

RAMIFICATION GROUPS OF ABELIAN LOCAL FIELD EXTENSIONS

MURRAY A. MARSHALL

1. Introduction. Let k be a local field; that is, a complete discrete-valued field having a perfect residue class field. If L is a finite Galois extension of k , then L is also a local field. Let G denote the Galois group $G_{L|k}$. Then the n th ramification group G_n is defined by

$$G_n = \{\sigma \in G: \sigma a - a \in P_L^{n+1} \text{ for all } a \in O_L\}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}, n \geq 0,$$

where O_L denotes the ring of integers of L , and P_L is the prime ideal of O_L . The ramification groups form a descending chain of invariant subgroups of G :

$$(1) \quad G \supseteq G_0 \supseteq G_1 \supseteq G_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G_s = 1.$$

In this paper, an attempt is made to characterize (in terms of the arithmetic of k) the ramification filters (1) obtained from abelian extensions $L|k$.

For real x , $x \geq 0$, let $\varphi(x) = \varphi_{L|k}(x)$ denote the function given by

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(G_0:G_i)} + \frac{x-n}{(G_0:G_{n+1})},$$

where n is the integer satisfying $n \leq x < n+1$. For real x , $n-1 < x \leq n$, we define $G_x = G_n$, and we define the x th ramification group (in the upper numbering) by

$$G^x = G_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}, \quad x \text{ real, } x \geq 0.$$

In this way we obtain a filtration

$$(2) \quad G \supseteq G^0 \supseteq G^1 \supseteq G^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G^t = 1.$$

By the important theorem of Hasse and Arf [3; 1; 13, pp. 101–104], $G_n \supset G_{n+1} \Rightarrow \varphi(n)$ is an integer. Because of this theorem, the function φ and the filter (1) can be recovered from (2). Thus, it is enough to characterize the filters (2) obtained from abelian extensions $L|k$.

If $k \subseteq L \subseteq N$, and if $L|k$ and $N|k$ are finite Galois extensions, then the natural restriction $G_{N|k} \rightarrow G_{L|k}$ carries $G_{N|k}^x$ onto $G_{L|k}^x$ for all real $x \geq 0$; see [4; 2]. In view of this result, if $M|k$ is any (possibly infinite) Galois extension, we define the x th ramification group (upper numbering) by inverse limits:

$$G_{M|k}^x = \text{inv lim}_L (G_{L|k}^x), \quad x \text{ real, } x \geq 0,$$

where L runs through all finite Galois extensions of k in M .

Received June 5, 1970.

In particular, let $A_k = G_{k_a|k}$, where k_a denotes the maximal abelian extension of k . Thus A_k has a ramification filter

$$(3) \quad A_k \supseteq A_k^0 \supseteq A_k^1 \supseteq A_k^2 \supseteq \dots$$

The finite abelian extensions L of k are in one-to-one correspondence with the open subgroups U of A_k . If L corresponds to U , then $U = G_{k_a|L}$, $G_{L|k} \cong A_k/U$, and $G_{L|k}^x \cong A_k^x U/U$. In this way, the filtrations (2) coming from abelian extensions $L|k$ can all be obtained from (3). Thus, the original problem reduces to the problem of characterizing the ramification filter (3) as a topological filtered group.

In Theorem 1, we examine the filtration (3) in the case that the residue class field \bar{k} is algebraically closed. This result is a direct application of Serre’s local class field theory [12]. Theorems 1, 2, and 3 prepare the way for Theorem 4 in which we examine the filtration (3) in the general case. Theorem 5 shows how the properties of (3) given in Theorem 4 actually characterize this filtration, provided the homology group $H_1(g, S_K[p])$ is zero.

In Theorem 6, we examine the ramification filter of an arbitrary finite abelian extension $L|k$; in Theorem 7 we show that, provided $H_1(g, S_K[p]) = 0$, the properties given in Theorem 6 characterize the ramification filters of finite abelian extensions of k . In this regard, the interested reader should consult [8], where a somewhat weaker solution is obtained, but for non-abelian extensions; also see [6, Appendix 2].

Theorem 8 (together with the remark following it) gives various interpretations of the condition $H_1(g, S_K[p]) = 0$; also see [7].

2. Preliminary concepts and terminology.

(a) *Cohomology and homology of profinite groups.* Let G be a profinite group, and let A be a topological G -module. The topological group A^G (A_G) is defined to be the largest submodule (quotient module) of A which is fixed by G . If A is a discrete G -module satisfying

$$A = \text{dir lim}_U(A^U)$$

(where U runs through all open subgroups of G), then the discrete cohomology groups

$$H^q(G, A), \quad q \geq 0,$$

may be defined as in [5] or [14]. Dually, if A is a compact G -module satisfying

$$A = \text{inv lim}_U(A_U),$$

then the compact homology groups

$$H_q(G, A), \quad q \geq 0,$$

may be simply defined by Pontryagin duality [10]:

$$H_q(G, A) = \chi H^q(G, \chi(A)).$$

(b) *Fields.* If k is any field, then k_a will denote the maximal abelian extension of k , and A_k will denote the Galois group $G_{k_a/k}$. k_+ will denote the additive group of k , and $k^\times = k - \{0\}$, the multiplicative group. If n is a positive integer, we let $S_k[n]$ denote the group of n th roots of unity in k . If n_1 is a multiple of n_2 , then there is a canonical “index” mapping $S_k[n_1] \rightarrow S_k[n_2]$ given by $x \rightarrow x^i$, where $i = (S_k[n_1]: S_k[n_2])$. We define S_k to be the inverse limit of the groups $S_k[n]$ under the above mappings. If $L|k$ is a Galois extension with Galois group G , then the groups $S_L[n]$ and S_L are compact G -modules, and one may verify that

$$(S_L[n])_G \cong S_k[n] \quad \text{and} \quad (S_L)_G \cong S_k.$$

(c) *Local fields.* In this paper, a local field is defined as a complete discrete-valued field with perfect residue class field. If k is a local field, then \bar{k} will denote the residue class field of k , and p will denote the characteristic of \bar{k} . We define $e = v(p)$, where v denotes the normalized valuation on k . Thus $e = e_k$ satisfies $0 < e \leq \infty$. $f = f_k$ will denote the function defined by

$$f(n) = \min\{np, n + e\}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}, n > 0.$$

3. The algebraically closed case. The ramification structure in this case is given by Serre [12]. The results we will need are stated in the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let K be a local field whose residue class field \bar{K} is algebraically closed. Let $A_K = A_K^0 \supseteq A_K^1 \supseteq A_K^2 \supseteq \dots$ denote the filter of ramification subgroups of A_K . Then we have the following:*

- (i) $A_K/A_K^1 \cong S_{\bar{K}}$ (canonically);
 - (ii) If $p = 0$, then $A_K^1 = 0$.
- If $p \neq 0$, and $n \geq 1$, then
- (iii) $A_K^n/A_K^{n+1} \cong \chi(\bar{K}_+)$, the character group of \bar{K}_+ ;
 - (iv) The mapping $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^p$ carries A_K^n into $A_K^{f(n)}$.

Let

$$\bar{p}_n: A_K^n/A_K^{n+1} \rightarrow A_K^{f(n)}/A_K^{f(n)+1}$$

denote the homomorphism derived from (iv). Then

- (v) \bar{p}_n is bijective if $n \neq e/(p - 1)$;
- (vi) If $n = e/(p - 1)$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_K^n/A_K^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\bar{p}_n} A_K^{f(n)}/A_K^{f(n)+1} \rightarrow S_K[p] \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let $U_K^n, n \geq 0$, denote the higher unit groups of K , and let $\pi_i, i \geq 0$, denote the homotopy functors. By [12], $A_K^n \cong \pi_1(U_K^n)$ for all $n \geq 0$. Recall [11] that if we apply homotopy to an exact sequence of pro-algebraic groups

$$0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow G'' \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain a 6-term exact homotopy sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1(G') \rightarrow \pi_1(G) \rightarrow \pi_1(G'') \rightarrow \pi_0(G') \rightarrow \pi_0(G) \rightarrow \pi_0(G'') \rightarrow 0.$$

If we consider the 6-term homotopy sequence corresponding to the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U_K^{n+1} \rightarrow U_K^n \rightarrow U_K^n/U_K^{n+1} \rightarrow 0,$$

and recall that $\pi_0(U_K^{n+1}) = 0$, we see that

$$A_K^n/A_K^{n+1} \cong \pi_1(U_K^n/U_K^{n+1}), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Now U_K^0/U_K^1 is isomorphic to the multiplicative group \bar{K}^\times in a canonical way, and so $A_K/A_K^1 \cong \pi_1(\bar{K}^\times) \cong S_{\bar{K}}$ (canonically). If $n \geq 1$, then $U_K^n/U_K^{n+1} \cong \bar{K}_+$, and so $A_K^n/A_K^{n+1} \cong \pi_1(\bar{K}_+)$. If $p = 0$, then $\pi_1(\bar{K}_+) = 0$. Otherwise $\pi_1(\bar{K}_+) \cong \chi(\bar{K}_+)$ canonically. Thus we have proved (i), (ii), and (iii).

If $n \geq 1$, then the higher unit groups U_K^n satisfy:

(iv)' $(U_K^n)^p \subseteq U_K^{f(n)}$.

Let $\bar{p}_n: U_K^n/U_K^{n+1} \rightarrow U_K^{f(n)}/U_K^{f(n)+1}$ denote the homomorphism derived from (iv)'. Then

(v)' \bar{p}_n is bijective if $n \neq e/(p - 1)$;

(vi)' If $n = e/(p - 1)$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S_K[p] \rightarrow U_K^n/U_K^{n+1} \rightarrow U_K^{f(n)}/U_K^{f(n)+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

(See Serre [12, § 1.7] for all these results.)

(iv) and (v) follow immediately on applying π_1 to the results (iv)' and (v)'. Now suppose that $n = e/(p - 1)$. Taking the 6-term sequence corresponding to (vi)', and noting that $\pi_1(S_K[p]) = 0$, $\pi_0(S_K[p]) = S_K[p]$, and $\pi_0(U_K^n/U_K^{n+1}) = 0$, we obtain the exact sequence of (vi).

Remark 1. Since n takes only integral values, condition (vi) will be vacuous if $e/(p - 1)$ is not an integer. It is known that $e/(p - 1)$ is an integer if and only if $S_K[p] \neq 0$; see [12, § 1.7].

Remark 2. The mappings of the previous Theorem 1 may be given explicitly as follows.

(1) $A_K/A_K^1 \cong S_{\bar{K}}$. Let n be a positive integer prime to p , and let π be a prime of K . If $\sigma \in A_K$, then $\sigma \sqrt[n]{\pi}/\sqrt[n]{\pi} \in S_K[n] = S_{\bar{K}}[n]$. The mapping $A_K/A_K^1 \rightarrow S_{\bar{K}}$ may be defined by $\bar{\sigma} \rightarrow (\sigma \sqrt[n]{\pi}/\sqrt[n]{\pi})_n$ where n runs through all positive integers prime to p . This mapping is actually independent of the choice of π .

(2) $A_K^n/A_K^{n+1} \cong \chi(\bar{K}_+)$, $n \geq 1$. Let $L|K$ be a finite abelian extension. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_{L|K}^n/G_{L|K}^{n+1} \rightarrow U_L^{\psi(n)}/U_L^{\psi(n)+1} \rightarrow U_K^n/U_K^{n+1} \rightarrow 0;$$

see [12 or 13]. Choosing uniformizing elements in L and K , this sequence reduces to

$$0 \rightarrow G_{L|K}^n/G_{L|K}^{n+1} \rightarrow \bar{K}_+ \xrightarrow{f} \bar{K}_+ \rightarrow 0,$$

where f is an additive polynomial. Let $\chi \in \chi(G_{L|K}^n/G_{L|K}^{n+1})$. From the theory of additive polynomials [9], there exists a unique additive polynomial g and a unique element $u \in \bar{K}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & G_{L|K}^n/G_{L|K}^{n+1} & \rightarrow & \bar{K}_+ & \xrightarrow{f} & \bar{K}_+ & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \chi & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow u & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z} & \rightarrow & \bar{K}_+ & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} & \bar{K}_+ & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

commutes. (Here \mathcal{P} denotes the additive polynomial $x \rightarrow x^p - x$, and $u: \bar{K}_+ \rightarrow \bar{K}_+$ denotes the scalar multiplication $x \rightarrow ux$.) In this way, we obtain an injective homomorphism $\chi(G_{L|K}^n/G_{L|K}^{n+1}) \rightarrow \bar{K}_+$ given by $\chi \rightarrow u$. Proceeding to the inverse limit, we obtain an injective homomorphism $\chi(A_K^n/A_K^{n+1}) \rightarrow \bar{K}_+$ which is, in fact, an isomorphism, by [12]. Dualizing yields the required isomorphism.

(3) Assume that $s = ep/(p - 1) \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then the mapping $A_K^s/A_K^{s+1} \rightarrow S_K[p]$ may be given by $\bar{\sigma} \rightarrow \sigma \sqrt[p]{\pi}/\sqrt[p]{\pi}$, where π is a prime of K . This mapping is independent of the choice of π .

4. The general case. Now let k be an arbitrary local field. We wish to study the ramification filter

$$A_k \supseteq A_k^0 \supseteq A_k^1 \supseteq A_k^2 \supseteq \dots$$

To utilize the results of Theorem 1, we let K denote the maximal unramified extension of k . Thus K is a discrete-valued field with an algebraically closed residue class field. Although K is not complete, it is Henselian; thus the ramification groups $A_K^n, n \geq 0$, may be identified with the ramification groups $A_{\bar{K}}^n, n \geq 0$, where \bar{K} denotes the completion of K . Thus, the results of Theorem 1 apply to A_K .

Let $g = G_{K|k}$; then g acts on the groups $A_K^n, n \geq 0$, through inner automorphism:

$$\sigma \rightarrow \bar{\tau}\sigma\bar{\tau}^{-1} \text{ for all } \sigma \in A_K^n \text{ and } \tau \in g.$$

(Here, $\bar{\tau}$ denotes any extension of τ to K_a .) In this way, the groups $A_K^n, A_K^n/A_K^{n+1}, n \geq 0$, become compact g -modules. g also acts on the groups $S_{\bar{K}}, \chi(\bar{K}_+)$, and $S_K[p]$ in the natural way, and one may verify that the mappings given in Theorem 1 are g -module homomorphisms. (For Theorem 1 (iii), one should be more precise and say that the isomorphism $A_K^n/A_K^{n+1} \cong \chi(\bar{K}_+)$ will be a g -module isomorphism provided that the prime used to define the isomorphism $U_K^n/U_K^{n+1} \cong \bar{K}_+$ is a prime from k .)

The natural restriction $A_K \rightarrow A_k$ is a g -module homomorphism, and since g operates trivially on A_k , we obtain a derived homomorphism $(A_K)_\theta \rightarrow A_k$.

THEOREM 2. *The sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow (A_K)_\theta \rightarrow A_k \rightarrow A_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow 0$$

is split-exact.

Proof. At this point we introduce a notation which will also be used later: If G is a profinite group and l is a prime integer, then $G(l)$ will denote the maximal pro- l -factor of G . In particular, if G is abelian, then the natural mapping $G \rightarrow \prod_l G(l)$ will be an isomorphism.

To prove Theorem 2, it is enough to show that, for each prime l , the sequence

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow (A_K)_\theta(l) \rightarrow A_k(l) \rightarrow A_{\bar{k}}(l) \rightarrow 0$$

is split-exact. We note immediately that $(A_K)_\theta(l) = (A_K(l))_\theta$. Let $H = G_{K\theta lk}$. Then we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_K \rightarrow H \rightarrow g \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying the dualized form of the 5-term exact sequence [5, p. 160], we obtain

$$\rightarrow H_2(g, \mathbf{Z}_l) \rightarrow H_1(A_K, \mathbf{Z}_l)_\theta \rightarrow H_1(H, \mathbf{Z}_l) \rightarrow H_1(g, \mathbf{Z}_l) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $H_1(G, \mathbf{Z}_l)$ is the maximal abelian pro- l -factor group of G , this reduces to

$$(5) \quad \rightarrow H_2(g, \mathbf{Z}_l) \rightarrow (A_K(l))_\theta \rightarrow A_k(l) \rightarrow A_{\bar{k}}(l) \rightarrow 0.$$

In case $l = p$, g has cohomological p -dimension not greater than one [5, p. 203], and so $H_2(g, \mathbf{Z}_p) = 0$. Further, $A_{\bar{k}}(p)$ is a free abelian pro- p -group; thus the mapping $A_k(p) \rightarrow A_{\bar{k}}(p)$ splits. If $l \neq p$, then $A_{K^1}(l) = 0$, and hence $A_K(l) = (A_K/A_{K^1})(l) \cong S_{\bar{k}}(l)$; thus $(A_K(l))_\theta \cong (S_{\bar{k}}(l))_\theta = (S_{\bar{k}})_\theta(l)$. Thus (5) takes the form

$$(6) \quad S_{\bar{k}}(l) \xrightarrow{\gamma} A_k(l) \rightarrow A_{\bar{k}}(l) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let π be a prime of k , and suppose that \bar{k} contains a primitive l^n th root of unity. Then $k(l\sqrt[l^n]{\pi})$ is cyclic of degree l^n over k , and $\alpha_n: \sigma \rightarrow \sigma\sqrt[l^n]{\pi}/\sqrt[l^n]{\pi}$ defines a homomorphism from A_k onto $S_{\bar{k}}[l^n]$. In this way, we obtain a homomorphism $\alpha: A_k(l) \rightarrow S_{\bar{k}}(l)$. One checks immediately that $\alpha\gamma = 1$; thus (6) (and hence (4)) is split-exact.

THEOREM 3. $(A_{K^n})_\theta \cong A_k^n$ and $(A_{K^n}/A_{K^{n+1}})_\theta \cong A_k^n/A_{k^{n+1}}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. By the previous theorem we have $(A_{K^0})_\theta \cong A_k^0$. If $p = 0$, then $A_{K^n} = A_k^n = 0$ for $n \geq 1$, and the result is trivial. Assume that $p \neq 0$ and that we have already proved $(A_{K^n})_\theta \cong A_k^n$. Then from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_{K^{n+1}} \rightarrow A_{K^n} \rightarrow A_{K^n}/A_{K^{n+1}} \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain the homology sequence

$$H_1(g, A_{K^n}/A_{K^{n+1}}) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} (A_{K^{n+1}})_\theta \rightarrow A_k^n \rightarrow (A_{K^n}/A_{K^{n+1}})_\theta \rightarrow 0.$$

If $n > 0$, then $A_K^n/A_K^{n+1} \cong \chi(\bar{K}_+)$; thus $H_1(g, A_K^n/A_K^{n+1}) = 0$ by additive Galois cohomology. On the other hand, $(A_K^0/A_K^1)(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$; hence also $H_1(g, A_K^0/A_K^1)(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$. But $(A_K^1)_\mathfrak{p}$ is a pro- \mathfrak{p} -group. Thus δ_0 must be trivial. Hence for all $n \geq 0$, δ_n is trivial, and so we have the exact sequence

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow (A_K^{n+1})_\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow A_k^n \rightarrow (A_K^n/A_K^{n+1})_\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the image of $(A_K^{n+1})_\mathfrak{p}$ in A_k^n is A_k^{n+1} (by ramification theory), we have $(A_K^{n+1})_\mathfrak{p} \cong A_k^{n+1}$. Comparing (7) with the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_k^{n+1} \rightarrow A_k^n \rightarrow A_k^n/A_k^{n+1} \rightarrow 0,$$

we see that $(A_K^n/A_K^{n+1})_\mathfrak{p} \cong A_k^n/A_k^{n+1}$. Thus, by induction, the result is true for all $n \geq 0$.

THEOREM 4. *Let k be a local field. Then the ramification filter*

$$A_k \supseteq A_k^0 \supseteq A_k^1 \supseteq A_k^2 \supseteq \dots$$

satisfies the following:

- (i) A_k is a profinite abelian group, A_k^n is a closed subgroup of A_k for all $n \geq 0$, and $\bigcap_{n=0}^\infty A_k^n = 0$;
- (ii) $A_k/A_k^0 \cong A_{\bar{k}}$ (topologically), and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_k^0 \rightarrow A_k \rightarrow A_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow 0$$

splits by a topological homomorphism;

- (iii) A_k^0/A_k^1 is topologically isomorphic to $S_{\bar{k}}$;
- (iv) If $\mathfrak{p} = 0$, then $A_k^1 = 0$.

If $\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$, and if $n \geq 1$, then

- (v) A_k^n/A_k^{n+1} is topologically isomorphic to $\chi(\bar{k}_+)$;
- (vi) The mapping $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^n$ maps A_k^n into $A_k^{f(n)}$.

Let $\bar{p}_n: A_k^n/A_k^{n+1} \rightarrow A_k^{f(n)}/A_k^{f(n)+1}$ denote the homomorphism derived from (vi); then:

- (vii) \bar{p}_n is bijective if $n \neq e/(p-1)$;
- (viii) If $n = e/(p-1)$, then we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_1(g, S_K[\mathfrak{p}]) \rightarrow A_k^n/A_k^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\bar{p}_n} A_k^{f(n)}/A_k^{f(n)+1} \rightarrow S_k[\mathfrak{p}] \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. (i) is well-known, and (ii) follows immediately from Theorem 2. To prove (iii) and (v), note that $A_k^n/A_k^{n+1} \cong (A_K^n/A_K^{n+1})_\mathfrak{p}$, by Theorem 3. If $n = 0$, then $A_K^n/A_K^{n+1} \cong S_{\bar{K}}$ by Theorem 1, and since $(S_{\bar{K}})_\mathfrak{p} = S_{\bar{k}}$, (iii) follows. If $n \geq 1$, then $A_K^n/A_K^{n+1} \cong \chi(\bar{K}_+)$ by Theorem 1. Also, $(\chi(\bar{K}_+))_\mathfrak{p} = \chi(\bar{K}^\mathfrak{p}) = \chi(\bar{k}_+)$. Thus (v) follows. (vi) is immediate from Theorem 1 together with the surjectivity of the homomorphism $A_K^n \rightarrow A_k^n$. (vii) follows from Theorem 1 together with the isomorphism $A_k^n/A_k^{n+1} \cong (A_K^n/A_K^{n+1})_\mathfrak{p}$. To prove (viii), consider the exact sequence of Theorem 1 (vi). Applying homology and Theorem 3, this yields the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow H_1(g, A_K^{f(n)}/A_K^{f(n)+1}) \rightarrow H_1(g, S_K[\mathfrak{p}]) \rightarrow A_k^n/A_k^{n+1} \\ \rightarrow A_k^{f(n)}/A_k^{f(n)+1} \rightarrow S_k[\mathfrak{p}] \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_{\mathcal{K}}^{f(n)}/A_{\mathcal{K}}^{f(n)+1} \cong \chi(\bar{K}_+)$, the group $H_1(g, A_{\mathcal{K}}^{f(n)}/A_{\mathcal{K}}^{f(n)+1}) = 0$. This yields (viii).

THEOREM 5. *Suppose that $H_1(g, S_{\mathcal{K}}[p]) = 0$, or that $p = 0$. Then properties (i)–(viii) of Theorem 4 completely characterize $A_{\mathcal{K}}$ as a topological filtered group. (That is, if $A \supseteq A^0 \supseteq A^1 \supseteq A^2 \supseteq \dots$ is another topological filtered group satisfying (i)–(viii), then A is topologically and filter-isomorphic to $A_{\mathcal{K}}$.)*

Proof. If $p = 0$, then $A_{\mathcal{K}} \cong A_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}} \times A_{\mathcal{K}}^0 \cong A_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}} \times S_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}$, and our proof is complete. If $p \neq 0$, then let I denote the set of integers i satisfying $0 < i < ep/(p - 1)$, $(p, i) = 1$. Choose topological generators $\bar{x}_j, j \in J$ for $\chi(\bar{k})$ so that $\chi(\bar{k}) = \prod_{j \in J} \langle \bar{x}_j \rangle$ (direct product). Thus J is the dimension of \bar{k} as a vector space over $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. If $i \in I$, we have $A_{\mathcal{K}}^i/A_{\mathcal{K}}^{i+1} \cong \chi(\bar{k})$; thus there is a continuous homomorphism $\beta_i: A_{\mathcal{K}}^i \rightarrow \chi(\bar{k})$. Choose a continuous function $\varphi_i: \chi(\bar{k}) \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{K}}^i$ such that $\beta_i \varphi_i = 1$ (see [5, p. 166]), and define $x_{ij} = \varphi_i(\bar{x}_j)$ for all $j \in J$. Let $X = \{x_{ij}: i \in I, j \in J\}$. (If $S_{\mathcal{K}}[p] \neq 1$, let $s = ep/(p - 1)$; we enlarge X to include an additional element $x_s \in A_{\mathcal{K}}^s$ such that the image of x_s under the canonical mapping $A_{\mathcal{K}}^s \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{K}}[p]$ generates $S_{\mathcal{K}}[p]$.) The set X converges to zero as in [5, p. 198]. The surjectivity properties of the mappings \bar{p}_n assures us that X generates $A_{\mathcal{K}}^1$ topologically. Further, the injectivity of the \bar{p}_n (since $H_1(g, S_{\mathcal{K}}[p]) = 0$), assures us that X is a set of free generators for $A_{\mathcal{K}}^1$. Thus $A_{\mathcal{K}}^1 = \prod_{x \in X} \langle x \rangle$ (direct product), where $\langle x \rangle \cong Z_p$ denotes the closed subgroup of $A_{\mathcal{K}}^1$ generated by x .

Define $X^n = \{x_{ij}^{p^{n(i)}}: i \in I, j \in J\}$, where $n(i)$ is the minimal integer such that $n \leq f^{n(i)}(i)$. (If $S_{\mathcal{K}}[p] \neq 1$, we adjoin to X^n the element $x_s^{p^{n(s)}}$, where $n(s)$ is the minimal integer such that $n \leq f^{n(s)}(s)$.) One sees immediately that $A_{\mathcal{K}}^n = \prod_{y \in X^n} \langle y \rangle$ (direct product). These remarks show that the filter $A_{\mathcal{K}}^1 \supseteq A_{\mathcal{K}}^2 \supseteq \dots$ is completely characterized by properties (v)–(viii) of Theorem 4.

On the other hand, since $A_{\mathcal{K}}^1$ is a pro- p -group whereas $A_{\mathcal{K}}^0/A_{\mathcal{K}}^1$ is prime to p , we see that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{K}}^1 \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{K}}^0 \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{K}}^0/A_{\mathcal{K}}^1 \rightarrow 0$$

splits. Taking this together with property (ii), we see that $A_{\mathcal{K}} \cong A_{\mathcal{K}}/A_{\mathcal{K}}^0 \times A_{\mathcal{K}}^0/A_{\mathcal{K}}^1 \times A_{\mathcal{K}}^1 \cong A_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}} \times S_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}} \times A_{\mathcal{K}}^1$. This completes the proof.

5. Applications to finite abelian extensions.

THEOREM 6. *Let $L|k$ be any finite abelian extension, and let G denote the Galois group $G_{L|k}$. Then the filter of ramification subgroups*

$$G \supseteq G^0 \supseteq G^1 \supseteq G^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G^r = 1$$

has the following properties:

- (i) *There is a continuous homomorphism $\varphi: A_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}} \rightarrow G$ such that the derived homomorphism $\bar{\varphi}: A_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}} \rightarrow G/G^0$ is surjective;*

(ii) G^0/G^1 is cyclic; the number $m = (G^0:G^1)$ being such that \bar{k} contains a primitive m th root of unity;

(iii) If $p = 0$, then $G^1 = 1$.

If $p \neq 0$, and if $n \geq 1$, then

(iv) G^n/G^{n+1} is an elementary p -group whose rank is not greater than the dimension of the vector space \bar{k} over $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$;

(v) $(G^n)^p \subseteq G^{f(n)}$.

Let $\bar{p}_n: G^n/G^{n+1} \rightarrow G^{f(n)}/G^{f(n)+1}$ denote the homomorphism derived from (v). Then

(vi) \bar{p}_n is surjective if $n \neq e/(p - 1)$;

(vii) If $n = e/(p - 1)$, then the cokernel of \bar{p}_n is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S_k[p]$.

Proof. The natural restriction homomorphism $A_k \rightarrow G$ carries A_k^n onto G^n for all $n \geq 0$. Thus Theorem 6 follows immediately from Theorem 4.

THEOREM 7. *Suppose that either $H_1(g, S_k[p]) = 0$ or $p = 0$ and that*

$$G \supseteq G^0 \supseteq G^1 \supseteq G^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G^r = 0$$

is any finite abelian filtered group which satisfies conditions (i)–(vii) of Theorem 6. Then there exists a finite abelian extension $L|k$ and an isomorphism $\gamma: G_{L|k} \rightarrow G$ such that $\gamma(G_{L|k}^n) = G^n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. It is enough to construct a continuous homomorphism $\psi: A_k \rightarrow G$ (onto) such that $\psi(A_k^n) = G^n$ for all $n \geq 0$. (For if such ψ is given, we can choose L to be the fixed field of the kernel of ψ .) By Theorem 4 (ii), $A_k \cong A_k^0 \times A_{\bar{k}}$. Thus it is enough to construct $\psi_0: A_k^0 \rightarrow G^0$ such that $\psi_0(A_k^n) = G^n$ for all $n \geq 0$. For if such ψ_0 is given, then combining with φ given by (i), we can define $\psi: A_k \rightarrow G$ by $\psi(\alpha, \beta) = \psi_0(\alpha)\varphi(\beta)$. Similar considerations show that we can reduce the problem another stage: It is enough to construct a continuous homomorphism $\psi_1: A_k^1 \rightarrow G^1$ such that $\psi_1(A_k^n) = G^n$ for all $n \geq 1$.

If $p = 0$, then $A_k^1 = G^1 = 1$, and our proof is complete. Otherwise, we define a subset $Y \subseteq G$ analogous to the X defined in the proof of Theorem 5. We define Y to consist of the elements y_{ij} , $i \in I, j \in J$, where $y_{ij} \in G^i$ for all $j \in J$, and such that the cosets $\bar{y}_{ij} \in G^i/G^{i+1}$, $j \in J$, generate G^i/G^{i+1} . (If $S_k[p] \neq 1$, we include an additional element y_s such that $y_s \in G^s$ and \bar{y}_s generates $G^{ep/(p-1)}/(G^{e/(p-1)})^p$.) The surjectivity properties of the mappings $\bar{p}_n: G^n/G^{n+1} \rightarrow G^{f(n)}/G^{f(n)+1}$ assures us that Y generates G ; and if Y^n is defined analogously to X^n , we see that Y^n generates G^n . The natural mapping $X \rightarrow Y$ yields a continuous homomorphism $\psi_1: A_k^1 \rightarrow G^1$ (since A_k^1 is a free abelian pro- p -group on X). Since X^n maps onto Y^n , we see that $\psi_1(A_k^n) = G^n$, $n \geq 1$. Thus, the proof is complete.

Remark 3. Theorem 7 holds even if $H_1(g, S_k[p]) \neq 0$, provided we deal only with groups G satisfying $G^{ep/(p-1)} = 1$.

Remark 4. In applying Theorem 7 or Remark 3, condition (i) of Theorem 6 is certainly the least pleasing since, among all the conditions, it is non-arithmetic. A rather drastic cure would be to restrict our attention to totally ramified extensions: then condition (i) becomes vacuous (this is certainly permissible when \bar{k} is algebraically closed). In a similar vein, if we restrict our attention to p -extensions, then (by additive Kummer Theory), condition (i) may be replaced by:

(i)' The rank of the p -group G/G^0 is not greater than the dimension of $\bar{k}/\mathcal{P}(\bar{k})$ over $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$.

An important special case is when \bar{k} is quasi-finite [13]. In this case, $g \cong \text{inv lim}_n \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$, and condition (i) may be replaced by the simple condition:

(i)'' G/G^0 is cyclic.

Example. Let $L|k$ be a cyclic extension, and let $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r$ be the set of (upper) jumps of $L|k$ which are larger than zero. Define I as before, namely, I consists of all positive integers less than $ep/(p - 1)$ which are not divisible by p (if $S_k[p] \neq 1$, then we enlarge I to include $ep/(p - 1)$). Then by straightforward computation we see that: Conditions (v), (vi), and (vii) of Theorem 6 (or 7) are equivalent to

(v)' $i_1 \in I$, and

(vi)' if $n \geq 1$, then either $i_{n+1} \in I$ and $i_{n+1} > f(i_n)$, or $i_{n+1} = f(i_n)$.

In particular, if $i_n \geq e/(p - 1)$, then $i_{n+1} = i_n + e$. Thus the ramification eventually "stabilizes" if $e < \infty$, and it may even stabilize immediately as in the case $e = 1$.

6. The condition $H_1(g, S_K[p]) = 0$. Let $G_k = G_{k_s|k}$, where k_s denotes the maximal separable extension of k . In view of [7], we can now prove the following interesting result.

THEOREM 8. *Suppose that $p \neq 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $A_k(p)$ is a free abelian pro- p -group;
- (ii) A_k^1 is a free abelian pro- p -group;
- (iii) $H_1(g, S_K[p]) = 0$;
- (iv) $G_k(p)$ is a free pro- p -group.

Proof. Taking p -factors of Theorem 4 (ii), we obtain

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow A_k^1 \rightarrow A_k(p) \rightarrow A_{\bar{k}}(p) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $A_{\bar{k}}(p)$ is a free abelian pro- p -group, (8) splits, and we obtain $A_k(p) \cong A_k^1 \times A_{\bar{k}}(p)$. Thus the torsion part of $A_k(p)$ is the same as that of A_k^1 . Hence, the equivalence of (i) and (ii).

To prove the equivalence of (ii) and (iii), we note that, if $H_1(g, S_K[p]) = 0$, then (ii) follows from the proof of Theorem 5. Conversely, if $H_1(g, S_K[p]) \neq 0$, then by Theorem 4 (viii), there exists $\sigma \in A_k^{e/(p-1)} - A_k^{e/(p-1)+1}$ such that $\sigma^p \in A_k^{ep/(p-1)+1}$. But since $\hat{p}_n: A_k^n/A_k^{n+1} \rightarrow A_k^{n+e}/A_k^{n+e+1}$ is surjective for all $n > e/(p - 1)$, we deduce that $A_k^{ep/(p-1)+1} = (A_k^{e/(p-1)+1})^p$. Thus there is an

element $\tau \in A_k^{e/(p-1)+1}$ such that $\tau^p = \sigma^p$. Thus $\sigma\tau^{-1}$ is a non-trivial torsion element of A_k^1 , and so A_k^1 is not a free abelian pro- p -group.

Finally, we note that the equivalence of (iii) and (iv) is a direct consequence of the results in [7].

Remark 5. A concrete interpretation of the group $H_1(g, S_K[p])$ is given in [7] and in [6, p. 101]. Specifically, we have

- (i) If $e/(p-1)$ is not an integer (i.e. $e/(p-1)$ is rational or ∞), then $H_1(g, S_K[p]) = 0$;
- (ii) If $e/(p-1)$ is an integer, then $H_1(g, S_K[p])$ corresponds to a certain class \mathcal{C} of extension fields of degree p over \bar{k} , and $H_1(g, S_K[p]) = 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$. If $S_K[p] \neq 1$, then \mathcal{C} is precisely the class of cyclic extensions of degree p over \bar{k} . If $S_K[p] = 1$, then \mathcal{C} consists of certain non-Galois extensions. An important corollary is: *If \bar{k} is quasi-finite and if $S_K[p] = 1$, then $H_1(g, S_K[p]) = 0$.*

REFERENCES

1. C. Arf, *Untersuchungen über reinverzweigte Erweiterungen diskret bewerteter perfekter Körper*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 181 (1939), 1–44.
2. E. Artin and J. Tate, *Class field theory* (Benjamin, New York–Amsterdam, 1968).
3. H. Hasse, *Normenresttheorie galoisscher Zahlkörper mit Anwendungen auf Führer und Diskriminante abelscher Zahlkörper*, J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo 2 (1934), 477–498.
4. J. Herbrand, *Sur la théorie des groupes de décomposition, d'inertie, et de ramification*, J. Math. Pures Appl. Sér. 9 10 (1931), 481–498.
5. S. Lang, *Rapport sur la cohomologie des groupes* (Benjamin, New York–Amsterdam, 1967).
6. M. Marshall, *The ramification filters of abelian extensions of a local field*, Ph.D. Thesis, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, 1969.
7. ——— *The maximal p -extension of a local field* (Can. J. Math., to appear).
8. E. Maus, *Die gruppentheoretische Struktur der Verzweigungsgruppenreihen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 230 (1968), 1–28.
9. O. Ore, *Additive polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 35 (1933), 559–584.
10. L. S. Pontryagin, *Topological groups*, translated from the second Russian edition by Arlen Brown (Gordon and Breach, New York–London–Paris, 1966).
11. J.-P. Serre, *Groupes proalgébriques*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 7 (1960), 67 pp.
12. ——— *Sur les corps locaux à corps résiduel algébriquement clos*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 89 (1961), 105–154.
13. ——— *Corps locaux*, Publications de l'Institut de Mathématique de l'Université de Nancago, VIII, Actualités Sci. Indust., No. 1296 (Hermann, Paris, 1962).
14. ——— *Cohomologie galoisienne*, Cours au Collège de France, 1962–1963. Seconde édition, with a contribution by Jean-Louis Verdier, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 5 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1964).

*University of Saskatchewan,
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan*