

## A SELECTION THEOREM AND ITS APPLICATIONS

XIE PING DING, WON KYU KIM AND KOK-KEONG TAN

In this paper, we first prove an improved version of the selection theorem of Yannelis-Prabhakar and next prove a fixed point theorem in a non-compact product space. As applications, an intersection theorem and two equilibrium existence theorems for a non-compact abstract economy are given.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In convex analysis, the Fan-Browder fixed point theorem [2] is an essential tool in proving existence theorems of numerous nonlinear problems (for example see [2, 7, 13, 15]). Actually, the Fan-Browder fixed point theorem can be proved by constructing a continuous selection.

In [15], Yannelis-Prabhakar proved a continuous selection theorem and obtained a fixed point theorem in paracompact convex sets. Using this fixed point theorem, they obtained an equilibrium existence theorem for a compact abstract economy.

In this paper, we first give an improved version of the selection theorem of Yannelis-Prabhakar [15]. By applying this result, we prove a fixed point theorem in non-compact product spaces. As an application of our fixed point theorem, we first prove an intersection theorem which is closely related to a non-compact generalisation of Fan's intersection theorem [6] due to Shih-Tan [12]. Next, two equilibrium existence theorems are obtained which are either closely related to or generalisations of those results of Borglin-Keiding [1], Shafer-Sonnenschein [11], Tarafdar [14] and Yannelis-Prabhakar [15].

We shall need the following notations and definitions. Let  $A$  be a non-empty set. We shall denote by  $2^A$  the family of all subsets of  $A$ . If  $A$  is a non-empty subset of a topological space  $X$ , we shall denote by  $cl_X A$  the closure of  $A$  in  $X$ . If  $A$  is a subset of a vector space,  $co A$  denotes the convex hull of  $A$ . Let  $X, Y$  be topological spaces and  $\phi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a correspondence.

- (i) If  $A \subset X$ , we shall denote the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $A$  by  $\phi|_A$ , that is,  $\phi|_A : A \rightarrow 2^Y$  is the correspondence defined by  $\phi|_A(x) = \phi(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ .

---

Received 4th September, 1991.

This paper was partially supported by NSERC of Canada under grant A-8096, and for the second author by a grant from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation in 1992.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/92 \$A2.00+0.00.

- (ii)  $\phi$  is said to be *upper semicontinuous* if for each open subset  $V$  of  $Y$ , the set  $\{x \in X : \phi(x) \subset V\}$  is open in  $X$ .
- (iii)  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a *continuous selection* of  $\phi$  if  $f$  is continuous and  $f(x) \in \phi(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (iv) If  $Y$  is a vector space, the correspondence  $co\phi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is defined by  $(co\phi)(x) = co\phi(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

2. SELECTION AND FIXED POINT THEOREMS

We shall first generalise a selection theorem of Yannelis-Prabhakar [15, Theorem 3.1] as follows :

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  be a non-empty paracompact Hausdorff topological space and  $Y$  be a non-empty convex subset of a topological vector space. Suppose  $S, T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  are correspondences such that*

- (1) *for each  $x \in X$ ,  $coS(x) \subset T(x)$  and  $S(x) \neq \emptyset$ ,*
- (2) *for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $S^{-1}(y)$  is open in  $X$ .*

*Then  $T$  has a continuous selection.*

**PROOF:** By (1),  $X = \bigcup_{y \in Y} S^{-1}(y)$ . Since  $X$  is paracompact, by (2) and Lemma 1 of Michael [10], there exists an open locally finite refinement  $\mathcal{F} = \{U_a : a \in A\}$  of the family  $\{S^{-1}(y) : y \in Y\}$  where  $A$  is an index set and  $U_a$  is an open subset of  $X$ . By Proposition 2 of Michael [10], there exists a family of continuous functions  $\{g_a : a \in A\}$  such that  $g_a : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $g_a(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin U_a$  and  $\sum_{a \in A} g_a(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ . For each  $a \in A$ , choose  $y_a \in Y$  such that  $U_a \subset S^{-1}(y_a)$ . This can be done since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a refinement of  $\{S^{-1}(y) : y \in Y\}$ . Define  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$f(x) = \sum_{a \in A} g_a(x) y_a \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

From the local finiteness of  $\mathcal{F}$ , it follows that for each  $x \in X$ , at least one, and at most finitely many,  $g_a(x)$  is not zero, and  $f$  is a well-defined continuous function from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Let  $x \in X$  and  $a \in A$  be such that  $g_a(x) \neq 0$ , then  $x \in U_a \subset S^{-1}(y_a)$  so that  $y_a \in S(x)$ . By (1) and the definition of  $f$ , we have  $f(x) \in coS(x) \subset T(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ . This completes the proof. □

If  $S = T$ , Theorem 1 reduces to Theorem 3.1 of Yannelis-Prabhakar [15].

We shall need the following lemma.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $D$  be a non-empty compact subset of a topological vector space  $E$ . Then  $coD$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and hence is paracompact.*

PROOF: The proof that  $co D$  is  $\sigma$ -compact can be found in [9, p.49]. For completeness, we shall include the simple proof here. For each  $n \in N$ , let  $S_n = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) : \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \geq 0 \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1\}$  and define  $f_n : S_n \times \prod_{i=1}^n D \rightarrow E$  by

$$f_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i.$$

Then  $f_n$  is continuous. Since  $S_n \times \prod_{i=1}^n D$  is compact,  $f_n(S_n \times \prod_{i=1}^n D)$  is compact. But then  $co D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(S_n \times \prod_{i=1}^n D)$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. It follows that  $co D$  is Lindelöf. Since  $co D$  is regular,  $co D$  is paracompact by Corollary 33.15 in [3, p.341]. This completes the proof.  $\square$

We remark here that the topological vector space  $E$  in the above lemma is not assumed to be Hausdorff.

We shall prove the following fixed point theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of non-empty convex sets, each in a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space  $E_i$ , where  $I$  is an index set. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $D_i$  be a non-empty compact subset of  $X_i$  and  $S_i, T_i : X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow 2^{D_i}$  be such that for each  $i \in I$ ,*

- (1) *for each  $x \in X$ ,  $co S_i(x) \subset T_i(x)$  and  $S_i(x) \neq \emptyset$ ,*
- (2) *for each  $y_i \in D_i$ ,  $S_i^{-1}(y_i)$  is open in  $X$ .*

*Then there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in D = \prod_{i \in I} D_i$  such that  $\hat{x} \in T(\hat{x}) = \prod_{i \in I} T_i(\hat{x})$ , that is,  $\hat{x}_i \in T_i(\hat{x})$  for all  $i \in I$ , where  $\hat{x}_i$  is the projection of  $\hat{x}$  onto  $X_i$  for each  $i \in I$ .*

PROOF: Since  $D = \prod_{i \in I} D_i$  is compact in  $X$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that  $co D$  is paracompact in  $X$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $S_i^*, T_i^*$  be the restrictions of  $S_i, T_i$  on  $co D$ , then we have

- (a) *for each  $x \in co D$ ,  $co S_i^*(x) \subset co T_i^*(x)$  and  $co S_i^*(x) \neq \emptyset$ ,*
- (b) *for each  $y_i \in D_i$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} (S_i^*)^{-1}(y_i) &= \{x \in co D : y_i \in S_i^*(x)\} \\ &= \{x \in co D : y_i \in S_i(x)\} \\ &= co D \cap S_i^{-1}(y_i) \end{aligned}$$

*is open in  $co D$ .*

By Theorem 1, for each  $i \in I$ ,  $T_i^*$  has a continuous selection  $f_i : co D \rightarrow D_i$  such that  $f_i(x) \in T_i^*(x) = T_i(x)$  for each  $x \in co D$ .

Define  $f : co D \rightarrow D$  and  $T : co D \rightarrow 2^D$  by

$$f(x) = \prod_{i \in I} f_i(x) \quad \text{and} \quad T(x) = \prod_{i \in I} T_i(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in co D.$$

Then  $f$  is clearly continuous. By Theorem 4.5.1 of Smart [13], there exists  $\hat{x} \in D$  such that  $\hat{x} = f(\hat{x}) \in T(\hat{x})$ . This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2 generalises Theorem 3.2 of Yannelis-Prabhakar [15] in several ways :

- (i)  $I$  need not be a singleton set,
- (ii)  $X_i$  need not be paracompact, and
- (iii)  $S_i$  and  $T_i$  need not be identical.

### 3. APPLICATIONS

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be topological spaces and  $X = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  be arbitrarily fixed. Let  $\hat{X}_i = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n X_j$  and  $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$  and  $\hat{\pi}_i : X \rightarrow \hat{X}_i$  be the projections. If  $x \in X$ , we can write  $\pi_i(x) = x_i$  and  $\hat{\pi}_i(x) = \hat{x}_i$ . Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ ,  $x_i \in X_i$  and  $\hat{x}_i \in \hat{X}_i$ . Then  $[x_i, \hat{x}_i]$  denotes the point  $x \in X$  such that  $\pi_i(x) = x_i$  and  $\hat{\pi}_i(x) = \hat{x}_i$  and we define  $A(x_i) = \{\hat{y}_i \in \hat{X}_i : [x_i, \hat{y}_i] \in A\}$  and  $A(\hat{x}_i) = \{y_i \in X_i : [y_i, \hat{x}_i] \in A\}$ . If  $A_i \subset X_i$  and  $\hat{A}_i \subset \hat{X}_i$ ,  $A_i \otimes \hat{A}_i$  denotes the set  $\{[y_i, \hat{y}_i] \in X : y_i \in A_i \text{ and } \hat{y}_i \in \hat{A}_i\}$ .

We shall give an application of a fixed point theorem to an intersection theorem as follows:

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of non-empty convex sets, each in a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space  $E_i$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $D_i$  be a non-empty compact subset of  $X_i$ . Suppose that  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}, \{B_i\}_{i \in I}$  are two families of subsets of  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  having the following properties:*

- (1) *for each  $i \in I$  and  $x_i \in D_i$ , the set  $B_i(x_i)$  is open in  $\hat{X}_i$ ,*
- (2) *for each  $i \in I$ , and  $\hat{y}_i \in \hat{X}_i$ , the set  $B_i(\hat{y}_i) \cap D_i (= \{x_i \in D_i : [x_i, \hat{y}_i] \in B_i\}) \neq \emptyset$  and  $co(B_i(\hat{y}_i) \cap D_i) \subset A_i(\hat{y}_i) \cap D_i$ .*

Then we have  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \neq \emptyset$ .

PROOF: Define  $S_i, T_i : X \rightarrow 2^{D_i}$  as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} S_i(y) &= B_i(\hat{y}_i) \cap D_i, \\ T_i(y) &= A_i(\hat{y}_i) \cap D_i, \quad \text{for each } y \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (2), for each  $i \in I$  and  $y \in X$ ,  $co S_i(y) \subset T_i(y)$  and  $S_i(y) \neq \emptyset$ . By (1), for each  $i \in I$  and  $x_i \in D_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_i^{-1}(x_i) &= \{y \in X : x_i \in S_i(y)\} \\ &= \{y \in X : x_i \in B_i(\hat{y}_i) \cap D_i\} (= \{y \in X : x_i \in B_i(\hat{y}_i)\}) \\ &= \{y \in X : [x_i, \hat{y}_i] \in B_i\} \\ &= X_i \otimes B_i(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

is open in  $X$ .

By Theorem 2, there exists  $x \in D = \prod_{i \in I} D_i$  such that  $x \in T(x) = \prod_{i \in I} T_i(x)$ , that is,  $x_i \in A_i(\hat{x}_i)$  for all  $i \in I$  and hence  $x = [x_i, \hat{x}_i] \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ . Therefore  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \neq \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We remark that Theorem 3 is closely related to but not comparable to Theorem 2 of Shih-Tan [12] which was a non-compact generalisation of Fan's intersection theorem [6] (in our case, the space  $E_i$  is required to be locally convex).

Next we shall give two equilibrium existence theorems for a non-compact abstract economy with an infinite number of commodities and an infinite number of agents. We first give some definitions in equilibrium theory. Let the set  $I$  of agents be any (possibly uncountable) set. An *abstract economy*  $\Gamma = (X_i, A_i, B_i, P_i)_{i \in I}$  is defined as a family of ordered quadruples  $(X_i, A_i, B_i, P_i)$  where  $A_i, B_i : \prod_{j \in I} X_j \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  are constraint correspondences and  $P_i : \prod_{j \in I} X_j \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  is a preference correspondence. An *equilibrium* for  $\Gamma$  is a point  $\hat{x} \in X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\hat{x}_i \in cl_{X_i} B_i(\hat{x})$  and  $A_i(\hat{x}) \cap P_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ . When  $A_i = B_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , our definitions of an abstract economy and an equilibrium coincide with the standard definitions, for example in Borglin-Keiding [1, p.315] or in Yannelis-Prabhakar [15, p.242].

We shall first show that by applying Himmelberg's fixed point theorem [8, Theorem 2] instead of Ky Fan's fixed point theorem [5], the proof of Theorem 6.1 of Yannelis-Prabhakar [15] can be used to prove its non-compact case.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\Gamma = (X_i, A_i, B_i, P_i)_{i \in I}$  be an abstract economy such that for each  $i \in I$ ,*

- (1)  $X_i$  is a non-empty convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space  $E_i$  and  $D_i$  is a non-empty compact subset of  $X_i$ ,
- (2) for each  $x \in X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ ,  $A_i(x)$  is non-empty,  $A_i(x) \subset B_i(x) \subset D_i$  and  $B_i(x)$  is convex,
- (3) the correspondence  $cl B_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  defined by  $(cl B_i)(x) = cl_{X_i} B_i(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ , is upper semicontinuous,

- (4) for each  $y \in D_i$ ,  $A_i^{-1}(y)$  is open in  $X$ ,
- (5) for each  $y \in X_i$ ,  $P_i^{-1}(y)$  is open in  $X$ ,
- (6) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $x_i \notin co P_i(x)$ ,
- (7) the set  $\{x \in X : co A_i(x) \cap co P_i(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  is paracompact.

Then  $\Gamma$  has an equilibrium  $\hat{x} \in X$ , that is, for each  $i \in I$ ,

$$\hat{x}_i \in cl_{X_i} B_i(\hat{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad A_i(\hat{x}) \cap P_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset.$$

PROOF: We first fix  $i \in I$ . Define  $\phi_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  by

$$\phi_i(x) = co A_i(x) \cap co P_i(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

By (4), (5) and Lemma 5.1 of Yannelis-Prabhakar [15], it is easy to see that for each  $y \in X_i$ ,  $\phi_i^{-1}(y)$  is open in  $X$ . Let  $U_i = \{x \in X : \phi_i(x) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Since  $U_i = \bigcup_{y \in X_i} \phi_i^{-1}(y)$ ,  $U_i$  is open in  $X$ . By (7),  $U_i$  is paracompact. Note that  $\phi_i|_{U_i} : U_i \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  has the following properties :

- (i) for each  $x \in U_i$ ,  $\phi_i|_{U_i}(x)$  is non-empty and convex,
- (ii) for each  $y \in X_i$ ,  $(\phi_i|_{U_i})^{-1}(y) = \phi_i^{-1}(y) \cap U_i$  is open in  $U_i$ .

By Theorem 3.1 of Yannelis-Prabhakar [15] (which is the case  $S = T$  in our Theorem 1), there exists a continuous selection  $f_i : U_i \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  such that  $f_i(x) \in \phi_i|_{U_i}(x)$  for all  $x \in U_i$ . Define  $F_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  by

$$F_i(x) = \begin{cases} \{f_i(x)\}, & \text{if } x \in U_i, \\ cl_{X_i} B_i(x), & \text{if } x \notin U_i. \end{cases}$$

By (3) and Lemma 6.1 of Yannelis-Prabhakar [15],  $F_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  is upper semicontinuous on  $X$ . Clearly for each  $x \in X$ ,  $F_i(x)$  is a non-empty closed convex subset of  $D_i$  by (2). Finally we define  $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$  by

$$F(x) = \prod_{i \in I} F_i(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

It follows from Lemma 3 of Fan [5] that  $F$  is upper semicontinuous on  $X$ . Obviously for each  $x \in X$ ,  $F(x)$  is a closed convex subset of  $D = \prod_{i \in I} D_i$ . By Tychonoff's product theorem (for example see Dugundji [4, p.224]),  $D$  is a compact subset of  $X$ . Hence by Theorem 2 of Himmelberg [8], there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in D$  such that  $\hat{x} \in F(\hat{x})$ . If  $\hat{x} \in U_i$  for some  $i \in I$ , then  $\hat{x}_i = f_i(\hat{x}) \in co A_i(\hat{x}) \cap co P_i(\hat{x}) \subset co P_i(\hat{x})$  which contradicts (6). Thus for each  $i \in I$ , we must have  $\hat{x} \notin U_i$ ; so that  $\hat{x}_i \in cl_{X_i} B_i(\hat{x})$  and

$co A_i(\hat{x}) \cap co P_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ . Consequently,  $\hat{x}$  is an equilibrium for  $\Gamma$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

As we have seen in the proof, we can obtain a stronger separation result, that is, for each  $i \in I$ ,  $co A_i(\hat{x}) \cap co P_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ .

Theorem 4 generalises Theorem 6.1 of Yannelis-Prabhakar [15] in the following ways :

- (i) for each  $i \in I$ , the space  $E_i$  need not be metrisable,
- (ii) for each  $i \in I$ , the set  $X_i$  need not be compact, and
- (iii) the set  $I$  of agents need not be countable.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $\Gamma = (X_i, A_i, B_i, P_i)_{i \in I}$  be an abstract economy such that for each  $i \in I$ , the following conditions hold:*

- (1)  $X_i$  is a non-empty convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space  $E_i$  and  $D_i$  be a non-empty compact subset of  $X_i$ ,
- (2) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $A_i(x)$  is non-empty and  $co A_i(x) \subset B_i(x) \subset D_i$ ,
- (3) for each  $y_i \in D_i$ , the set  $[(co P_i)^{-1}(y_i) \cup F_i] \cap A_i^{-1}(y_i)$  is open in  $X$ , where  $F_i = \{x \in X : A_i(x) \cap P_i(x) = \emptyset\}$ ,
- (4) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $x_i \notin co P_i(x)$ .

Then  $\Gamma$  has an equilibrium.

**PROOF:** For each  $i \in I$ , let  $G_i = \{x \in X : A_i(x) \cap P_i(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  and for each  $x \in X$ , let  $I(x) = \{i \in I : A_i(x) \cap P_i(x) \neq \emptyset\}$ . For each  $i \in I$ , we define the correspondences  $S_i, T_i : X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow 2^{D_i}$  by

$$S_i(x) = \begin{cases} co P_i(x) \cap A_i(x), & \text{if } i \in I(x), \\ A_i(x), & \text{if } i \notin I(x), \end{cases}$$

$$T_i(x) = \begin{cases} co P_i(x) \cap B_i(x), & \text{if } i \in I(x), \\ B_i(x), & \text{if } i \notin I(x). \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following properties:

- (i) for each  $i \in I$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $co S_i(x) \subset T_i(x)$  and  $S_i(x) \neq \emptyset$ ,
- (ii) for each  $i \in I$  and  $y_i \in D_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_i^{-1}(y_i) &= \{[(co P_i)^{-1}(y_i) \cap A_i^{-1}(y_i)] \cap G_i\} \cup [A_i^{-1}(y_i) \cap F_i] \\ &= [(co P_i)^{-1}(y_i) \cap A_i^{-1}(y_i)] \cup [A_i^{-1}(y_i) \cap F_i] \\ &= [(co P_i)^{-1}(y_i) \cup F_i] \cap A_i^{-1}(y_i) \end{aligned}$$

is open in  $X$  by (3).

By Theorem 2, there exists  $\hat{x} \in D$  such that  $\hat{x}_i \in T_i(\hat{x})$  for all  $i \in I$ . By (4) and the definition of  $T_i$ , we have  $\hat{x}_i \in B_i(\hat{x})$  and  $A_i(\hat{x}) \cap P_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Finally we remark that Theorems 4 and 5 are closely related to those results of Shafer-Sonnenschein [11, p.347], Borglin-Keiding [1, p.315] and Tarafdar [14, Theorem 3.1].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Borglin and H. Keiding, 'Existence of equilibrium actions and of equilibrium: a note on the 'new' existence theorem', *J. Math. Econom.* **3** (1976), 313–316.
- [2] F.E. Browder, 'The fixed point theory of multi-valued mappings in topological vector spaces', *Math. Ann.* **177** (1968), 283–301.
- [3] H.F. Cullen, *Introduction to general topology* (D. C. Heath, Boston, 1968).
- [4] J. Dugundji, *Topology* (Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, 1966).
- [5] K. Fan, 'Fixed-point and minimax theorems in locally convex topological linear spaces', *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **38** (1952), 131–136.
- [6] K. Fan, 'Sur un théorème minimax', *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. 1* **259** (1964), 3925–3928.
- [7] K. Fan, 'A minimax inequality and applications', in *Inequalities III*, Editor O. Shisha (Academic Press, New York, 1972).
- [8] C.J. Himmelberg, 'Fixed points of compact multifunctions', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **38** (1972), 205–207.
- [9] M. Lassonde, 'Fixed point for Kakutani factorizable multifunctions', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **152** (1990), 46–60.
- [10] E. Michael, 'A note on paracompact spaces', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4** (1953), 831–838.
- [11] W. Shafer and H. Sonnenschein, 'Equilibrium in abstract economies without ordered preferences', *J. Math. Econom.* **2** (1975), 345–348.
- [12] M.H. Shih and K.-K. Tan, 'Non-compact sets with convex sections', *Pacific J. Math.* **119** (1985), 473–479.
- [13] D.R. Smart, *Fixed point theorems* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1974).
- [14] E. Tarafdar, 'A fixed point theorem and equilibrium point of an abstract economy', *J. Math. Econom.* **20** (1991), 211–218.
- [15] N.C. Yannelis and N.D. Prabhakar, 'Existence of maximal elements and equilibria in linear topological spaces', *J. Math. Econom.* **12** (1983), 233–245.

Department of Mathematics  
Sichuan Normal University  
Chengdu, Sichuan  
China

Department of Mathematics Education  
Chungbuk National University  
Cheongju 360-763  
Korea

Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computing Science  
Dalhousie University  
Halifax NS  
Canada B3H 3J5