

ON THE GROWTH OF THE CYCLOTOMIC POLYNOMIAL  
IN THE INTERVAL (0, 1)

by P. ERDÖS

(Received 16th November, 1956)

Let

$$F_n(x) = \prod_{d|n} (x^{n/d} - 1)^{\mu(d)}$$

be the  $n$ th cyclotomic polynomial, and denote by  $A_n$  the absolute value of the largest coefficient of  $F_n(x)$ . Schur proved that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \infty,$$

and Emma Lehmer [5] showed that  $A_n > cn^{1/3}$  for infinitely many  $n$ ; in fact she proved that  $n$  can be chosen as the product of three distinct primes. I proved [3] that there exists a positive constant  $c_1$  such that, for infinitely many  $n$ ,

$$A_n > \exp \{nc_1/\log \log n\}, \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

and Bateman [1] proved very simply that, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and all  $n > n_0(\epsilon)$ ,

$$A_n < \exp \{n^{(1+\epsilon)\log 2/\log \log n}\}.$$

My proof of (1) followed immediately from the fact that, for infinitely many  $n$ ,

$$\max_{|x| \leq 1} |F_n(x)| > \exp \{nc_2/\log \log n\}. \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

The proof of (2) was quite complicated.

Some time ago Kanold\* asked me if I could estimate the growth of  $|F_n(x)|$  in the interval (0, 1). I have now found a very simple proof that there exists a positive constant  $c_3$  such that, for infinitely many  $n$ ,

$$\max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |F_n(x)| > \exp \{nc_3/\log \log n\}, \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

which, of course, implies (2) and therefore (1).

I conjecture that (3) is satisfied for every  $c_3 < \log 2$ , so that Bateman's result is best possible.

*Proof of (3).* It follows easily from the Prime Number Theorem, or from the more elementary result

$$\pi(x) > \frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{\log x},$$

that there are arbitrarily large integers  $t$  for which

$$\pi(t + t^{1/4}) - \pi(t) > \frac{1}{10} t^{1/4} / \log t.$$

Denote by  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ , where  $k > \frac{1}{10} t^{1/4} / \log t$ , the primes in the interval  $(t, t + t^{1/4})$  in ascending order of magnitude. Put  $n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i$ , and

$$F_n(x) = F_n^{(1)}(x) F_n^{(2)}(x), \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

where, in  $F_n^{(1)}(x)$ ,  $d$  runs through the divisors of  $n$  satisfying  $v(n/d) \leq l$ . Here  $l$  is the greatest integer less than  $\frac{1}{2}(k - 2)$  which satisfies  $l \not\equiv k \pmod{2}$ , and  $v(d)$  denotes the number of distinct

\* Oral communication.

prime factors of  $d$ . Put

$$x = 1 - p_1^{-l-\frac{1}{2}}$$

Clearly, if  $v(n/d) > l$ , then  $n/d > p_1^{l+1}$ . Thus

$$|x^{n/d} - 1| > 1 - (1 - p_1^{-l-\frac{1}{2}})^{p_1^{l+1}} > 1 - \exp(-p_1^{1/2}).$$

Hence

$$|F_n^{(2)}(x)| > \{1 - \exp(-p_1^{1/2})\}^{2^k} > \frac{1}{4}, \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

since  $\exp(p_1^{1/2}) > 2^k$  (because  $p_1 > k^4$ ).

We now estimate  $|F_n^{(1)}(x)|$ . Assume that  $v(n/d) = r \leq l$ .

Then, clearly, since  $r \leq k \leq p_1^{1/4}$ ,

$$p_1^r < \frac{n}{d} < p_k^r,$$

so that

$$p_1^r < \frac{n}{d} < (p_1 + p_1^{1/4})^r < p_1^r(1 + 2p_1^{-1/2}).$$

Thus

$$1 - (1 - p_1^{-l-\frac{1}{2}})^{n/d} = \frac{n}{d p_1^{l+\frac{1}{2}}} + O\left(\frac{n^2}{d^2 p_1^{2l+1}}\right) = \frac{1}{p_1^{l-r+\frac{1}{2}}} \{1 + O(p_1^{-1/2})\}. \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

We therefore have, from (6) and the definition of  $F_n^{(1)}(x)$ ,

$$|F_n^{(1)}(x)| > p_1^L \{1 + O(p_1^{-1/2})\}^{-2^k}, \dots\dots\dots(7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L &= - \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^{k-l+r} \binom{k}{l-r} \\ &= - \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^{k-l+r} r \binom{k}{l-r} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^{k-l+r} \binom{k}{l-r} \\ &= (-1)^{k-l+1} \left\{ \binom{k-2}{l} - \frac{1}{2} \binom{k-1}{l} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from the definition of  $l$  and by a simple computation, we obtain

$$L > \frac{1}{2k} \binom{k-2}{l} > c_4 k^{-3/2} 2^k. \dots\dots\dots(8)$$

It follows from (7) and (8), since  $p_1 > k^4$ , that

$$|F_n^{(1)}(x)| > \exp\{c_4 k^{-3/2} 2^k \log p_1 - c_5 2^k p_1^{-1/2}\} > \exp(c_6 k^{-3/2} 2^k). \dots\dots\dots(9)$$

Thus, from (4), (5) and (9),

$$|F_n(x)| > \frac{1}{4} \exp(c_6 k^{-3/2} 2^k). \dots\dots\dots(10)$$

Now

$$n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k < (p_1 + p_1^{1/4})^k < 2 p_1^k < 2 \exp(5k \log k), \dots\dots\dots(11)$$

since

$$p_1 < t + t^{1/4} < \left(\frac{1}{10} t^{1/4} / \log t\right)^5 < k^5,$$

and (1) follows immediately from (10) and (11).

Denote by  $\phi(n, k)$  the number of integers  $m$  such that  $1 \leq m \leq k$  and  $(m, n) = 1$ . Clearly

$$\phi(n, k) = k \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \alpha 2^{v(n)-1}, \text{ where } -1 < \alpha < 1. \dots\dots\dots(12)$$

I have proved [2] that, for every  $n$ , there exists a  $k$  such that

$$\left| \phi(n, k) - k \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \right| > c_7 2^{1+v(n)} / \log v(n),$$

and conjectured [2] that the error term in (12) is  $o(2^{v(n)})$  for  $v(n) \rightarrow \infty$ . Vijayaraghavan [6] and Lehmer [4] disproved this conjecture, and in fact Vijayaraghavan proved that in (12)  $\alpha$  can come as near as one wishes to both  $-1$  or  $+1$ .

Now one can pose the following problem : Let  $n \leq x$  ; then, from

$$v(n) < (1 + \epsilon) \log x / \log \log x$$

and (12), we obtain

$$\phi(n, k) = k \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + O\{2^{(1+\epsilon)\log x / \log \log x}\}. \dots\dots\dots(13)$$

I believe that the error term in (13) cannot be replaced by

$$O\{2^{(1-c_3)\log x / \log \log x}\}.$$

If this could be proved it might enable one to show that (3) holds for every  $c_3 < \log 2$ .

REFERENCES

1. P. T. Bateman, Note on the coefficients of the cyclotomic polynomial, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 55 (1949), 1180-1181.
2. P. Erdős, On the coefficients of the cyclotomic polynomial, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 52 (1946), 179-184.
3. P. Erdős, On the coefficients of the cyclotomic polynomial, *Portugaliae Math.*, 8 (1949), 63-71.
4. D. H. Lehmer, The distribution of totatives, *Canadian Math. J.*, 7 (1955), 347-357.
5. Emma Lehmer, On the magnitude of the coefficients of the cyclotomic polynomial, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 42 (1936), 389-392.
6. T. Vijayaraghavan, On a problem in elementary number theory, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* (N.S.), 15 (1951), 51-56.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 THE UNIVERSITY  
 BIRMINGHAM