

# ON THE IMBEDDING PROBLEM OF NORMAL ALGEBRAIC NUMBER FIELDS

EIZI INABA

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be finite groups. If a group  $\bar{G}$  has an invariant subgroup  $\bar{H}$ , which is isomorphic with  $H$ , such that the factor group  $\bar{G}/\bar{H}$  is isomorphic with  $G$ , then we say that  $\bar{G}$  is an extension of  $H$  by  $G$ . Now let  $G$  be the Galois group of a normal extension  $K$  over an algebraic number field  $k$  of finite degree. The imbedding problem concerns us with the question, under what conditions  $K$  can be imbedded in a normal extension  $L$  over  $k$  such that the Galois group of  $L$  over  $k$  is isomorphic with  $\bar{G}$  and  $K$  corresponds to  $\bar{H}$ . Brauer connected this problem with the structure of algebras over  $k$ , whose splitting fields are isomorphic with  $K$ . Following his idea, Richter investigated its local aspect using the norm theorem in the class field theory. Considering the case, where  $G$  is a  $p$ -group and the order of  $H$  is  $p$ , Scholz, Reichardt, and Tannaka succeeded to construct a normal extension over  $k$ , whose Galois group is a given  $p$ -group with  $p \neq 2$ . Scholz also solved the case, where  $G$  and  $H$  are both abelian. In spite of the efforts of these mathematicians the general case remains in a situation very difficult to approach. In the present paper we shall investigate the case, where  $G$  is arbitrary and  $H$  abelian of type  $(p, \dots, p)$  for a prime number  $p$ . In view of the fact, that every solvable group has a chief series  $\{G_i\}$  such that the factor groups  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  are abelian of type  $(p, \dots, p)$ , the following investigation shall be available for the construction of normal extensions with solvable groups.

In the following we identify  $\bar{H}$  with  $H$ . Let  $g_s \in \bar{G}$  be a representative of the coset, which corresponds to  $s \in G$ . We denote with  $sh$  the element  $g_s h g_s^{-1} \in H$ , which is uniquely determined for  $s \in G$  and  $h \in H$  irrespective of the choice of  $g_s$  from the coset.  $H$  becomes a  $G$ -module by this operation and yields a representation  $A$  of  $G$ . If the rank of  $H$  is  $n$ , then every element in  $H$  can be regarded as an  $n$ -dimensional vector, whose components are integers mod.  $p$ . If it corresponds a matrix  $A(s)$  for  $s \in G$  in the representation  $A$ , then  $sh = A(s)h$ . From  $g_s g_t = A(s, t)g_{st}$  with  $A(s, t) \in H$  it follows

$$(1) \quad A(s, t) + A(st, u) = A(s, tu) + A(s)A(t, u),$$

where  $A(s, t)$  is called *the factor set of the extension  $\bar{G}$  of  $H$  by  $G$* . If we take  $g'_s = B(s)g_s$  with  $B(s) \in H$  in place of  $g_s$ , then we have a factor set  $A'(s, t)$ , which

Received September 19, 1951.

is equivalent to  $A(s, t)$ , and

$$A'(s, t) = A(s, t) + B(s) - B(st) + A(s)B(t).$$

The transformation of the basis of  $H$  gives rise to a representation  $DAD^{-1}$ , which is equivalent with  $A$ . In this case we obtain the factor set  $DA(s, t)$  in place of  $A(s, t)$ . It is well known that the extension of  $H$  by  $G$  is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by the class of representations and the class of factor sets.

Now let  $S$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . If there exists  $B(\sigma) \in H$  for every  $\sigma \in S$  such that

$$A(\sigma, \tau) = B(\sigma) - B(\sigma\tau) + A(\sigma)B(\tau)$$

for every  $\sigma, \tau \in S$ , then we say that  $A(s, t)$  splits relative to  $S$ . In this case  $A(s, t)$  is equivalent to a factor set  $A'(s, t)$  such that  $A'(\sigma, \tau) = 0$  for every  $\sigma, \tau \in S$ .

LEMMA.  $v$  being any fixed element in  $G$ ,  $A(v)A(v^{-1}sv, v^{-1}tv)$  is a factor set, which is equivalent to  $A(s, t)$ .

This lemma can be easily verified, if we choose  $g'_s = g_v g_{v^{-1}sv} g_v^{-1}$  as the representative of the coset  $g_s H$  in place of  $g_s$ . From this lemma we have readily

THEOREM 1. If  $A(s, t)$  splits relative to  $S$ , then it splits also relative to any conjugate subgroup  $v^{-1}Sv$  of  $S$ .

THEOREM 2. Let  $S$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$ . If  $A(s, t)$  splits relative to  $S$ , then it splits relative to  $G$ . Two factor sets are equivalent to each other, if their difference splits relative to  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t_i S$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , be all left cosets of  $S$  in  $G$ . We can assume that  $A(\sigma, \tau) = 0$  for every  $\sigma, \tau \in S$  and  $A(t_i, \sigma) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , for every  $\sigma \in S$ , if we put  $g_{t_i \sigma} = g_{t_i} g_\sigma$ . Since we have from (1)  $A(s, \sigma) = 0$  for every  $\sigma \in S$  and  $s \in G$ , it follows  $A(s, t) = A(s, t\sigma)$  from (1). If we put

$$B(u) = \sum_{i=1}^r A(u, t_i)$$

for every  $u \in G$ , then  $B(u)$  is determined uniquely irrespective of the choice of the representatives  $t_i$  in the cosets  $t_i S$ . Then we have from (1)

$$B(u) - B(uv) + A(u)B(v) = rA(u, v)$$

for every  $u, v \in G$ . Since the index  $r$  of  $S$  is prime to  $p$ ,  $A(u, v)$  splits relative to  $G$ .

By this theorem we see that the extension  $\bar{G}$  is completely determined by the representation  $A$  and the part of the factor set for a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup  $S$ . When in particular the order of  $G$  is prime to  $p$ , then  $\bar{G}$  is determined completely by  $A$ . Next we consider the case, where  $A$  is irreducible. This means that the

$G$ -module  $H$  is irreducible, i.e.  $H$  has no proper subgroup, which is an invariant subgroup of  $\bar{G}$ . In this case the extension  $\bar{G}$  is called *irreducible*. When  $\bar{G}$  is not irreducible, it can be obtained by repeating irreducible extensions. In fact, choose an irreducible  $G$ -submodule  $H_1$  of  $H$ . Then  $\bar{G}$  becomes an irreducible extension of  $H_1$  by  $\bar{G}/H_1$  and  $\bar{G}/H_1$  an extension of  $H/H_1$  by  $G$ , and so forth. Now let  $\bar{S}$  be the subgroup of  $\bar{G}$ , which corresponds to  $S$  in the natural homomorphism  $\bar{G} \rightarrow G$ . By a theorem on finite groups it follows that the intersection of  $H$  and the center of  $\bar{S}$  has a vector, which is different from zero, since  $\bar{S}$  is a  $p$ -group. Consequently there exists  $h \neq 0$  in  $H$ , such that  $\sigma h = h$  for every  $\sigma \in \bar{S}$ . The submodule of  $H$ , which is generated by  $t_i h, i = 1, \dots, r$ , is a  $G$ -module and hence is identified with  $H$ , since  $H$  is an irreducible  $G$ -module. Then we can assume that  $t_1 h, \dots, t_n h$  form a basis of  $H$ , where  $n \leq r$ . If in particular  $S$  is invariant, then  $H$  becomes a  $G/S$ -module and yields an irreducible representation  $A$  of the factor group  $G/S$ .

Every element  $u \in G$  induces a permutation of all left cosets  $t_i S$  with  $ut_i S = t_{i(u)} S$  and hence a permutation  $i \rightarrow i(u)$  of indices  $i$  with  $i(uv) = i(v)(u)$ . Let the matrix  $A_0(u) = (\lambda_{ij}(u))$  be determined such that  $\lambda_{ij}(u) = 1$ , if  $i = j(u)$ , and  $\lambda_{ij}(u) = 0$ , if  $i \neq j(u)$ . Then  $A_0(u)$  yields a representation  $A_0$  of  $G$ , which is induced by the identical representation of  $S$ . If in particular  $S$  is invariant, then  $A_0$  is the regular representation of the factor group  $G/S$ . We can assume that  $t_1$  is the identity of  $G$  and, putting

$$h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$h_i = t_i h_1 = A_0(t_i) h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote with  $H_0$  the  $G$ -module, which is generated by  $h_i, i = 1, \dots, r$ . An extension  $\bar{G}_0$  of  $H_0$  by  $G$  with the representation  $A_0$  shall be called *regular*. The following theorem asserts that every irreducible extension can be obtained by means of a certain regular extension, if  $S$  is invariant.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\bar{G}$  be an irreducible extension of  $H$  by  $G$ . If the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup  $S$  of  $G$  is invariant, then there exists a regular extension  $\bar{G}_0$  of  $H_0$  by  $G$  and a submodule  $\bar{H}$  of  $H_0$ , such that  $\bar{G}$  is isomorphic with  $\bar{G}_0/\bar{H}$  and  $H$  corresponds to  $H_0/\bar{H}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the order of  $G/S$  is prime to  $p$ , its regular representation  $A_0$

is completely reducible. There exists a submodule  $H_1$  of  $H_0$  with  $H_0 = H_1 + H_2$ , such that  $H$  is operator-isomorphic with  $H_1$ . If  $A$  is the irreducible representation of  $G$  by  $H$ , then we have

$$DA_0D^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $A(s, t)$  be the factor set of the extension  $\bar{G}$ . Putting

$$A(s, t) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(s, t) \\ \vdots \\ a_n(s, t) \end{pmatrix},$$

we consider the  $r$ -dimensional vector

$$\bar{A}(s, t) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(s, t) \\ \vdots \\ a_n(s, t) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then this becomes a factor set for the representation  $DA_0D^{-1}$  and yields a regular extension  $\bar{G}_0$  of  $H_0$  by  $G$ . The factor group  $\bar{G}_0/H_2$  is now an extension of  $H_0/H_2$  by  $G$ , where  $H_0/H_2$  is isomorphic with  $H$ . Its factor set can be identified with  $A(s, t)$ , the representation being  $A$ . Hence  $\bar{G}$  is isomorphic with  $\bar{G}_0/H_2$  and  $H$  corresponds to  $H_0/H_2$ .

If  $S$  is invariant, then  $A(\sigma)$  is the unit matrix for every  $\sigma \in S$ . Hence every component  $a(\sigma, \tau)$  of the factor set  $A(\sigma, \tau)$  for an irreducible extension satisfies the relation

$$(2) \quad a(\sigma, \tau) + a(\sigma\tau, \varphi) = a(\sigma, \tau\varphi) + a(\tau, \varphi)$$

for  $\sigma, \tau, \varphi \in S$ . This is also satisfied by every component of the factor set for a regular extension, since  $A_0(\sigma)$  is the unit matrix for  $\sigma \in S$ . From the preceding lemma we have

$$A_0(t_i)A(t_i^{-1}\sigma t_i, t_i^{-1}\tau t_i) = A(\sigma, \tau) + B(\sigma, i) - B(\sigma\tau, i) + A_0(\sigma)B(\tau, i).$$

If we consider only the  $i$ -th components, then this implies

$$a_1(t_i^{-1}\sigma t_i, t_i^{-1}\tau t_i) = a_i(\sigma, \tau) + b_i(\sigma, i) - b_i(\sigma\tau, i) + b_i(\tau, i).$$

Now, putting

$$A'(\sigma, \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(t_1^{-1}\sigma t_1, t_1^{-1}\tau t_1) \\ \vdots \\ a_1(t_r^{-1}\sigma t_r, t_r^{-1}\tau t_r) \end{pmatrix}, \quad B'(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1(\sigma, 1) \\ \vdots \\ b_r(\sigma, r) \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$A'(\sigma, \tau) = A(\sigma, \tau) + B'(\sigma) - B'(\sigma\tau) + A_0(\sigma)B'(\tau)$$

for  $\sigma, \tau \in S$ . If we choose  $B'(s)$  arbitrarily, when  $s$  does not belong to  $S$ , then we can extend  $A'(\sigma, \tau)$  to a factor set  $A'(s, t)$ , which is equivalent to  $A(s, t)$  by theorem 2, such that

$$A'(s, t) = A(s, t) + B'(s) - B'(st) + A_0(s)B'(t).$$

The vectors  $A'(\sigma, \tau)$  can be determined only by the values of the first components  $a_1(\sigma, \tau)$  of  $A(\sigma, \tau)$  for all  $\sigma, \tau \in S$ . The set of values  $a_1(\sigma, \tau)$  is called *the fundamental component* of the factor set for the regular extension and denoted with  $a(\sigma, \tau)$  in place of  $a_1(\sigma, \tau)$ . We say that two fundamental components  $a(\sigma, \tau)$  and  $a'(\sigma, \tau)$  are *equivalent*, if there exist integers  $b(\sigma) \pmod{p}$  such that

$$a'(\sigma, \tau) = a(\sigma, \tau) + b(\sigma) - b(\sigma\tau) + b(\tau)$$

for all  $\sigma, \tau \in S$ . Two fundamental components yield a same regular extension up to isomorphism, if and only if they are equivalent. We suppose that it holds

$$DA_0D^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 A_2 \cdots 0 \\ \vdots \vdots \vdots \\ 0 0 \cdots A_m \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_i$  are irreducible. Then the factor set  $DA'(\sigma, \tau)$  decomposes into  $A_i(\sigma, \tau)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , where  $A_i(\sigma, \tau)$  is referred to  $A_i$  respectively. We observe that the fundamental component  $a(\sigma, \tau)$  of a regular extension is a linear combination of components of factor sets of all irreducible extensions, which can be obtained from the regular extension. Conversely every such irreducible extension is completely determined by  $A_i$  and  $a(\sigma, \tau)$ . We say that each irreducible extension, which can be obtained by  $a(\sigma, \tau)$ , is referred to  $a(\sigma, \tau)$ .

We shall now pass to the imbedding of a normal extension  $K$  over  $k$ , whose Galois group is  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the subfield of  $K$ , which corresponds to the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup  $S$  of  $G$ . We assume that  $k$  contains a primitive  $p$ -th root  $\zeta$  of unity. If  $a(\sigma, \tau)$  is a fundamental component of the factor set for a regular extension, then the  $a(\sigma, \tau)$ -th powers of  $\zeta$  become a factor set with respect to  $S$  and  $K$  by virtue of (2). If  $a(\sigma, \tau)$  and  $a'(\sigma, \tau)$  are equivalent, then they yield associated factor sets with respect to  $S$  and  $K$ . If there exists  $\xi_\sigma \in K$  such that the  $a(\sigma, \tau)$ -th power of  $\zeta$  is equal to  $\sigma(\xi_\tau)\xi_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}\xi_\sigma$  for all  $\sigma, \tau$  from  $S$ , then we say that it splits.

THEOREM 4. *Suppose that  $k$  contains a primitive  $p$ -th root  $\zeta$  of unity and the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup  $S$  of  $G$  is invariant. The necessary and sufficient condition, under which the imbedding of  $K$  for every irreducible extension by  $G$  referred to a fundamental component  $a(\sigma, \tau)$  is possible, is that the factor set  $\zeta^{a(\sigma, \tau)}$  with respect to  $S$  and  $K$  splits.*

First we shall prove that the condition is necessary. Let  $\bar{G}$  be an irreducible extension of  $H$  by  $G$  with the fundamental component  $a(\sigma, \tau)$  and the Galois group of  $L$  over  $k$  be  $\bar{G}$ , where  $K$  corresponds to  $H$ . We choose  $h \in H$  such that  $t_1h, \dots, t_nh$  constitute a basis of  $H$ , where  $\sigma h = h$  for all  $\sigma \in S$ . To the subgroup  $H_i$  of  $H$ , which is generated by all elements of the basis except  $t_ih$ , corresponds a subfield  $L_i = K(\sqrt[p]{\alpha_i})$  of  $L$  with  $\alpha_i \in K$ . We can assume that  $t_ih$  induces the automorphism of  $L_i$  with  $\sqrt[p]{\alpha_i} \rightarrow \zeta \sqrt[p]{\alpha_i}$ . An automorphism  $g_\sigma$  of  $L$  over  $k$  induces  $\beta \rightarrow \sigma(\beta)$  for  $\beta \in K$ . Since  $H_i$  is an invariant subgroup of  $\bar{S}$ , the field  $L_i$  is normal over  $\Omega$ . Hence we have  $g_\sigma(\sqrt[p]{\alpha_i}) = \sqrt[p]{\alpha_i} \xi_\sigma$  with  $\xi_\sigma \in K$ . Now let  $g_\sigma g_\tau = A(\sigma, \tau) g_\sigma$  with  $A(\sigma, \tau) \in H$  and  $a_i(\sigma, \tau)$  be the  $i$ -th component of  $A(\sigma, \tau)$ . Then the automorphism  $A(\sigma, \tau)$  induces

$$\sqrt[p]{\alpha_i} \rightarrow \zeta^{a_i(\sigma, \tau)} \sqrt[p]{\alpha_i}.$$

It follows then from  $g_\sigma g_\tau(\sqrt[p]{\alpha_i}) = A(\sigma, \tau) g_\sigma(\sqrt[p]{\alpha_i})$  the relation

$$\sqrt[p]{\alpha_i} \xi_\sigma \cdot \sigma(\xi_\tau) = \zeta^{a_i(\sigma, \tau)} \sqrt[p]{\alpha_i} \xi_{\sigma\tau}.$$

Hence the  $a_i(\sigma, \tau)$ -th power of  $\zeta$  splits. Since  $a(\sigma, \tau)$  is a linear combination of all components  $a_i(\sigma, \tau)$  for all irreducible extensions, which are referred to  $a(\sigma, \tau)$ , we can readily see that the  $a(\sigma, \tau)$ -th power of  $\zeta$  splits.

Next we prove that the condition is sufficient. By Speiser's theorem we have  $\xi_\sigma^p = \alpha^{\sigma^{-1}}$  with  $\alpha \in K$  for all  $\sigma \in S$ . We choose a prime ideal  $q$  in  $\Omega$  with degree one, such that  $q$  is prime to all conjugates of  $\alpha$  and does not ramify in  $K$ . Choose a number  $c$  in  $\Omega$  under following conditions: (1)  $c$  is divisible by  $q$  and not divisible by the square of  $q$ , (2)  $c$  is prime to all conjugate prime ideals of  $q$  except  $q$ . Putting  $\alpha c = \beta$  we have  $\beta^{\sigma^{-1}} = \xi_\sigma^p$ . We put  $\beta_i = t_i(\beta)$  and  $\gamma = \prod \beta_i^{c_i}$ , where  $c_i$  are rational integers. Then  $\gamma$  becomes a  $p$ -th power of a number in  $K$ , if and only if all  $c_i$  are divisible by  $p$ . Now let  $L$  be a field generated over  $K$  by adjoining all numbers  $\sqrt[p]{\beta_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The extension  $L$  is normal over  $k$  and abelian over  $K$  with the Galois group  $H_0$ , which is abelian of type  $(p, \dots, p)$  and of rank  $r$ .  $H_0$  has a basis  $h_1, \dots, h_r$ , where  $h_i$  induces the automorphism  $\sqrt[p]{\beta_i} \rightarrow \zeta \sqrt[p]{\beta_i}$  and makes invariant all  $\sqrt[p]{\beta_j}$  for  $j \neq i$ . If  $ut_i = t_{i(u)}\varphi$  for  $u \in G$  with  $\varphi \in S$ , we choose the automorphism  $g_u$  of  $L/k$  with

$$\sqrt[p]{\beta_i} \rightarrow t_{i(u)}(\xi_\varphi) \sqrt[p]{\beta_{i(u)}}.$$

Then we can readily see that it holds  $g_u h_i g_u^{-1} = h_{i(u)}$  and hence  $H_0$  yields the

representation  $A_0$ . Also it is easily verified that we obtain  $g_\sigma g_\tau = A(\sigma, \tau) g_{\sigma\tau}$ , where  $A(\sigma, \tau)$  is a product of  $a(t_i^{-1}\sigma t_i, t_i^{-1}\tau t_i)$ -th powers of  $h_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Therefore the Galois group of  $L$  over  $k$  is the regular extension of  $H_0$  by  $G$  with the fundamental component  $a(\sigma, \tau)$ . The imbedding is now possible for every irreducible extension referred to  $a(\sigma, \tau)$  by Galois theory and theorem 3.

**COROLLARY.** *If the order of  $G$  is prime to  $p$  and  $k$  contains a primitive  $p$ -th root  $\zeta$  of unity, then the imbedding of  $K$  is possible for every irreducible extension of  $H$  by  $G$ .*

The case, where a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$  is not invariant, is rather complicated and seems difficult to obtain a simple condition, under which the imbedding is possible.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Brauer, Über die Konstruktion der Schiefkörper, die von endlichem Rang in bezug auf ein gegebenes Zentrum sind. *J. reine angew. Math.* **168** (1932).
- [2] H. Reichardt, Konstruktion von Zahlkörpern mit gegebener Galoisgruppe von Primzahlpotenzordnung. *J. reine angew. Math.* **177** (1937).
- [3] H. Richter, Über die Lösbarkeit einiger nicht-abelscher Einbettungsprobleme, *Math. Ann.* **112** (1936).
- [4] A. Scholz, Über die Bildung algebraischer Zahlkörper mit auflösbarer Galoisscher Gruppe, *Math. Z.* **30** (1929).
- [5] A. Scholz, Reduktion der Konstruktion von Körpern mit zweistufiger metabelscher Gruppe, *Heidelberger Akad. Sitzungsber.* (1929).
- [6] A. Scholz, Konstruktion algebraischer Zahlkörper beliebiger Gruppe von Primzahlpotenzordnung I, *Math. Z.* **42** (1937).
- [7] T. Tannaka, Über die Konstruktion der galoischen Körper mit vorgegebener  $p$ -Gruppe, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **43** (1937).
- [8] H. Zassenhaus, *Lehrbuch der Gruppentheorie.*

*Mathematical Institute,  
Ochanomizu University*