

COUNTABLE SUM THEOREM FOR LOCALLY CLOSED SETS

SATYA DEO AND DALIP SINGH JAMWAL

In this paper we show that the countable sum theorem for locally closed sets, for sheaf theoretic cohomological dimension over a given ring L , holds in all perfectly normal spaces as well as in all locally compact spaces.

1. Introduction

Let \dim denote the covering dimension of a normal space X .

If $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a family of closed subsets of X such that $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$,

then it is well-known that

$$\dim X = \sup_n \{\dim A_n\}.$$

Such a result is usually known as the countable sum theorem for closed sets for the covering dimension \dim [6]. If $\dim_L(X)$ denotes the sheaf theoretic cohomological dimension of the space X over the ring L ([2], p. 74), then the countable sum theorem for closed sets is also valid for \dim_L [5]. Recall that a subset Y of a space X is said to be locally closed in X if Y is open in \bar{Y} (the closure of Y). In particular, each open set and each closed set is locally closed. *Whether or not the*

Received 3 October 1983.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/84
\$A2.00 + 0.00.

countable sum theorem for locally closed sets holds for sheaf theoretic cohomological dimension \dim_L , has been an open problem for quite some time. Such a result cannot be valid for the covering dimension \dim , simply because the covering dimension $\dim U$ of an open subset U of a normal space X can be strictly greater than $\dim X$. For sheaf theoretic cohomological dimension \dim_L , however, $\dim_L(Y) \leq \dim_L(X)$ for any locally closed subset Y of X , and hence to prove the countable sum theorem for locally closed sets for \dim_L , it suffices to show that if

$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, where each A_n is locally closed and $\dim_L(A_n) \leq k$ for each

n , then $\dim_L(X) \leq k$. The objective of this paper is to prove the countable sum theorem for locally closed sets for sheaf theoretic cohomological dimension \dim_L in a locally compact space. We also show that the same theorem is valid in a normal space X in which every locally closed set is a generalized F_{σ} -set; in particular, it is valid in all perfectly normal spaces. In fact, we prove that in such a space countable sum theorem for closed sets is equivalent to the countable sum theorem for locally closed sets.

2. Preliminaries

First of all we recall Cartan's definition of cohomological ϕ -dimension of a space X , where ϕ is a family of supports on X . For any ring L , let \mathcal{A} denote a sheaf of L -modules on X and $H_{\phi}^i(X; \mathcal{A})$ be the sheaf cohomology of X , with supports in ϕ . Then the smallest integer n (or ∞) such that $H_{\phi}^i(X; \mathcal{A}) = 0$ for each $i > n$ and each sheaf \mathcal{A} of L -modules on X , is called the *cohomological ϕ -dimension* of X over the ring L and is denoted by $\dim_{\phi, L}(X)$. It turns out that if ϕ, ψ are two paracompactifying families of supports on X having the same extents, then $\dim_{\phi, L}(X) = \dim_{\psi, L}(X)$ ([2], p. 74). Thus if X admits a paracompactifying family ϕ of supports whose extent is X , then we can define the *cohomological dimension* of X over the ring L , denoted by $\dim_L(X)$, to be $\dim_{\phi, L}(X)$. Locally paracompact spaces, which include all

locally compact spaces and all paracompact spaces, form such a class for which $\dim_L(X)$ is always defined. However, if ϕ is not paracompactifying or its extent does not equal X , then $\dim_{\phi,L}(X)$ may turn out to be different from the desirable one ([3], [4]).

Now, let us recall that a subset Y of a topological space X is said to be *locally closed at a point* $x \in Y$ if there is a neighbourhood V of x in X such that $Y \cap V$ is a closed subset of the subspace V . Y is said to be *locally closed* in X if it is locally closed at each point of Y . A characterization of locally closed subsets is as follows ([1], p. 38).

PROPOSITION 2.1. *For a subset Y of a topological space X , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (a) Y is locally closed in X ;
- (b) Y is the intersection of an open subset and a closed subset of X ;
- (c) Y is open in \bar{Y} , the closure of Y in X .

It is easy to see that

- (i) the intersection of two locally closed sets is again locally closed, but the arbitrary intersection of locally closed sets need not be locally closed,
- (ii) the union of two locally closed sets need not be locally closed, and
- (iii) the complement of a locally closed set need not be locally closed.

We shall also need the following results.

THEOREM 2.2 (Subset Theorem ([2], p. 74)). *If X is locally paracompact (respectively, completely paracompact), then $\dim_L(X) \geq \dim_L(A)$ for any locally closed (respectively, arbitrary) subspace $A \subset X$.*

THEOREM 2.3 (Countable Sum Theorem ([5], Corollary 4.6)). *Let X be a locally paracompact space and $\{F_p \mid p = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a countable closed covering of X . Then, for any ring L ,*

$$\dim_L(X) = \sup\{\dim_L(F_p) \mid p = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

THEOREM 2.4 (Disjoint Sum Theorem ([5], Corollary 4.12)). *Let X be a locally paracompact space and F be a closed subset of X . For any ring L , if $\dim_L(F) \leq n$ and $\dim_L(A) \leq n$ for each closed subset A of X disjoint from F , then $\dim_L(X) \leq n$.*

3. Main result

First of all we show that in an arbitrary locally paracompact space X , the sum theorem for any two locally closed sets, and consequently for any finite number of locally closed sets holds. Then we show that in a normal space X in which every locally closed set is a generalized F_G -set, the countable sum theorem for closed sets is equivalent to the countable sum theorem for locally closed sets. In particular, it is valid in all perfectly normal spaces. Finally, we prove our main result, namely, the countable sum theorem for locally closed sets in a locally compact space. Whether or not such a theorem is valid for any paracompact space remains still open.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let X be any topological space and A, B be two locally closed subsets of X such that they cover X . Then, for any ring L ,*

$$\dim_L(X) = \max\{\dim_L(A), \dim_L(B)\}.$$

Proof. Suppose $m = \max\{\dim_L(A), \dim_L(B)\}$. Since A is locally closed, A is open in its closure \bar{A} in X . Therefore, $\bar{A} \setminus A$ is closed in \bar{A} and hence in B . But $\dim_L(B) \leq m$, so by the subset theorem $\dim_L(\bar{A} \setminus A) \leq m$. Now let C be any closed subset of \bar{A} disjoint from $\bar{A} \setminus A$. Again by the subset theorem, $\dim_L(C) \leq m$ and hence by the disjoint sum theorem, it follows that $\dim_L(\bar{A}) \leq m$. Similarly, we can show that $\dim_L(\bar{B}) \leq m$. Hence by the finite sum theorem for closed sets, we conclude that $\dim_L(X) \leq m$.

Using induction on n , we obtain the following:

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let X be any topological space and $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ be a finite cover of X by locally closed subsets of X . Then, for any ring L ,*

$$\dim_L(X) = \max\{\dim_L(A_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Now we shall show that if X is the union of two subsets of X one of which is locally closed and \dim_L of both sets is finite, then $\dim_L(X)$ is also finite. In fact $\dim_L(X)$ coincides with the maximum of the two.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let X be any topological space and let $X = A \cup B$, where A is locally closed in X and B is any subset of X . Then, for any ring L ,*

$$\dim_L(X) = \max\{\dim_L(A), \dim_L(B)\}.$$

Proof. Suppose $m = \max\{\dim_L(A), \dim_L(B)\}$. Since A is locally closed in X , A is open in its closure \bar{A} in X . This means $\bar{A} \setminus A$ is closed in \bar{A} and hence in X . Thus $\bar{A} \setminus A$ is also closed in B . By the subset theorem, $\dim_L(\bar{A} \setminus A) \leq \dim_L(B) \leq m$. For any closed subset F of \bar{A} disjoint from $\bar{A} \setminus A$, $\dim_L(F) \leq m$ and so by the disjoint sum theorem $\dim_L(\bar{A}) \leq m$. Now let C be any closed subset of X disjoint from \bar{A} . Then C is clearly closed in B and so by the subset theorem, $\dim_L(C) \leq m$. Hence by the disjoint sum theorem, $\dim_L(X) \leq m$.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, where each A_i is either open or closed. Then, for any ring L ,*

$$\dim_L(X) = \sup\{\dim_L(A_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Proof. Suppose $\dim_L(A_i) \leq n$ for each i . Let A be the union of all open sets and B be the union of all closed sets of the family $\{A_i\}$. Then clearly $\dim_L(A) \leq n$ and by the countable sum theorem for closed sets, $\dim_L(B) \leq n$. Since A is open, we can apply the above proposition to conclude that $\dim_L(X) \leq n$.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, where finitely many A_i 's are*

locally closed and the rest of them are closed. Then, for any ring L ,

$$\dim_L(X) = \sup\{\dim_L(A_i) \mid i \in \mathbf{N}\} .$$

Proof. Again, suppose $\dim_L(A_i) \leq n$ for each i . Without loss of generality, we can assume that A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k are all locally closed and A_i is closed for each $i > k$. By the countable sum theorem for closed sets, $\dim_L(B) \leq n$ where $B = \bigcup_{i>k} A_i$. Applying Proposition 3.3 to A_k and B we find that $\dim_L(A_k \cup B) \leq n$. Again applying the same arguments to A_{k-1} and $A_k \cup B$, we obtain that $\dim_L(A_{k-1} \cup A_k \cup B) \leq n$. Proceeding like this we obtain, after a finite number of steps, that

$$\dim_L(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k \cup B) = \dim_L\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \leq n .$$

Note that in a perfectly normal space each open set is F_G and hence each locally closed set is also F_G . Also recall that a subset M of a space X is said to be a generalized F_G -set if for each open set $U \supset M$ there exists a F_G -set F of X such that $M \subset F \subset U$. In particular, a locally closed set A in a perfectly normal space is a generalized F_G -set.

Now we have

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let X be a normal space and $\{A_i \mid i \in \mathbf{N}\}$ be a countable covering of X by locally closed sets. Suppose each A_i is a generalized F_G -set. Then, for any ring L ,*

$$\dim_L(X) = \sup\{\dim_L(A_i) \mid i \in \mathbf{N}\} .$$

Proof. In fact, we shall show that in such a case the countable sum theorem for locally closed sets is equivalent to the countable sum theorem for closed sets. By the subset theorem for \dim_L , $\dim_L(A_i) \leq \dim_L(X)$

for each i . Conversely, suppose $\dim_L(A_i) \leq n$ for each i . Now A_i is locally closed in X implies that $A_i = G_i \cap C_i$, where G_i is open in X and C_i is closed in X for each i . Since $A_i \subset G_i$ and each A_i is a generalized F_σ -set, there exists a F_σ -set F_i of X such that $A_i \subset F_i \subset G_i$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= G_i \cap C_i = F_i \cap C_i \\ &= \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_{i,j} \right) \cap C_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (F_{i,j} \cap C_i) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{i,j}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_{i,j} = F_{i,j} \cap C_i$ is closed in X for each i and j . Also $M_{i,j}$ is a closed subset of A_i for each j and so by the subset theorem, $\dim_L(M_{i,j}) \leq n$. Thus $\dim_L(M_{i,j}) \leq n$ for each i and j . Therefore

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{i,j} \right).$$

Hence by the countable sum theorem for closed sets, $\dim_L(X) \leq n$.

COROLLARY 3.7. *In case X is a perfectly normal space (for example, X is metrizable) then the countable sum theorem for locally closed sets is valid for cohomological dimension \dim_L over any ring L .*

Now we have our main result.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let X be a locally compact (Hausdorff) space and $\{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable covering of X by locally closed subsets of X . Then, for any ring L ,*

$$\dim_L(X) = \sup\{\dim_L(A_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Proof. By the local nature of \dim_L , and the subset theorem for locally closed subsets, we can assume, without loss of generality, that X is compact. Since the subset theorem for \dim_L holds for locally closed subsets, it suffices to show that if $\dim_L(A_n) \leq k$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\dim_L(X) \leq k$. Let us assume that $\dim_L(X) > k$. If, for each n ,

$\dim_L(\bar{A}_n \setminus A_n) \leq k$, then $\bar{A}_n \setminus A_n$ being closed in \bar{A}_n , it follows from the complementary sum theorem for \dim_L ([5], Corollary 4.10) that $\dim_L(\bar{A}_n) \leq k$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But, then by the countable sum theorem for closed sets, we find that $\dim_L(X) \leq k$, a contradiction. Let n_1 be the first natural number such that $\dim_L(\bar{A}_{n_1} \setminus A_{n_1}) > k$. Now, for each $i < n_1$, $\dim_L(\bar{A}_i) \leq k$ and hence

$$\dim_L\left[\bigcup_{i < n_1} [\bar{A}_i \cap (\bar{A}_{n_1} \setminus A_{n_1})]\right] \leq k .$$

Since $\bigcup_{i < n_1} [\bar{A}_i \cap (\bar{A}_{n_1} \setminus A_{n_1})]$ is closed in $\bar{A}_{n_1} \setminus A_{n_1}$, we can apply the disjoint sum theorem to obtain a closed set B_1 of $\bar{A}_{n_1} \setminus A_{n_1}$ which is disjoint from A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n_1} ; that is, disjoint from $\bigcup_{i \leq n_1} A_i$ and $\dim_L(B_1) > k$. Notice that $\{A_p \cap B_1\}_{p > n_1}$ is a family of locally closed subsets of B_1 which covers B_1 , and for each $p > n_1$, $\dim_L(A_p \cap B_1) \leq \dim_L(A_p) \leq k$. Replacing X by B_1 , the family $\{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ by $\{A_p \cap B_1\}_{p > n_1}$ and applying the same arguments as above we can find a $n_2 > n_1$ and a closed subset B_2 of B_1 which is disjoint from $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n_1}, \dots, A_{n_2}$ and $\dim_L(B_2) > k$. Continuing this process by induction we find a decreasing sequence $\{B_p\}_{p \geq 1}$ of non-empty closed subsets of X and a subsequence $\{n_p\}_{p \geq 1}$ of \mathbb{N} such that for each p , B_p is disjoint from A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n_p} , $n_p > p$ and $\dim_L(B_p) > k$. Since each B_p is a non-empty compact subset of X , $B = \bigcap_{p \geq 1} B_p$ must be non-empty and must be disjoint from $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n = X$. This is a contradiction.

References

- [1] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Elements of mathematics. General topology* (Hermann, Paris; Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts; Palo Alto; London; 1966).
- [2] Glen E. Bredon, *Sheaf theory* (McGraw-Hill, New York, Toronto, London, 1968).
- [3] Satya Deo, "One dimension manifold is of cohomological dimension two", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 52 (1975), 445-446.
- [4] Satya Deo, "Cohomological dimension of a n -manifold is $n + 1$ ", *Pacific J. Math.* 67 (1976), 155-160.
- [5] Satya Deo and Ram Anugrah Shukla, "On cohomological dimension and the sum theorems", *Acta Math. Acad. Sci.* (to appear).
- [6] Keiô Nagami, *Dimension theory* (Pure and Applied Mathematics, 37. Academic Press, New York and London, 1970).

Department of Mathematics,
University of Jammu,
Jammu 180001,
India.