included: Ghanizadeh et al. (2014), which compared aripiprazole and risperidone, and King et al. (2009), a placebo-controlled study on citalopram.

Results: Lamy et al. reported that SGAs, particularly risperidone and aripiprazole, significantly reduced irritability scores on the Aberrant Behavior Checklist (ABC) and Clinical Global Impression (CGI) scales (p<0.05), aligning with their FDA approval for ASD treatment. Ghanizadeh et al. (2014) also found that aripiprazole and risperidone reduced ABC scores (12.6 points for aripiprazole and 9 points for risperidone), though both were associated with side effects, such as increased appetite (34.5% for aripiprazole and 40% for risperidone) and drooling.

In contrast, King et al. (2009) found no significant improvement with citalopram over placebo (CGI-I improvement: 32.9% for citalopram vs. 34.2% for placebo) and noted more adverse effects in the SSRI group, including impulsiveness and insomnia. The review highlighted limitations, including methodological heterogeneity, lack of direct comparisons between SGAs and SSRIs, and

	Study	Intervention	Sample Size	Outcome Measure	Key Findings
	Lamy et al. (2020)	SGAs (multiple RCTs)	>50 trials	ABC, CGI	Significant reduction in irritability (p<0.05)
	Ghanizadeh et al. (2014)	Aripiprazole, Risperidone	59	ABC	Reduction in symptoms; fewer adverse events with aripiprazole
	King et al. (2009)	Citalopram, Placebo	149	CGI, CY-BOCS	No significant benefit over placebo; more adverse effects

variability in treatment duration.

Conclusions: In conclusion, SGAs appear more effective than SSRIs in managing ASD-related behavioral symptoms, particularly irritability. Despite limitations, SGAs show consistent benefits with a manageable safety profile. Future research should prioritize direct SGA vs. SSRI trials and longer treatment durations to inform clinical decision-making in ASD pharmacotherapy.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

EPV0906

Current Treatment Approaches for Affective and Anxiety Disorders in Adults with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

H. M. Nguyen¹*, D. Valle¹ and B. Carr²

¹University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL, United States and ²Psychiatry, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL, United States

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2025.1552

Introduction: Adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD) have higher rates of psychiatric disorders, such as depression, anxiety, and bipolar disorder, compared to the general

population. Unique challenges, like cognitive impairments and communication barriers, require tailored treatments. This poster reviews strategies for managing these conditions in adults with IDD, focusing on adaptations in psychopharmacology and psychotherapy.

Objectives: To outline treatment approaches for depression, anxiety, and bipolar disorder in adults with IDD, highlight limitations and necessary adaptations, and advocate for collaborative treatment models involving healthcare providers and caregivers.

Methods: A literature review identified studies and guidelines on psychopharmacologic and psychotherapeutic interventions tailored to adults with IDD, examining the effectiveness of pharmacological agents, cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), and other adaptations.

Results: Current treatments for depression, anxiety, and bipolar disorder in adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD) often deviate from standard protocols, requiring modifications in both pharmacological and therapeutic approaches. Depression management in IDD typically relies on selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), adapted with gradual dose escalation and close monitoring due to limited data on their specific effects in this population. Psychotherapy, particularly group cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), has shown notable efficacy, with studies reporting significant symptom reduction in treated groups. For anxiety disorders, low-dose SSRIs remain the primary pharmacological option, with cautious titration to minimize adverse effects, while benzodiazepines are generally avoided to prevent paradoxical responses and disinhibition. CBT-based interventions, including graduated exposure therapy customized for specific phobias or triggers, show promise, though further randomized trials are warranted. Managing bipolar disorder in IDD is particularly challenging due to the heightened risk of severe functional impairment and symptom overlap, with mood stabilizers like lithium and antipsychotics administered sparingly given potential metabolic and neurological side effects. Given limited research, clinical strategies often rely on individualized treatment plans informed by provider expertise and patient-specific needs.

Conclusions: Treatment for psychiatric disorders in adults with IDD requires significant adaptation, with careful dosing and monitoring of medications to minimize adverse effects. Evidence supports CBT as an effective option, yet there is a critical need for more research, especially randomized trials, to develop more robust guidelines specific to this population. Close collaboration between healthcare providers and caregivers is essential for successful outcomes.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

EPV0907

Overview of Psychiatric Conditions in Adults with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (IDD)

H. M. Nguyen¹*, D. Valle¹, E. Jetter¹, A. Gomes¹, A. Lertkitcharoenpo¹, M. Liu¹ and B. Carr²

 $^1{\rm University}$ of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, United States and $^2{\rm Psychiatry},$ University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, United States

*Corresponding author. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2025.1553

Introduction: Adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD) are more likely than the general population to experience