

PERMUTATION POLYNOMIALS WITH EXPONENTS
IN AN ARITHMETIC PROGRESSION

YOUNG HO PARK AND JUNE BOK LEE

We examine the permutation properties of the polynomials of the type $h_{k,r,s}(x) = x^r(1 + x^s + \dots + x^{sk})$ over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p . We give sufficient and necessary conditions in terms of k and r for $h_{k,r,1}(x)$ to be a permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q for $q = p$ or p^2 . We also prove that if $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ is a permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q , then $(k + 1)^s = \pm 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of $q = p^n$ elements of characteristic p . A polynomial $h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is called a permutation polynomial (abbreviated to PP) over \mathbb{F}_q if it induces a bijection on \mathbb{F}_q . In this article, we shall examine permutation properties of the polynomials

$$h_{k,r,s}(x) = x^r(1 + x^s + \dots + x^{sk})$$

over \mathbb{F}_q , where k, r, s are positive integers. These are the generalisations of the polynomials of the type $h_k(x) = 1 + x + \dots + x^k$, whose permutation properties were completely characterised by Matthews when q is odd [3]:

THEOREM A. For $q = p^n$ odd, $1 + x + \dots + x^k$ is a permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q if and only if $k \equiv 1 \pmod{p(q-1)}$.

Let

$$d = \frac{q-1}{(s, q-1)}, \text{ and } S = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q \mid x^s = 1\}.$$

There are two permuting classes as given in [3]. The proof of the following theorem is essentially the same as that given in [3], with a minor correction. We include it for the reader's convenience.

THEOREM B. $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ is a permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q if one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) $k + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$, $k + 1 \in S$ and $(r, q - 1) = 1$;
- (2) $k + 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$, $-(k + 1) \in S$ and $(r - s, q - 1) = 1$.

Received 14th July, 1997

This work is supported by BSRI-96-1423 and by Yonsei Academic Research Grant, 1996.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/98 \$A2.00+0.00.

PROOF: Suppose (1). For any $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have

$$(1.1) \quad h_{k,r,s}(a) = \begin{cases} a^r \frac{1 - a^{s(k+1)}}{1 - a^s} = a^r & \text{if } a^s \neq 1, \\ (k+1)a^r & \text{if } a^s = 1 \end{cases}$$

Since $k+1 \in S$ and $(r, q-1) = 1$, we see that $(k+1)x^r$ maps S onto S and x^r maps $\mathbb{F}_q - S$ onto itself.

Now suppose (2). Then

$$(1.2) \quad h_{k,r,s}(a) = \begin{cases} a^r \frac{1 - a^{s(k+1)}}{1 - a^s} = -a^{r-s} & \text{if } a^s \neq 1, \\ (k+1)a^r & \text{if } a^s = 1 \end{cases}$$

Since $-(k+1) \in S$ and $(r-s, q-1) = 1$, we see that $(k+1)x^r$ maps S onto $(-1)^s S$, and $-x^{r-s}$ maps $\mathbb{F}_q - S$ onto $\mathbb{F}_q - (-1)^s S$. □

In his Ph.D dissertation Matthews has conjectured that the converse of Theorem B holds. We shall prove this conjecture for $q = p$ or p^2 (Theorem 4.6) and also prove that $\pm(k+1) \in S$ if $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ is a PP (Theorem 4.7). It is worth noting that, under the assumption that $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ is a permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q , the conditions $k+1 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$, $k+1 \in S$ force $(r, q-1) = 1$ and the conditions $k+1 \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$, $-(k+1) \in S$ imply $(r-s, q-1) = 1$. As a consequence of Theorem 4.7, it remains to show that $k+1 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{d}$ to prove this conjecture.

The Hermite criterion will be used in the sequel [2];

THE HERMITE CRITERION. $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is a permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (1) f has exactly one root in \mathbb{F}_q ;
- (2) for each integer t with $1 \leq t \leq q-2$ and $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, the reduction of $f(x)^t \pmod{(x^q - x)}$ has degree $\leq q-2$.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS.

Clearly, $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ and $h_{k,r',s}(x)$ are equal as functions on \mathbb{F}_q if $r \equiv r' \pmod{q-1}$. For k , we have the following:

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If $k \equiv l \pmod{p(q-1)/(s, q-1)}$, then $h_{k,r,s}(a) = h_{l,r,s}(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.*

PROOF: If $a^s = 1$, then $h_{k,r,s}(a) = a^r(k+1) = a^r(l+1) = h_{l,r,s}(a)$. If $a^s \neq 1$, then

$$h_{k,r,s}(a) = a^r \frac{a^{s(k+1)} - 1}{a^s - 1} = a^r \frac{a^{s(l+1)} - 1}{a^s - 1} = h_{l,r,s}(a)$$

since $k \equiv l \pmod{(q-1)/(s, q-1)}$ if and only if $sk \equiv sl \pmod{q-1}$. □

This Proposition justifies the following notational convention. For negative integers k, r , $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ will mean $h_{k',r',s}(x)$, where k', r' are positive integers with $k' \equiv k \pmod{p(q-1)/(s, q-1)}$ and $r' \equiv r \pmod{q-1}$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_q , then*

$$\left(k + 1, \frac{p(q-1)}{s, q-1}\right) = 1.$$

PROOF: Suppose $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_q . First, $h_{k,r,s}(1) = k + 1 \neq h_{k,r,s}(0) = 0 \pmod{p}$, that is, $(k + 1, p) = 1$. Since $h_{k,r,s}(a) = 0$ if and only if $a^r = 0$ or $1 + a^s + \dots + a^{sk} = 0$, there is no a such that $a^s \neq 1$ and $1 + a^s + \dots + a^{sk} = (a^{s(k+1)} - 1)/(a^s - 1) = 0$. Thus if we let $N_1 = \{a \mid a^s = 1\}$, $N_2 = \{a \mid a^{s(k+1)} = 1\}$, then $N_1 = N_2$. But $|N_1| = (s, q-1) = (s(k+1), q-1) = |N_2|$. Let $s = (s, q-1)s_0$, $q-1 = (s, q-1)q_0$ with $(s_0, q_0) = 1$. Then $(s(k+1), q-1) = (s, q-1)((k+1)s_0, q_0) = (s, q-1)(k+1, q_0)$. Hence $(k+1, q_0) = 1$. Thus we have $1 = (k+1, pq_0) = (k+1, p(q-1)/(s, q-1))$. □

PROPOSITION 2.3. *$h_{k,r,s}(x)$ is a PP if and only if $h_{-k-2, s-r, s}(x)$ is a PP.*

PROOF: We show that $h_{k,r,s}(a) = -h_{-k-2, s-r, s}(a^{q-2})$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$. If $a^s = 1$, then $h_{k,r,s}(a) = (k+1)a^r = -(-k-2+1)(a^{-1})^{-r}(a^{-1})^s = -h_{-k-2, -r+s, s}(a^{-1})$. If $a^s \neq 0, 1$, then

$$-h_{-k-2, -r+s, s}\left(\frac{1}{a}\right) = -\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^{(-r+s)} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^{s(-k-1)} - 1}{\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^s - 1} = -a^r \frac{a^{s(k+1)} - 1}{1 - a^s} = h_{k,r,s}(a).$$

□

PROPOSITION 2.4. *$h_{k,r,s}(x)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_q if and only if $h_{k, -r-ks, s}(x)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_q .*

PROOF: We have $h_{k,r,s}(a^{q-2}) = h_{k, -r-ks, s}(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$. □

Let $(s, q-1) = s'$. We can choose an integer t relatively prime to $q-1$ such that $st \equiv s' \pmod{q-1}$. Since x^t is a PP, $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ is a PP if and only if the composition $h_{k,r,s}(x^t) = h_{k,rt,st}(x)$ is a PP. Now

$$h_{k,rt,st}(x) \equiv x^{rt} (1 + x^{s'} + \dots + x^{s'k}) \equiv h_{k,rt,s'}(x) \pmod{(x^q - x)}$$

with $s' \mid (q-1)$. Thus it suffices to consider the polynomials $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ with $s \mid (q-1)$.

Now let $(r, s) = e$. If $(e, q - 1) \neq 1$, then the equation $x^e = 1$ has $(e, q - 1)$ solutions and $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ sends all solutions of $x^e = 1$ to $k + 1$, so that $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ is not a PP. If $(e, q - 1) = 1$, then x^e is a PP and $h_{k,r,s}(x) = h_{k,(r/e),(s/e)}(x^e)$ is a PP if and only if $h_{k,(r/e),(s/e)}(x)$ is a PP.

In conclusion, it is enough to consider the cases that $(r, s) = 1$ and $s \mid (q - 1)$. From now on, we shall always assume that

$$(r, s) = 1 \text{ and } s \mid (q - 1),$$

so that

$$d = \frac{q - 1}{s}.$$

3. CIRCULANT MATRICES.

We review elementary facts about circulant matrices. A circulant matrix of order n is an $n \times n$ matrix of the form

$$\text{circ}(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & \dots & c_{n-1} \\ c_{n-1} & c_0 & \dots & c_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

For a polynomial $f(x) = c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + \dots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1}$, $C_f = \text{circ}(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$ is called the circulant matrix of f . It is well known that if a field F has a primitive n th root of unity ζ and $f(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1} \in F[x]$, then C_f can be put into a diagonalised form as follows [1, 4];

$$(3.1) \quad C_f \sim \begin{pmatrix} f(1) & & & \\ & f(\zeta) & & \\ & & f(\zeta^2) & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & f(\zeta^{n-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose $f(x) = a_1x + \dots + a_{q-1}x^{q-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_q . The Hermite criterion implies $a_{q-1} = 0$. Considering the circulant matrix $M_f = \text{circ}(0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q-2})$ of order $q - 1$, we then have

$$(3.2) \quad \det M_f = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} f(a) = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} a = -1.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and positive integer m , we denote by $a_{(m)}$ the row vector (a, a, \dots, a) with m a 's.

Let $C = \text{circ}(a_{(m)}, b_{(n-m)})$ be an $n \times n$ circulant matrix with m a 's and $(n - m)$ b 's, where $a \neq b$. Then, using (3.1), it is not difficult to show

$$(3.3) \quad \det C = \begin{cases} (ma + (n - m)b)(a - b)^{n-1} & \text{if } (m, n) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is also clear that

$$(3.4) \quad \det \text{circ}(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) = (-1)^{n-1} \det \text{circ}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_1).$$

4. MAIN RESULTS

Returning to PP's, we first consider the case $s = 1$ and write $h_{k,r}(x)$ for $h_{k,r,1}(x)$. Assume $h_{k,r}(x)$ is a PP, and write

$$r + k = l(q - 1) + m, \text{ where } 0 \leq m < q - 1.$$

Let $f(x) = h_{k,r}(x) \pmod{(x^q - x)}$ with $\deg(f) < q$. Then

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} l(x + \dots + x^{r-1}) + (l + 1)(x^r + \dots + x^m) \\ \quad + l(x^{m+1} + \dots + x^{q-1}), & \text{if } m \geq r \\ l(x + \dots + x^m) + (l - 1)(x^{m+1} + \dots + x^{r-1}) \\ \quad + l(x^r + \dots + x^{q-1}), & \text{if } m < r. \end{cases}$$

By the Hermite criterion, $l \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and hence

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^r + \dots + x^m, & \text{if } m \geq r \\ -x^{m+1} - \dots - x^{r-1}, & \text{if } m < r. \end{cases}$$

First consider the case $m \geq r$, and let

$$M_f = \text{circ}(0_{(r)}, 1_{(m-r+1)}, 0_{(q-2-m)})$$

be the circulant matrix of $f(x)$ of order $(q - 1) \times (q - 1)$. Since

$$(4.1) \quad m - r + 1 \equiv k + 1 \pmod{p(q - 1)},$$

we have $(m - r + 1, q - 1) = 1$ by Propostion 2.2. Hence, by (3.3) and (3.4),

$$\det M_f = (-1)^{r(q-2)} \det \text{circ}(1_{(m-r+1)}, 0_{(q-2-m+r)}) = (-1)^{r(q-2)}(m - r + 1).$$

By (3.2) and (4.1) we thus have

$$(4.2) \quad k + 1 \equiv m - r + 1 \equiv (-1)^{r(q-2)} \det M_f \equiv (-1)^{r(q-2)-1} \equiv (-1)^{r-1} \pmod{p}.$$

Similar argument shows that (4.2) holds also when $m < r$. Thus we have proved:

THEOREM 4.1. *If $x^r(1 + x + \dots + x^k)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_q , then*

$$k + 1 \equiv (-1)^{r-1} \pmod{p}.$$

Now we prove that the conjecture is true for $s = 1$ and $q = p$.

THEOREM 4.2. *$h_{k,r}(x) = x^r(1 + x + \dots + x^k)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_p if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $k + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p(p - 1)}$ and $(r, p - 1) = 1$;
- (2) $k + 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p(p - 1)}$ and $(r - 1, p - 1) = 1$.

PROOF: The claim is easy for $p = 2$, so we assume that p is odd. By Proposition 2.1, we may assume that $1 \leq k \leq p(p - 1)$. We may also assume that $1 \leq r \leq p - 1$. As above, write

$$r + k = l(p - 1) + m, \text{ with } 0 \leq m \leq p - 2.$$

We know that $l \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $m - r + 1 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$. Since $-(p - 2) \leq m - r + 1 \leq p$, we must have $m - r + 1 = 1, -1$ or $p - 1$.

- Case 1. $m - r + 1 = 1$: Then $k = l(p - 1) = p(p - 1)$ and $h_{k,r}(a) = a^r(1 + a + \dots + a^{p(p-1)}) = a^r$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$. In this case, $h_{k,r}(x)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_p if and only if $(r, q - 1) = 1$.
- Case 2. $m - r + 1 = -1$: Then $k = l(p - 1) - 2 = p(p - 1) - 2$, and $h_{k,r}(a) = -a^{r-1}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$. So $h_{k,r}(x)$ is a PP if and only if $(r - 1, q - 1) = 1$.
- Case 3. $m - r + 1 = p - 1$: Then $k = l(p - 1) + p - 2 = p - 2$ and, for $a \neq 0, 1$, we have $h_{k,r}(a) = a^r(1 + a + \dots + a^{p-2}) = a^r(a^{p-1} - 1)/(a - 1) = 0$. Thus $h_{k,r}(x)$ is not a PP over \mathbb{F}_p . □

Before we proceed to the case $q = p^2$, we need several observations.

LEMMA 4.3. *Suppose $r < q$ and $k \leq p(q - 1)$. If $h_{k,r}(x) = x^r(1 + x + \dots + x^k)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_q , then $r + k < q - 1$ or $p(q - 1) \leq r + k < (p + 1)(q - 1)$.*

PROOF: The coefficient of x^{q-1} of $h_{k,r}(x) \pmod{x^q - x}$ is $[(r + k)/(q - 1)]$. Hence, by the Hermite criterion, $[(r + k)/(q - 1)] \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Since $r + k \leq q - 1 + p(q - 1)$, we have $[(r + k)/(q - 1)] = 0$ or p . □

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $r < q$, q odd, and $k \leq p(q - 1)$. If $(q - 1)/2 \leq r + k < q - 1$, and if $h_{k,r}(x)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_q , then $r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $r + k + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.*

PROOF: We have

$$h_{k,r}(x)^2 = x^{2r}(1 + 2x + 3x^2 + \dots + (k + 1)x^k + kx^{k+1} + (k - 1)x^{k+2} + \dots + x^{2k})$$

Hence, the coefficient of x^{q-1} in $h_{k,r}(x)^2$ is given by $(2k + 1) - (q - 1 - 2r) = 2k + 2r + 2 - q$ if $2r + k < q - 1$, or given by $(q - 1 - 2r) + 1 = q - 2r$ if $2r + k \geq q - 1$. By the Hermite criterion, the result follows. □

PROPOSITION 4.5. *Suppose q is odd and $1 \leq k \leq p(q - 1)$. If $h_{k,r}(x)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_q , then*

$$k + 1 = tp(p - 1) \pm 1$$

for some integer t such that $1 \leq t \leq (q - p)/(p(p - 1))$ or $(q/p) \leq t \leq (q - 1)/(p - 1)$.

PROOF: We may assume that $1 \leq r \leq q - 1$. By Lemma 4.3, we then have $1 \leq k < q - 1$ or $(p - 1)(q - 1) \leq k \leq p(q - 1)$. Since $k = tp(p - 1)$ or $k = tp(p - 1) - 2$ for some t by Theorem 4.2, we have $1 \leq t \leq (q - 1)/(p(p - 1)) + (\delta/p(p - 1))$ or $(q/p) - (1/p) + (\delta/p(p - 1)) \leq t \leq (q - 1)/(p - 1) + (\delta/p(p - 1))$, where $\delta = 0, 2$. Note that $(q - 1)/(p(p - 1)) + (\delta/p(p - 1)) = (q/p - 1)/(p - 1) + (p - 1 + \delta)/(p(p - 1))$, and $(q/p - 1)/(p - 1)$ is an integer. When q is odd, we have $(p - 1 + \delta)/(p(p - 1)) < 1$, $-(1/p) + (\delta/p(p - 1)) > -1$ and $(\delta/p(p - 1)) < 1$ for $\delta = 0, 2$, and thus the claim follows. □

THEOREM 4.6. *Let $q = p$ or $q = p^2$. Then $h_{k,r}(x) = x^r(1 + x + \dots + x^k)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_q if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $k + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p(q - 1)}$ and $(r, q - 1) = 1$;
- (2) $k + 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p(q - 1)}$ and $(r - 1, q - 1) = 1$.

PROOF: Suppose $h_{k,r}(x)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_q . We may assume that $r < q$ and $k \leq p(q - 1)$. Let $q = p^2$.

First we consider the case $q = 4$. By Theorem 4.1, $k = 2, 4$ or 6 . $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \alpha, 1 + \alpha\}$ where $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$. If $k = 2$, $h_{k,r}(\alpha) = \alpha^r(1 + \alpha + \alpha^2) = 0$, and so $h_{k,r}(x)$ is not a PP over \mathbb{F}_q . If $k = 4$, then $h_{k,r}(a) = -a^{r-1}$ for $a \neq 1$ by (1.2) and thus $h_{k,r}(x)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_q if and only if $(r - 1, q - 1) = 1$ (Case (1)). If $k = 6$, then $h_{k,r}(a) = a^r$ for $a \neq 0$ by (1.1) and then $h_{k,r}(x)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_q if and only if $(r, q - 1) = 1$ (Case (2)).

Now consider for odd $q = p^2$. By Proposition 4.5, $k + 1 = tp(p - 1) \pm 1$ for some t such that $1 \leq t \leq 1$ or $p \leq t \leq p + 1$. So the possible values of t are $1, p$ or $p + 1$. We shall show that $t \neq 1, p$

First, assume $t = 1$ so that $k = p(p - 1)$ or $k = p(p - 1) - 2$. If $r < p - 1$, then

$$q - 1 > r + k > k \geq p^2 - p - 2 \geq \frac{q - 1}{2}.$$

Lemma 4.4 implies that $r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $r \pm 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. This is impossible since $r < p - 1$. Thus $r \geq p - 1$. If $k = p(p - 1) - 2$ and $r = p - 1$, then $q - 1 > r + k = q - 3 > (q - 1)/2$. Again Lemma 4.4 implies $r = p - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $r + k + 1 = q - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which is absurd. If $k = p(p - 1) - 2$ and $r = p$, then $(r - 1, p - 1) = p - 1 \neq 1$, and thus $h_{k,r}(x)$ is not a PP by Theorem 4.2. Finally, if $p - 1 \leq r \leq q - 1$ with $k = p(p - 1)$ or $r + 1 \leq r \leq q - 1$ with $k = p(p - 1) - 2$,

then $q - 1 \leq r + k \leq 2(q - 1)$. So the coefficient of x^{q-1} in $h_{k,r}(x) \pmod{(x^q - x)}$ is nonzero. By the Hermite criterion, $h_{k,r}(x)$ is not a PP over \mathbb{F}_q .

Now consider the case $t = p$. Then $k = p^2(p - 1)$ or $k = p^2(p - 1) - 2$, so that $p(q - 1) - k - 2$ is $p(p - 1) - 2$ or $p(p - 1)$, respectively. Recall that $h_{k,r}(x)$ is a PP if and only if $h_{p(q-1)-k-2,q-r}(x)$ is a PP (Proposition 2.3). Thus this case reduces to the case $t = 1$ and hence $h_{k,r}(x)$ is not a PP.

Therefore $t = p + 1$ and $k + 1 = p(q - 1) \pm 1$. The remaining assertions are now clear by (1.1) and (1.2). □

The tensor product or Kronecker product $A \otimes B$ of two matrices A, B is defined by

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11}A & b_{12}A & \dots & b_{1\nu}A \\ b_{21}A & b_{22}A & \dots & b_{2\nu}A \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{\mu 1}A & b_{\mu 2}A & \dots & b_{\mu\nu}A \end{pmatrix}$$

where $B = (b_{ij})$ is a $\mu \times \nu$ matrix. It is well known that

$$\det A \otimes B = (\det A)^\nu (\det B)^\mu$$

if A is a $\mu \times \mu$ matrix, and B is a $\nu \times \nu$ matrix [4].

Towards the conjecture, we consider the general $s \mid (q - 1)$.

THEOREM 4.7. *If $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ is a PP over \mathbb{F}_q , then*

$$(k + 1)^s \equiv (-1)^{r-1} \pmod{p}.$$

Furthermore,

$$k + 1 \in S \text{ or } -(k + 1) \in S.$$

PROOF: Let $s \neq 1$. Write $r + ks = l(q - 1) + m$, $0 \leq m < q - 1$ as before, and let $r = l_0s + r_0$ with $0 < r_0 < s$. Let $f(x) = h_{k,r,s}(x) \pmod{(x^q - x)}$ with $\deg(f) < q$. If $m \geq r$, then

$$f(x) = l(x^{r_0} + x^{r_0+s} + \dots + x^{r-s}) + (l + 1)(x^r + x^{r+s} + \dots + x^m) + l(x^{m+s} + x^{m+2s} + \dots + x^{q-1+r_0-s}),$$

and if $m < r$, then

$$f(x) = l(x^{r_0} + x^{r_0+s} + \dots + x^m) + (l - 1)(x^{m+s} + x^{m+2s} + \dots + x^{r-s}) + l(x^r + x^{r+s} + \dots + x^{q-1+r_0-s}).$$

As before, let M_f be the circulant matrix of order $(q - 1) \times (q - 1)$ with the first row vector $(0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q-2})$ where $f(x) = a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{q-2}x^{q-2}$.

First, consider the case $m \geq r$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \det M_f &= \det \text{circ}(0_{(r_0)}, l, 0_{(s-1)}, \dots, l, 0_{(s-1)}, l+1, 0_{(s-1)}, \dots, l+1, 0_{(s-1)}, \\ &\quad l, 0_{(s-1)}, \dots, l, 0_{(s-1+s-r_0)}) \\ &= (-1)^{r_0(q-2)} \det \text{circ}(l, 0_{(s-1)}, \dots, l, 0_{(s-1)}, l+1, 0_{(s-1)}, \dots, l+1, 0_{(s-1)}, \\ &\quad l, 0_{(s-1)}, \dots, l, 0_{(s-1)}) \\ &= (-1)^{r_0(q-2)} \det \left(I_s \otimes \text{circ} \left(\overbrace{l, l, \dots, l}^{(r-r_0)/s}, \overbrace{l+1, \dots, l+1}^{((m-r)/s)+1}, \overbrace{l, \dots, l}^{d-((m-r)/s)-1} \right) \right) \\ &= (-1)^{r_0(q-2)} \left[\det \text{circ} \left(\overbrace{l, l, \dots, l}^{(r-r_0)/s}, \overbrace{l+1, \dots, l+1}^{((m-r)/s)+1}, \overbrace{l, \dots, l}^{d-((m-r)/s)-1} \right) \right]^s, \end{aligned}$$

where I_s is the $s \times s$ identity matrix, and $d = (q - 1)/s$. By (3.3) and (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} &\det \text{circ} \left(\overbrace{l, l, \dots, l}^{(r-r_0)/s}, \overbrace{l+1, \dots, l+1}^{((m-r)/s)+1}, \overbrace{l, \dots, l}^{d-((m-r)/s)-1} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{(r-r_0)(d-1)/s} \det \text{circ} \left(\overbrace{l+1, \dots, l+1}^{((m-r)/s)+1}, \overbrace{l, \dots, l}^{d-((m-r)/s)-1} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{(r-r_0)(d-1)/s} \left(dl + \frac{m-r}{s} + 1 \right) = (-1)^{(r-r_0)(d-1)/s} (k+1). \end{aligned}$$

But, for odd q , we have

$$r_0(q - 2) + \left[\frac{r - r_0}{s} (d - 1) \right] s \equiv r_0 + l_0s(d - 1) \equiv r_0 - l_0s \equiv r + l_0s = r \pmod{2}.$$

Consequently, $(k + 1)^s = (-1)^{r-1}$ by (3.2).

By a similar argument when $m < r$ we obtain

$$\det M_f = (-1)^{r_0(q-2)+(m-r_0+2s)(d-1)} (k + 1)^s.$$

For odd q , a short calculation shows that $r_0(q - 2) + (m - r_0 + 2s)(d - 1) \equiv m \equiv r + sk \equiv r \pmod{2}$. Here, the last congruence follows because if s is odd, then d is even and then k is even by Proposition 2.2. Thus we always have $(k + 1)^s = (-1)^{r-1}$.

Finally the last assertion of our Theorem is clear for even q . Assume q is odd. If r is odd, then $(k + 1)^s = 1$ so that $k + 1 \in S$. On the other hand, if r is even then s must be odd, because if r and s were both even, then $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ would be a polynomial in x^2 and then $h_{k,r,s}(x)$ could not be a PP. Thus if r is even, $(- (k + 1))^s = 1$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] W.-S. Chou, *Permutation polynomials over finite fields and combinatorial applications*, (Ph.D. Dissertation) (The Pennsylvania State University, 1990).
- [2] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite fields*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., **20** (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1983).
- [3] R. Matthews, 'Permutation properties of the polynomials $1 + x + \cdots + x^k$ over a finite field', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1994), 47-51.
- [4] M. Marvin and H. Minc, *A survey of matrix theory and matrix inequalities* (Dover, New York, 1964).

Department of Mathematics
Kangwon National University
Chuncheon 200-701
Korea

Department of Mathematics
Yonsei University
Seoul 120-749
Korea