

USING ROLLE'S THEOREM IN EXPONENTIAL FUNCTION-DERIVATIVE APPROXIMATION

BY
L. KEENER⁽¹⁾

1. Introduction. For a continuously differentiable function g defined on an interval $[\alpha, \beta]$, define $\|g\|$ to be the uniform norm of g , i.e. $\|g\| = \sup_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} |g(x)|$. Define $\|g\|_1$, by $\|g\|_1 = \max\{\|g\|, \|g'\|\}$. We call the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ the function-derivative norm. Using the notation of Werner [3], we define for $n \geq 1$:

$$E_n^+ = \left\{ y(x) \mid y(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \exp(\lambda_j x), \quad \lambda_j \text{ real}, \quad c_j \geq 0 \right\}$$

$$E_n^0 = \left\{ y(x) \mid y(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \exp(\lambda_j x), \quad \lambda_j \text{ real}, \quad c_j \text{ real} \right\}$$

$$E_n = \left\{ y(x) \mid y(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} p_j(x) \exp(\lambda_j x), \quad \lambda_j \text{ real}, \right.$$

$$\left. p_j = \text{a polynomial of degree } \partial p_j \text{ with real coefficients and } k = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (\partial p_j + 1) \leq n \right\}$$

k is called the degree of the function y . Since $E_n^+ \subset E_n$, and $E_n^0 \subset E_n$, this definition also applies to elements of these sets.

In the succeeding sections, we will find, by a simple application of Rolle's theorem, a sufficient condition for $y \in E_n^+$ or E_n^0 or E_n to be a best approximation to a given continuously differentiable f , using the function-derivative norm. The following lemma is useful [2].

LEMMA. *Every $y \in E_n, E_n^0$ or E_n^+ has at most $n-1$ zeros or else vanishes identically.*

2. Let f and F be continuously differentiable functions on $[\alpha, \beta]$ and let $\varepsilon(x) = f(x) - F(x)$. Let X be a finite set of points $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^m$ such that $\alpha \leq x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m \leq \beta$, $|\varepsilon(x_i)| = \|F - f\|_1$ for $i=0, 1, \dots, m$ and such that $\varepsilon(x_i) = -\varepsilon(x_{i+1})$ for $i=0, 1, \dots, m-1$. Similarly, let Y be a set of points $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^s$ such that $\alpha \leq y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_s \leq \beta$, $|\varepsilon'(y_i)| = \|F' - f'\|_1$ for $i=0, 1, \dots, s$ and such that $\varepsilon'(y_i) = -\varepsilon'(y_{i+1})$ for $i=0, 1, \dots, s-1$. Let \tilde{X} be a subset of $X \cup \{\alpha, \beta\}$ that contains at least two elements, where $\tilde{X} = \{\tilde{x}_i\}_{i=0}^p$ and $\tilde{x}_0 < \tilde{x}_1 < \dots < \tilde{x}_p$. Define $R_i(F, X, \tilde{X}, Y)$ by

$$R_i(F, X, \tilde{X}, Y) = \max\{\text{card}\{y \in Y: y \in [\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_{i+1}]\} - 1 \\ - \max\{\text{card}\{x \in X: x \in [\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_{i+1}]\} - v_i - u_i, 0\}, 0\}$$

⁽¹⁾ The author is thankful to the referee for his helpful suggestions.

where

$$v_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \exists x \in X \text{ such that } x > \tilde{x}_{i+1} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$u_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \exists x \in X \text{ such that } x < \tilde{x}_i \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define $T(F, X, \tilde{X}, Y) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} R_i(F, X, \tilde{X}, Y) + \max\{m-1, 0\}$. With these definitions we may state:

THEOREM. *Let $F \in E_n^0, E_n$ or E_n^+ with degree k . If there are X, \tilde{X}, Y such that $T(F, X, \tilde{X}, Y) \geq k+n$, then F is a best approximation to f in norm $\|\cdot\|_1$.*

Proof. Fix X, \tilde{X} and Y so that $T(F, X, \tilde{X}, Y) \geq k+n$, assuming $\text{card } X \geq 2$. Suppose F is not a best approximation. Since X contains $m+1$ points, then standard arguments show that the graph of a better approximation F^* would have to intersect the graph of F at at least m points. That is $F-F^*=0$ at least m times on $[\alpha, \beta]$. By Rolle's Theorem, there are $m-1$ points in $[\alpha, \beta]$ where $F'-(F^*)'=0$. Call this set of points Z . Now $R_i(F, X, \tilde{X}, Y)$ represents a lower bound for the number of zeros of $F'-(F^*)'$ on $[\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_{i+1}]$ that are not in Z (via the intermediate value theorem.) Thus $T(F, X, \tilde{X}, Y)$ represents a lower bound on the number of zeros of $F'-(F^*)'$ on $[\alpha, \beta]$. But $F'-(F^*)'$ clearly has degree less than or equal to $n+k$, so $F'-(F^*)'=0$ at at most $k+n-1$ points by the Lemma. But $T(F, X, \tilde{X}, Y) > k+n-1$, a contradiction, so F is a best function-derivative approximation to f . If $\text{card } X < 2$, the proof is similar.

This theorem is useful in constructing an example of a function and a best function-derivative approximation to it.

3. **EXAMPLE.** Consider the following function defined on $[0, \pi+12]$.

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} (1/2) \sin 2x & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \pi \\ g(x) & \text{for } \pi \leq x \leq \pi+12 \end{cases}$$

where $g(x)$ is any function defined on $[\pi, \pi+12]$ with the following properties.

1. g is differentiable on $[\pi, \pi+12]$.
2. $|g'(x)| < 1$ for $x \in (\pi, \pi+12]$, $g'(\pi) = 1$.
3. $g(\pi+2) = 1, g(\pi+6) = -1, g(\pi+10) = 1, g(\pi) = 0$.
4. $|g(x)| < 1$ if $x \neq \pi+2, \pi+6$ or $\pi+10$.

Let $m(x) = h(x) + \exp(x)$. Then $F(x) = \exp(x)$ is the best approximation to $m(x)$ from E_2^0 , in norm $\|\cdot\|_1$. This can be shown as follows: First note that $\varepsilon(x) = m(x) - F(x) = h(x)$. Take $X = \{\pi+2, \pi+6, \pi+10\}$. Then $m=2$. Note that $\|\varepsilon(x)\|_1 = 1$.

Take $\tilde{X} = \{0, \pi + 2\}$ and take $Y = \{0, \pi/2, \pi\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(F, X, \tilde{X}, Y) &= 2 - 1 + \max\{\text{card}\{y \in Y: y \in [0, \pi + 2]\} \\ &\quad - 1 - \max\{\text{card}\{x \in X: x \in [0, \pi + 2]\} - 1, 0\}, 0\} \\ &= 1 + \max\{2 - \max\{0, 0\}, 0\} = 3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $n=2$ and $k=1$, $T(F, X, \tilde{X}, Y) \geq n+k$, so by the theorem $\exp(x)$ is a best approximation to $m(x)$ from E_2^0 .

4. The example given above is not a degenerate example. That is, $\exp(x)$ is not a best uniform approximation to $m(x)$ from E_n^0 and $d(\exp(x))/dx = \exp(x)$ is not a best uniform approximation to $m'(x)$ from $(E_n^0)' = \{y': y \in E_n^0\}$. This is shown in [1].

The theorem, while stated for approximation by exponential sums can obviously be generalized. Exponential sums offer a convenient example of the application of the idea of the theorem to non-linear approximation. It is also possible (but notationally discouraging) to extend the theorem to the norm $\|\cdot\|_r$, defined by

$$\|g\|_r = \max\{\|g\|, \|g'\|, \dots, \|g^{(r)}\|\}.$$

REFERENCES

1. Keener, L. *Doctoral Dissertation*, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, 1972.
2. Polya, G. and Szego, G. *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze der Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1960.
3. Werner, H. "Tschebyscheff-Approximation with sums of exponentials", *Approximation Theory*, A. Talbot ed., Academic Press, London-New York, 1970, pp. 109-136.