

# MAXIMAL GROUPS ON WHICH THE PERMANENT IS MULTIPLICATIVE

LEROY B. BEASLEY

Let  $\Delta_n$  be the set of all  $n \times n$ , non-singular matrices of the form  $PD$ , where  $P$  is a permutation matrix and  $D$  is a diagonal matrix with complex entries. In (1, conjecture 12), Marcus and Minc asked: Is  $\Delta_n$  a maximal group on which the permanent function is multiplicative? (that is,  $\text{per } AB = \text{per } A \text{ per } B$ ). The field over which the entries range was not mentioned in the conjecture; however, we assume that the complex number field was intended. Corollary 1 answers this in the affirmative. In fact,  $\Delta_n$  is the only maximal group (or semigroup) on which the permanent is multiplicative. Let  $\rho_i$  be the set of all non-zero entries in the  $i$ th row and let  $\lambda_j$  be the set of all non-zero entries in the  $j$ th column.

**THEOREM.**  $\Delta_n$  is the maximal semigroup of  $n \times n$  matrices with  $\rho_i$  and  $\lambda_j$  non-empty for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$  on which the permanent function is multiplicative.

*Proof.* If  $K$  is a maximal semigroup of  $n \times n$  matrices with  $\rho_i$  and  $\lambda_j$  non-empty for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$  on which the permanent is multiplicative, then  $\Delta_n \subseteq K$ , since for any matrix  $A$ ,  $\text{per } QA = \text{per } Q \text{ per } A$ , where  $Q$  is either a permutation matrix or a diagonal matrix.

Suppose that  $\Delta_n < K$ , and let  $A \in K - \Delta_n$ . Then, in  $A$  there is at least one row with at least two non-zero entries. We shall show that this implies the existence of a matrix  $F \in K$ , such that  $\text{per}(F^2) \neq (\text{per } F)^2$ . Since every permutation matrix is in  $K$ , we may assume that the  $n$ th row of  $A$  has at least two non-zero entries, and that  $a_{nn} \neq 0$ .

Let

$$\delta_i^A = \begin{cases} \bar{a}_{ni} & \text{if } a_{ni} \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } a_{ni} = 0 \end{cases} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and let  $\delta^A = \text{diag}(\delta_1^A, \dots, \delta_n^A)$ . Now the matrix  $B = A\delta^A$  is such that all entries in the  $n$ th row are real and positive or zero.

In  $B$ ,  $b_{nn} \neq 0$  and at least one other element of the  $n$ th row is non-zero. Let  $\mu$  be a diagonal matrix such that  $\mu_i$  is real and strictly positive, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . If any entry  $a_{ni}$  in the  $n$ th row of  $B$  is zero, then we show that, for suitable  $\mu$  and some permutation matrix  $P$  such that  $p_{nn} = 1$ ,  $H = (\mu PB)^2$  is in  $K$  and has at least one more non-zero entry in the  $n$ th row than did  $A$ . Let  $G = \mu PB$ , so that  $H = G^2$ . We first show that if  $b_{nj} \neq 0$ , then  $h_{nj} \neq 0$ .

---

Received December 20, 1967.

The element  $g_{nj} = \mu_n b_{nj} \neq 0$  and

$$h_{nj} = \sum_{k=1}^n g_{nk}g_{kj} = g_{nn}g_{nj} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_{nk}g_{kj} = \mu_n^2 b_{nn}b_{nj} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_n \mu_k b_{n,k} b_{\lambda^{-1}(k),j},$$

where  $P(\phi_{ij}) = (\phi_{\lambda(i),j})$ . Thus, for  $\mu_{nn}$  sufficiently larger than  $\mu_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ ,  $h_{nj} \neq 0$ .

Next we show that whereas  $b_{ni} = 0$ , we can choose  $\mu$  and  $P$  so that  $h_{ni} \neq 0$ . Some element in the  $i$ th column of  $B$ , say  $b_{qi}$ , is non-zero. Choose  $P$  so that premultiplication by  $P$  interchanges the  $q$ th and  $j$ th rows of  $B$ . Thus,  $g_{ji} \neq 0$ , and the element

$$h_{ni} = \sum_{k=1}^n g_{nk}g_{ki} = g_{nj}g_{ji} + \sum_{\substack{k=1; \\ k \neq j}}^n g_{nk}g_{ki} = \mu_n \mu_j b_{nj} b_{\lambda^{-1}(j),i} + \sum_{\substack{k=1; \\ k \neq j}}^n \mu_n \mu_k b_{nk} b_{\lambda^{-1}(k),i}.$$

Thus, for  $\mu_i$  sufficiently larger than  $\mu_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$ ,  $k \neq i$ , we obtain  $h_{ni} \neq 0$ . Note that here  $\mu_n$  being large does not affect the result since the last term,  $\mu_n^2 b_{nk} b_{\lambda^{-1}(n),i} = \mu_n^2 b_{nk} b_{ni}$ , in the sum is zero.

This process may be re-applied until one arrives at a matrix  $C'$  such that  $c'_{ni}$  is non-zero for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $C = C'\delta^{C'}$ ; then  $C \in K$ ,  $c_{ni}$  is real and  $c_{ni} > 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

In a similar manner we can obtain a matrix  $E \in K$ , such that  $e_{in}$  is real and  $e_{in} > 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Now, for matrices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , where  $\alpha = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \alpha_n)$  and  $\beta = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \beta_n)$  and  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  are sufficiently large positive real numbers,  $F = (E\alpha)(\beta C)$  is in  $K$ ,  $f_{ij} \neq 0$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\text{Re}(f_{ij})$  is positive and so much greater than  $|\text{Im}(f_{ij})|$  that

$$\text{Re}\left(\prod_{i=1}^n f_{i\tau(i)} f_{\tau(i)\sigma(i)}\right) > 0$$

for every  $\sigma \in S_n$ , the symmetric group on  $n$  letters, and every  $\tau \in C_n$ , the set of all mappings  $\tau: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per } AB &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{k\sigma(i)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{\tau \in C_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\tau(i)} b_{\tau(i)\sigma(i)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per } A \text{ per } B &= \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)}\right) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n b_{i\sigma(i)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\tau(i)} b_{\tau(i)\sigma(i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $A, B \in K$  we must have that

$$0 = \text{per } AB - \text{per } A \text{ per } B = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{\tau \in C_n - S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\tau(i)} b_{\tau(i)\sigma(i)}.$$

In particular, when  $A = B = F$ , this sum must be zero. However,  $\operatorname{Re}(\prod_{i=1}^n f_{i\tau(i)} f_{\tau(i)\sigma(i)}) > 0$ ; hence

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{C}_n - \mathcal{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n f_{i\tau(i)} f_{\tau(i)\sigma(i)}\right) > 0,$$

which contradicts the fact that  $F \in K$ . Therefore,  $K = \Delta_n$ . Since  $\Delta_n$  is contained in any maximal semigroup, it is the only one.

The following corollaries are immediate consequences of the theorem.

**COROLLARY 1.**  $\Delta_n$  is the maximal group of  $n \times n$ , non-singular matrices on which the permanent is multiplicative.

In the above we considered matrices with complex entries. Let  $\Delta_n^R$  be the set of all  $n \times n$ , non-singular matrices of the form  $PD$ , where  $P$  is a permutation matrix and  $D$  is a diagonal matrix with real entries. Then as a special case of the theorem we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.**  $\Delta_n^R$  is the maximal semigroup of  $n \times n$ , non-singular matrices with real entries on which the permanent is multiplicative.

*Remark.* In the semigroup of all  $n \times n$  matrices, a maximal semigroup on which the permanent is multiplicative is the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices with at least one row [one column] of zeros together with the set  $\Delta_n$ .

I would like to thank Professor B. N. Moyls for his suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCE

1. M. Marcus and H. Minc, *Permanents*, Amer. Math. Monthly 72 (1965), 577-591.

*University of British Columbia,  
Vancouver, B.C.*