

p -VARIATION OF VECTOR MEASURES WITH RESPECT TO BILINEAR MAPS

O. BLASCO and J. M. CALABUIG

(Received 13 February 2008)

Abstract

We introduce the spaces $V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ (respectively $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$) of the vector measures $\mathcal{F} : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ of bounded (p, \mathcal{B}) -variation (respectively of bounded (p, \mathcal{B}) -semivariation) with respect to a bounded bilinear map $\mathcal{B} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ and show that the spaces $L_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ consisting of functions which are p -integrable with respect to \mathcal{B} , defined in by Blasco and Calabuig [*‘Vector-valued functions integrable with respect to bilinear maps’*, *Taiwanese Math. J.* to appear], are isometrically embedded in $V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$. We characterize $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ in terms of bilinear maps from $L^{p'} \times Y$ into Z and $V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ as a subspace of operators from $L^{p'}(Z^*)$ into Y^* . Also we define the notion of cone absolutely summing bilinear maps in order to describe the (p, \mathcal{B}) -variation of a measure in terms of the cone-absolutely summing norm of the corresponding bilinear map from $L^{p'} \times Y$ into Z .

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: 28A25, 46G10, 47L05, 47B10.

Keywords and phrases: bilinear maps, vector integration, vector measure, summing operators.

1. Notation and preliminaries

Throughout the paper X denotes a Banach space, (Ω, Σ, μ) a positive finite measure space, \mathcal{D}_E the set of all partitions of $E \in \Sigma$ into a finite number of pairwise disjoint elements of Σ of positive measure and $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}(X)$ the space of simple functions, $\mathbf{s} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$, where $x_k \in X$, $(A_k)_k \in \mathcal{D}_{\Omega}$ and $\mathbf{1}_A$ denotes the characteristic function of the set $A \in \Sigma$. Also Y and Z denote Banach spaces over \mathbb{K} (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}) and $\mathcal{B} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ a bounded bilinear map. We use the notation B_X for the closed unit ball of X , $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ for the space of bounded linear operators from X to Y and $X^* = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K})$.

For a vector measure $\mathcal{F} : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ we use the notation $|\mathcal{F}|$ and $\|\mathcal{F}\|$ for the nonnegative set functions $|\mathcal{F}| : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\|\mathcal{F}\| : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by

$$|\mathcal{F}|(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} \|\mathcal{F}(A)\|_X : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E \right\}$$

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from Spanish Grants MTM2005-08350-C03-03 and MTN2004-21420-E. J. M. Calabuig was also supported by Generalitat Valenciana (project GV/2007/191).
© 2009 Australian Mathematical Society 0004-9727/09 \$A2.00 + 0.00

and

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|(E) = \sup\{|\langle \mathcal{F}, x^* \rangle|(E) : x^* \in B_{X^*}\},$$

respectively. In the case of operator-valued measures $\mathcal{F} : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$ we use $\|\mathcal{F}\|$ for the strong-variation defined by

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|(E) = \sup\left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} \|\mathcal{F}(A)y\|_Z : y \in B_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E \right\}.$$

Given a norm τ defined on the space $Y \otimes_\tau X$ satisfying $\|y \otimes x\|_\tau \leq C\|y\| \cdot \|x\|$ we write $Y \widehat{\otimes} X$ for its completion. In [1] Bartle introduced the notion of Y -semivariation of a vector measure $\mathcal{F} : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ with respect to τ by the formula

$$\beta_Y(\mathcal{F}, \tau)(E) = \sup\left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \in \pi} y_A \otimes \mathcal{F}(A) \right\|_\tau : y_A \in B_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E \right\}$$

for every $E \in \Sigma$. This is an intermediate notion between the variation and semivariation, since for every $E \in \Sigma$ we clearly have

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|(E) \leq \beta_Y(\mathcal{F}, \tau)(E) \leq |\mathcal{F}|(E).$$

If $Y \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon X$ and $Y \widehat{\otimes}_\pi X$ stand for the injective and projective tensor norms, respectively, then we actually have

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|(E) = \beta_Y(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)(E) \leq \beta_Y(\mathcal{F}, \tau)(E) \leq \beta_Y(\mathcal{F}, \pi)(E) \leq |\mathcal{F}|(E).$$

We refer the reader to [11] for a theory of integration of Y -valued functions with respect to X -valued measures of bounded Y -semivariation initiated by Jefferies and Okada and to [3] for the study of this notion in the particular cases $X = L^p(\mu)$, $Y = L^q(\nu)$ and τ the norm in the space of vector-valued functions $L^p(\mu, L^q(\nu))$.

We are going to use notions of \mathcal{B} -variation (or \mathcal{B} -semivariation) which allow us to obtain all of the previous cases for particular instances of bilinear maps.

Recall that, for $1 < p < \infty$, the p -variation and p -semivariation of a vector measure \mathcal{F} are defined by

$$|\mathcal{F}|_p(E) = \sup\left\{ \left(\sum_{A \in \pi} \frac{\|\mathcal{F}(A)\|_X^p}{\mu(A)^{p-1}} \right)^{1/p} : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E \right\} \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_p(E) = \sup\left\{ \left(\sum_{A \in \pi} \frac{|\langle \mathcal{F}(A), x^* \rangle|^p}{\mu(A)^{p-1}} \right)^{1/p} : x^* \in B_{X^*}, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E \right\}. \tag{1.2}$$

We use $V^p(X)$ and $\mathcal{V}^p(X)$ to denote the Banach spaces of vector measures for which $|\mathcal{F}|_p(\Omega) < \infty$ and $\|\mathcal{F}\|_p(\Omega) < \infty$, respectively.

The limiting case $p = 1$ corresponds to $\|\mathcal{F}\|_1(E) = |\mathcal{F}|(E)$ and $\|\mathcal{F}\|_1(E) = \|\mathcal{F}\|(E)$. For $p = \infty$ $V^\infty(X) = \mathcal{V}^\infty(X)$ is given by vector measures satisfying the

property that there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|\mathcal{F}(A)\| \leq C\mu(A)$ for any $A \in \Sigma$ and the ∞ -variation of a measure is defined by

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_\infty(E) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|\mathcal{F}(A)\|_X}{\mu(A)} : A \in \Sigma, A \subset E, \mu(A) > 0 \right\}. \tag{1.3}$$

We use $L^0(X)$ and $L^0_{\text{weak}}(X)$ to denote the spaces of strongly and weakly measurable functions with values in X and write $L^p(X)$ and $L^p_{\text{weak}}(X)$ for the space of functions in $L^0(X)$ and $L^0_{\text{weak}}(X)$ such that $\|f\| \in L^p$ and $\langle f, x^* \rangle \in L^p$ for every $x^* \in X^*$, respectively. As usual for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ the conjugate index is denoted by p' , that is $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

For each $f \in L^p(X)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, one can define a vector measure

$$\mathcal{F}_f(E) = \int_E f \, d\mu, \quad E \in \Sigma$$

which is of bounded p -variation and $|\mathcal{F}_f|_p(\Omega) = \|f\|_{L^p(X)}$. On the other hand, the converse depends on the Radon–Nikodým property (RNP), that is, given $1 < p \leq \infty$, X has the RNP if and only if for any X -valued measure \mathcal{F} of bounded p -variation there exists $f \in L^p(X)$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_f$.

For general Banach spaces X , $V^\infty(X)$ can be identified with the space of operators $\mathcal{L}(L^1, X)$ by means of the map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{F}}$ where

$$T_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{1}_E) = \mathcal{F}(E), \quad E \in \Sigma,$$

and for $1 < p < \infty$ the space $V^p(X)$ can be identified (isometrically) with the space $\Lambda(L^{p'}, X)$, formed by the cone absolutely summing operators from $L^{p'}$ into X with the π_1^+ norm (see [14, 2]). We refer the reader to [9, 8, 11, 14] for the notions appearing in the paper and the basic concepts about vector measures and their variations.

Quite recently the authors started studying the spaces of X -valued functions which are p -integrable with respect to a bounded bilinear map $\mathcal{B} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$, that is, functions f satisfying the condition $\mathcal{B}(f, y) \in L^p(Z)$ for all $y \in Y$. Some basic theory was developed and applied to different examples (see [4, 5, 6]). Note that the use of certain bilinear maps, such as

$$\mathcal{B} : X \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow X, \quad \text{given by } \mathcal{B}(x, \lambda) = \lambda x, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\mathcal{D} : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad \text{given by } \mathcal{D}(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_1 : X^* \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad \text{given by } \mathcal{D}_1(x^*, x) = \langle x, x^* \rangle, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow X \widehat{\otimes} Y, \quad \text{given by } \pi_Y(x, y) = x \otimes y, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_Y : X \times \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \rightarrow Y, \quad \text{given by } \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_Y(x, T) = T(x), \tag{1.8}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y,Z} : \mathcal{L}(Y, Z) \times Y \rightarrow Z, \quad \text{given by } \mathcal{O}_{Y,Z}(T, y) = T(y) \tag{1.9}$$

have been around for many years and have been used in different aspects of vector-valued functions, but a systematic study for general bilinear maps was started in [4]

and used, among other things, to extend the results on boundedness from $L^p(Y)$ to $L^p(Z)$ of operator-valued kernels by Girardi and Weiss [10] to the case where $K : \Omega \times \Omega' \rightarrow X$ is measurable and the integral operators are defined by

$$T_K(f)(w) = \int_{\Omega'} \mathcal{B}(K(w, w'), f(w')) d\mu'(w').$$

The reader is also referred to [6] for some versions of Hölder’s inequality in this setting.

We require some notation and definitions from the previous papers. We write $\Phi_{\mathcal{B}} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$ and $\Psi_{\mathcal{B}} : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Z)$ for the bounded linear operators defined by $\Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(x) = \mathcal{B}_x$ and $\Psi_{\mathcal{B}}(y) = \mathcal{B}^y$ where \mathcal{B}_x and \mathcal{B}^y are given by $\mathcal{B}_x(y) = \mathcal{B}^y(x) = \mathcal{B}(x, y)$.

A bounded bilinear map $\mathcal{B} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is called admissible (see [4]) if $\Phi_{\mathcal{B}}$ is injective. Throughout the paper we always assume that \mathcal{B} is admissible. However, a stronger condition will also be required for some results: a Banach space X is said to be (Y, Z, \mathcal{B}) -normed if there exists $k > 0$

$$\|x\|_X \leq k \|\mathcal{B}_x\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y, Z)}, \quad x \in X.$$

The bounded bilinear maps (1.4)–(1.9) provide examples of \mathcal{B} -normed spaces.

As in [4] we write $\mathcal{L}^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$ for the space of functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ with $\mathcal{B}(f, y) \in L^0(Z)$ for any $y \in Y$ and such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X)} = \sup\{\|\mathcal{B}(f, y)\|_{L^p(Z)} : y \in B_Y\} < \infty,$$

and we use the notation $L^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$ for the space of functions $f \in \mathcal{L}^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$ for which there exists a sequence of simple functions $(s_n)_n \in \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}(X)$ such that $s_n \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere and $\|s_n - f\|_{\mathcal{L}^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X)} \rightarrow 0$. In such a case, we write $\|f\|_{L^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X)}$ instead of $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X)}$ and $\|f\|_{L^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|s_n\|_{L^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X)}$.

In particular, for the examples \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{D} we have that $\mathcal{L}^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X) = L^p(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}^p_{\mathcal{D}}(X) = L^p_{\text{weak}}(X)$. In addition $L^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X) = L^p(X)$ and $L^p_{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ coincides with the space of Pettis p -integrable functions $\mathcal{P}^p(X)$ (see [13, p. 54], for the case $p = 1$).

Observe that, for any \mathcal{B} , $L^p(X) \subseteq L^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$ and the inclusion can be strict (see [8, p. 53], for the case $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{D}$). Regarding the connection between $L^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$ and $L^p_{\text{weak}}(X)$ it was shown that X is (Y, Z, \mathcal{B}) -normed if and only if $L^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X) \subseteq L^p_{\text{weak}}(X)$ with continuous inclusion.

Owing to this fact, if $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$ for some (Y, Z, \mathcal{B}) -normed space X , then for each $E \in \Sigma$ there exists a unique element of X , denoted by $\int_E^{\mathcal{B}} f d\mu$, verifying

$$\int_E \mathcal{B}(f, y) d\mu = \mathcal{B}\left(\int_E^{\mathcal{B}} f d\mu, y\right), \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

This allows us to define the vector measure

$$\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}(E) = \int_E^{\mathcal{B}} f \, d\mu, \quad E \in \Sigma.$$

We consider the notion of (p, \mathcal{B}) -variation which fits with the theory allowing us to show that the (p, \mathcal{B}) -variation of \mathcal{F}_f coincides with its norm $\|f\|_{L^p_{\mathcal{B}}(X)}$.

The rest of this paper is divided into three sections. In Section 2 we introduce the notion of \mathcal{B} -variation, \mathcal{B} -semivariation of a vector measure and study their connection with the classical notions. We prove that for (Y, Z, \mathcal{B}) -normed spaces the \mathcal{B} -semivariation is equivalent to the semivariation and that the Y -semivariation considered by Bartle coincides the \mathcal{B} -variation for a particular bilinear map \mathcal{B} . Of particular interest is the observation that any vector measure with values in $X = L^1(\mu)$ is of bounded \mathcal{B} -variation for every \mathcal{B} whenever Z is a Hilbert space. We also show in this section that the measure $\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}$ is μ -continuous and $\|\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}\|_{\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) = \|f\|_{L^1_{\mathcal{B}}(X)}$. In Section 3 the natural notion of (p, \mathcal{B}) -semivariation is introduced. Starting with the case $p = \infty$ we describe, for $1 < p \leq \infty$, the space of measures with bounded (p, \mathcal{B}) -semivariation as bounded bilinear maps from $L^{p'} \times Y \rightarrow Z$. In Section 4 we deal with the notion of (p, \mathcal{B}) -variation of a vector measure. Several characterizations are presented and the new notion of ‘cone absolutely summing bilinear map’ from $L \times Y \rightarrow Z$, where L is a Banach lattice, is introduced. This allow us to describe the (p, \mathcal{B}) -variation of a vector measure as the norm of the corresponding bilinear map from $L^{p'} \times Y$ into Z in this class.

Throughout the paper $\mathcal{F} : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ always denotes a vector measure, $\mathcal{B} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is admissible and, for each $y \in Y$, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}, y)$ denotes the Z -valued measure $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}, y)(E) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(E), y)$.

2. Variation and semivariation with respect to bilinear maps

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $E \in \Sigma$. We define the \mathcal{B} -variation of \mathcal{F} on the set E by

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{B}}(E) &= \sup\{|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}, y)|(E) : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y\} \\ &= \sup\left\{\sum_{A \in \pi} \|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y)\|_Z : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E, y \in \mathbf{B}_Y\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We say that \mathcal{F} has *bounded \mathcal{B} -variation* if $|\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) < \infty$.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $E \in \Sigma$. We define the \mathcal{B} -semivariation of \mathcal{F} on the set E by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}}(E) &= \sup\{\|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}, y)\|(E) : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y\} \\ &= \sup\{|\langle \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}, y), z^* \rangle|(E) : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, z^* \in \mathbf{B}_{Z^*}\} \\ &= \sup\left\{\sum_{A \in \pi} |\langle \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y), z^* \rangle| : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E, y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, z^* \in \mathbf{B}_{Z^*}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We say that \mathcal{F} has *bounded \mathcal{B} -semivariation* if $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) < \infty$.

REMARK 2.3. Let \mathcal{F} be a vector measure and $E \in \Sigma$. Then:

- (a) $|\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{B}}(E) \leq \|\mathcal{B}\| \cdot |\mathcal{F}|(E)$;
- (b) $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}}(E) \leq \|\mathcal{B}\| \cdot \|\mathcal{F}\|(E)$;
- (c) $\sup\{\|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(C), y)\| : y \in B_Y, E \supseteq C \in \Sigma\} \approx \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}}(E)$.

In particular any measure has bounded \mathcal{B} -semivariation for any \mathcal{B} .

We can easily describe the \mathcal{B} -variation and \mathcal{B} -semivariation of vector measures for the bilinear maps given in (1.4)–(1.9). The following results are elementary and left to the reader.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let \mathcal{F} be a vector measure and $E \in \Sigma$. Then:

- (a) $|\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{B}}(E) = |\mathcal{F}|(E)$ and $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}}(E) = \|\mathcal{F}\|(E)$;
- (b) $|\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{D}}(E) = \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{D}}(E) = \|\mathcal{F}\|(E)$;
- (c) $|\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{D}_1}(E) = \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{D}_1}(E) = \|\mathcal{F}\|(E)$;
- (d) $|\mathcal{F}|_{\pi_Y}(E) = |\mathcal{F}|(E)$ and $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\pi_Y}(E) = \|\mathcal{F}\|(E)$ (see Proposition 2.7);
- (e) $|\mathcal{F}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_Y}(E) = \sup\{|\mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}|(E) : \mathcal{T} \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(Y,Z)}\}$ and $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_Y}(E) = \|\mathcal{F}\|(E)$;
- (f) $|\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,Z}}(E) = \|\mathcal{F}\|(E)$ and $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,Z}}(E) = \|\mathcal{F}\|(E)$.

The notion of \mathcal{B} -normed space can be described in terms of vector measures.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let $\mathcal{B} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be an admissible bounded bilinear map. Then X is (Y, Z, \mathcal{B}) -normed if and only if for any vector measure $\mathcal{F} : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 \|\mathcal{F}\|(E) \leq \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}}(E) \leq C_2 \|\mathcal{F}\|(E)$$

for all $E \in \Sigma$.

PROOF. Obviously $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}}(E) \leq \|\mathcal{B}\| \cdot \|\mathcal{F}\|(E)$ for any $E \in \Sigma$. Assume that X is (Y, Z, \mathcal{B}) -normed. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\|(E) &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \in \pi} \varepsilon_A \mathcal{F}(A) \right\|_X : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E, \varepsilon_A \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{K}} \right\} \\ &\leq k \sup \left\{ \left\| \mathcal{B}_{\sum_{A \in \pi} \varepsilon_A \mathcal{F}(A)} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,Z)} : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E, \varepsilon_A \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{K}} \right\} \\ &= k \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \in \pi} \varepsilon_A \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y) \right\|_Z : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E, \varepsilon_A \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{K}}, y \in B_Y \right\} \\ &= k \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}}(E). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, for each $x \in X$ select the measure $\mathcal{F}_x(A) = x\mu(A)\mu(\Omega)^{-1}$ and observe that $\|\mathcal{F}_x\|(\Omega) = \|x\|$ and $\|\mathcal{F}_x\|_{\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) = \|\mathcal{B}_x\|$. □

We use \mathcal{B}^* for the ‘adjoint’ bilinear map from $X \times Z^* \rightarrow Y^*$, that is $(\mathcal{B}^*)_x = (\mathcal{B}_x)^*$ or

$$\mathcal{B}^* : X \times Z^* \rightarrow Y^*, \text{ given by } \langle y, \mathcal{B}^*(x, z^*) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{B}(x, y), z^* \rangle.$$

Note that $\mathcal{B}^* = \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{D}_1^* = \mathcal{B}$, $(\pi_Y)^* = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{Y^*}$ and $(\mathcal{O}_{Y,Z})^*(T, z^*) = \mathcal{O}_{Z^*,Y^*}(T^*, z^*)$.
 Let us prove that the \mathcal{B} -semivariation and the \mathcal{B}^* -semivariation always coincide.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *We have $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}}(E) = \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}^*}(E)$ for all $E \in \Sigma$.*

PROOF. Let us take $E \in \Sigma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}}(E) &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} |(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y), z^*)| : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E, y \in \mathcal{B}_Y, z^* \in \mathcal{B}_{Z^*} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} |\langle y, \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{F}(A), z^*) \rangle| : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E, y \in \mathcal{B}_Y, z^* \in \mathcal{B}_{Z^*} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{A \in \pi} \varepsilon_A \langle y, \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{F}(A), z^*) \rangle \right| : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E, y \in \mathcal{B}_Y, z^* \in \mathcal{B}_{Z^*}, \varepsilon_A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{K}} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \in \pi} \varepsilon_A \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{F}(A), z^*) \right\|_{Y^*} : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E, z^* \in \mathcal{B}_{Z^*}, \varepsilon_A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{K}} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} |(\mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{F}(A), z^*), y^{**})| : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_E, y^{**} \in \mathcal{B}_{Y^{**}}, z^* \in \mathcal{B}_{Z^*} \right\} \\ &= \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}^*}(E). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let τ be a norm in $Y \otimes X$ with $\|y \otimes x\|_{\tau} = \|y\| \|x\|$ for all $y \in Y$ and $x \in X$. Define $\tau_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y \widehat{\otimes}_{\tau} X$ given by $(x, y) \rightarrow y \otimes x$. Then, for each $E \in \Sigma$,*

$$\beta_Y(\mathcal{F}, \tau)(E) \approx |\mathcal{F}|_{(\tau_Y)^*}(E).$$

PROOF. Taking into account that $Y \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} X \subseteq Y \widehat{\otimes}_{\tau} X$, then $(Y \widehat{\otimes}_{\tau} X)^*$ can be regarded as a subspace of the space of bounded operators $\mathcal{L}(Y, X^*)$. Moreover $\|T\| \leq \|T\|_{(Y \widehat{\otimes}_{\tau} X)^*}$ for any $T \in (Y \widehat{\otimes}_{\tau} X)^*$, where the duality is given by

$$\left\langle T, \sum_{j=1}^k y_j \otimes x_j \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle x_j, T(y_j) \rangle.$$

From [3, Theorem 2.1], we have

$$\beta_Y(\mathcal{F}, \tau)(E) \approx \sup\{ |T\mathcal{F}|(E) : T \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X^*), \|T\|_{(Y \widehat{\otimes}_{\tau} X)^*} \leq 1 \}.$$

Hence,

$$\beta_Y(\mathcal{F}, \tau)(E) \approx \sup\{ |(\tau_Y)^*(\mathcal{F}, T)|(E) : T \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X^*), \|T\|_{(Y \widehat{\otimes}_{\tau} X)^*} \leq 1 \}. \quad \square$$

Of course vector measures need not be of bounded \mathcal{B} -variation for a general \mathcal{B} (it suffices to take \mathcal{B} such that $|\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{B}} = |\mathcal{F}|$), but there are cases where this happens to be true owing to the geometrical properties of the spaces under consideration.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let $X = L^1(\nu)$ for some σ -finite measure ν and let $Z = H$ be a Hilbert space. Then any vector measure $\mathcal{F} : \Sigma \rightarrow L^1(\nu)$ is of bounded \mathcal{B} -variation for any bounded bilinear map $\mathcal{B} : L^1(\nu) \times Y \rightarrow H$ and any Banach space Y .*

PROOF. Recall first that Grothendieck theorem (see [7]) establishes that there exists a constant $\kappa_G > 0$ such that any operator from $L^1(\nu)$ to a Hilbert space H satisfies

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \|T(\phi_n)\|_H \leq \kappa_G \|T\| \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n \phi_n \right\|_{L^1(\nu)} : \varepsilon_n \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{K}} \right\}$$

for any finite family of functions $(\phi_n)_n$ in $L^1(\nu)$.

If $\mathcal{F} : \Sigma \rightarrow L^1(\nu)$ is a vector measure and π a partition, one has that $\| \sum_{A \in \pi} \varepsilon_A \mathcal{F}(A) \|_{L^1(\nu)} \leq \| \mathcal{F} \|(\Omega)$. Hence, $\mathcal{B}^y \in \mathcal{L}(L^1(\eta), H)$ for any $y \in Y$, so one obtains

$$\sum_{A \in \pi} \| \mathcal{B}^y(\mathcal{F}(A)) \|_Z \leq \kappa_G \cdot \| \mathcal{B}^y \| \cdot \| \mathcal{F} \|(\Omega).$$

Therefore, one concludes that $| \mathcal{F} |_{\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) \leq \kappa_G \cdot \| \mathcal{F} \|(\Omega)$. □

Recall that a vector measure $\mathcal{F} : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ is called μ -continuous if $\lim_{\mu(E) \rightarrow 0} \| \mathcal{F} \| (E) = 0$.

THEOREM 2.9. *Let X be (Y, Z, \mathcal{B}) -normed and $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}} : \Sigma \rightarrow X, \text{ given by } \mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}(E) = \int_E^{\mathcal{B}} f \, d\mu \tag{2.1}$$

is a μ -continuous vector measure of bounded \mathcal{B} -variation. Moreover, $| \mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}} |_{\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) = \| f \|_{L^1_{\mathcal{B}}(X)}$.

PROOF. It was shown (see [4, Theorem 1]) that functions in $L^1_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$ are Pettis integrable and $\int_E^{\mathcal{B}} f \, d\mu$ coincides with the Pettis integral. Hence, $\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}$ defines a vector measure.

Using now that, for each $y \in Y$, the vector measure $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}, y)$ has density $\mathcal{B}(f, y)$ that belongs to $L^1(Z)$, one obtains that, for any $E \in \Sigma$,

$$| \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_f, y) | (E) = \int_E \| \mathcal{B}(f, y) \|_Z \, d\mu.$$

Thus, $| \mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}} |_{\mathcal{B}}(\Omega) = \| f \|_{L^1_{\mathcal{B}}(X)}$. It remains to show that $\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}$ is μ -continuous. Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and select, using that $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$, a simple function s such that $\| f - s \|_{L^1_{\mathcal{B}}(X)} \leq \varepsilon$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}(E)\|_X &\leq \left\| \int_E^{\mathcal{B}} (f - s) d\mu \right\|_X + \left\| \int_E^{\mathcal{B}} s d\mu \right\|_X \\
 &= \left\| \int_E^{\mathcal{B}} (f - s) d\mu \right\|_X + \left\| \int_E s d\mu \right\|_X \\
 &\leq k \|\mathcal{B}_{\int_E^{\mathcal{B}} (f-s) d\mu}\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,Z)} + \left\| \int_E s d\mu \right\|_X \\
 &\leq k \sup \left\{ \int_E \|\mathcal{B}(f - s, y)\|_Z d\mu : y \in B_Y \right\} + \left\| \int_E s d\mu \right\|_X \\
 &\leq k\varepsilon + \left\| \int_E s d\mu \right\|_X.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have the conclusion just taking limits when $\mu(E) \rightarrow 0$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. □

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let X is (Y, Z, \mathcal{B}) -normed and $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$. If $\int_E^{\mathcal{B}} f d\mu = 0$ for all $E \in \Sigma$, then $f = 0$ almost everywhere in Ω .*

3. Measures of bounded (p, \mathcal{B}) -semivariation.

Extending the notion for $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$, we say that a vector measure $\mathcal{F} : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ is (\mathcal{B}, μ) -continuous if $\lim_{\mu(E) \rightarrow 0} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}}(E) = 0$. Clearly both concepts coincide for \mathcal{B} -normed spaces.

DEFINITION 3.1. We say that \mathcal{F} has *bounded (∞, \mathcal{B}) -semivariation* if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|\langle \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y), z^* \rangle| \leq C \cdot \|y\| \cdot \|z^*\| \cdot \mu(A), \quad y \in Y, z^* \in Z^*, A \in \Sigma. \tag{3.1}$$

The space of such measures is denoted by $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)$ and we set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)} &= \inf\{C : \text{satisfying (3.1)}\} \\
 &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|\langle \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y), z^* \rangle|}{\mu(A)} : y \in B_Y, z^* \in B_{Z^*}, A \in \Sigma, \mu(A) > 0 \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that every vector measure \mathcal{F} belonging to $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)$ is (\mathcal{B}, μ) -continuous and it has bounded \mathcal{B} -variation. Also note that \mathcal{F} has bounded (∞, \mathcal{B}) -semivariation if and only if one of the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y)\| &\leq C \|y\| \mu(A), & y \in Y, A \in \Sigma, \\
 \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}}(A) &\leq C \mu(A), & A \in \Sigma
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$|\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{B}}(A) \leq C\mu(A), \quad A \in \Sigma.$$

It is elementary to see, owing to the admissibility of \mathcal{B} , that $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)}$ is a norm.

Of course,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)} &= \sup\{\|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}, y)\|_{V^{\infty}(Z)} : y \in B_Y\} \\ &= \sup\left\{\frac{\|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y)\|_Z}{\mu(A)} : y \in B_Y, A \in \Sigma\right\} \\ &= \sup\left\{\frac{\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{B}}(A)}{\mu(A)} : A \in \Sigma\right\} \\ &= \sup\left\{\frac{|\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{B}}(A)}{\mu(A)} : A \in \Sigma\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. *We have $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)$ if and only if there exists a bounded bilinear map $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}} : L^1 \times Y \rightarrow Z$ such that*

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{1}_A, y) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y), \quad A \in \Sigma, y \in Y.$$

Moreover, $\|\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}\| = \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)}$.

PROOF. Assume that $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)$. Define $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}$ on simple functions by the formula

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k}, y\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A_k), y).$$

Observe that

$$\left\|\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k}, y\right)\right\|_Z \leq \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)} \|y\| \sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha_k| \mu(A_k).$$

This allows us to extend the bilinear map to $L^1 \times Y \rightarrow Z$ with norm $\|\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}\| \leq \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)}$. Conversely, one has

$$\|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y)\|_Z \leq \|\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}\| \cdot \|y\| \cdot \|\mathbf{1}_A\|_{L^1},$$

which gives $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)} \leq \|\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}\|$. □

We use the notation $\text{Bil}(L^1 \times Y, Z)$ for the space of bounded bilinear maps with its natural norm.

COROLLARY 3.3. *We have that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)$ is isometrically embedded in $\text{Bil}(L^1 \times Y, Z)$. In the case $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{O}_{Y,Z}$ we have*

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,Z}}^{\infty}(\mathcal{L}(Y, Z)) = \text{Bil}(L^1 \times Y, Z).$$

Let $L_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)$ stand for the space of measurable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that $\mathcal{B}(f, y) \in L^{\infty}(Z)$ for all $y \in Y$ and write

$$\|f\|_{L_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)} = \sup\{\|\mathcal{B}(f, y)\|_{L^{\infty}(Z)} : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y\}.$$

Note that $L_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X) \subseteq L_{\mathcal{B}}^1(X)$ and $|\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}, y)|(A) = \int_A^{\mathcal{B}} \|\mathcal{B}(f, y)\| d\mu$ for any set $A \in \Sigma$. In particular, if $f \in L_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)$, then the measure $\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)$ and $\|\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)} = \|f\|_{L_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)}$.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) X is (Y, Z, \mathcal{B}) -normed;
- (b) $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X) = V^{\infty}(X)$;
- (c) *there exists $k > 0$ such that $\|\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}\|_{\mathcal{V}^{\infty}(X)} \leq k\|f\|_{L_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)}$ for any $f \in L_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)$.*

PROOF. (a) \implies (b) We always have $V^{\infty}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)$. Assume that X is (Y, Z, \mathcal{B}) -normed and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)$. Note that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(A)\| \leq k\|\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}(A)}\| \leq k\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)}\mu(A).$$

(b) \implies (c) Let $f \in L_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)$. Clearly,

$$\|\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}\|_{\mathcal{V}^{\infty}(X)} \leq k\|\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)} = k\|f\|_{L_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)}.$$

(c) \implies (a) Let us take $f_x = x\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}$ for a given $x \in X$ and observe that $\mathcal{F}_{f_x}^{\mathcal{B}}(A) = x\mu(A)$ for all $A \in \Sigma$. Note that $\|f_x\|_{L_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)} = \|\mathcal{B}_x\|$ and $\|\mathcal{F}_{f_x}^{\mathcal{B}}\|_{\mathcal{V}^{\infty}(X)} = \|x\|$. \square

DEFINITION 3.5. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We say that \mathcal{F} has bounded (p, \mathcal{B}) -semivariation if

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} = \sup\left\{\left(\sum_{A \in \pi} \frac{|\langle \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y), z^* \rangle|^p}{\mu(A)^{p-1}}\right)^{1/p} : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, z^* \in \mathbf{B}_{Z^*}, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Omega}\right\} < \infty.$$

The space of such measures is denoted by $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$.

We have the equivalent formulation

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} &= \sup\{\|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}, y)\|_{\mathcal{V}^p(Z)} : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y\} \\ &= \sup\{\|\langle \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}, y), z^* \rangle\|_{\mathcal{V}^p} : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, z^* \in \mathbf{B}_{Z^*}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us start with the following description.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ if and only if there exists a bounded bilinear map $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}: L^{p'} \times Y \rightarrow Z$ such that*

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{1}_A, y) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y), \quad A \in \Sigma, y \in Y.$$

Moreover, $\|\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}\| = \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)}$.

PROOF. Assume that $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$. As above define $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}$ on simple functions by the formula

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k}, y\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A_k), y).$$

We use that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \in \pi} \frac{\langle \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y), z^* \rangle \gamma_A}{\mu(A)^{1/p'}} \right\| : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, z^* \in \mathbf{B}_{Z^*}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Omega}, (\gamma_A)_A \in \mathbf{B}_{\ell^{p'}} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \in \pi} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y) \beta_A \right\|_Z : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Omega}, \sum_{A \in \pi} \beta_A \mathbf{1}_A \in \mathbf{B}_{L^{p'}} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\sum_{A \in \pi} \beta_A \mathbf{1}_A, y\right) \right\|_Z : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Omega}, \sum_{A \in \pi} \beta_A \mathbf{1}_A \in \mathbf{B}_{L^{p'}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the density of simple functions we extend to $L^{p'}$ and $\|\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}\| \leq \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)}$. The converse also follows from the previous formula. \square

It is known that $\mathcal{V}^p(X) = \mathcal{L}(L^{p'}, X)$ (see [12]). The next result is the analogue in the bilinear setting.

COROLLARY 3.7. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ is isometrically embedded in $\text{Bil}(L^{p'} \times Y, Z)$. In the case $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{O}_{Y,Z}$ we have*

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,Z}}^p(\mathcal{L}(Y, Z)) = \text{Bil}(L^{p'} \times Y, Z).$$

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{B}: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be an admissible bounded bilinear map and $1 < p < \infty$. Then X is (Y, Z, \mathcal{B}) -normed if and only if the space $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ is continuously contained into $\mathcal{V}^p(X)$.*

PROOF. Assume that X is (Y, Z, \mathcal{B}) -normed. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}^p(X)} &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \in \pi} \frac{\mathcal{F}(A), x^*}{\mu(A)^{1/p'}} \gamma_A \right\| : x^* \in \mathbf{B}_{X^*}, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_\Omega, (\gamma_A)_A \in \mathbf{B}_{\ell^{p'}} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \in \pi} \frac{\mathcal{F}(A) \gamma_A}{\mu(A)^{1/p'}} \right\|_X : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_\Omega, (\gamma_A)_A \in \mathbf{B}_{\ell^{p'}} \right\} \\ &\leq k \sup \left\{ \left\| \mathcal{B}_{\sum \frac{\mathcal{F}(A) \gamma_A}{\mu(A)^{1/p'}}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y, Z)} : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_\Omega, (\gamma_A)_A \in \mathbf{B}_{\ell^{p'}} \right\} \\ &= k \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \in \pi} \mathcal{B} \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(A) \gamma_A}{\mu(A)^{1/p'}}, y \right) \right\|_Z : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_\Omega, (\gamma_A)_A \in \mathbf{B}_{\ell^{p'}} \right\} \\ &= k \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{A \in \pi} \frac{\|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y)\|^p}{\mu(A)^{p-1}} \right)^{1/p} : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_\Omega \right\} \\ &= k \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)}. \end{aligned}$$

For the converse consider the vector measure $\mathcal{F}_x : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ given by $\mathcal{F}_x(A) = x \mu(A) \mu(\Omega)^{-1}$ for each $x \in X$. Note that $\|\mathcal{F}_x\|_{\mathcal{V}^p(X)} = \|x\|$ and $\|\mathcal{F}_x\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} = \|\mathcal{B}_x\|$. \square

4. Measures of bounded (p, \mathcal{B}) -variation

DEFINITION 4.1. We say that \mathcal{F} has bounded (p, \mathcal{B}) -variation if

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{A \in \pi} \frac{\|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y)\|_Z^p}{\mu(A)^{p-1}} \right)^{1/p} : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_\Omega \right\} < \infty.$$

The space of such measures is denoted by $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$.

It is clear that the norm in the vector space $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ is also given by the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} &= \sup \{ \|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}, y)\|_{\mathcal{V}^p(Z)} : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y \} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \in \pi} \frac{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y) \mathbf{1}_A}{\mu(A)} \right\|_{L^p(Z)} : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_\Omega \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \in \pi} \frac{\mathcal{F}(A)}{\mu(A)} \mathbf{1}_A \right\|_{L_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_\Omega \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 4.2. For $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$ this corresponds to $|\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{B}(\Omega)}$ and $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^\infty(X)}$. Hence, we define $\mathcal{V}^\infty(X) = \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^\infty(X)$.

It is clear that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X) \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^q(X)$ and $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^q(X)} \leq \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)}$.

On the other hand, since

$$|\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{B}(E)} \leq \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} \|\mathbf{1}_E\|_{L^{p'}}, \quad E \in \Sigma,$$

one sees that if $\mathcal{F} \in V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ then \mathcal{F} has bounded \mathcal{B} -variation and it is (\mathcal{B}, μ) -continuous.

REMARK 4.3. Using the inclusions $L^q(X) \subseteq L^p(X)$ for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ one also has

$$V_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X) \subseteq V_{\mathcal{B}}^q(X) \subseteq V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} \leq \mu(\Omega)^{1/q-1/p} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^q(X)} \leq \mu(\Omega)^{1/q} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty}(X)}.$$

Let us find different equivalent formulations for the norm in $V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *We have*

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} = \sup \left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} \|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), \beta_A y)\|_Z : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Omega}, \sum_{A \in \pi} \beta_A \mathbf{1}_A \in \mathbf{B}_{L^{p'}} \right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \in \pi} \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{F}(A), z_A^*) \right\|_{Y^*} : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Omega}, \sum_{A \in \pi} z_A^* \mathbf{1}_A \in \mathbf{B}_{L^{p'}(Z^*)} \right\}. \tag{4.2}$$

PROOF. Given a partition $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Omega}$, $\alpha_A \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta_A = (\alpha_A/\mu(A)^{1/p'})$ one has that the simple function $g = \sum_{A \in \pi} \beta_A \mathbf{1}_A$ satisfies $\|g\|_{L^{p'}} = \|(\alpha_A)_{A \in \pi}\|_{\ell^{p'}}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \left(\mathcal{B} \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(A)}{\mu(A)^{1/p'}}, y \right) \right)_{A \in \pi} \right\|_{\ell^p} : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Omega} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} \left\| \mathcal{B} \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(A)}{\mu(A)^{1/p'}}, y \right) \right\|_Z |\alpha_A| : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Omega}, (\alpha_A)_{A \in \pi} \in \mathbf{B}_{\ell^{p'}} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} \left\| \mathcal{B} \left(\mathcal{F}(A) \frac{\alpha_A}{\mu(A)^{1/p'}}, y \right) \right\|_Z : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Omega}, (\alpha_A)_{A \in \pi} \in \mathbf{B}_{\ell^{p'}} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} \left\| \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), \beta_A y) \right\|_Z : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Omega}, \sum_{A \in \pi} \beta_A \mathbf{1}_A \in \mathbf{B}_{L^{p'}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain (4.2) from the duality $(\ell^1(Z))^* = \ell^\infty(Z^*)$ and (4.1). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} \|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), \beta_A y)\|_Z : y \in B_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_\Omega, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{A \in \pi} \beta_A \mathbf{1}_A \in B_{L^{p'}} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{A \in \pi} \langle \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), \beta_A y), z_A^* \rangle \right| : y \in B_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_\Omega, z_A^* \in B_{Z^*}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{A \in \pi} \beta_A \mathbf{1}_A \in B_{L^{p'}} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{A \in \pi} \langle y, \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{F}(A), \beta_A z_A^*) \rangle \right| : y \in B_Y, \pi \in \mathcal{D}_\Omega, z_A^* \in B_{Z^*}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{A \in \pi} \beta_A \mathbf{1}_A \in B_{L^{p'}} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \in \pi} \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{F}(A), z_A^*) \right\|_{Y^*} : \pi \in \mathcal{D}_\Omega, \sum_{A \in \pi} z_A^* \mathbf{1}_A \in B_{L^{p'}(Z^*)} \right\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let us give a characterization of the vector measures in the space $V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ using only scalar-valued functions $\{\varphi_y \mid y \in B_Y\} \subseteq L^p$.

THEOREM 4.5. *We have $\mathcal{F} \in V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ if and only if there exist $0 \leq \varphi_y \in L^p$ for each $y \in Y$ such that:*

- (a) $\sup\{\|\varphi_y\|_{L^p} : y \in B_Y\} < \infty$; and
- (b) $\|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(E), y)\| \leq \int_E \varphi_y \, d\mu$ for every $y \in Y$ and $E \in \Sigma$.

Moreover, $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} = \sup\{\|\varphi_y\|_{L^p} : y \in B_Y\}$.

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{F} \in V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$. Then we have that $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}, y) \in V^p(Z)$ for all $y \in B_Y$ and $|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}, y)|$ is a nonnegative μ -continuous measure that has bounded variation. Using the Radon–Nikodým theorem there exists a nonnegative integrable function φ_y such that for all $E \in \Sigma$

$$|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}, y)|(E) = \int_E \varphi_y \, d\mu. \tag{4.3}$$

In fact φ_y can be chosen belonging to L^p and verifying that

$$\|\varphi_y\|_{L^p} = \|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}, y)\|_{V^p(Z)}.$$

Then, for every $E \in \Sigma$ and $y \in B_Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(E), y)\| &\leq |\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{B}}(E) = \sup\{|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}, y)|(E) : y \in B_Y\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int_E \varphi_y \, d\mu : y \in B_Y \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain the result.

Conversely observe that using Hölder’s inequality we have that

$$\|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(E), y)\| \leq \int_E \varphi_y \, d\mu \leq \left(\int_E \varphi_y^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p} \mu(E)^{1/p'}$$

for all $E \in \Sigma$ and $y \in \mathbf{B}_Y$. Hence, for every $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\Omega$

$$\sum_{A \in \pi} \frac{\|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), y)\|^p}{\mu(A)^{p-1}} \leq \int_\Omega \varphi_y^p \, d\mu.$$

This shows that $\mathcal{F} \in V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ and $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} \leq \sup\{\|\varphi_y\|_{L^p} : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y\}$. □

Let us now see the analogue to Theorem 2.9 in the cases $1 < p < \infty$.

THEOREM 4.6. *Assume X is (Y, Z, \mathcal{B}) -normed and $1 < p < \infty$. If $f \in L_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ then $\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}} \in V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ and $\|\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} = \|f\|_{L_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)}$.*

PROOF. Let us take $f \in L_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$. From Theorem 2.9 one know that $\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}} : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ is a vector measure of bounded variation. Now, for each $y \in Y$, $\mathcal{B}^y \mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}} : \Sigma \rightarrow Z$ is a vector measure verifying that

$$\mathcal{B}^y \mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}(E) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}(E), y) = \mathcal{B}\left(\int_E^{\mathcal{B}} f \, d\mu, y\right) = \int_E \mathcal{B}(f, y) \, d\mu, \quad E \in \Sigma.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} &= \sup\{\|\mathcal{B}(f, y)\|_{L^p(Z)} : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y\} = \sup\{\|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}, y)\|_{V^p(Z)} : y \in \mathbf{B}_Y\} \\ &= \|\mathcal{F}_f^{\mathcal{B}}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 4.7. *If X is (Y, Z, \mathcal{B}) -normed, then $L_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ is isometrically contained in $V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$.*

From the definition one clearly has the following interpretations of $V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ as operators:

$V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ is isometrically embedded in $\mathcal{L}(Y, V^p(Z))$ by composition, that is $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Phi_{\mathcal{F}}(y) = \mathcal{B}^y \mathcal{F}$.

Let us see other processes that generate operators from vector measures: given a vector measure $\mathcal{F} : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ and a bounded bilinear map $\mathcal{B} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ we can consider the operators $T_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{B}}$ or $S_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{B}}$ defined on Y -valued simple functions $s = \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$ or Z^* -valued simple functions $t = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k^* \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$, respectively, by

$$T_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{B}}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A_k), y_k)$$

and

$$S_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{B}}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{F}(A_k), z_k^*).$$

Observe that actually $S_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{B}} = T_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{B}^*}$.

THEOREM 4.8. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then $V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ is continuously contained into $\mathcal{L}(L^{p'} \widehat{\otimes} Y, Z)$.*

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{F} \in V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$. Consider the linear operator $T_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{B}}$ defined on Y -valued simple functions and with values in Z . Note that for any partition π , $\phi = \sum_{A \in \pi} \alpha_A \mathbf{1}_A$ and $y \in Y$

$$\|T_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\phi \otimes y)\|_Z \leq \sum_{A \in \pi} \|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A), \alpha_A y)\|_Z.$$

Using (4.1) and the definition of projective tensor product one obtains $\|T_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{B}}\| \leq \|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)}$. □

THEOREM 4.9. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then $V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ is isometrically embedded into $\mathcal{L}(L^{p'}(Z^*), Y^*)$.*

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{F} \in V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$. Consider the linear operator $S_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{B}}$ from the space of Z^* -valued simple functions into Y^* . Note that for any partition π

$$\left\| S_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{B}} \left(\sum_{A \in \pi} z_A^* \mathbf{1}_A \right) \right\|_{Y^*} = \left\| \sum_{A \in \pi} \mathcal{B}^*(\mathcal{F}(A), z_A^*) \right\|_{Y^*}.$$

Using (4.2) and the density of simple functions in $L^{p'}(Z^*)$ one obtains $\|S_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{B}}\| = \|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)}$. □

Note that $V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X) \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ and, from Corollary 3.7, $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ is embedded in $\text{Bil}(L^{p'} \times Y, Z)$. Hence, $V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ is continuously contained in $\text{Bil}(L^{p'} \otimes Y, Z)$ by means of the mapping $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}: L^{p'} \times Y \rightarrow Z$ given by

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(s, y) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(A_k), \alpha_k y)$$

where $s = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$. Let us find which special class of bilinear maps represents elements in $V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$.

In the case of $Y = \mathbb{K}$ the corresponding operators would correspond to the class of cone absolutely summing operators.

DEFINITION 4.10. Let L be a Banach lattice, Y and Z be Banach spaces and $\mathcal{U} : L \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a bounded bilinear map. We say that \mathcal{U} is *cone absolutely summing* if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathcal{U}(\varphi_n, y)\|_Z : y \in B_Y \right\} \leq C \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle \varphi_n, \psi \rangle| : \psi \in B_{L^*} \right\}$$

for any finite family $(\varphi_n)_n$ of positive elements in L .

We denote by $\Lambda(L \times Y, Z)$ the space of such bilinear maps and we endow the space with the norm $\pi^+(\mathcal{U})$ given by the infimum of the constants satisfying the above inequality.

THEOREM 4.11. If $\mathcal{F} \in V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$, then $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}} \in \Lambda(L^{p'} \times Y, Z)$ and $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} = \pi^+(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}})$.

PROOF. Given $\mathcal{F} \in V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$, then $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}} : L^{p'} \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is bounded. Let us show that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}} \in \Lambda(L^{p'} \times Y, Z)$ and $\pi^+(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)}$.

From Theorem 4.5 there exists $0 \leq \varphi_y \in L^p$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} = \sup\{\|\varphi_y\|_{L^p} : y \in B_Y\}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{1}_A, y)\| \leq \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_A \varphi_y \, d\mu, \quad A \in \Sigma.$$

Using linearity and density of simple functions one also extends to

$$\|\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(\psi, y)\| \leq \int_{\Omega} \psi \varphi_y \, d\mu,$$

for any $0 \leq \psi \in L^{p'}$ and $y \in Y$.

Now, given a finite family $0 \leq \psi_n \in L^{p'}$ and $y \in Y$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(\psi_n, y)\| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \psi_n \varphi_y \, d\mu \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \|\varphi_y\|_{L^p} \left\langle \psi_n, \frac{\varphi_y}{\|\varphi_y\|_{L^p}} \right\rangle d\mu \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle \psi_n, \varphi \rangle| : \varphi \in B_{L^p} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\pi^+(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}) \leq \|\mathcal{F}\|_{V_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)}$.

On the other hand, given a partition π , a sequence $(\alpha_A)_A \in \ell^{p'}$ and denoting $\psi_A = (|\alpha_A|/\mu(A)^{1/p'})\mathbf{1}_A$ one can apply the condition of cone absolutely summing

bilinear map to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{A \in \pi} \left\| \mathcal{B} \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(A)}{\mu(A)^{1/p'}}, \alpha_A y \right) \right\|_Z &= \sum_{A \in \pi} \|\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(\psi_A, y)\|_Z \\
 &\leq \pi^+(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}) \|y\| \sup \left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} \int_{\Omega} \psi_A |\varphi| d\mu : \varphi \in \mathbf{B}_{L^p} \right\} \\
 &= \pi^+(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}) \|y\| \sup \left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} \frac{|\alpha_A|}{\mu(A)^{1/p'}} \int_A |\varphi| d\mu : \varphi \in \mathbf{B}_{L^p} \right\} \\
 &\leq \pi^+(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}) \|y\| \sup \left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} |\alpha_A| \left(\int_A |\varphi|^p \right)^{1/p} d\mu : \varphi \in \mathbf{B}_{L^p} \right\} \\
 &\leq \pi^+(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}) \cdot \|y\| \cdot \|(\alpha_A)_A\|_{\ell^{p'}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now (4.1) allows us to conclude that $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)} \leq \pi^+(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}})$. \square

COROLLARY 4.12. *We have that $\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{B}}^p(X)$ is isometrically embedded in $\Lambda(L^{p'} \times Y, Z)$.*

References

- [1] R. G. Bartle, 'A general bilinear vector integral', *Studia Math.* **15** (1956), 337–352.
- [2] O. Blasco, 'Positive p -summing operators, vector measures and tensor products', *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)* **31**(2) (1988), 179–184.
- [3] ———, 'Remarks on the semivariation of vector measures with respect to Banach spaces', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **75**(3) (2007), 469–480.
- [4] O. Blasco and J. M. Calabuig, 'Vector-valued functions integrable with respect to bilinear maps', *Taiwanese Math. J.* to appear.
- [5] ———, 'Fourier analysis with respect to bilinear maps', *Acta Math. Sinica* to appear.
- [6] ———, 'Hölder inequality for functions integrable with respect to bilinear maps', *Math. Scan.* **102** (2008), 101–110.
- [7] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge, *Absolutely Summing Operators*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 43 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [8] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl Jr, *Vector Measures*, Mathematical Surveys, 15 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977), xiii+322 pp.
- [9] N. Dinculeanu, *Vector Measures*, International Series of Monographs in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 95 (Pergamon Press, Oxford/VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1967).
- [10] M. Girardi and L. Weis, 'Integral operators with operator-valued kernels.', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **290**(1) (2004), 190–212.
- [11] B. Jefferies and S. Okada, 'Bilinear integration in tensor products', *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **28**(2) (1998), 517–545.
- [12] R. S. Phillips, 'On linear transformations', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **48**(290) (1940), 516–541.
- [13] R. A. Ryan, *Introduction to Tensor Products of Banach Spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics (Springer, London, 2002).
- [14] H. H. Schaefer, *Banach Lattices and Positive Operators*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 215 (Springer, Heidelberg, 1974).

O. BLASCO, Department of Mathematics, Universitat de València,
Burjassot 46100 (València), Spain
e-mail: oscar.blasco@uv.es

J. M. CALABUIG, Department of Applied Mathematics,
Universitat Politècnica de València, València 46022, Spain
e-mail: jmcalabu@mat.upv.es