

CONTINUOUS ERGODIC EXTENSIONS AND FIBRE BUNDLES

ROBERT J. ZIMMER

1. Introduction. If a locally compact group G acts as a measure preserving transformation group on a Lebesgue space X , then there is a naturally induced unitary representation of G on $L^2(X)$, and one can study the action on X by means of this representation. The situation in which the representation has discrete spectrum (i.e., is the direct sum of finite dimensional representations) and the action is ergodic was examined by von Neumann and Halmos when G is the integers or the real line [7], and by Mackey for general non-abelian G [10]. This theory was generalized to the case of extensions of ergodic actions by the author in [11] and [12]. There we consider a pair of ergodic G -spaces X and Y with an equivariant measure preserving map between them. Then $L^2(X)$ becomes a G -Hilbert bundle over Y , and we say that X has *relatively discrete spectrum over Y* if $L^2(X)$ is a direct sum of finite dimensional G -invariant subbundles. Theorems 4.3, 6.2, 6.4 of [11] are the generalization to extensions of the main von Neumann-Halmos-Mackey theorems, and imply the latter when Y is taken to be a point.

In all these considerations, one is dealing solely with measure spaces and Borel isomorphisms. If, in addition, the spaces involved have a topological structure and the finite dimensional G -invariant subspaces (or subbundles) consist of continuous functions, it is natural to inquire as to what extent the measure-theoretic theory will actually hold in a topological category. For a single G -space X , this situation is essentially understood, and it is the aim of this paper to examine this question for extensions. Our main result will be a topological version of the structure theorem [11, Theorem 4.3] of the measure theoretic theory. The latter maintains that any extension with relatively discrete spectrum is (Borel) isomorphic to a type of skew product action in a product space. In the topological category, one would like to conclude that an extension should be (topologically) isomorphic to a certain type of action in a fibre bundle, which we call a homogeneous extension. (See Section 2 for detailed definitions.) This however is not true, but we do show, at least in the case in which Y is minimal under G , that the extensions in question are inverse limits of homogeneous extensions. Furthermore, we identify a certain class of extensions, which we call finitely generated, that we do show to be homogeneous.

Continuous extensions of the type to be considered in this paper have arisen in the study of minimal distal transformation groups and extensions on compact

Received January 24, 1977.

spaces. Based on the work of Ellis and Furstenberg, Knapp [9] showed that the isometric extensions introduced by Furstenberg [4] actually have the function theoretic properties that we are considering. As a consequence, we are able to conclude a strengthened version of Furstenberg's structure theorem for minimal distal actions on compact metric space [4], showing that every such action is built up from a point by taking homogeneous extensions and inverse limits. The main theorems of this paper were in fact announced in this context of distal actions in [13]. It has been pointed out to the author, however, that a short proof in this context is available through the machinery that has been built up around minimal actions on compact spaces. (See [3], for example.) We remark that the techniques and results of this paper are dependent on neither compactness nor minimality of the action on X .

The organization of this paper is as follows. Section 2 presents the definitions of the various types of extensions we will be considering-homogeneous, finitely generated, and those possessing "topological" relatively discrete spectrum. It also includes some preliminary results and elementary properties of these extensions. Section 3, which is the main part of the paper, is devoted to a proof that finitely generated extensions with a minimal base are homogeneous. Section 4 concludes with applications and examples.

2. Preliminaries. Suppose G is a locally compact group and X and Y locally compact metrizable spaces. (We shall throughout take local compactness to include the condition of second countability.) We suppose that there is a jointly continuous right G -action on both X and Y , and that $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, surjective, and equivariant. We shall further suppose that G preserves a probability measure $\mu(\nu)$ on X (Y) whose support is all of X (Y), and that p is measure preserving, i.e. $p_*(\mu) = \nu$. This is just the situation studied in [11], now with the additional assumptions of topological structure. We can decompose μ with respect to ν over the fibers of p and write $\mu = \int^\oplus \mu_y d\nu(y)$. This is a measure-theoretic construction and in the topological framework we will need the stronger condition that $y \rightarrow \mu_y$ is continuous, in the sense that for any $f \in C_0(X)$ (the continuous functions on X that vanish at ∞), $y \rightarrow \int f d\mu_y$ is continuous, and that the support of μ_y is $p^{-1}(y)$. We shall then call μ_y a *continuous decomposition of μ* . Such a decomposition does not always exist. It will exist, for example, if X is a fibre bundle over Y whose structure group consists of measure preserving homeomorphisms of the fibre. If X is compact, minimal, and an isometric extension of Y , such a decomposition will also exist. In the compact case, continuous decompositions have been investigated in some detail by Glasner [5].

Definition 2.1. Under the above assumptions, we will call X a *continuous ergodic extension of Y* .

If $f \in C_0(X)$, and $y \in Y$, by f^y we shall mean $f|_{p^{-1}(y)}$. By virtue of the fact

that $y \rightarrow \mu_y$ is continuous, we have the following readily verified facts, which we shall use below without further explicit mention.

PROPOSITION 2.2. (i) If $f, g \in C_0(X)$, then $y \rightarrow \langle f^y | g^y \rangle_y$ is continuous. Here $\langle | \rangle_y$ is the inner product on $L^2(p^{-1}(y), \mu_y)$.

ii) If $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C_0(X)$ and $\{f_i^y\}$ are linearly independent, then $\{f_i^z\}$ are linearly independent for all z in some neighborhood of y . (This follows since the latter will be linearly independent if and only if the determinant of the matrix $(\langle f_i^z | f_j^z \rangle_z)$ is not 0.)

iii) If f_1^y, \dots, f_n^y are linearly independent, then there are $g_1, \dots, g_n \in C_0(X)$ such that (a) $\{g_i^z\}$ are orthonormal in some neighborhood of y . (b) $\text{span} [g_1^z, \dots, g_n^z] = \text{span} [f_1^z, \dots, f_n^z]$ in some neighborhood of y .

(c) $\text{span} [g_1^z, \dots, g_n^z] \subset \text{span} [f_1^z, \dots, f_n^z]$ for all $z \in Y$. (This can be achieved by restricting to a suitable neighborhood of y , applying the Gram-Schmidt process fibre-by-fibre, and then extending by multiplying by a suitable function in $C_0(Y)$. See [9, Lemma 6.2] for details of the technique.)

iv) If $\{g_i^z\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ are orthonormal for $z \in U \subset Y$, and f is a bounded function on $p^{-1}(U)$ such that $f^z \in \text{span} \{g_i^z\}$, then f is continuous on $p^{-1}(U)$ if and only if $y \rightarrow \langle f^y | g_i^y \rangle_y$ is continuous on U for each i .

Suppose now that X has relatively discrete spectrum over Y [11, Definition 5.1]. This means that $L^2(X) = \sum^\oplus V_i$ where $V_i = \int^\oplus V_i^y d\nu$ are G -invariant and $\dim V_i^y < \infty$. This again is a purely measure-theoretic notion, and our main object of study will be the topological version of this notion, which we now describe. We note first that $C_0(p^{-1}\Omega)$ is a $C_0(\Omega)$ -module for $\Omega \subset Y$. If $V \subset C_0(X)$ is a subspace, we will call V regular on Ω if

(i) V is closed under multiplication by $C_0(\Omega)$, and

(ii) there are $f_1, \dots, f_n \in V$ such that $\{f_i^y\}$ is a basis of $V^y = \{f^y | f \in V\}$ for each $y \in \Omega$.

We will then call $\{f_i\}$ a local basis for V on Ω . If f_i^y are orthonormal we will call $\{f_i\}$ a local orthonormal basis. We will call V regular if it is regular on each set of an open covering of Y . We remark that by the technique described in Proposition 2.2 (iii), if V is regular we can assume $\{f_i\}$ is a local orthonormal basis.

LEMMA 2.3. If V is regular and $f \in C_0(X)$, then $P_1 f$ and $P_2 f$ are in $C(X)$, where P_1 and P_2 are orthogonal projections of $L^2(X)$ onto \bar{V} and V^\perp , respectively.

Proof. $P_1 = \int^\oplus P_1^y$ where $P_1^y : L^2(p^{-1}(y), \mu_y) \rightarrow V^y$, and on a suitable open set, $P_1 f = \sum \langle f | f_i^y \rangle f_i^y$ where $\{f_i\}$ is a local orthonormal basis. We then also have $P_2 f = f - P_1 f$.

Definition 2.4. If $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous ergodic extension, then X has proper relatively discrete spectrum over Y if there are regular G -invariant subspaces $V_i \subset C_0(X)$ such that $\sum V_i$ is uniformly dense in $C_0(X)$.

We remark that if X has proper relatively discrete spectrum over Y , then X has relatively discrete spectrum over Y . We also note that in light of Lemma 2.3, we can choose the V_i to be mutually orthogonal. Our aim is to describe the structure of extensions with proper relatively discrete spectrum in terms of locally trivial extensions.

Definition 2.5. If X is a continuous ergodic extension of Y , then X is a *homogeneous extension of Y* if

- (i) X is a fibre bundle over Y , with fibre H/H_0 , and structure group H , where H is a compact metric group and $H_0 \subset H$ is a closed subgroup;
- (ii) the projection $p : X \rightarrow Y$ intertwines the G -actions;
- (iii) for each $y_0 \in Y$, there is an open set $\Omega \subset Y$, with $y_0 \in \Omega$ and an admissible homeomorphism of $p^{-1}(\Omega)$ with $\Omega \times H/H_0$ such that $y, z \in \Omega$ with $y \cdot g = z$ implies: there is $\alpha(y, g) \in H$ such that the action of g taking $p^{-1}(y)$ to $p^{-1}(z)$ corresponds to the map $\{y\} \times H/H_0 \rightarrow \{z\} \times H/H_0$ defined by translation by $\alpha(y, g)$.

We show below that every extension with proper relatively discrete spectrum is the inverse limit of homogeneous extensions (if y is minimal).

We now derive some first consequences of definition 2.4 and the results of [11].

LEMMA 2.6. *Suppose V_i are in Definition 2.4 and are mutually orthogonal. Let $R(y)$ be the algebraic direct sum $\sum V_i^y$. Then if $f \in C_0(X)$ is contained in a G -invariant regular subspace W of $C_0(X)$, then $f^y \in R(y)$ for all y .*

Proof. Let $P_i = \int^{\oplus} P_i^y$ be orthogonal projection of $L^2(X)$ onto \bar{V}_i . From [11, Theorems 3.14 and 4.3] each equivalence class of G -Hilbert bundles contained in $L^2(X)$ appears with only finite multiplicity. It follows that $P_i f = 0$ in L^2 for all but finitely many i . Thus, for some n and almost all $y, f^y \in \sum_{i=1}^n V_i^y$. But then the continuity of f implies that this holds for all y , proving the lemma.

COROLLARY 2.7. *$R(y)$ is an algebra for all $y \in Y$.*

We remark that if $t \in G$ and $y, z \in Y$ with $yt = z$, then t defined a homeomorphism of $p^{-1}(y)$ with $p^{-1}(z)$. Furthermore, this map takes μ_y to μ_z . (This follows from the G -invariance of μ , the essential uniqueness of decompositions of measures, and the continuity of $y \rightarrow \mu_y$.) Thus, there is an induced unitary map $t^* : C_0(p^{-1}(z)) \rightarrow C_0(p^{-1}(y))$ and this map is an algebra isomorphism of $R(z)$ with $R(y)$.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *If Y is minimal, then p is a proper map, i.e. $p^{-1}(C)$ is compact if C is compact.*

Proof. We can consider $L^2(Y) \subset L^2(X)$ as a G -invariant sub-Hilbert bundle. Because of the ergodicity of G on X , this bundle will be inequivalent to any other G -invariant subbundle of $L^2(X)$ [11, Theorems 3.14, 4.3], and it follows that for some $i, \bar{V}_i = L^2(Y)$, where \bar{V}_i is the L^2 closure. In particular, there is

an $f \in C_0(X)$, $f \neq 0$, which is constant almost everywhere on almost all fibres. Since f is continuous, f must be constant on almost all fibres. Now

$$x \rightarrow f(x) - \int f^{p(x)} d\mu_{\gamma(x)}$$

is continuous, and since f is constant on almost all fibres, this must be 0 almost everywhere. Hence, it is identically 0 by continuity and this implies f is constant on all fibres. Since $f \neq 0$, there is a compact set $U \subset Y$ with non-empty interior such that $f(p(x)) \neq 0$ for $x \in p^{-1}(U)$. Since $f \in C_0(X)$, $p^{-1}(U)$ must be compact. Because p is G -invariant and G acts minimally on Y , it follows readily that p is proper, completing the proof.

Since X has relatively discrete spectrum over Y , the structure theorem [11, Theorem 4.3] shows that X is Borel isomorphic (modulo null sets) as an extension of Y to $Y \times {}_aK/K_0$ where K is a compact group, $K_0 \subset K$ is a closed subgroup, and $\alpha : Y \times G \rightarrow K$ is a minimal cocycle with $K_\alpha = K$ [11, Definition 3.7]. Call $S = K/K_0$ and let $R(S)$ be the set of continuous functions on S that are contained in finite dimensional K -invariant subspaces. Under the Borel isomorphism of X with $Y \times S$, we will have $R(y)$ corresponding to $R(S)$ for almost all y , and hence, for almost all y , $R(y) = R(S)$ as algebras via a unitary (as a map of L^2 spaces) algebra isomorphism.

We now introduce the concept of a finitely generated continuous ergodic extension, which will facilitate the discussion and is of independent interest.

Definition 2.9. X is a *finitely generated extension* of Y if $R(y)$ is a finitely generated algebra for almost all y . Equivalently, by the remarks above, $R(S)$ is a finitely generated algebra. (We will also call S a *finitely generated homogeneous space*.)

We will show in Section 3 that every finitely generated extension, with Y minimal, is a homogeneous extension.

We wish now to remove the almost everywhere condition in Definition 2.9. This can be conveniently done after the introduction of some technical concepts and notation of which we will in fact make constant use throughout this paper. As a homogeneous K -space, S has a unique K -invariant probability measure and we have a natural unitary representation of K , say U , on $L^2(S)$ defined by translation. For each $\pi \in \hat{K}$ (the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of K), define $H_\pi \subset L^2(S)$ by

$$H_\pi = \text{sp}\{f \in L^2(S) \mid f \text{ is contained in a subspace } V \subset L^2(S) \text{ for which } U|_V \cong \pi\}.$$

Then each H_π is finite dimensional, and $H_\pi \subset C(S)$, the continuous complex-valued functions on S . We shall call H_π the *canonical subspace* of $C(S)$ (or $L^2(S)$) *associated with* π . In $L^2(S)$, $\{H_\pi\}$ are mutually orthogonal and

$L^2(S) = \sum^\oplus H_\pi$. Then $R(S)$ is the algebraic direct sum $\sum H_\pi$, and is a uniformly dense $*$ -subalgebra of $C(S)$. For S finitely generated, it will be convenient to single out certain sets of generators.

Definition 2.10. A finite set of generators for $R(S)$, $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$, will be called *proper* if

- i) $f_i \perp 1$ for all i ,
- ii) each f_i is in a canonical subspace, and
- iii) if for $\pi \in \hat{K}$, there is some $f_i \in H_\pi$, then $H_\pi \subset \text{span}\{f_i\}$.

PROPOSITION 2.11. (i) *If $\{f_i\}$ is a proper set of generators and $\{g_j\}$ is a finite set in $R(S)$ such that*

- (a) *each g_j is in a canonical subspace, and*
- (b) $\text{span}\{g_j\} = \text{span}\{f_i\}$,

then $\{g_j\}$ is a proper generating set.

- (ii) *Any finitely generated S has an orthonormal proper generating set.*

Let f_1, \dots, f_n be an orthonormal proper set of generators of $R(S)$ and $L_0 \subset \hat{K}$ the finite set determined by

$$\text{span}\{1, f_1, \dots, f_n\} = \sum_{\pi \in L_0}^\oplus H_\pi, \text{ and } H_\pi \neq \{0\} \text{ for } \pi \in L_0.$$

Let $H_0 = \sum_{\pi \in L_0}^\oplus H_\pi$. Let $C[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be the polynomial ring with complex coefficients. There is a unique surjective algebra homomorphism $\Phi: C[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow R(S)$ such that $\Phi(X_i) = f_i$. Let $I = \ker \Phi$. Since $C[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is noetherian, I is a finitely generated ideal. Choose generators p_1, \dots, p_k for I and let

$$d = \max_{j=1, \dots, k} \{\text{degree}(p_j)\}.$$

Let P_d be the set of polynomials in $C[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ of degree $\leq d$. Then $\Phi(P_d)$ consists of polynomials of degree $\leq d$ in the $\{f_i\}$, and since $\{f_i\}$ is a proper set of generators, $\Phi(P_d)$ will be a finite dimensional G -invariant subspace. Hence, there is a smallest finite dimensional subspace H_1 of $R(S)$ such that $H_1 \supset \Phi(P_d)$ and H_1 is a direct sum of canonical subspaces, say $H_1 = \sum_{\pi \in L_1}^\oplus H_\pi$, where $L_1 \subset \hat{K}$ is a finite set. Let H_2 be the smallest subspace containing all products fg , $f, g \in H_1$, and which is also a direct sum of canonical subspaces. Thus, $H_2 = \sum_{\pi \in L_2}^\oplus H_\pi$, where $L_2 \subset \hat{K}$ is finite.

For each subspace $V_i \subset C_0(X)$, we can associate a unique element π of \hat{K} . This representation has the property that as G -Hilbert bundles, \bar{V}_i is equivalent to a subbundle of $L^2(Y; H_\pi)$, where the action on the latter is determined as restriction of the action of G on $L^2(Y \times_a S)$. Let $\bar{H}_\pi \subset C_0(X)$ be the direct sum of the (finitely many [11]) V_i associated with π , and $H_\pi(y)$ the direct sum of the corresponding V_i^y . We will call $H_\pi(y)$ the *canonical subspace of $R(y)$ associated with π* . Similarly, we can form \bar{H}_i and $H_i(y)$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. We remark that under the isomorphism of $R(y)$ with $R(S)$ (almost all y) described following the proof of Proposition 2.8, we actually have $R(y) \cong R(S)$ under a unitary algebra

isomorphism that preserves canonical subspaces. In particular, for almost all y , $H_1(y) \cdot H_1(y) \subset H_2(y)$, and a continuity argument implies that this relation holds for all y . Similarly, any polynomial of degree $\leq d$ in a basis for $H_0(y)$ will be contained in $H_1(y)$.

PROPOSITION 2.12. *If X is a finitely generated extension of Y with Y minimal, then $R(y)$ is finitely generated for all y . In fact, $H_0(y)$ generates $R(y)$ for all y .*

Proof. Let $\pi \in \hat{K}$. Then there is an integer r such that the space of all polynomials of degree $\leq r$ in some basis of H_0 contains H_π . We remark that this is independent of the choice of basis of H_0 , since one basis is obtained from another by linear relations. Let Q_1, \dots, Q_k be a basis of the set of polynomials over \mathbf{C} in n variables, of degree $\leq r$. Choose functions a_1, \dots, a_n in $C_0(X)$ that form a local basis for \bar{H}_0 on some open set $\Omega \subset Y$. Let $q_i = Q_i(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Then $\{q_i^y\}$ will span a space containing $H_\pi(y)$ for almost all $y \in \Omega$. This follows from the fact that $R(y) \cong R(S)$ as algebras for almost all y by a canonical subspace preserving map. Let $n(y)$ be the dimension of the space spanned by $\{q_i^y\}$, $m = \max\{n(y) | y \in \Omega\}$ and choose $z \in \Omega$ such that $n(z) = m$. Choose a subset of $\{q_i\}$ (which by relabeling we will write q_1, \dots, q_m) so that q_1^z, \dots, q_m^z are linearly independent. Then q_1^y, \dots, q_m^y will be linearly independent in a neighborhood of z , and hence, by the choice of m , $\text{span}[q_1^y, \dots, q_m^y] = \text{span}[q_1^z, \dots, q_m^z]$ for all y in an open set. By a Gram-Schmidt argument, we can find $p_1, \dots, p_m \in C_0(X)$ such that p_1^y, \dots, p_m^y are an orthonormal basis for $\text{span}[q_1^y, \dots, q_m^y]$ for all y in an open set Ω' . Now let $f \in \bar{H}_\pi$. Then, as remarked above, $f^y \in \text{span}[q_1^y, \dots, q_m^y]$ for almost all y in Ω' . Now for $y \in \Omega'$, $f^y \in \text{span}[q_1^y, \dots, q_m^y]$ if and only if $\|f^y\|^2 = \sum_j | \langle f^y | p_j^y \rangle |^2$. Since both sides of this last equation are continuous in y , and they are equal a.e., they must be equal on all of Ω' . Thus, f^y is a polynomial in a_1^y, \dots, a_n^y for all $y \in \Omega'$, which implies that $H_\pi(y)$ is in the algebra generated by $H_0(y)$ for all $y \in \Omega'$. Now for any $g \in G$ and $y \in Y$, the action of G gives an algebra isomorphism of $R(yg)$ with $R(y)$ preserving canonical subspaces. By the minimality of G on Y , it follows that $H_0(y)$ generates $H_\pi(y)$ for all y . Since π is arbitrary, the proposition follows.

Before turning to the main results of this paper, we present two preliminary lemmas that we shall need below.

LEMMA 2.13. *Suppose S and T are compact metric spaces. Let $A(S), A(T)$ be dense subalgebras of $C(S)$ and $C(T)$ respectively. Suppose μ, ν are finite measures on S, T respectively, both of which are positive on open sets. Let $W : L^2(S, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(T, \nu)$ be unitary, such that*

- (i) $W(A(S)) = A(T)$
- (ii) if $f, g \in A(S)$, then $W(f \cdot g) = W(f)W(g)$.

Then $W|C(S)$ is a multiplicative, involutive banach algebra isomorphism between the C^ -algebras $C(S)$ and $C(T)$.*

Proof. (i) Suppose $f \in L^\infty(S)$ and $g \in A(S)$. Then there is a sequence

$f_n \in A(S)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(S)$. Thus, $f_n \cdot g \rightarrow f \cdot g$ in $L^2(S)$ so $W(f_n g) \rightarrow W(f \cdot g)$. But $W(f_n \cdot g) = W(f_n) \cdot W(g) \rightarrow W(f)W(g)$. Hence, $W(f \cdot g) = W(f)W(g)$.

(ii) Now suppose $f \in L^\infty(S)$ and $g \in L^2(S)$. Then there is a sequence $g_n \in A(S)$ with $g_n \rightarrow g$ in $L^2(S)$. It follows that $f \cdot g_n \rightarrow f \cdot g$ in $L^2(S)$ so $W(f \cdot g_n) \rightarrow W(f \cdot g)$. By (i), $W(f \cdot g_n) = W(f)W(g_n) \rightarrow W(f)W(g)$. Thus, for each g , $W(f)W(g) \in L^2(T)$ and $W(f)W(g) = W(f \cdot g)$. Hence, multiplication by $W(f)$ maps $L^2(T) \rightarrow L^2(T)$ continuously, and it follows that $W(L^\infty(S)) \subset L^\infty(T)$. By applying the same technique to W^{-1} , we see that $W(L^\infty(S)) = L^\infty(T)$. By the argument of [6, p. 45], W is induced by a measure preserving map $T \rightarrow S$, and in particular, $W|L^\infty(S)$ preserves $\| \cdot \|_\infty$. Since open sets in S and T have positive measure, $W|C(S)$ will preserve the sup $\| \cdot \|$. As $A(S)$ ($A(T)$) is uniformly dense in $C(S)$ ($C(T)$) it follows from hypothesis (i) that $W : C(S) \rightarrow C(T)$ is an isomorphism.

LEMMA 2.14. *Let S be a compact metric space, μ a measure on S that is positive on open sets. Suppose $A_i \subset C(S)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, such that*

- (i) *each A_i is finite dimensional, and $\{A_i\}$ are mutually orthogonal, and*
- (ii) *A , the algebraic direct sum of $\{A_i\}$, is a dense subalgebra of $C(S)$. Let K be a closed (strong operator topology) subgroup of $U(L^2(S))$ such that for all $U \in K$, $U(A_i) = A_i$ for all i , and $U(f \cdot g) = U(f)U(g)$ for all $f, g \in A$.*

Then the action of K on $C(S)$ is induced by a jointly continuous action of K on S .

Proof. By Lemma 2.7, $U : C(S) \rightarrow C(S)$ is an isomorphism of C^* -algebras for each $U \in K$. As S can be characterized as the maximal ideal space of $C(S)$, it is easy to see that it suffices to show that $K \times C(S) \rightarrow C(S)$ is jointly continuous. If $U_n \in K$, $U_n \rightarrow U$, and $f_n \in C(S)$, $f_n \rightarrow f$, then

$$\|U_n f_n - Uf\| \leq \|U_n f_n - U_n f\| + \|U_n f - Uf\| \leq \|f_n - f\| + \|U_n f - Uf\|.$$

Thus, it suffices to see that $\|U_n f - Uf\| \rightarrow 0$. If $f \in A$, this follows since on a finite dimensional subspace of $C(S)$, $\| \cdot \|_\infty$ and $\| \cdot \|_2$ are equivalent. If $f \notin A$, given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $g \in A$ with $\|f - g\| < \epsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_n f - Uf\| &\leq \|U_n f - U_n g\| + \|U_n g - Ug\| + \|Ug - Uf\| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon + \|U_n g - Ug\|. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows.

3. Finitely generated extensions. This section is devoted to a proof of the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *If X is a continuous ergodic extension of Y with proper relatively discrete spectrum, and Y is minimal, then X is a homogeneous extension of Y .*

Proof. Choose a point $y_0 \in Y$. The first main step in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *There is a compact neighborhood Ω of y_0 in Y , and subspaces of functions, $V_n \subset C(p^{-1}(\Omega))$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, regular on Ω , such that*

- (i) $\{(V_n)_y | n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ are mutually orthogonal and finite-dimensional for each $y \in \Omega$;
- (ii) the algebraic direct sum $\sum (V_n)_y = R(y)$ for each $y \in \Omega$;
- (iii) $(V_0)_y = \mathbf{C}(\subset C(p^{-1}(y)))$ for all $y \in \Omega$;
- (iv) $(V_1)_y$ generates $R(y)$ as an algebra for all $y \in \Omega$;
- (v) if $W_n = \sum_{i=0}^n V_i$, then $W_n \cdot W_p \subset W_{n+p}$ for all $n, p \geq 0$;
- (vi) if $y, z \in \Omega$, and $t \in G$ with $y \cdot t = z$, then $t^*((V_n)_z) = (V_n)_y$ for all n , where $t^* : C(p^{-1}(z)) \rightarrow C(p^{-1}(y))$ is the induced map.

Proof. For each $y \in Y$ recall that $H_j(y) = \sum_{\pi \in L_j}^\oplus H_\pi(y)$, for $j = 0, 1, 2$. Then there is a compact neighborhood Ω' of y_0 in Y such that \bar{H}_π is regular on Ω' for all $\pi \in L_2$. For $\pi \in L_2$, choose $g_1^\pi, \dots, g_p^\pi \in \bar{H}_\pi$ to be a local orthonormal basis for \bar{H}_π on Ω' ; so that $g_1^I = 1$, where I is the 1-dimensional identity representation. Let h_1^π, \dots, h_p^π be an orthonormal basis of H_π such that

- (a) for $\pi = I$, $h_1^I = 1$, and
- (b) for $\pi \in L_0 - \{I\}$, $\{h_i^\pi | i = 1, \dots, p\} = \{f_i | f_i \in H_\pi\}$.

Define, for $y \in \Omega'$, $U_\pi(y) : H(y) \rightarrow H_\pi$ to be the unitary operator with $U_\pi(y)(g_i^\pi | p^{-1}(y)) = h_i^\pi$. Then $U(y) = \sum_{\pi \in L_2}^\oplus U_\pi(y) : H_2(y) \rightarrow H_2$ is unitary. For notational convenience, we shall let $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\} = \cup_{\pi \in L_2} \{g_i^\pi\}$ and $\{h_1, \dots, h_m\}$ the corresponding (under $U(y)$) members of H_2 . We can choose the ordering such that $h_i = f_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

The proof of Lemma 3.2 now breaks up into 3 steps. First, we will show how to “continuously modify” $U(y)$ so that it preserves multiplication of elements in $H_1(y)$. Step 2 will be to show that these new operators can be extended to algebra isomorphisms. Finally, we shall use the algebra isomorphisms to construct the required regular subspaces.

Step 1. For each $y \in \Omega'$, we have a bilinear map $B_y : H_1(y) \times H_1(y) \rightarrow H_2(y)$, given by $B_y(f, g) = f \cdot g$. This defines a bilinear map $\bar{B}_y : H_1 \times H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ by $\bar{B}_y(f, g) = U(y)(B_y(U(y)^{-1}f, U(y)^{-1}g))$.

Now $\text{Bil}(H_1; H_2)$, the space of bilinear maps from $H_1 \times H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ has a natural topology on it, being a finite dimensional vector space. We claim the map $Y \rightarrow \text{Bil}(H_1; H_2)$, $y \rightarrow \bar{B}_y$, is continuous. It suffices to show that if $h_i \in H_\pi$, $h_j \in H_\sigma$, where $\pi, \sigma \in L_1$, then the map $y \rightarrow \langle \bar{B}_y(h_i, h_j) | h_k \rangle$ is continuous. (Here, $\langle | \rangle$ denotes the inner product in H_2 .) But

$$\langle \bar{B}_y(h_i, h_j) | h_k \rangle = \langle U(y)B_y(U(y)^{-1}h_i, U(y)^{-1}h_j) | h_k \rangle = \langle g_i^y g_j^y | g_k^y \rangle_y,$$

which is continuous.

Now let A be the set of unitary maps $U : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ that take every canonical subspace into itself, and is the identity on H_T . There is continuous right action of A on $\text{Bil}(H_1; H_2)$ defined by

$$(B \cdot U)(f, g) = U^{-1}B(Uf, Ug).$$

Let $B_0 \in \text{Bil}(H_1; H_2)$ correspond to multiplication in $R(S)$. For almost all $y \in \Omega'$, there is an isomorphism of algebras $i(y) : R(S) \rightarrow R(y)$ such that $i_y|_{H_\pi} : H_\pi \rightarrow H_\pi(y)$ unitarily. Thus, $U(y)i(y)|_{H_2}$ preserves canonical subspaces, is the identity on H_I , and so $(U(y)i(y)|_{H_2}) \in A$. Furthermore,

$$B_0 \cdot i(y)^{-1}U(y)^{-1} = \bar{B}_y.$$

To see this, note that

$$(B_0 \cdot i(y)^{-1}U(y)^{-1})(f, g) = U(y)i(y)B_0(i(y)^{-1}U(y)^{-1}f, i(y)^{-1}U(y)^{-1}g).$$

Since B_0 is multiplication, and $i(y)$ is multiplicative, this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &U(y)(U(y)^{-1}f \cdot U(y)^{-1}g) \\ &= U(y)B_y(U(y)^{-1}f, U(y)^{-1}g) \\ &= \bar{B}_y(f, g). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for almost all $y \in \Omega'$, \bar{B}_y and B_0 are in the same orbit in $\text{Bil}(H_1, H_2)$ under A . Let $T \subset \text{Bil}(H_1; H_2)$ be this A orbit. Since A is compact, T is closed, and from the continuity in y of \bar{B}_y , we can conclude $\bar{B}_y \in T$ for all y in a neighborhood of y_0 , which for simplicity we shall continue to denote by Ω' . The map $A \rightarrow T$, $U \rightarrow \bar{B}_{y_0} \cdot U$ defines an A -homeomorphism from $A/A_0 \cong T$, where $A_0 \subset A$ is some closed subgroup. By its construction, A is a compact Lie group, and hence A_0 is also. Thus, there is an open neighborhood of $[e]$ in A/A_0 and a section on this neighborhood of the natural projection $A \rightarrow A/A_0$. Identifying A/A_0 with T , we obtain an open neighborhood N of \bar{B}_{y_0} in T and a continuous map $s_0 : N \rightarrow A$ such that for all $B \in N$, $B \cdot s_0(B)^{-1} = \bar{B}_{y_0}$. Choose a fixed element $U_0 \in A$ with $\bar{B}_{y_0} \cdot U_0 = B_0$, and let $s : N \rightarrow A$ be $s(B) = s_0(B)^{-1}U_0$. Then s is continuous and $B \cdot s(B) = B_0$ for all $B \in N$.

Now $y \rightarrow \bar{B}_y$ is a continuous map from Ω' into T , and $N \subset T$ is a neighborhood of \bar{B}_{y_0} . Hence, there is a compact neighborhood of y_0 , $\Omega \subset \Omega'$, such that $\bar{B}_y \in N$ for all $y \in \Omega$. Let $\lambda : \Omega \rightarrow A$ be the map $\lambda(y) = s(\bar{B}_y)$. Then λ is continuous and $\bar{B}_y \cdot \lambda(y) = B_0$ for all $y \in \Omega$.

Now let $T(y) = \lambda(y)^{-1}U(y)$. Then for $y \in \Omega$, $T(y) : H_2(y) \rightarrow H_2$, is unitary, preserves canonical subspaces, and $T(y)(1) = 1$. We claim that if $f, g \in H_1(y)$, then

$$(*) \quad T(y)(fg) = T(y)(f) \cdot T(y)(g).$$

This follows by unravelling the definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} T(y)(fg) &= \lambda(y)^{-1}U(y)(fg) \\ &= \lambda(y)^{-1}U(y)B_y(f, g) \\ &= \lambda(y)^{-1}\bar{B}_y(U(y)f, U(y)g) \\ &= (\bar{B}_y \cdot \lambda(y))(\lambda(y)^{-1}U(y)f, \lambda(y)^{-1}U(y)g) \\ &= B_0(T(y)f, T(y)g) \\ &= T(y)f \cdot T(y)g. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. We now claim that for each $y \in \Omega$, there is a unique algebra isomorphism $T_1(y) : R(S) \rightarrow R(y)$ with $T_1(y) = T(y)^{-1}$ on H_0 . Since $H_0(y)$ and H_0 generate $R(y)$ and $R(S)$ respectively, as algebras, uniqueness is clear. Recall that we have a surjective algebra homomorphism $\Phi : C[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow R(S)$ such that $\Phi(X_i) = f_i$, where $\{f_i\}$ is a proper generating set, and $\text{span}\{1, f_i\} = H_0$. For each y , define a homomorphism $\beta(y) : C[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow R(y)$ by $\beta(y)(X_i) = T(y)^{-1}f_i$. We claim first that there is an algebra homomorphism $T_1(y) : R(S) \rightarrow R(y)$ such that $\beta(y)$ factors as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C(X_1, \dots, X_n) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & R(S) \\
 & \searrow \beta(y) & \downarrow T_1(y) \\
 & & R(y)
 \end{array}$$

To see this, it suffices to show that if $p \in C(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $\Phi(p) = 0$, then $\beta(y)(p) = 0$. But if $p \in \ker \Phi = I$, $p = \sum_1^k q_j p_j$ where p_j are, as above, the generators of I . So

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta(y)(p) &= \sum \beta(y)(q_j)\beta(y)(p_j) \\
 &= \sum \beta(y)(q_j)p_j(T(y)^{-1}f_1, \dots, T(y)^{-1}f_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since any polynomial in $\{T(y)^{-1}f_i\}$ of degree $\leq d$ is in $H_1(y)$, it follows from (*) that

$$p_j(T(y)^{-1}f_1, \dots, T(y)^{-1}f_n) = T(y)^{-1}p_j(f_1, \dots, f_n) \quad \text{for all } p_j.$$

Since $p_j \in I$, this is 0, and hence $\beta(p) = 0$. Thus $T_1(y)$ exists.

We note that by commutativity of the diagram above that $T_1(y)f_i = T(y)^{-1}f_i$, and hence $T_1(y) = T(y)^{-1}$ on H_0 . Therefore, it remains to show that $T_1(y)$ is actually an algebra isomorphism. Since $\beta(y)$ is surjective, so is $T_1(y)$, and hence it suffices to show that $T_1(y)$ is injective. We show this first for the (conull set of) y for which $R(y) \cong R(S)$ by a unitary preserving canonical subspaces. For such a y , choose an isomorphism $\theta : R(y) \rightarrow R(S)$ and let $\alpha = \theta \circ T_1(y)$. It suffices to show that α is injective. Let $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $\alpha(f_i) = \sum a_{ij}f_j$, so that (a_{ij}) is a unitary matrix. Then the algebra homomorphism $\gamma : C[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow C[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ defined by $\gamma(X_i) = \sum a_{ij}X_j$ is actually an algebra automorphism of $C[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Furthermore, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C[X_1, \dots, X_n] & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & R(S) \\
 \gamma \downarrow & \searrow \omega & \downarrow \alpha \\
 C[X_1, \dots, X_n] & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & R(S)
 \end{array}$$

where $\omega = \theta \circ \beta(y)$. To show that α is injective, it suffices to see that $\ker(\omega) \subset \ker(\Phi)$. Now $\ker(\omega) = \gamma^{-1}(\ker \Phi)$, and hence $\ker(\omega)$ is generated by $\{p'_j = \gamma^{-1}(p_j) | j = 1, \dots, k\}$. Thus, it suffices to show that $p'_j \in \ker \Phi$. We have $\alpha\Phi(p'_j) = \Phi(p_j) = 0$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\Phi(p'_j) &= \alpha(p'_j(f_1, \dots, f_n)) \\ &= p'_j(\alpha f_1, \dots, \alpha f_n). \end{aligned}$$

On H_0 , $\alpha = \theta \circ T(y)^{-1}$, so this equals $\theta p'_j(T(y)^{-1}f_1, \dots, T(y)^{-1}f_n)$. But $\text{degree } p'_j \leq d$, so from (*), this becomes $\theta T(y)^{-1}p'_j(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \theta T(y)^{-1}\Phi(p'_j)$. Since $T(y)^{-1}$ is unitary on H_2 , and θ is an isomorphism, it follows that $\Phi(p'_j) = 0$.

We now know that $T_1(y)$ is an algebra isomorphism for y in a conull set in Ω , and hence a dense subset of Ω if we assume, as we may, that Ω is the closure of its interior. We now claim this is true for all $y \in \Omega$. We first note a continuity property of the maps $T_1(y)$. If $f \in R(S)$, consider the function Δ defined on $p^{-1}(\Omega)$ by $\Delta(x) = (T_1(p(x))f)(x)$. We claim that Δ is continuous. Suppose first that $f = f_i$ for some i . Because $f_i \in H_0$,

$$T_1(p(x))f_i = T(y)^{-1}f_i = \sum c_{ij}(p(x))g_j^{p(x)},$$

where c_{ij} are functions on Ω and g_i are as in the beginning of the proof. Thus, to show Δ is continuous, it suffices to see that $x \rightarrow c_{ij}(p(x))$ is continuous. But

$$\begin{aligned} c_{ij}(p(x)) &= \langle U(p(x))^{-1}\lambda(p(x))f_i | g_j^{p(x)} \rangle_{p(x)} \\ &= \langle \lambda(p(x))f_i | f_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y \rightarrow \lambda(y)$ is continuous, so is $c_{ij}(p(x))$.

Now if $f \in R(S)$ is arbitrary, we can write $f = q(f_i, \dots, f_n)$ where q is a polynomial. Since $T_1(y)$ is an algebra homomorphism,

$$(T_1(p(x))f)(x) = q(T_1(p(x))f_1, \dots, T_1(p(x))f_n)(x),$$

and the result for f follows from the result for f_i .

Now suppose $g \in G$, and $y, yg \in \Omega$. Let $g^* : R(yg) \rightarrow R(y)$ be the induced algebra isomorphism. Both $\{T_1(y)f_i\}$ and $\{g^*T_1(yg)f_i\}$ are orthonormal bases for $H_0(y)$. Let (b_{ij}) be the unitary matrix such that $g^*T_1(yg)f_i = \sum b_{ij}T_1(y)f_j$. Let ψ be the automorphism of $C[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ defined by $\psi(X_i) = \sum b_{ij}X_j$. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C[X_1, \dots, X_n] & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & R(S) & \xrightarrow{T_1(yg)} & R(yg) \\ \psi \downarrow & & & & \downarrow g^* \\ C[X_1, \dots, X_n] & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & R(S) & \xrightarrow{T_1(y)} & R(y) \end{array}$$

Note also that ψ preserves P_k , the set of polynomials of degree $\leq k$, for each k .

Suppose $y \in \Omega$ with $T_1(y)$ an isomorphism. Choose q_1, \dots, q_r in $C[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that $\{q_i(f_1, \dots, f_n)\}$ is a basis for $\Phi(P_k)$. Then $\{T_1(y)(q_i(f_1, \dots, f_n))\}$ is a basis for $T_1(y)\Phi(P_k)$, and therefore, by our remarks above concerning the

continuity property of $T_1(y)$, $\{T_1(z)q_i(f_1, \dots, f_n)\}$ is linearly independent for z in an open set, i.e. $\dim T_1(z)\Phi(P_k) = \dim \Phi(P_k)$. It follows from the commutative diagram above and the fact that g^* is an isomorphism that $\dim T_1(yg)\Phi(P_k) = \dim T_1(y)\Phi(P_k)$. Since the action on Y is minimal, this implies that $\dim T_1(y)\Phi(P_k) = \dim \Phi(P_k)$ for all $y \in \Omega$, and hence that $T_1(y)$ is injective for all $y \in \Omega$. This completes Step 2.

Step 3. We now construct V_n . Let n_k be the dimension of $\Phi(P_k) \subset R(S)$. Choose polynomials $q_1, q_2, q_3 \dots$ such that $q_1 = 1$, and for each k , $q_1(f_1, \dots, f_n), \dots, q_{n_k}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ are a basis for $\Phi(P_k)$ each with degree $\leq k$. For each $y \in \Omega$, let $b_j^y \in R(y)$ be given by

$$b_j^y = T_1(y)(q_j(f_1, \dots, f_n)), \text{ and}$$

$$\Delta_j(x) = b_j^{p(x)}(x), \text{ a function on } p^{-1}(\Omega).$$

Then, as shown above, $\Delta_j(x)$ is continuous. Let W_k be the subspace of $C(p^{-1}(\Omega))$ consisting of linear combinations, with coefficients in $C(\Omega)$, of $\Delta_1(x), \dots, \Delta_{n_k}(x)$. Then $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n_k}$ is a local basis for W_k , and $(W_k)_y$ consists of polynomials of degree $\leq k$ in $\{T_1(y)f_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$. In particular, $(W_k)_y \subset R(y)$. Let $\bar{\Delta}_1(x), \bar{\Delta}_2(x), \dots$ be obtained from $\Delta_1(x), \Delta_2(x), \dots$ by applying the Gram-Schmidt process fibre-by-fibre so that $\bar{\Delta}_i(x)$ are continuous. Let $V_k \subset C(p^{-1}(\Omega)), k \geq 0$, be the space consisting of linear combinations, with coefficients in $C(\Omega)$, of $\bar{\Delta}_{n_{k-1}+1}, \dots, \bar{\Delta}_{n_k}$ (where $n_0 = 1, n_{-1} = 0$). Then $\{(V_k)_y | k = 0, 1, \dots\}$ are mutually orthogonal for each $y \in \Omega$, and V_k is a subspace of functions regular on Ω . It follows from the definitions that $(V_0)_y = \mathbf{C}$, and that $(V_1)_y = H_0(y) \ominus \mathbf{C} = \text{span}\{T_1(y)f_1, \dots, T_1(y)f_n\}$. Since $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ generates $R(S)$, $\{T_1(y)f_1, \dots, T_1(y)f_n\}$ generates $R(y)$. Condition (v) of Lemma 3.2 is also immediate from the definitions, and thus to prove Lemma 3.2, it remains only to verify condition (vi).

So suppose y, z, t as in the statement of the lemma. We know that $t^* : R(z) \rightarrow R(y)$ is unitary, multiplicative, and preserves canonical subspaces. Thus, $t^*(H_0(z)) = H_0(y)$. Since $t^*(T_1(z)f_j) = \sum_i a_{ij}T_1(y)f_i$, we see that $t^*((W_k)_z) = (W_k)_y$. As $(W_k)_y$ is the orthogonal direct sum $(W_{k-1})_y \oplus (V_k)_y$, and a similar statement holds for z , the fact that t^* is unitary implies via an easy induction argument that $t^*((V_k)_z) = (V_k)_y$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

We now show how Lemma 3.2 can be used to prove Theorem 3.1. The method involved is actually similar to that of the proof of Lemma 3.2 itself. We shall abandon the notation within the proof of Lemma 3.2 and start afresh.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 3.2, we can choose functions g_1, g_2, \dots on $C(p^{-1}(\Omega))$ such that $g_1 = 1$ and $\{g_{n_{k-1}+1}^y, \dots, g_{n_k}^y\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $(V_k)_y$ for each $y \in \Omega$, where $n_k = \dim(W_k)_y$ for $k \geq 0, n_{-1} = 0$. Let R_i be a finite dimensional Hilbert space with $\dim R_i = n_i - n_{i-1}$, and R the pre-Hilbert space obtained by taking the orthogonal algebraic direct sum. Choose $F_1, F_2, \dots \in R$ such that $\{F_{n_{i-1}+1}, \dots, F_{n_i}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for R_i . Let $\bar{R}_n = \sum_{i=0}^n R_i$.

Let M be the space of bilinear maps $R \times R \rightarrow R$ for which $\bar{R}_n \times \bar{R}_p \rightarrow \bar{R}_{n+p}$. Give M the smallest topology such that all maps $M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, B \rightarrow \langle B(f, g)|h \rangle$ are continuous, where $f, g, h \in R$. This topology will also be the smallest topology for which all maps $B \rightarrow \langle B(F_i, F_j)|F_k \rangle$ are continuous. Thus, M becomes a second countable Hausdorff space. Now let K be the set of all unitary maps $U : R \rightarrow R$ such that $U(R_i) = R_i$ for all i , and $U|_{R_0} = I$. Then K is isomorphic in a natural way to $\prod_{i=1}^\infty U(R_i)$, where $U(R_i)$ is the unitary group on R_i ; thus K is a compact group. We have an action of K on M defined by $(B \cdot U)(f, g) = U^{-1}B(Uf, Ug)$, and it is straightforward to check that this action is jointly continuous.

Let $U(y) : R(y) \rightarrow R$ be the unitary map defined by $U(y)(g_i^y) = F_i$. For each $y \in \Omega$, we obtain an element $B_y \in M$, defined by $B_y(f, g) = U_y(U(y)^{-1}f \cdot U(y)^{-1}g)$. We note that condition (v) of Lemma 3.2 ensures that $B_y \in M$. Furthermore, the map $y \rightarrow B_y$ is a continuous function from $\Omega \rightarrow M$. This can be seen exactly as in Step 1 of the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Let $y, z \in \Omega$ and $t \in G$ such that $y \cdot t = z$. Then $t^* : R(z) \rightarrow R(y)$ is unitary, and it follows from condition (vi) of Lemma 3.2 that $U(y)t^*U(z)^{-1} \in K$. Furthermore, a straightforward calculation shows that $B_y \cdot U(y)t^*U(z)^{-1} = B_z$. Thus, by the minimality of G on $Y, \{B_y\}$ are in the same orbit in M under K , say M_0 , for y in a dense subset of Ω . Since K is compact, M_0 is closed, and continuity of B_y implies $B_y \in M_0$ for all $y \in \Omega$. Let $K_0 \subset K$ be the stability group of B_{y_0} . Then K_0 is a closed subgroup, and $U \rightarrow B_{y_0} \cdot U$ defines a K -homeomorphism of K/K_0 with M_0 . Defining multiplication on R by $f \cdot g = B_{y_0}(f, g)$, we see that R becomes an algebra, and $U(y_0) : R(y_0) \rightarrow R$ is an algebra isomorphism. Since $(V_1)_{y_0}$ generates $R(y_0)$, R_1 generates R . Now $K_0 = \{U \in K | B_{y_0} \cdot U = B_{y_0}\}$, i.e.

$$(**) \quad K_0 = \{U \in K | U : R \rightarrow R \text{ is an algebra isomorphism}\}.$$

Because R_1 generates R , the map $K_0 \rightarrow U(R_1)$ defined by $U \rightarrow U|_{R_1}$ is injective, and since K_0 is compact, this is a homeomorphism onto its image. Since $\dim R_1 < \infty$, this implies that K_0 is a compact lie group.

It follows from [2, Theorem II.5.8] that there is an open neighborhood of $[e]$ in K/K_0 and a continuous section on this neighborhood of the natural projection $K \rightarrow K/K_0$. Via the isomorphism of K/K_0 and M_0 , we obtain an open neighborhood N of B_{y_0} in M_0 , and a continuous map $s : N \rightarrow K$ such that $B = B_{y_0} \cdot s(B)$ for all $B \in N$. Since $y \rightarrow B_y$ is continuous, there is a compact neighborhood of $y_0, \Omega' \subset \Omega$, such that $B_y \in N$ for all $y \in \Omega'$. Let $\lambda(y) = s(B_y)^{-1}$, for $y \in \Omega'$. Then λ is continuous, and $B_y \cdot \lambda(y) = B_{y_0}$ for $y \in \Omega'$.

Let $A_y : R(y_0) \rightarrow R(y)$ be $A_y = U(y)^{-1}\lambda(y)U(y_0)$. Then

- (i) A_y is unitary and $A_y((V_n)_{y_0}) = (V_n)_y$, and
- (ii) A_y is an algebra isomorphism. To see (ii), note that for $f, g \in R(y_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} U(y)^{-1}\lambda(y)U(y_0)(f \cdot g) &= U(y)^{-1}\lambda(y)B_{y_0}(U(y_0)f, U(y_0)g) \\ &= U(y)^{-1}B_y(\lambda(y)U(y_0)f, \lambda(y)U(y_0)g), \end{aligned}$$

since $B_y \cdot \lambda(y) = B_{y_0}$. But from the definition of B_y , the last expression becomes

$$(U(y)^{-1}\lambda(y)U(y_0)f)(U(y)^{-1}\lambda(y)U(y_0)g) = (A_y f)(A_y g).$$

That $A_y(1) = 1$ follows from the fact that $\lambda(F_1) = F_1$, by the definition of K .

Let $Z = \Omega' \times p^{-1}(y_0)$, and $R(Z)$ be the subspace of continuous functions consisting of (finite) linear combinations of functions of the form $\alpha(y)h(z)$, where $\alpha \in C(\Omega')$ and $h \in R(y_0)$. Then $R(Z)$ is a $*$ -subalgebra of $C(Z)$, contains the constants, and separates points (since $R(y_0)$ separates points of $p^{-1}(y_0)$).

Let

$$\bar{R} = \{f \in C(p^{-1}(\Omega')) \mid f^y \in R(y) \text{ for all } y \in \Omega'\}.$$

We define a map $A : R(Z) \rightarrow R$ as follows. Given $f \in R(Z)$, let

$$A(f)(x) = A_{p(x)}(f|_{\{p(x)\} \times p^{-1}(y_0)})(x).$$

As defined, $A(f)$ is a function on $p^{-1}(\Omega')$. Its restriction to a fibre $p^{-1}(y)$ is clearly in $R(y)$, so to see that $A(f) \in \bar{R}$, it remains to show that $A(f)$ is continuous. Since $A(\sum \alpha_i h_i) = \sum \alpha_i A(h_i)$ where $\alpha_i \in C(\Omega')$ and $h_i \in R(y_0)$, it suffices to see that $A(f)$ is continuous for f of the form $f(y, z) = h(z)$. Now $A(f)$ will be continuous if for each i , $y \rightarrow \langle A(f)^y | g_i^y \rangle_y$ is continuous, where g_i are as above. But this expression is just

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle A_y(f|_{\{y\} \times p^{-1}(y_0)} | g_i^y) \rangle_y \\ &= \langle U(y)^{-1}\lambda(y)U(y_0)h | g_i^y \rangle_y \\ &= \langle \lambda(y)U(y_0)h | F_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda(y)$ is continuous, this expression is continuous in y .

Thus, we have $A : R(Z) \rightarrow \bar{R}$, $A(1) = 1$, A is linear over $C(\Omega')$, and since A_y is multiplicative, so is A . Since each A_y is injective, A is also. To see that A is an algebra isomorphism, it suffices to show that $g_i|_{p^{-1}(\Omega')} \in A(R(Z))$. But a straightforward calculation shows that $A(U(y_0)^{-1}\lambda(y)^{-1}F_i) = g_i|_{p^{-1}(\Omega')}$.

Now let μ' be the product measure $\nu \times \mu_{y_0}$ on $\Omega' \times p^{-1}(y_0)$. Then both μ and μ' are positive on open sets. Since each $A_y : R(y_0) \rightarrow R(y)$ is unitary, it follows that $A : R(Z) \rightarrow \bar{R}$ is unitary. As $R(Z)$ and \bar{R} are dense in $C(Z)$ and $C(p^{-1}(\Omega'))$ respectively, by Stone-Weierstrass, A extends to a unitary map $A : L^2(Z, \mu') \rightarrow L^2(p^{-1}(\Omega'), \mu)$. It follows from Lemma 2.13 that there is homeomorphism $\phi : p^{-1}(\Omega') \rightarrow Z$ that induces A . Since A is $C(\Omega')$ -linear, it readily follows that ϕ is a fibre preserving map. This proves that X is locally trivial over Ω' . We now examine how the action of G on $p^{-1}(\Omega')$ carries over to an action on Z .

Recall the group $K_0(**)$. Let $K' = U(y_0)K_0U(y_0)^{-1}$. Then for $T \in K'$, $T : R(y_0) \rightarrow R(y_0)$, T is an algebra isomorphism, $T((V_n)_{y_0}) = (V_n)_{y_0}$, and T is unitary. It follows from Lemma 2.14 that K' acts continuously on $p^{-1}(y_0)$. If $y, z \in \Omega'$ and $t \in G, y \cdot t = z$, let t^* be the induced map $t^* : C(p^{-1}(z)) \rightarrow C(p^{-1}(y))$. The map $t : p^{-1}(y) \rightarrow p^{-1}(z)$ corresponds to a map $\bar{t} : \{y\} \times p^{-1}(y_0) \rightarrow \{z\} \times p^{-1}(y_0)$ (under the homeomorphism ϕ) such that the induced map $\bar{t}^* : C(p^{-1}(y_0)) \rightarrow C(p^{-1}(y_0))$ is given by $\bar{t}^* = A_y^{-1}t^*A_z$.

Thus, $\bar{t}^* \in K'$, and hence, under the action of K' on $p^{-1}(y_0)$, t corresponds to translation by some element of K' . It then follows from the ergodicity of G on X that K' must actually be transitive on $p^{-1}(y_0)$ (recall K' is compact), and hence $p^{-1}(y_0)$ can be identified with a homogeneous space K'/K'_0 , where $K'_0 \subset K'$ is a closed subgroup.

Finally, we verify that X is a homogeneous extension of Y . If $t \in G$, we have a homeomorphism $\phi_t : p^{-1}(\Omega') \cdot t \rightarrow \Omega' \cdot t \times p^{-1}(y_0)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p^{-1}(\Omega') & \xrightarrow{t} & p^{-1}(\Omega')t \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_t \\ \Omega' \times p^{-1}(y_0) & \xrightarrow{t \times i} & \Omega' \cdot t \times p^{-1}(y_0), \end{array}$$

where $i : p^{-1}(y_0) \rightarrow p^{-1}(y_0)$ is the identity, i.e., $\phi_t(z) = (t \times i)\phi(zt^{-1})$. This shows that X is a fibre bundle over Y with fibre $p^{-1}(y_0)$, since G is minimal on X .

We now describe the action of G on $\Omega't \times p^{-1}(y_0)$ defined by the homeomorphism ϕ_t . Suppose $y', z' \in \Omega't$, and $y' \cdot s = z'$ for $s \in G$. Then it is straightforward to check, in light of the previous paragraph, that the corresponding (under ϕ_t) map

$$\{y'\} \times p^{-1}(y_0) \rightarrow \{z'\} \times p^{-1}(y_0)$$

is defined by translation by an element of K' . In fact, on $C(p^{-1}(y_0))$, this map induces the operator $A_{y''^{-1}(tst^{-1})}^* A_{z''}$, where $y'' \cdot t = y'$ and $z'' \cdot t = z'$.

Thus, to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show that the structure group can be taken as K' . So suppose $u \in \text{int}(\Omega' \cdot t) \cap \text{int}(\Omega' \cdot s)$, where $s, t \in G$. Let $u = y \cdot t = z \cdot s$, with $y, z \in \text{int}(\Omega')$. We then have two homeomorphisms $p^{-1}(y_0) \rightarrow p^{-1}(u)$, namely,

$$p^{-1}(u) \xrightarrow{t^{-1}} p^{-1}(y) \xrightarrow{\phi|_{p^{-1}(y)}} p^{-1}(y_0).$$

$$p^{-1}(u) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}} p^{-1}(z) \xrightarrow{\phi|_{p^{-1}(z)}} p^{-1}(y_0).$$

To prove that the structure group can be taken as K' suffices to check that the map

$$(\phi|_{p^{-1}(z)})s^{-1}t(\phi|_y)^{-1} : p^{-1}(y_0) \rightarrow p^{-1}(y_0)$$

lies in K' . But on $C(p^{-1}(y_0))$, this map induces the operator $A_{y^{-1}t^*(s^{-1})}^* A_z$. This is in K' , completing the proof.

Remark. The fact that the measure ν on Y is finite and invariant was used in a significant way only in that we have applied the results of [11]. What we did

make use of, however, is the “relative invariance” of the family $y \rightarrow \mu_y$. The results of [11] can presumably be reworked for ν quasi-invariant and ergodic, and thus the results of this paper may well generalize to that case (with the assumption of relative invariance.) It seems possible that this latter condition may in fact be deducible from a function space decomposition.

4. Applications and examples.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If $X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous ergodic extension with proper relatively discrete spectrum, then $X = \lim_{\leftarrow} X_i$, where X_i are finitely generated extensions of Y . Hence, if Y is minimal, X is an inverse limit of homogeneous extensions.*

Proof. Since X is separable, $\{\pi \in \hat{K} | H_\pi \neq \emptyset\}$ is countable. Label these representations π_1, π_2, \dots . For each n , let B_n be the $C_0(Y)$ -*-subalgebra generated by $\sum_1^n H_{\pi_i}$. Then \bar{B}_n is a G -invariant sub- C^* -algebra of $C_0(X)$ containing $C_0(Y)$, so via Gelfand theory, we have an intermediate G space $X_n, X \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow Y$. One readily checks that X_n is a finitely generated continuous ergodic extension with proper relatively discrete spectrum, and since $\bigcup \bar{B}_n = C_0(X)$, the result follows.

Suppose now that X and Y are minimal, distal, compact metric G -spaces and $X \rightarrow Y$ is an isometric extension. Then Knapp [9] shows that X is a continuous ergodic extension with proper relatively discrete spectrum. Hence, we have the following strengthened version of Furstenberg’s structure theorem.

THEOREM 4.2. *Suppose X is a minimal distal compact metric G -space. Then there is an ordinal ξ , metric G -spaces X_η for each $\eta < \xi$, and continuous, surjective, non-injective G -maps $X_\eta \rightarrow X_\sigma$ for all pairs (η, σ) with $\eta > \sigma$, such that, calling $X = X_\xi$*

- (i) $X_{\eta+1}$ is a homogeneous extension of X_η for all $\eta < \xi$;
- (ii) if η is a limit ordinal, $\eta \leq \xi$, then $X_\eta = \lim_{\leftarrow} \{X_\sigma | \sigma < \eta\}$

Proof. This follows by a Zorn’s lemma argument, exactly as in [4, Theorem 4.2], by making use of Proposition 4.1.

The following provides a criterion for when an extension will be finitely generated.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Suppose $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous ergodic extension with proper relatively discrete spectrum, and normal in the sense of [11, Definition 5.4]. (We remark that normality holds in particular if $V_i(y)$ are all one dimensional where V_i are as in Definition 2.4). If the fibres of p are finite dimensional and locally connected, then X is a finitely generated extension of Y .*

Proof. For almost all $y, L^2(p^{-1}(y)) \cong L^2(S)$ by a unitary map which when restricted to $R(y)$, is an algebra isomorphism of $R(y)$ and $R(S)$. It follows from Lemma 2.13 that $p^{-1}(y)$ is homeomorphic to S . Normality implies that S is

actually a (separable) compact group [11, Theorem 5.7], and since S is finite dimensional and locally connected, S must be a Lie group. But then $R(S)$ is finitely generated [8, 30.48].

Finally, we present a simple example to show that the finite generation condition is required. In fact, we exhibit compact, minimal, distal spaces X and Y , with X an isometric but not homogeneous extension of Y .

Example 4.4. Let N be the nilpotent Lie group consisting of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $x, y, z \in \mathbf{R}$. For convenience, we denote this matrix by $[x, y, z]$. Let D be the discrete subgroup of N consisting of the matrices for which x, y, z are integers. Then N/D is a compact manifold, admitting an N -invariant probability measure. (For this and other statements not proven below, see [1].) Let $M = D[N, N]$. Then N/M is torus, and we have a natural projection $N/D \rightarrow N/M$. For each positive integer i , let N_i, D_i, M_i be copies of N, D, M , respectively. Let

$$X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n N_i/D_i, \quad Y_n = \prod_{i=1}^n N_i/M_i,$$

$$X = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i/D_i, \quad Y = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i/M_i.$$

$D_1 \times \dots \times D_n$ is a discrete subgroup of the nilpotent Lie group $N_1 \times \dots \times N_n$, and $M_1 \times \dots \times M_n$ is the subgroup $(D_1 \times \dots \times D_n)[N_1 \times \dots \times N_n, N_1 \times \dots \times N_n]$. We have

$$X_n \cong N_1 \times \dots \times N_n/D_1 \times \dots \times D_n,$$

$$Y_n \cong N_1 \times \dots \times N_n/M_1 \times \dots \times M_n,$$

and natural projection, $X_n \rightarrow Y_n, p : X \rightarrow Y$.

Choose a sequence of real numbers, x_1, x_2, \dots , such that $\{1, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ is independent over the rationals. For each i , let A_i be the matrix $[x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}, 0]$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ can be considered as an element of $N_1 \times \dots \times N_n$, and translation on the right by the one-parameter subgroup determined by this element defines an action of \mathbf{R} on X_n that factors to an action on Y_n . By the choice of A_n , it follows from [1, Corollary V.4.5] that X_n is a minimal \mathbf{R} -space, and from [1, Theorem IV.3] that \mathbf{R} acts distally on X_n . The actions on X_n induce an \mathbf{R} -action on X which factors to an action on Y , and it follows readily that X is also minimal and distal. We now claim that X is an isometric extension of Y . For each $i, N_i/D_i$ is an isometric extension of N_i/M_i [9, Paragraph 9]. Let ρ_i, K_i be the function and homogeneous space that exhibit this, as required in

definition of isometric extension [4, Definition 2.2]. Let

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \frac{\rho_i}{1 + \rho_i}, \quad K = \prod_1^{\infty} K_i.$$

Then it is straightforward to check that ρ and K exhibit X as an isometric extension of Y .

Finally, we claim that X is not a homogeneous extension of Y . If it is, we can choose an open set $U \subset Y$ for which $p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a trivial bundle. For an open set $U \subset Y$, there is an integer k and elements $a_i \in N_i/M_i, i \neq k$, such that

$$\{a_1\} \times \dots \times \{a_{k-1}\} \times N_k/M_k \times \{a_{k+1}\} \times \dots \subset U.$$

But if $p^{-1}(U)$ is trivial over $U, N_k/D_k$ must be a trivial bundle over N_k/M_k , which is a contradiction.

REFERENCES

1. L. Auslander, L. Green and F. Hahn, *Flows on homogeneous spaces*, Annals of Math. Studies 53 (1963).
2. G. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups* (Academic Press, New York, 1972).
3. R. Ellis, *Lectures on topological dynamics* (Benjamin, New York, 1969).
4. H. Furstenberg, *The structure of distal flows*, Amer. J. Math. 85 (1963), 477-515.
5. S. Glasner, *Relatively invariant measures*, Pac. J. Math. 58 (1975) 393-410.
6. P. R. Halmos, *Lectures on ergodic theory* (Chelsea Pub. Co., New York, 1956).
7. P. R. Halmos and J. von Neumann, *Operator methods in classical mechanics II*, Annals of Math. 43 (1942), 235-247.
8. E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis, Vol. II* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970).
9. A. W. Knap, *Distal functions on groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (1967), 1-40.
10. G. W. Mackey, *Ergodic transformation groups with a pure point spectrum*, Illinois J. Math. 6 (1962), 327-335.
11. R. J. Zimmer, *Extensions of ergodic group actions*, Illinois J. Math. 20 (1976), 373-409.
12. ——— *Ergodic actions with generalized discrete spectrum*, Illinois J. Math. 20 (1976), 555-588.
13. ——— *Distal transformation groups and fibre bundles*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1975), 959-960.

*United States Naval Academy,
Annapolis, Maryland*