

EXISTENCE AND L_∞ ESTIMATES FOR A CLASS OF
SINGULAR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

J.M. GOMES

We prove the existence of a positive solution to an equation of the form $(1/\Phi(t))(\Phi(t)u'(t))' = f(u(t))$ with Dirichlet conditions where the friction term Φ'/Φ is increasing. Our method combines variational and topological arguments and provides an L_∞ estimate of the solution.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

We are interested in the existence and estimation of the L_∞ -norm of a positive solution to the problem

$$(1) \quad (\Phi(t)u'(t))' + \Phi(t)f(u(t)) = 0$$
$$(2) \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0$$

We suppose that $\Phi \in C^1(]0, 1[)$,

$$(3) \quad \Phi \geq m > 0 \text{ in }]0, 1[,$$

and

$$(4) \quad \frac{\Phi'(t)}{\Phi(t)} \text{ is an increasing function.}$$

Moreover we assume that f is locally Lipschitz,

$$(5) \quad f(0) = 0,$$

and that there exists $M_0 > 0$ such that

$$(6) \quad f(t) < 0 \text{ if } t < M_0, \text{ and } f(t) > 0 \text{ if } t > M_0.$$

Note that an equivalent formulation to problem (1)–(2) is

$$u''(t) + a(t)u'(t) + f(u(t)) = 0, \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0$$

Received 15th June, 2004

The author would like to thank Prof. Luis Sanchez for helpful discussions and suggestions. The author is supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, [POCTI-FEDER].

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/04 \$A2.00+0.00.

where the friction term $a(t)$ is an increasing function. There is a vast literature dealing with existence of solutions of singular boundary value problems (see for instance [1, 3, 4, 5] and the references therein). In this work, however, by restricting ourselves to a particular class of equations, we manage to provide L_∞ estimates to the solutions even when the non-linear term $f(\cdot)$ has arbitrary growth. Our method is inspired by the topological shooting method (see for instance [2]) and the classical mountain pass theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [6] and it is settled in Section 2. In Section 3 we prove our main result:

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that $\Phi \in C^1(]0, 1[)$, f is a locally Lipschitz continuous function and that conditions (3)–(6) are satisfied. Moreover suppose that*

$$(7) \quad \frac{\Phi(s)}{\Phi(t)} \leq K \text{ for some } K > 0 \text{ and every } 0 < t \leq s \leq 1,$$

and that there exists a nonnegative $v \in H_0^1(]0, 1[)$ such that

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \Phi(t)v'(t)^2 dt < \int_0^1 \Phi(t)F(v(t)) dt < \infty,$$

where $F(v) = \int_0^v f(s) ds$.

Then Problem (1)–(2) has a positive solution u such that $M_0 < \max u \leq \|v\|_\infty$.

As motivating examples we may consider $\Phi(t) = t^{-\alpha}$ or $\Phi(t) = \exp(t^{-\alpha})$ with $\alpha > 0$ (the reader may easily verify that these functions fulfill conditions (3), (4) and (7)).

2. VARIATIONAL SETTING AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

Throughout this section we assume that there exist $m, m^*, L > 0$ such that, for all $t \in]0, 1[$,

$$(9) \quad 0 < m \leq \Phi(t) \leq m^* \quad , \quad |\Phi'(t)| \leq L,$$

and

$$(10) \quad \frac{\Phi'(t)}{\Phi(t)} \text{ is a strictly increasing function.}$$

Since we are looking for positive solutions we assume that f is extended by zero in $] - \infty, 0]$. The reader may easily verify that any non-trivial solution to (1)–(2) where f has been extended by zero should be positive in $]0, 1[$ therefore being a solution of the initial problem. We shall consider the Sobolev space $H = H_0^1(]0, 1[)$ consisting of absolutely continuous functions u such that

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_0^1 u'^2(t) dt < \infty \quad , \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0.$$

Problem (1)–(2) may be viewed as the Euler-Lagrange equation of the functional $J : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by:

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \Phi(t)u'^2(t) dt - \int_0^1 \Phi(t)F(u(t)) dt$$

where $F(u) = \int_0^u f(s) ds$. We shall suppose that J satisfies the following property:

$$(11) \quad \exists v \in H : J(v) < 0.$$

REMARK 1. Property (11) is trivially satisfied if, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $f(u) \geq \varepsilon u^\alpha - C$ for all $u \geq 0$, where $\alpha > 1$ and $C > 0$.

Let $\overline{M} = \|v\|_\infty$. Since $J(w) \geq 0$ for every $w \in H$ with $\|w\|_\infty \leq M_0$, we have $\overline{M} > M_0$. Given $M \in [M_0, \overline{M}]$, we shall consider the following subset of H :

$$\mathfrak{C}_M = \{u \in H : \max u \geq M\},$$

and the truncated functional $J_M : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$J_M(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \Phi(t)u'^2(t) dt - \int_0^1 \Phi(t)F_M(u(t)) dt$$

where

$$F_M(u) = \begin{cases} F(u) & \text{if } u \leq M \\ F(M) & \text{if } u > M \end{cases}.$$

REMARK 2. From the compact injection of $H_0^1([0, 1])$ in $C([0, 1])$ we conclude that \mathfrak{C}_M is weakly sequentially closed and that J_M is coercive and weakly lower semi-continuous.

The main result of this section is the following proposition whose proof will become clear after a sequence of lemmas:

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $f \in C([0, +\infty[)$ and $\Phi \in C^1([0, 1])$ satisfy respectively properties (5)–(6) and (9)–(10). Moreover, suppose that J satisfies property (11) and let $\overline{M} = \|v\|_\infty$. Then there exists a classical positive solution u to problem (1)–(2) with $M_0 < \max u \leq \overline{M}$.*

We shall be interested in the family of minimisers u_M of J_M in \mathfrak{C}_M where $M \in [M_0, \overline{M}]$. By Remark 2 we know that u_M exists for every $M \in [M_0, \overline{M}]$. We also know that:

LEMMA 3. *Let u_M be a minimiser of J_M in \mathfrak{C}_M . Then $\max u = M$.*

PROOF: Given $w \in \mathfrak{C}_M$ define

$$\overline{w}(t) = \min\{w(t), M\}.$$

If $\bar{w} \neq w$ then,

$$\int_0^1 \Phi \bar{w}^2 < \int_0^1 \Phi w^2$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \Phi F_M(\bar{w}) = \int_0^1 \Phi F_M(w).$$

Therefore $J_M(\bar{w}) < J_M(w)$ and the lemma follows. □

Given $M \in [M_0, \bar{M}]$, we define two types of minimisers of J_M in \mathfrak{C}_M :

DEFINITION: Let u_M be a minimiser of J_M in \mathfrak{C}_M .

- (i) We say that u_M is a minimiser of *type A* if there exists a unique $t_0 \in]0, 1[$ such that $u(t_0) = M$.
- (ii) We say that u_M is a minimiser of *type B* if, given t_α (respectively t_β) = min (respectively max) $\{t : u_M(t) = M\}$, we have

$$u'_-(t_\alpha) = u'_+(t_\beta) = 0.$$

REMARK 3. If u_M is a minimiser of type A then u satisfies equation (1) in $]0, t_0[\cup]t_0, 1[$ since $J'_M(u)v = J'(u)v$ for every $v \in C^\infty_0(]0, t_0[\cup]t_0, 1[)$. For the same reason, if v_M is a type B minimiser, it satisfies equation (1) in $]0, t_\alpha[\cup]t_\beta, 1[$. If w is simultaneously of type A and B, then w is a classical solution to problem (1)–(2).

LEMMA 4. Let u be a minimiser of J_M in \mathfrak{C}_M . Then u is of type A or B (possibly both).

PROOF: We may rephrase the lemma as:

Let $t_\alpha(t_\beta) = \min(\max) \{t : u(t) = M\}$. Then either $u'_-(t_\alpha) = u'_+(t_\beta) = 0$ or $t_\alpha = t_\beta$.

Integrating equation (1) between t_1 and t_2 and letting $t_1, t_2 \rightarrow t_\alpha(t_\beta)$, we conclude that $u'_-(t_\alpha)(u'_+(t_\beta))$ is well defined. Suppose, in view of a contradiction, that $t_\alpha < t_\beta$ and $u'_-(t_\alpha) > 0$ (the case $u'_+(t_\beta) < 0$ is treated with similar arguments). Choose $\theta, \varepsilon > 0$ such that $u'(t) \geq \theta$ for every $t \in]t_\alpha - \varepsilon, t_\alpha[$ (we may suppose $\varepsilon < t_\beta - t_\alpha$) and consider:

$$(12) \quad v_\varepsilon(t) = -(|t - t_\alpha| - \varepsilon)_-$$

We assert that, for a small ε ,

$$(13) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_M(u + sv_\varepsilon) - J_M(u)}{s} < 0.$$

If (13) holds, then for a sufficiently small $s^* > 0$, we have $u + s^*v_\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{C}_M$ (since $(u + s^*v_\varepsilon)(t_\beta) = M$) and $J_M(u + s^*v_\varepsilon) < J_M(u)$ which contradicts the assumption that u is a minimiser of J_M in \mathfrak{C}_M .

In fact, Lemma 3 and (12) imply $u + s^*v_\epsilon \leq M$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_M(u + sv_\epsilon) - J_M(u)}{s} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(u + sv_\epsilon) - J(u)}{s} \\ &= \int_0^1 \Phi(t)u'(t)v'_\epsilon(t) dt - \int_0^1 \Phi(t)f(u(t))v_\epsilon(t) dt \\ &\leq -\theta \int_{t_\alpha - \epsilon}^{t_\alpha} \Phi(t) dt + \int_{t_\alpha}^{t_\alpha + \epsilon} \Phi(t)u'(t) dt - \int_{t_\alpha - \epsilon}^{t_\alpha + \epsilon} \Phi(t)f(u(t))v_\epsilon(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that, by (9),

$$(14) \quad -\theta \int_{t_\alpha - \epsilon}^{t_\alpha} \Phi(t) dt \leq -m\theta\epsilon$$

and for some $C > 0$ independent of ϵ ,

$$(15) \quad \int_{t_\alpha - \epsilon}^{t_\alpha + \epsilon} \Phi(t)f(u(t))v_\epsilon(t) dt \leq C\epsilon^2.$$

Also, by Holder's inequality, (9) and Lemma 3, for $\gamma(t) \in]0, 1[$,

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{t_\alpha}^{t_\alpha + \epsilon} \Phi(t)u'(t) dt &= \int_{t_\alpha}^{t_\alpha + \epsilon} (\Phi(t_\alpha) + \Phi'(t_\alpha + \gamma(t)(t - t_\alpha))(t - t_\alpha))u'(t) dt \\ &\leq \Phi(t_\alpha)(u(t_\alpha + \epsilon) - M) + L\|u\|\epsilon^{3/2} \leq L\|u\|\epsilon^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (14), (15) and (16) we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_M(u + sv_\epsilon) - J_M(u)}{s} \leq -m\theta\epsilon + C\epsilon^2 + L\|u\|\epsilon^{3/2},$$

and the assertion follows for sufficiently small ϵ . □

In the next lemma we provide a sharper characterisation of a type A minimiser.

LEMMA 5. *Let u be a minimiser of J_M in \mathfrak{C}_M of type A. Then*

- (i) $u'_-(t_0) > 0$ and $u'_+(t_0) < 0$ or
- (ii) $u'(t_0) = 0$.

PROOF: In view of a contradiction, suppose that $u'_-(t_0) = 0$ and $u'_+(t_0) < 0$ (the reversed case is proved with similar arguments). Consider the following perturbation function:

$$w_\epsilon(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq t_0 - \epsilon \\ 4(t - t_0 + \epsilon) & \text{if } t_0 - \epsilon < t \leq t_0 - \epsilon/2 \\ -6(t - t_0 + \epsilon/2) + 2\epsilon & \text{if } t_0 - \epsilon/2 < t \leq t_0 \\ t - t_0 - \epsilon & \text{if } t_0 < t \leq t_0 + \epsilon \\ 0 & \text{if } t_0 + \epsilon < t \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Trivially, for sufficiently small ε , $w_\varepsilon \in H$. Given $\lambda > 0$, since F_M is a Lipschitz function, we have for some $C_1 > 0$ independent of ε ,

$$(17) \quad \int_{t_0-\varepsilon}^{t_0+\varepsilon} \Phi(t)F_M(u + \lambda w_\varepsilon)(t) dt \geq \int_{t_0-\varepsilon}^{t_0+\varepsilon} \Phi(t)F_M(u(t)) dt - C_1\varepsilon^2\lambda.$$

Also

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0-\varepsilon}^{t_0+\varepsilon} \Phi(t)(u' + \lambda w'_\varepsilon)^2(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0-\varepsilon}^{t_0+\varepsilon} \Phi(t)u'^2(t) dt + \lambda \int_{t_0-\varepsilon}^{t_0+\varepsilon} \Phi(t)u'(t)w'_\varepsilon(t) dt + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_{t_0-\varepsilon}^{t_0+\varepsilon} \Phi(t)w'^2_\varepsilon(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0-\varepsilon}^{t_0+\varepsilon} \Phi(t)u'^2(t) dt + \lambda \int_{t_0-\varepsilon}^{t_0+\varepsilon} \Phi(t)u'(t)w'_\varepsilon(t) dt + C_2\lambda^2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_2 = 36m^*$. Note that, by (17) and (18), we have

$$(19) \quad J_M(u + \lambda w_\varepsilon) \leq J_M(u) + \Psi(\lambda, \varepsilon)$$

with

$$\Psi(\lambda, \varepsilon) = \lambda \int_{t_0-\varepsilon}^{t_0+\varepsilon} \Phi(t)u'(t)w'_\varepsilon(t) dt + C(\lambda^2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2\lambda),$$

where $C = \max\{C_1, C_2\}$. Our purpose is to show the existence of $\lambda, \varepsilon > 0$ such that $\Psi(\lambda, \varepsilon) < 0$ and $u + \lambda w_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_M$, obtaining a contradiction from (19). Since $u'_-(t_0) = 0$ and $u'_+(t_0) < 0$, by (9) we may take $\varepsilon_0, \theta > 0$ such that, for every $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$,

$$|\Phi(s)u'(s)w'_\varepsilon(s)| < \theta/2 \text{ if } s \in [t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0]$$

and

$$\Phi(s)u'(s) \leq -\theta \text{ if } s \in [t_0, t_0 + \varepsilon].$$

Then

$$\Psi(\lambda, \varepsilon) \leq -\frac{\theta}{2}\lambda\varepsilon + C\lambda\varepsilon(\varepsilon + \lambda),$$

and, if we fix $\lambda = \theta/(4C)$, we have, for $\varepsilon < \min\{\theta/(4C), \varepsilon_0\}$,

$$(20) \quad \Psi(\lambda, \varepsilon) < 0.$$

In order to insure that $u + \lambda w_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_M$, take ε_1 such that if $s \in [t_0 - \varepsilon_1, t_0]$, then

$$(21) \quad 6\lambda - u'(s) > 2\lambda.$$

We have, for $\varepsilon < \min\{\theta/(4C), \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (u + \lambda w_\varepsilon)\left(t_0 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) &= (u + \lambda w_\varepsilon)(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_0-(\varepsilon/2)} (u' + \lambda w'_\varepsilon)(s) ds \\ &= M - \lambda\varepsilon + \int_{t_0-(\varepsilon/2)}^{t_0} (6\lambda - u'(s)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and by (21),

$$(u + \lambda w_\epsilon) \left(t_0 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \geq M,$$

then $u + \lambda w_\epsilon \in \mathcal{C}_M$ and the proof is concluded. □

In the next lemma we establish an important fact concerning the coexistence of type A and type B minimisers of J_M in \mathcal{C}_M :

LEMMA 6. *Suppose that for a certain $M \in [M_0, \overline{M}]$ there exist minimisers u and v of J_M in \mathcal{C}_M such that u is of type A and v is of type B. Then u is of type B (therefore being a classical solution to problem (1)–(2)).*

PROOF: Since u is of type A let t_0 be the point where u equals M . Since v is of type B, let t_α (respectively t_β) = \min (respectively \max) $\{t : v(t) = M\}$ and

$$v'_-(t_\alpha) = v'_+(t_\beta) = 0.$$

We have $t_0 \leq t_\beta$ or $t_0 \geq t_\alpha$. Suppose, in view of a contradiction, that $t_0 \leq t_\beta$ and u is not of type B (the other case is proved with similar arguments). Then by Lemma 5 we have $u'_+(t_0) < 0$.

CLAIM. For every $t \in]t_\beta, 1[$, $u(t) < v(t)$. In particular, $u'(1) > v'(1)$.

Suppose that for some $t^* \in]t_\beta, 1[$ we had $u(t^*) = v(t^*)$ and $u'(t^*) > v'(t^*)$ (the case $u'(t^*) = v'(t^*)$ is excluded by the existence and uniqueness theorem). Moreover, suppose that

$$(22) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{t^*}^1 \Phi u'^2 - \int_{t^*}^1 \Phi F_M(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{t^*}^1 \Phi v'^2 dt - \int_{t^*}^1 \Phi F_M(v),$$

and let

$$v^*(t) = \begin{cases} v(t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq t^* \\ u(t) & \text{if } t^* < t \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Then $v^* \in H$ and

$$J_M(v^*) \leq J_M(v),$$

therefore v^* is also a minimiser in \mathcal{C}_M . This is absurd since v^* is not differentiable at t^* (see remark 3). In case where, instead of (22), we had the reversed inequality we get the same contradiction by considering:

$$u^*(t) = \begin{cases} u(t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq t^* \\ v(t) & \text{if } t^* < t \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

The strict inequality $u'(1) > v'(1)$ is a consequence of the existence and uniqueness theorem and the claim is proved.

Let

$$\hat{t} = \sup \{t : t_0 \leq t \leq 1 : u'(s) \leq 0 \ \forall s \in [t_0, t]\}.$$

We assert that

$$u(\hat{t}) < M_0 \text{ and } u'(\hat{t}) > v'(1).$$

In fact, if $\hat{t} = 1$ the assertion simply follows from the previous claim. If $\hat{t} < 1$, we may conclude from equation (1) and our assumptions on f that $u(\hat{t}) < M_0$ and $u'(\hat{t}) = 0$ (in fact, $u(\hat{t})$ is a local minimum of u).

Similarly, if we define

$$\bar{t} = \inf\{t : t_\beta \leq t \leq 1 \text{ } v'(s) \leq 0 \forall s \in [t, 1]\},$$

we have that

$$v'(\bar{t}) = 0 \text{ and } v(\bar{t}) > M_0$$

(in fact, $v(\bar{t})$ is a local maximum of v). Then, if we consider in the phase plane (x, x') the curves U and V corresponding to $u|_{[t_0, \hat{t}]}$ and $v|_{[\bar{t}, 1]}$, they must intersect at some point $P = (\mu, \mu')$ in the fourth quadrant. That is, for some $t_1 < t_2$,

$$u(t_1) = v(t_2) = \mu \text{ and } u'(t_1) = u'(t_2) = \mu' < 0.$$

Moreover we may suppose that P is such that μ is minimal. Let $T = t_2 - t_1$ and consider $v_T(t) = v(t + T)$. This translate of v satisfies, for every $t \in]t_1, 1 - t_2 + t_1[$,

$$(\Phi(t + T)v_T'(t))' = -\Phi(t + T)f(v_T(t)),$$

or equivalently

$$v_T'' = -f(v_T(t)) - v_T'(t) \frac{\Phi'(t + T)}{\Phi(t + T)},$$

with initial conditions $v_T(t_1) = u(t_1)$ and $v_T'(t_1) = u'(t_1)$. However, u is a solution to

$$u'' = -f(u(t)) - u'(t) \frac{\Phi'(t)}{\Phi(t)}.$$

Since Φ'/Φ is strictly increasing and $-u'(t_1) = -v_T'(t_1) > 0$, we obtain

$$(23) \quad u''(t_1) < v_T''(t_1).$$

Again, by considering in the phase plane (x, x') the curves corresponding to $v_T|_{[t_1, 1-t_2+t_1]}$ and $u|_{[t_1, 1]}$, we conclude from (23) the existence of $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\mu}')$ in the fourth quadrant, with $\hat{\mu} < \mu$, such that, for some $t_1 < t' < 1 - t_1 + t_2$,

$$u(t_1) = v_T(t') = \hat{\mu} \text{ and } u'(t_1) = v_T'(t') = \hat{\mu}',$$

or, for $t_3 = t' + t_2 - t_1$,

$$u(t_1) = v(t_3) = \hat{\mu} \text{ and } u'(t_1) = v'(t_3) = \hat{\mu}' < 0.$$

But this contradicts our assumption that μ is minimal. We may conclude that if $t_0 \leq t_\beta$, u must be of type B.

If $t_0 \geq t_\alpha$ the proof is identical and we shall just sketch it. By Lemma 5 we have $u'(t_0) > 0$. With a similar reasoning to the one in the claim we may prove that $v'(0) > u'(0) > 0$. We define

$$\hat{t}^* = \inf\{t : 0 \leq t \leq t_0, u'(s) \geq 0 \forall s \in [t, t_0]\}$$

and

$$\bar{t}^* = \sup\{t : 0 \leq t \leq t_\alpha, v'(s) \geq 0 \forall s \in [0, t]\}.$$

Then $u(\hat{t}^*) < M_0$ and $u'(\hat{t}^*) < v'(0)$. Also $v(\bar{t}^*) > M_0$ and $v'(\bar{t}^*) = 0$. Then the curves $u|_{[\hat{t}^*, t_0]}$ and $v|_{[0, \bar{t}^]}$ must intersect at some point $P = (\nu, \nu')$ in the first quadrant of the phase plane (x, x') . Let us assume that ν is minimal and consider v_{-T} the translate of the left branch of v that, at some point t_1 , coincides with u with same image and same positive derivative. Our assumption on the term Φ'/Φ implies that $u''(t_1) < v''_{-T}(t_1)$ and we get the same contradiction. □

We are now in a position to prove proposition 2.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2: Let $I = [M_0, \bar{M}]$ and consider the following subsets I_A and I_B :

$$I_A(I_B) = \{M \in [M_0, \bar{M}] : J_M \text{ has a minimiser in } \mathfrak{C}_M \text{ of type A (B)}\}.$$

By Lemma 4 we have $I = I_A \cup I_B$. We assert that I_A and I_B are non-empty. We have $M_0 \in I_A$. In fact, let u_{M_0} be a minimiser of J_{M_0} in \mathfrak{C}_{M_0} . If u_{M_0} is of type B then by the existence and uniqueness theorem, $u_{M_0} \equiv M_0$, an obvious contradiction. Now, in order to prove that I_B is non-empty, we have $\bar{M} \in I_B$ or $\bar{M} \notin I_B$. Suppose that $\bar{M} \notin I_B$ and let $u_{\bar{M}}$ be a minimiser of $J_{\bar{M}}$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{\bar{M}}$. By Lemma 5 there exists t_0 such that $u_{\bar{M}}(t_0) = \bar{M}$, $u'_{\bar{M}}(t_0)_- > 0$ and $u'_{\bar{M}}(t_0)_+ < 0$. Then, for sufficiently small $\lambda, \epsilon > 0$ we have

$$J_{\bar{M}}(u_{\bar{M}} + \lambda v_\epsilon) < J_{\bar{M}}(u_{\bar{M}}),$$

where v_ϵ was defined in (12) (see Lemma 4 for details). Then, by (11),

$$\min J_{\bar{M}} < \min J_{\bar{M}}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\bar{M}}} < 0,$$

and the continuous embedding of H in $C([0, 1])$ implies that a minimum of $J_{\bar{M}}$ is a local minimum of J . It is therefore a nontrivial classical solution to (1)-(2) with $M_0 < \max u \leq \bar{M}$. In particular, it implies that I_B is nonempty.

Finally, we state that I_A and I_B are closed subsets of I . We shall only consider I_A since the other case is identical. Let (M_n) be a sequence in I_A such that $M_n \rightarrow M$. Let u_n be a corresponding sequence of type A minimisers of J_{M_n} in \mathfrak{C}_{M_n} . Since (u_n) is trivially bounded we may extract a weakly convergent subsequence (still denoted by u_n)

such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$. Since the weak convergence implies L_∞ convergence one gets that $u \in \mathfrak{C}_M$ and u is of type A. It remains to show that u is a minimiser of J_M in \mathfrak{C}_M . Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \Phi F_{M_n}(u_n) = \int_0^1 \Phi F_M(u)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \Phi u'^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \Phi u_n'^2,$$

we have

$$J_M(u) \leq \liminf J_{M_n}(u_n).$$

Moreover, if we consider the sequence $w_n = (M_n/M)u$, we have $w_n \rightarrow u$ in H and $w_n \in \mathfrak{C}_{M_n}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$J_M(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{M_n}(w_n)$$

and

$$J_{M_n}(w_n) \geq J_{M_n}(u_n),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently,

$$J_M(u) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{M_n}(u_n) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{M_n}(u_n) \geq J_M(u),$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{M_n}(u_n) = J_M(u).$$

If, for a certain u^* in \mathfrak{C}_M , $J_M(u^*) < J_M(u)$, then, for sufficiently large n , we would have

$$J_{M_n}(w_n^*) < J_{M_n}(u_n),$$

where $w_n^* = (M_n/M)u^*$, a contradiction. Then $M \in I_A$ and I_A is closed. With a similar reasoning one proves that I_B is closed. Then, by connectedness we have $I_A \cap I_B \neq \emptyset$ and by Lemma 6 we conclude the existence of a classical solution u with $\max u \in I_A \cap I_B$. \square

REMARK 4. Note that the existence of a solution to problem (1)–(2) under the assumptions of proposition 2 could have been proved with the use of the Mountain Pass theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz. However this theorem does not provide sharp estimates on the L_∞ norm of the solutions.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

In this section we extend proposition 2 to the case where Φ may have a singularity at zero. Our technique relies in a simple approximation procedure to problem (1)–(2). The results established in the previous section obviously remain true when initial conditions imposed to equation (1) are $u(a) = u(b) = 0$ (where $a < b$).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: We may assume that the function v given by (8) has support contained in $[\varepsilon_0, 1]$, where $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is sufficiently small (the more general assertion can be obtained as a limit case). Take $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < 1/n_0 < \varepsilon_0$, and for every $n > n_0$, define:

$$\Phi_n(t) = \exp\left(\frac{t^2}{2n}\right)\Phi(t).$$

Note that Φ'_n/Φ_n is strictly increasing and $\Phi_n \rightarrow \Phi$ uniformly. Then, taking $H = H_0^1\left(]1/n, 1[\right)$, (8) implies that (11) is proven for large n if we consider the restriction of v to $]1/n, 1[$. We can therefore apply proposition 2 to the family of problems

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_n(t)u'_n(t))' + \Phi_n(t)f(u_n(t)) &= 0 \\ u\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) &= u(1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

obtaining a sequence of solutions (u_n) such that $M_0 < \max u_n \leq \bar{M}$. We may suppose that the u_n 's are extended by zero in $]0, 1[$.

CLAIM. The sequence (u_n) is equicontinuous.

Let $t_n \in]1/n, 1[$ be such that $u_n(t_n) = \max_{u_n} \in]1/n, 1[$. For any $t \in [1/n, 1]$, we have

$$\Phi_n(t)u'_n(t) = \int_t^{t_n} \Phi_n(s)f(u_n(s)) ds.$$

If $1/n \leq t \leq t_n$, assumption (7) implies

$$(24) \quad |u'_n(t)| \leq \int_t^{t_n} \frac{\Phi_n(s)}{\Phi_n(t)} |f(u_n(s))| ds \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right)K\bar{f}.$$

where $\bar{f} = \max_{[0, \bar{M}]} |f|$. Since $u_n(t_n) \geq M_0$ we may conclude the existence of $l > 0$ independent of n such that $t_n \geq l$. Then, for any $t_n \leq t \leq 1$, we have, by (3),

$$(25) \quad |u'_n(t)| \leq \int_{t_n}^1 \frac{\Phi_n(s)}{\Phi_n(t)} |f(u_n(s))| ds \leq (1-l) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right) \frac{\bar{f}}{m} \max_{[l, 1]} \Phi,$$

and the claim follows from (24)-(25).

We can therefore take a subsequence (still denoted by (u_n)) such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ uniformly and by standard arguments, u is a solution of (1)-(2). Since $M_0 < \max u_n \leq \bar{M}$ for all n , we conclude that u is nontrivial and $M_0 < \max u \leq \bar{M}$ (the case $\max u = M_0$ is excluded by the existence and uniqueness theorem). □

REMARK 5. If we consider the change of variables $t = 1 - t'$ we may restate theorem 1 for a class of functions $\Phi \in C^1([0, 1[)$ having a singularity at 1:

Suppose that f satisfies (5)-(6) and:

$$(1) \quad \Phi(t) \geq m > 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, 1[,$$

- (2) Φ'/Φ is a decreasing function,
 (3) $(\Phi(t)/\Phi(s)) \leq K$ for some $K > 0$ and every $0 \leq t \leq s < 1$,
 (4) There exists a nonnegative $v \in H_0^1(]0, 1[)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \Phi(t)v'(t)^2 dt < \int_0^1 \Phi(t)F(v(t)) dt < \infty,$$

$$\text{where } F(v) = \int_0^v f(s) ds.$$

Then Problem (1)–(2) has a positive solution u such that $M_0 < \max u \leq \|v\|_\infty$.

REMARK 6. Note that the method only requires that $f > 0$ in $[M_0, \|v\|_\infty[$ where $\|v\|_\infty$ is given by (8). The behaviour of f in $[\|v\|_\infty, \infty[$ is not relevant for our existence-estimation result.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Agarwal, D. O'Regan and P. Wong, *Positive solutions of differential, difference and integral equations* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999).
 [2] H. Berestycki, P.L. Lions and L.A. Peletier, 'An ODE approach to the existence of positive solutions for semilinear problems in \mathbb{R}^N ', *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **30** (1981), 141–157.
 [3] L.E. Bobisud and D. O'Regan, 'Positive solutions for a class of nonlinear singular boundary value problems at resonance', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184** (1994), 263–284.
 [4] D. O'Regan, Solvability of some two point boundary value problems of Dirichlet, Neumann, or periodic type, *Dynam. Systems Appl.* **2** (1993), 163–182.
 [5] D. O'Regan, 'Nonresonance and existence for singular boundary value problems', *Nonlinear Anal.* **23** (1994), 165–186.
 [6] P.H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Series in Math. **65** (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence R.I., 1986).

CMAF-Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa
 Avenida Professor Gama Pinto, 2
 1649-003 Lisboa
 Portugal
 e-mail: zemaria@cii.fc.ul.pt