

the role of policing in mental health service provision. Data were collated and presented in a local QIP showcase.

Results. A significant split was identified between answers to open-ended and closed questions. When offering Likert based responses, 66% of participants felt safe with the police and believed that the police had a role in keeping people with mental health problems safe; 50% felt the police role should be greater in the future.

When responding to discussion-based questions, participants were critical of policing in relation to managing mental crises. Participants offered elucidative answers covering themes ranging from feeling a lack of agency, and the traumatic nature of criminalising mental distress, to concerns about abuse of power, the desire to limit the policing role to criminality and lack of trust engendered from experiences of racial injustice.

Conclusion. Our results demonstrate that patient views on policing roles in mental health service provision are complex. The experiences of involuntary admission through the police are often traumatic, rooted in past police involvement in patient's lives. Although it is acknowledged that at times no feasible alternative is available in hostile situations, this QIP opened an important, previously avoided, discussion. This will hopefully lead to introduction of more trauma informed care in an inpatient setting.

Reducing the Pressure on Mental Health Team by Improving Post-Discharge Follow-Up of Self-Harm or Suicidal Patients in Primary Care

Dr Nafiz Imtiaz* and Dr John McLaughlin

Oakleaf Medical Practice, Londonderry, United Kingdom

*Presenting author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2022.307

Aims. Northern Ireland has had the highest suicide and self-harm rate in the UK since 2012 according to National Statistics Office with 12.5 deaths per 100,000 population compared to 10.5 in the rest of the country. Evidence shows that the risk of suicide hugely increases following self-harm, and the greatest risk is immediately after the self-harm episode. Better access to health care, especially to primary care, in this period, can actively reduce the risk to this vulnerable patient group. Patients assessed for self-harm in the emergency department are often followed up by the mental health/crisis team. Due to lack of resources and staff shortages this is often not possible in a timely fashion. NICE suggests that patients should be offered a follow-up appointment in primary care within 48 hours of discharge. We aimed to ensure 70% of patients discharged from secondary care following an episode of suicidal ideation or self-harm are contacted proactively by mental health practitioner (MHP) or GP within 48 hours of communication from secondary care.

Methods. The project underwent two PDSA cycles. An electronic workflow was created to provide easy patient identification, assessment and follow-up. A process mapping was done after discussion with the GPs, administrative team, practice nurses and MHP. Outcome was measured by finding out percentage of patients: 1) Contacted within 48 hours of communication following an episode of self-harm 2) Appropriately coded 3) Comprehensively assessed 4) Risk stratified and minimized following each cycle.

Results. Over a period of three months, following two PDSA cycles, the frequency of these contacts increased from 0 to 80% (median) with an average 3.8 (83%) patients reviewed per week.

The patient experience and satisfaction also improved significantly.

Conclusion. General practice (GP) has long been known as the next of kin for patients in the health care system. As GP is mostly the first point of contact for the patients, it can contribute significantly to ease the rising pressure on the mental health team. Also, a small number of weekly contacts from each GP can make a huge difference in nationwide patient safety and experience. We hope this intervention will significantly improve patient safety and reduce further self-harm presentation to ED in the long run.

Evaluation of a Trauma Pathway Within an Increasing Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) Service

Dr Grace Jell^{1*}, Dr Diane Kohl², Dr Alison Salvadori¹, Ms Annabel Beasley³, Dr Tai Ken Ting^{3,4}, Dr Henry Collier^{3,5}, Dr Shruti Dholakia³, Dr Verity Bushell^{3,6}, Dr Vijay Gill⁷ and Dr Katherine Beck³

¹Berkshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Reading, United Kingdom;

²Berkshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Maidenhead, United Kingdom;

³33N, London, United Kingdom;

⁴Imperial College Hospital NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom;

⁵Northern Care Alliance NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, United Kingdom;

⁶Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom and

⁷South West London and St Georges Mental Health NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom

*Presenting author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2022.308

Aims. The Enhanced Trauma Pathway (ETP) at Berkshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust was established in 2018 to manage high demand on a highly specialist psychology team called the Berkshire Traumatic Stress Service (BTSS). The ETP is used to treat complicated cases of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) within the IAPT service. However, because of the ETP there is now a cohort of Service Users (SUs) presenting to IAPT with a higher complexity than has been typical, presenting new challenges for the service. We aim to evaluate and redesign the ETP within IAPT to meet the needs of the changing population.

Methods. Clinically Led workforce and Activity Redesign (CLEAR) is a workforce transformation methodology with four unique stages: i) Clinical Engagement: in-depth qualitative analysis of interview data from staff ii) Data Interrogation: cohort analysis using clinical and workforce data visualisations and analysis, iii) Innovation: developing novel solutions with insights from triangulated qualitative and quantitative data, iv) Recommendations: formulation of new models of care (NMOC) and smaller quick high impact service innovations. Thematic analysis was used for the qualitative data. Quantitative data analysis was conducted using the IAPT dataset.

Results. 27 semi-structured interviews were conducted with staff. SUs on the ETP had longer waiting times, their treatment took longer (18 sessions for ETP Vs 12 for core step 3) and they had lower recovery rates: 32.9% for ETP, 49.9% for core step 3 in IAPT and 57.3% for the whole IAPT service. SUs on the ETP presented with increased risk concerns, often not mitigated by stabilisation work offered. Thematic analysis also identified challenges with recruitment, a lack of qualified staff and inefficient use of skills across the pathway. Staff well-being was found to be paramount, however supporting staff was found to be challenging due to national constraints placed upon IAPT and the targets