

ON A q -ANALOGUE OF THE LOG- Γ -FUNCTION

HIROFUMI TSUMURA

0. Introduction

For complex numbers q and u , Carlitz defined the q -Bernoulli numbers $\{\beta_k(q)\}$ and the q -Euler numbers $\{H_k(u, q)\}$ associated to u by

$$\beta_0(q) = 1, \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} q^{j+1} \beta_j(q) - \beta_k(q) = \begin{cases} 1 & (k = 1) \\ 0 & (k \geq 2) \end{cases}$$

and

$$H_0(u, q) = 1, \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} q^j H_j(u, q) - u H_k(u, q) = 0 \quad (k \geq 1).$$

(See [2]). Note that if $q \rightarrow 1$, then $\beta_k(q) \rightarrow B_k$ and $H_k(u, q) \rightarrow H_k(u)$ where $\{B_k\}$ and $\{H_k(u)\}$ are the ordinary Bernoulli and Euler numbers defined by

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \frac{t^k}{k!},$$

and

$$\frac{1 - u}{e^t - u} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k(u) \frac{t^k}{k!}.$$

In [4], Kobitz constructed a q -analogue of the p -adic L -function $L_{p,q}(s, \chi)$ which interpolated the q -Bernoulli numbers at non positive integers, and suggested the following two problems.

- (1) Are there complex analytic q - L -series which $L_{p,q}(s, \chi)$ can be viewed as interpolating, in the same way that $L_p(s, \chi)$ interpolates $L(s, \chi)$?
- (2) Do Carlitz's $\beta_k(q)$ occur in the coefficients of some Stirling type series for p -adic or complex analytic q -log- Γ -functions?

Received December 14, 1992.

In [5], Satoh gave an answer to the problem (1). Namely he constructed a q - L -series $L_q(s, \chi)$ which interpolated the generalized q -Bernoulli numbers defined in [4]. An answer to the problem (2) in the p -adic case was given by the author in [7]. But the problem (2) in the complex case is unsolved.

In the present paper, we give an answer to the problem (2) in the complex case. In §1, we construct a locally analytic function $g(x, u, q)$ in which the q -Euler numbers occur as the coefficients of the Stirling expansion. In §2, we construct a locally analytic function $G(x, q)$ in which the q -Bernoulli numbers occur as the coefficients of the Stirling expansion. In §3, we calculate the values of $L_q(s, \chi)$ at positive integers by using $G(x, q)$. The result is a q -analogue of the classical relation between the Dirichlet L -series and the \log - Γ -function. So we can regard $G(x, q)$ as the q - \log - Γ -function which the above problem (2) in the complex case requires.

1. The function $g(x, u, q)$

Let \mathbf{Z} , \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{C} be the sets of rational integers, real numbers and complex numbers. Let q be a complex number with $|q| < 1$, and u be a complex number with $|u| > 1$. For a complex number z , we use the notation $[z] = [z; q] = (1 - q^z)/(1 - q)$. Note that

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n] = \frac{1}{1 - q}.$$

Let

$$(1.2) \quad l(s, u, q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u^{-n}}{[n]^s},$$

for $s \in \mathbf{C}$.

LEMMA 1 (Satoh). $l(s, u, q)$ is analytic in the whole complex plane. For $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $k \geq 0$,

$$l(-k, u, q) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{u-1} & (k=0) \\ \frac{u}{u-1} H_k(u, q) & (k \geq 1) \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [5].

Now we define the function

$$(1.3) \quad g(x, u, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^{-n} (x + [n]) \log(x + [n]).$$

By the condition $|u| > 1$, we can see that $g(x, u, q)$ is a locally analytic function.

PROPOSITION 1. For $x \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|x| > 1/(1 - |q|)^2$,

$$g(x, u, q) = \frac{u}{u-1} \left\{ x \log x + \frac{1}{u-q} (\log x + 1) \right\} + \frac{u}{u-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k(k+1)} H_{k+1}(u, q) \frac{1}{x^k}.$$

Proof. By (1.3),

$$(1.4) \quad g(x, u, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^{-n} (x + [n]) \log x + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^{-n} (x + [n]) \log \left(1 + \frac{[n]}{x} \right).$$

By Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^{-n} [n] &= \frac{u}{u-1} H_1(u, q) \\ &= \frac{u}{u-1} \frac{1}{u-q}. \end{aligned}$$

So the first term of (1.4) can be calculated and equals

$$\frac{u}{u-1} \left\{ x \log x + \frac{1}{u-q} \log x \right\}.$$

On the other hand, by using the series expansion formula

$$\log(1 + z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} z^k}{k},$$

the second term of (1.4) equals

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^{-n} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \frac{[n]^k}{x^{k-1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \frac{[n]^{k+1}}{x^k} \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k(k+1)} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^{-n} [n]^{k+1} \right\} \frac{1}{x^k} + \frac{u}{u-1} \frac{1}{u-q}.$$

Note that $|[n]| < 1/(1 - |q|)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. So the equation (1.5) holds for x with $|x| > 1/(1 - |q|)^2$. By Lemma 1, we have the assertion.

COROLLARY 1. For $x \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|x| > 1/(1 - |q|)^2$,

$$g'(x, u, q) = \frac{u}{u-1} (\log x + 1) + \frac{u}{u-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} H_k(u, q) \frac{1}{x^k},$$

where $g'(x, u, q)$ is the derivative $\frac{d}{dx} g(x, u, q)$.

2. The function $G(x, q)$

In [5], the q -Riemann ζ -function was defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \zeta_q(s) = \frac{2-s}{s-1} (1-q) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{[n]^{s-1}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{[n]^s}.$$

LEMMA 2 (Sato). $\zeta_q(s)$ is analytic in the whole complex plane. For $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $k \geq 1$,

$$\zeta_q(1-k) = \begin{cases} q\beta_1(q) & (k=1) \\ -\frac{\beta_k(q)}{k} & (k \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [5].

By Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and (2.1), we obtain the relation

$$(2.2) \quad -\frac{\beta_k(q)}{k} = -\frac{k+1}{k} H_k(q^{-1}, q) + \frac{1}{1-q} H_{k-1}(q^{-1}, q)$$

for $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $k \geq 2$.

Now we define the function $G(x, q)$ by

$$(2.3) \quad G(x, q) = (qx - x - 1)g'(x, q^{-1}, q) + 2(1-q)g(x, q^{-1}, q) + \frac{1}{1+q}.$$

PROPOSITION 2. For $x \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|x| > 1/(1 - |q|)^2$,

$$G(x, q) = \left(x - \frac{1}{1+q}\right) \log x - x + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k(k+1)} \beta_{k+1}(q) \frac{1}{x^k}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 1 and Corollary 1, the left hand side of (2.3) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(q-1)x - 1\} \frac{1}{1-q} (\log x + 1) + 2\left(x \log x + \frac{q}{1-q^2} (\log x + 1)\right) + \frac{1}{1+q} \\ & + \{(q-1)x - 1\} \frac{1}{1-q} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} H_k(q^{-1}, q) \frac{1}{x^k} \\ & + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k(k+1)} H_{k+1}(q^{-1}, q) \frac{1}{x^k} \\ & = \left(x - \frac{1}{1+q}\right) \log x - x + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \left\{ \frac{k+2}{k+1} H_{k+1}(q^{-1}, q) - \frac{1}{1-q} H_k(q^{-1}, q) \right\} \frac{1}{x^k}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2), we have the assertion.

Remark. The formula in Proposition 2 is a q -analogue of the classical asymptotic series (see [9]).

$$\log \frac{\Gamma(x)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sim \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log x - x + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k(k+1)} B_{k+1} \frac{1}{x^k},$$

where B_n is the ordinary Bernoulli number.

3. Values of $L_q(s, \chi)$

For $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $r \geq 1$ and a function $f(x)$, let $f^{(r)}(x)$ be the r -th derivative $\frac{d^r}{dx^r} f(x)$.

LEMMA 3. For $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $r \geq 2$,

$$\frac{(-1)^r}{(r-2)!} g^{(r)}(x, u, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{-n}}{(x + [n])^{r-1}}.$$

Proof. We can see that

$$g^{(1)}(x, u, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^{-n} \{ \log(x + [n]) + 1 \},$$

and

$$g^{(2)}(x, u, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{-n}}{(x + [n])}.$$

Inductively we can see that

$$g^{(r)}(x, u, q) = (-1)^r (r - 2)! \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{-n}}{(x + [n])^{r-1}}$$

for $r \geq 2$. So we have the assertion.

The q - L -series was defined by

$$(3.1) \quad L_q(s, \chi) = \frac{2 - s}{s - 1} (1 - q) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n \chi(n)}{[n]^{s-1}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n \chi(n)}{[n]^s},$$

where χ is a primitive Dirichlet character with conductor f . $L_q(s, \chi)$ interpolates the generalized q -Bernoulli numbers $\beta_{n,\chi}(q)$ (defined in [4]) at non positive integers. So we can regard $L_q(s, \chi)$ as the function which the Koblitz problem (1) requires (see [4],[5]).

Now we evaluate the values of $L_q(s, \chi)$ at positive integers.

LEMMA 4. For $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $k \geq 2$,

$$L_q(k, \chi) = \frac{2 - k}{(k - 1)!} (1 - q) \frac{(-1)^k}{[f]^{k-1}} \sum_{a=1}^f q^{a(2-k)} \chi(a) g^{(k)}\left(q^{-a} \frac{[a]}{[f]}, q^{-f}, q^f\right) \\ + \frac{1}{(k - 1)!} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{[f]^k} \sum_{a=1}^f q^{a(1-k)} \chi(a) g^{(k+1)}\left(q^{-a} \frac{[a]}{[f]}, q^{-f}, q^f\right)$$

Proof. By (3.1)

$$(3.2) \quad L_q(k, \chi) = \frac{2 - k}{k - 1} (1 - q) \sum_{a=1}^f \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{a+fj} \chi(a + fj)}{[a + fj]^{k-1}} \\ + \sum_{a=1}^f \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{a+fj} \chi(a + fj)}{[a + fj]^k}.$$

By using the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
 [a + fj] &= \frac{1 - q^{a+fj}}{1 - q} = \frac{1 - q^a}{1 - q} + q^a \frac{1 - q^f}{1 - q} \frac{1 - q^{fj}}{1 - q^f} \\
 &= [a] + q^a [f][j; q^f],
 \end{aligned}$$

the first term of (3.2) equals

$$\frac{2 - k}{k - 1} (1 - q) \frac{1}{[f]^{k-1}} \sum_{a=1}^f q^{a(2-k)} \chi(a) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{fj}}{\left(q^{-a} \frac{[a]}{[f]} + [j; q^f] \right)^{k-1}},$$

and the second term of (3.2) equals

$$\frac{1}{[f]^k} \sum_{a=1}^f q^{a(1-k)} \chi(a) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{fj}}{\left(q^{-a} \frac{[a]}{[f]} + [j; q^f] \right)^k}.$$

By Lemma 3, we have the assertion.

PROPOSITION 3. For $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $k \geq 2$,

$$L_q(k, \chi) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-1)!} \frac{1}{[f]^k} \sum_{a=1}^f q^{a(2-k)} \chi(a) G^{(k)} \left(q^{-a} \frac{[a]}{[f]}, q^f \right).$$

Proof. By (2.3), we can inductively see that

$$(3.3) \quad G^{(k)}(x, q) = (qx - x - 1)g^{(k+1)}(x, q^{-1}, q) + (1 - q)(2 - k)g^{(k)}(x, q^{-1}, q)$$

for $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $k \geq 2$. So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 G^{(k)} \left(q^{-a} \frac{[a]}{[f]}, q^f \right) &= \left\{ (q^f - 1)q^{-a} \frac{1 - q^a}{1 - q^f} - 1 \right\} g^{(k+1)} \left(q^{-a} \frac{[a]}{[f]}, q^{-f}, q^f \right) \\
 &\quad + (1 - q^f)(2 - k)g^{(k)} \left(q^{-a} \frac{[a]}{[f]}, q^{-f}, q^f \right) \\
 &= -q^{-a} g^{(k+1)} \left(q^{-a} \frac{[a]}{[f]}, q^{-f}, q^f \right) + [f](1 - q)(2 - k)g^{(k)} \left(q^{-a} \frac{[a]}{[f]}, q^{-f}, q^f \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_q(k, \chi) &= \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-1)!} \frac{1}{[f]^k} \sum_{a=1}^f q^{a(2-k)} \chi(a) \\
 &\times \left\{ [f](1 - q)(2 - k)g^{(k)} \left(q^{-a} \frac{[a]}{[f]}, q^{-f}, q^f \right) - q^{-a} g^{(k+1)} \left(q^{-a} \frac{[a]}{[f]}, q^{-f}, q^f \right) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

So we have the assertion.

Remark. By Lemma 3 and (3.3), we can see that

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-1)!} G^{(k)}(x, q) = \frac{2-k}{k-1} (1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{(x+[n])^{k-1}} \\ + (1+x-qx) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{(x+[n])^k}$$

for $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $k \geq 2$. This relation is a q -analogue of the classical one

$$\frac{(-1)^k}{(k-1)!} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \log \Gamma(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+n)^k}.$$

By considering the result in Proposition 2, Proposition 3 and (3.4), we can regard $G(x, q)$ as the q -log- Γ -function which the Koblitz problem (2) in the complex case requires.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Askey, The q -gamma and q -beta functions, *Applicable Anal.*, **8** (1978), 125–141.
- [2] L. Carlitz, q -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials, *Duke Math. J.*, **15** (1948), 987–1000.
- [3] —, q -Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **76** (1954), 332–350.
- [4] N. Koblitz, On Carlitz's q -Bernoulli numbers, *J. Number Theory*, **14** (1982), 332–339.
- [5] J. Satoh, q -analogue of Riemann's ζ -function and q -Euler numbers, *J. Number Theory*, **31** (1989), 346–362.
- [6] —, A construction of q -analogue of Dedekind sums, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **127** (1992), 129–143.
- [7] H. Tsumura, On the values of a q -analogue of the p -adic L -function, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ.*, **44** (1990), 49–60.
- [8] —, A note on q -analogues of the Dirichlet series and q -Bernoulli numbers, *J. Number Theory*, **39** (1991), 251–256.
- [9] E. Whittaker and G. Watson, *A course of modern analysis*, 4-th ed., Cambridge Univ. Press: Cambridge, 1958.

Aoyama Gakuin High School
 4-4-25 Shibuya Shibuya-ku, Tokyo 150
 Japan