

None.

In recent years an association has been reported between number of prior episodes and response to cognitive therapy in depressed patients. Several subgroup analyses, based on stratified<sup>1,2</sup> and non-stratified<sup>3</sup> subsamples, pointed out that preventive cognitive therapy is more effective than usual care in patients with three to five, or more, prior episodes, but *not* in patients who had experienced fewer previous episodes. Since depression is a major mental health problem and treatment capacity is limited, optimising treatment allocation could help to decrease the problem of unmet need for treatment.<sup>4</sup> The finding of differential treatment response depending on number of prior episodes may therefore be of importance.

Unfortunately, several issues remain unresolved. First, the studies mentioned concern preventive cognitive therapy, whereas cognitive therapy in daily practice consists almost exclusively of acute-phase treatment. Second, these studies used mixed samples of patients recruited from community healthcare facilities and by media announcements, whereas the vast majority of depressed patients are treated in primary care. Third, it is unclear through which particular depressive symptom(s) cognitive therapy exerts its effect in patients with multiple previous episodes.

Therefore, this study examines whether this differential treatment response can be replicated in depressed primary care patients, treated by acute-phase cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) *v.* usual care. In addition, we set out to examine whether the difference CBT may make is predominantly due to its impact on cognitive symptoms of depression. This may be expected, since rumination is seen as a source of recurrence, and treatment of dysfunctional thinking is central to CBT.<sup>5</sup> Thus, we anticipate that in patients with multiple previous episodes, the effect of CBT will be particularly noticeable at the cognitive-symptoms level of depression.

**Method**

Patients participated in a randomised clinical trial in primary care evaluating the effects of experimental depression treatments.<sup>6</sup> We included patients, referred by general practitioners (GPs), who had a diagnosis of depression; were 18–70 years old; had no life-threatening medical condition, psychotic disorder, bipolar disorder, dementia or primary alcohol or drug dependency; and were not already receiving psychotherapy. For the current analyses we selected patients who received usual care from their GP and, since CBT was followed by psychoeducation in the trial, patients who were randomised to psychoeducation alone or CBT plus psychoeducation.

Analyses of the latter two groups enable us to disentangle effects of both treatment components.

Usual care, according to clinical guidelines, consists of brief supportive counselling, possible antidepressant prescription and/or referral.

The psychoeducational prevention programme is a protocolised low-intensity programme consisting of three face-to-face sessions and short 3-monthly telephone contacts thereafter. Psychoeducation alone had no effect on long-term outcomes of depression.<sup>6</sup>

Cognitive-behavioural therapy plus psychoeducation consists of 10–12 protocolised CBT sessions with a psychotherapist and aims at promoting (social) reactivation and restructuring of dysfunctional cognitions. Subsequently patients followed the psychoeducational programme.

Two instruments were used to assess the course of depression. First, to determine severity of depression, the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) was administered every 3 months during a 2-year follow-up. Second, an adapted version of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI), a structured psychiatric interview which has shown good reliability and validity, was administered every 3 months: face-to-face at baseline and by telephone thereafter. With this we measured the presence of the individual DSM-IV<sup>7</sup> symptoms of depression per week over the previous 3 months. Thus, we could establish the percentage of time during the 2-year follow-up that patients had: depressed mood and/or diminished interest, eating problems, sleeping problems, psychomotor problems, fatigue, worthlessness or guilt, cognitive problems and death ideations. The number of previous depressive episodes was established with the baseline lifetime CIDI.

Linear mixed-model analysis was used to evaluate differential change over time in the eight repeated BDI measurements for usual care *v.* psychoeducation and usual care *v.* CBT plus psychoeducation. Baseline BDI score and timing of assessment were incorporated as covariates. This analysis was carried out for all patients and two subgroups, namely patients who had experienced three or fewer, or four or more depressive episodes before baseline. To evaluate the appropriateness of conducting subgroup analyses, we added an interaction term to the model, representing the interaction effect of CBT *v.* usual care  $\times$  prior episodes. Alpha was set at  $<0.10$ .

Mann-Whitney non-parametric tests were used to examine per subgroup whether treatments differed regarding the percentage of time the discrete DSM-IV depressive symptom clusters, as measured by the CIDI, were present during follow-up. We set  $\alpha < 0.05$ .

**Table 1** Analyses of the eight repeated BDI measurements conducted during the 2-year follow-up, adjusted for baseline BDI

	Usual care		Psychoeducation		CBT plus psychoeducation		Usual care v. psychoeducation		Usual care v. CBT plus psychoeducation			
	n	Mean (s.e.)	n	Mean (s.e.)	n	Mean (s.e.)	Δ Mean (s.e.)	P	Effect size	Δ Mean (s.e.)	P	Effect size
All patients	63	12.13 (0.85)	104	11.40 (0.66)	41	9.39 (1.04)	0.74 (1.08)	0.49	0.20	2.75 (1.35)	0.042	0.41
Patients $\leq 3$ episodes	36	11.48 (1.14)	70	10.62 (0.82)	23	9.81 (1.44)	0.86 (1.41)	0.25	0.25	1.66 (1.84)	0.37	0.31
Patients $\geq 4$ episodes	27	13.03 (1.25)	34	13.08 (1.11)	18	9.01 (1.54)	0.05 (1.67)	0.98	0.04	4.02 (1.98)	0.046	0.50

BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; CBT, cognitive-behavioural therapy; Δ, difference.

## Results

Overall, psychoeducation patients did not, but CBT plus psychoeducation patients did, report a significantly lower mean than usual care patients on the BDI from 3 to 24 months (Table 1). As the interaction term of CBT v. usual care  $\times$  prior episodes approached significance ( $P < 0.09$ ), further subgroup analyses were considered to be justified. In the group of patients with three or fewer prior episodes we found no significant differences on the BDI during follow-up between treatment groups. However, in the group with four or more episodes, psychoeducation patients did not differ from usual care patients, whereas the mean BDI score of CBT plus psychoeducation patients was 4 points lower than that of usual care patients (effect size  $\approx 0.5$ ).

Finally, we compared per subgroup whether the treatments differed on each of the DSM-IV depressive symptom clusters (CIDI) during the 2-year follow-up. Only one significant difference emerged. In the group with four or more previous episodes, the median percentage of follow-up time during which usual care patients reported having cognitive problems was 47% (interquartile range 25–88; representing 11.3 months) compared with 15% for CBT plus psychoeducation patients (interquartile range 8–64; representing 3.6 months), which was significantly better ( $Z = -2.328$ ,  $P = 0.020$ ).

## Discussion

A limitation of this study is that it involves an analysis of non-stratified subgroups of a randomised controlled trial, and although the marginally significant interaction corresponds with a clinically relevant differential treatment response to CBT compared with usual care (i.e. 4.02 v. 1.66 relative decrease on the BDI), results still need to be confirmed in future research. Strengths of the study are the detailed and reliable prospective assessment of depression course by questionnaire (BDI) and interview (CIDI), and the fact that it involves primary care patients.

This study makes three clinically valuable contributions to earlier findings of a differential treatment response depending on number of prior depressive episodes. First, we observed a comparable differential treatment response in the primary care setting, in which the vast majority of depressed patients are treated, instead of mixed samples of patients.

Second, we found this differential treatment response not to be restricted to preventive cognitive therapy: it can also be observed with the far more common acute-phase CBT. We found that in patients with three or fewer prior episodes the three treatments perform equally well, whereas in patients with four or more episodes, CBT plus psychoeducation performs clinically better than usual care. This effect is assumed to be attributable to the CBT component of CBT plus psychoeducation, since psychoeducation did not differ from usual care (although a

favourable interaction between psychoeducation and CBT cannot be ruled out completely). Therefore, GPs may consider the number of previous depressive episodes, which is a strong predictor of an unfavourable course of depression,<sup>8</sup> as a treatment indicator in order to optimise allocation of scarce treatment capacity. Patients with few prior episodes show no additional benefit with CBT, whereas for patients with multiple prior episodes, GPs may advise CBT in addition to usual care.

Third, we found that in the group with multiple prior episodes, treatments differed significantly only on cognitive symptoms (indecisiveness, unclear and slow thinking, and concentration problems). This may suggest that CBT is able to manage ruminative styles of thinking, which are seen as a risk factor for recurrence. Dissolving dysfunctional thinking is central to CBT.

**Henk Jan Conradi**, PhD, Department of Psychiatry, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, and Department of Clinical Psychology, University of Amsterdam; **Peter de Jonge**, PhD, Department of Psychiatry & Department of Internal Medicine, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, and Centre of Research on Psychology and Somatic Disease, Department of Medical Psychology, Tilburg University; **Johan Ormel**, PhD, Department of Psychiatry, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, The Netherlands

**Correspondence:** Henk Jan Conradi, Department of Psychiatry, University Medical Center Groningen, P.O. Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands. Email: h.j.conradi@med.umcg.nl

First received 16 Jul 2007, final revision 16 May 2008, accepted 17 Jun 2008

## References

- Teasdale JD, Segal ZV, Williams JMG, Ridgeway VA, Soulsby JM, Lau MA. Prevention of relapse/recurrence in major depression by mindfulness-based cognitive therapy. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2000; **68**: 615–23.
- Ma SH, Teasdale JD. Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for depression: Replication and exploration of differential relapse prevention effects. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2004; **72**: 31–40.
- Bockting CLH, Schene AH, Spinhoven Ph, Koeter MWJ, Wouters LF, Huyser J, Kamphuis JH. Preventing relapse/recurrence in recurrent depression with cognitive therapy: a randomized controlled trial. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2005; **73**: 647–57.
- WHO World Mental Health Survey Consortium. Prevalence, severity, and unmet need for treatment of mental disorders in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *JAMA* 2004; **291**: 2581–90.
- Teasdale JD, Scott J, Moore RG, Hayhurst H, Pope M, Paykel ES. How does cognitive therapy prevent relapse in residual depression? Evidence from a controlled trial. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2001; **69**: 347–57.
- Conradi HJ, De Jonge P, Kluiter H, Smit A, Van der Meer K, Jenner JA, Van Os TWDP, Emmelkamp PMG, Ormel J. Enhanced treatment for depression in primary care: long-term outcomes of a psycho-educational prevention program alone and enriched with psychiatric consultation or cognitive behavioral therapy. *Psychol Med* 2007; **37**: 849–62.
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th edn) (DSM-IV)*. APA, 1994.
- Conradi HJ, De Jonge P, Ormel J. Prediction of the three-year course of recurrent depression in primary care patients: different risk factors for different outcomes. *J Affect Disord* 2008; **105**: 267–71.