

the age of 18, represent severe and frequently disabling conditions with adverse long-term functional consequences. Effective pharmacological treatment is critical to mitigating symptom progression, reducing relapse, and improving long-term outcomes. This presentation provides an update on current evidence-based pharmacological strategies for EOS, with a focus on efficacy, safety, and emerging treatments.

Second-generation antipsychotics (SGAs), such as aripiprazole, quetiapine, paliperidone, and risperidone, remain the mainstay of treatment, with lurasidone and brexpiprazole showing positive results in more recent studies. While olanzapine is clearly an effective SGA, its more pronounced cardiometabolic side effects have relegated olanzapine more to a second-line antipsychotic when other SGAs are ineffective. Thus, comparative efficacy, side effect profiles, and long-term metabolic risks are relevant when choosing among individual agents. The role of clozapine for treatment-resistant EOS and considerations regarding polypharmacy are relevant, given that EOS is one of the most reliable risk factors for treatment-resistant schizophrenia.

Long-acting injectable antipsychotics (LAIs) have not been explored in randomized trials so far, but are an important treatment tool, given the widespread non-adherence risk, which is even higher earlier in the illness. Novel mechanisms, including cholinergic muscarinic agonist treatments, recently approved for the first time for adults with schizophrenia, which address presynaptic hyperdopaminergia and in a highly selective fashion, need to be explored in EOS in the future. Additionally, the importance of early intervention strategies and adjunctive nonpharmacological and pharmacological treatments, e.g., mood stabilizers, antidepressants for specific domains of schizophrenia and for comorbid conditions, as well as best practices for transition into the adult psychiatry sector require further study.

In summary, treatment selection for youth with EOS should balance short-term as well as long-term efficacy considerations and safety concerns. While pharmacological advancements in EOS generally lag behind advances in adults, innovations are hoped to also reach EOS. More individualized and measurement-based approaches needed to be explored in both research settings and clinical care aiming at optimizing the pharmacological management for individuals living with EOS.

Disclosure of Interest: C. Correll Grant / Research support from: Boehringer-Ingelheim, Janssen and Takeda, Consultant of: AbbVie, Alkermes, Allergan, Angelini, Aristo, Autobahn, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Cardio Diagnostics, Cerevel, CNX Therapeutics, Compass Pathways, Darnitsa, Delpor, Denovo, Draig, Eli Lilly, Eumentis Therapeutics, Gedeon Richter, GH, Hikma, Holmusk, IntraCellular Therapies, Jamjoom Pharma, Janssen/J&J, Karuna, LB Pharma, Lundbeck, MedInCell, MedLink, Merck, Mindpax, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, Maplight, Mylan, Neumora Therapeutics, Neuraxpharm, Neurocrine, Neurelis, Newron, Noven, Novo Nordisk, Otsuka, PPD Biotech, Recordati, Relmada, Response Pharmaceutical, Reviva, Rovi, Saladax, Sanofi, Seqirus, Servier, Sumitomo Pharma America, Sunovion, Sun Pharma, Supernus, Tabuk, Takeda, Teva, Terran, Tolmar, Vertex, Viatrix and Xenon.

WS003

French psychiatrists' concerns about assisted death

E. Olie

Department of Emergency Psychiatry and Acute Care, Lapeyronie Hospital, CHU Montpellier, Montpellier, France
doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2025.192

Abstract: A bill on euthanasia and assisted suicide is currently under discussion in France. It proposes that only competent adults suffering from a serious and incurable condition that threatens their life in the medium term, or who are in an advanced or terminal phase, and experiencing unbearable physical or psychological suffering—either refractory to treatment or considered unbearable in the absence of treatment—may request medical assistance in dying (MAiD). However, French psychiatrists have expressed concerns about the bill, as it does not mandate a psychiatric evaluation, despite the high prevalence of mental disorders, including depression, in the general population. These disorders are even more frequent in end-of-life conditions and can significantly impact decision-making capacity and the wish to die. Depression, a common comorbidity in cancer—the leading cause of MAiD requests—affects approximately 15% of cancer patients but is often underdiagnosed and undertreated. The bill also raises concerns regarding its implications for suicide prevention. Some MAiD requests may stem from treatable psychiatric conditions rather than a well-considered end-of-life choice. Furthermore, a proposed obstruction offense could potentially criminalize suicide prevention efforts, complicating the role of mental health professionals. Uniquely, the French bill allows a third party chosen by the patient to administer the lethal substance, a provision not found in any other country. This raises significant ethical and psychological concerns regarding the emotional burden on the designated individual, who may experience distress, guilt, or long-term psychological repercussions from actively participating in assisted dying. Finally, the possibility of future expansion to include psychiatric-only indications, as seen in other countries, remains a critical issue requiring careful ethical and medical scrutiny.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

WS004

What forms of framing can be found in the Flemish media in a jury trial about euthanasia for psychological suffering?

K. Catthoor^{1,2,3}

¹CAPRI, University of Antwerp; ²PZ Stuijvenberg, Ziekenhuis aan de Stroom, Antwerp and ³Flemish Association of Psychiatry, Kortenberg, Belgium

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2025.193

Abstract: The Flemish Association of Psychiatry developed due care guidelines for medical assisted dying in cases of severe and unbearable psychiatric suffering, which were adopted by the Order