



Biological Sciences

Revealing hidden diversity and cryptic speciation in Antarctic marine gastropods (Heterobranchia: Cephalaspidea)

Marc Peralta-Serrano^{1,2} , Michael Schrödl^{2,3}, Nerida G. Wilson^{4,5,6} and Juan Moles^{1,2,7} 

¹Department of Evolutionary Biology, Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Biology, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; ²SNSB-Zoologische Staatssammlung München, Munich, Germany; ³Biozentrum Ludwig Maximilians University and GeoBio-Center LMU Munich, Munich, Germany; ⁴Scripps Oceanography, UC San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA; ⁵School of Biological Sciences, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, Australia; ⁶Securing Antarctica's Environmental Future, WA Museum, WA, Australia and ⁷Institut de Recerca de la Biodiversitat (IRBio), Universitat de Barcelona (UB), Barcelona, Spain

Abstract

Recent studies have revealed significant hidden diversity and a high incidence of cryptic speciation in Antarctic marine gastropods. Originally, philinoid cephalaspideans in the Southern Ocean were classified within the genus *Philine*. However, molecular and morphological studies have shown that three genera encompass all known diversity instead. These are *Antarctophilina*, *Waegelea* and *Spiraphiline*, the first two belonging to the recently erected family Antarctophilinidae. In this study, 55 specimens were collected from the South Shetland Islands, across the South Atlantic Antarctic Ridge to Bouvet Island, and from the South Sandwich Islands and Bransfield Strait, between 134 and 4548 m depth. We conducted morpho-anatomical and phylogenetic analyses to describe two new *Antarctophilina* species: *Antarctophilina abyssalis* sp. nov. and *Antarctophilina malaquias* sp. nov. Molecular results support the validity of the two distinct species, consistent with observed morpho-anatomical differences in the digestive system (i.e. the shape of gizzard plates and salivary glands), shell shape and other external characters. Additionally, we evaluate the morphological affinities of the most common Antarctic species, *Antarctophilina alata*, throughout its distribution range. Overall biogeographical distributions are discussed in a systematic context. Our study is yet another example of how Antarctica keeps revealing itself as a cornerstone of gastropod diversity.

Keywords: Antarctophilinidae; molluscs; morpho-anatomical analyses; phylogenetic analyses; Southern Ocean

(Received 15 July 2024; revised 4 October 2024; accepted 4 October 2024)

Introduction

The Southern Ocean (SO) remains one of the most unexplored regions on the globe due to its remote location and sampling difficulty. The geological and hydrographic isolation, a persistent cold climate and intense seasonal primary production experienced on the Antarctic shelf are responsible for a high level of endemism in this ocean (Clarke & Johnston 2003). Of the estimated global number of gastropod species (~63 000; Bouchet *et al.* 2017), ~600 species of shelled gastropods have been described from the SO to date (Clarke *et al.* 2007), 75% of them endemic to this ocean (Linse *et al.* 2006). Yet, recent studies have revealed even greater hidden diversity and a high incidence of cryptic speciation in Antarctic marine gastropods (Wilson *et al.* 2009, Fassio *et al.* 2019, Moles *et al.* 2019).

Among gastropods, cephalaspideans (commonly known as head-shield snails) can be found in marine ecosystems worldwide, ranging from shallow to abyssal waters (e.g. Schrödl *et al.* 2011, Ohnheiser & Malaquias 2013, Moles *et al.* 2017, Chaban

et al. 2023). Philinoid snails, one of the most diverse groups of cephalaspideans, have been intensively studied in recent years due to the high misidentification of taxa and new possibilities for clarifying current diversity with molecular tools (e.g. Oskars *et al.* 2015, Moles *et al.* 2017, Chaban *et al.* 2019). Originally classified within the genus *Philine* Ascanius, 1772, philinoids in the SO have now been encompassed in three recently erected genera: *Spiraphiline* Moles, Avila & Malaquias, 2019 from the family Philinidae Gray, 1850 (1815); *Waegelea* Moles, Avila & Malaquias, 2019; and *Antarctophilina* Chaban, 2016 from the family Antarctophilinidae Moles, Avila & Malaquias, 2019.

Antarctophilina was recently established by Chaban (2016) based on morphological differences in the male reproductive and digestive systems (i.e. gizzard plates). They also evaluated the species *Antarctophilina gibba* (Strebel, 1908) and *Antarctophilina alata* (Thiele, 1912) and suggested *Antarctophilina amoena* (Thiele, 1925) as a synonym of *A. alata*, a finding later corroborated based on molecular data and more comprehensive taxon sampling by Moles *et al.* (2019). Among the six *Antarctophilina* species reinstated in the latter study, five are distributed solely in shallow water, while one is also found in deeper waters. *A. alata* has a circumpolar distribution and can be found in numerous locations such as the Davis Sea, Adélie Land in East Antarctica, South Orkney Islands, South Sandwich Islands, Palmer Archipelago

Corresponding author: Juan Moles Email: juan.moles@ub.edu

Cite this article: Peralta-Serrano, M., Schrödl, M., Wilson, N. G., & Moles, J. 2025. Revealing hidden diversity and cryptic speciation in Antarctic marine gastropods (Heterobranchia: Cephalaspidea). *Antarctic Science*, 154–166. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102024000385>

in the western Antarctic Peninsula, South Shetland Islands, Peter I Island, Bellingshausen Sea, eastern Weddell Sea and Bouvet Island (Thiele 1912, Powell 1951, Vicente & Arnaud 1974, Hain 1990, Troncoso *et al.* 1996, Aldea & Troncoso 2008, Engl 2012, Moles *et al.* 2019, 2021). *A. gibba* (Strebel, 1908) is known from the Argentinian Sea in the South Atlantic, as well as the South Orkney Islands, South Georgia, King George Island, South Shetland Islands, McMurdo Sound and the Ross Sea (Strebel 1908, Odhner 1926, Powell 1951, Marcus & Marcus 1969, Rudman 1972, Seager 1978, 1982, Zelaya 2005, Moles *et al.* 2019). *Antarctophilina falklandica* (Powell, 1951) is exclusive to the Falkland Islands and the Ross Sea, while *Antarctophilina apertissima* (E.A. Smith, 1902) primarily occupies Cape Adare within the Ross Sea region. *Antarctophilina easmithi* Moles, Avila & Malaquias, 2019 is confined to the Eastern Weddell Sea, while *Antarctophilina amundseni* Moles, Avila & Malaquias, 2019 is also restricted to the eastern Weddell Sea but is found in deeper waters.

In this study, we had access to additional material from the South Shetland Islands, spanning deep waters from the South Atlantic Antarctic Ridge to Bouvet Island, as well as from the South Sandwich Islands and Bransfield Strait, at depths ranging from 134 to 4548 m. These specimens were recently sequenced, and the identities of two new species were confirmed (Moles *et al.* 2021). Here, we explore the species identification employing a fragment of the cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (*COI*) gene and by applying multiple species delimitation tests. Additionally, we examine the morphology and anatomy of these specimens in a comparative framework, providing detailed taxonomical descriptions of the two newly discovered species of *Antarctophilina*. Furthermore, we describe the complete reproductive, digestive and excretory systems based on multiple specimens of *A. alata* from its distributional range.

Materials and methods

Sampling strategy

Specimens were collected aboard the German R/V *Polarstern* during the expeditions ANT-XIX (2002), ANT-XXIV/2 (2007–2008) and ANT-XXVIII/3 (2012), spanning from the South Shetland Islands across the South Atlantic Antarctic Ridge to Bouvet Island. Additional material was gathered aboard the US R/V *Nathaniel B. Palmer* in 2011 (NBP11-05) in the vicinity of the South Sandwich Islands and Bransfield Strait. Samples were collected using the Agassiz trawl, Blake trawl and epibenthic sledge methods. On board, specimens were sorted by morphotypes, fixed in 70% or 96% EtOH and deposited in the SNSB-Zoologische Staatssammlung München (ZSM) and the Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO-BIC).

Molecular data treatment

All analysed specimens were sequenced in Moles *et al.* (2020) either using *ddRADseq* or by Sanger sequencing of the *COI* marker. All *COI* sequences were obtained from GenBank and aligned using *MAFFT* (Katoh & Standley 2013) under the *G-INS-I* algorithm implemented in *Geneious Prime*® v2019.2.3. Alignment ends were trimmed to remove primer overlapping regions.

Phylogenetic analysis was conducted in *CIPRES Science Gateway* v3.3 (at <http://www.phylo.org/>) using a maximum-likelihood (ML) inference implemented in *IQ-TREE* v 1.6.10 (Nguyen *et al.* 2015), with *ModelFinder* for the automatic evolutionary

model selection (Kalyaanamoorthy *et al.* 2017), and an Ultrafast Bootstrap approximation with 1500 replicates (Hoang *et al.* 2018). The tree was visualized in *FigTree* v 1.4.4 and edited in *Inkscape* v0.92.5 (Fig. 1).

Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD) was used as a first approximation for species delimitation by the web server (Puillandre *et al.* 2012) under default parameters ($P_{\min} = 0.001$, $P_{\max} = 0.1$, relative gap width = 1.5, transition/transversion ratio = 2) with a Kimura (K80) measure of distance. A matrix of intra- and interspecific *p*-distances is depicted in Table I. Assemble Species by Automatic Partitioning (ASAP), a web server for species delimitation (<https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/asap/>), was used with the Kimura (K80) distance model and default parameters (Puillandre *et al.* 2021). Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent (GMYC) species delimitation was performed using *BEAUti* v1.10.4 to set a lognormal relaxed clock and the best-fitting model, which was HKY + F + I + G4 according to the Bayesian information criterion (BIC). *BEAST* v.1.10.4 was used to run the trees, and *TreeAnnotator* v.1.10.4 was used to burn the first 2500 trees and generate a single tree. The tree was visualized in *FigTree* v 1.4.4 and saved as newick files to run in the GMYC web server (<https://species.h-its.org/gmyc/>). A Bayesian implementation of the Poisson tree processes (bPTP model; Zhang *et al.* 2013) for species delimitation was calculated through the web server (<https://species.h-its.org/>) using the ML tree obtained with *IQ-TREE*. The mPTP test was performed in the mPTP web server using the ML tree generated in *CIPRES*.

Morphological analysis

All specimens were photographed dorsally, ventrally and laterally using a Keyence 125 VHX6000 Digital Microscope system at the Museum of Comparative Zoology (MCZ) and a Nikon V1 aided by a Leica Z16 APO macro lens at the ZSM before dissection. The length (*L*) and width (*W*) of all specimens were measured with a millimetric ruler under the stereomicroscope. The shell was gently removed with the aid of forceps and photographed. Animals were dissected dorsally, and the digestive and male reproductive systems were removed. The excretory and the female reproductive systems were also dissected and schematically drawn. Hard structures such as the shell, gizzard plates, radula and gut contents were extracted, cleaned with a 10% NaOCl solution and subsequently rinsed with distilled water using gentle ultrasonic baths. All structures were mounted on metal stubs with carbon sticky tabs and coated with gold for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a Carl Zeiss Leo 1430VP device. The penial papilla and gizzard were transferred to 100% EtOH and subsequently dried in an increasing series of hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS):100% EtOH solutions (i.e. 1:4, 1:3, 1:2). These were incubated for 30 min each and exchanged to a final concentration of 100% HMDS, then these were left overnight for slow evaporation before SEM.

Results

Molecular analyses

The ML tree divides *Antarctophilina* specimens into six different species with strong Bootstrap support values (BS), including *Waegelea antarctica*, *A. amundseni*, *A. alata* + *A. gibba*, *A. easmithi* and two undescribed species of *Antarctophilina*. These results differ in the recovery of some of the species (Fig. 1). According to prior works using morphology and many nuclear loci (Moles *et al.*




Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationships of antarctophilinids based on the *COI* marker using maximum likelihood (ML), rooting with *Waegelea antarctica*. Support values listed on nodes refer to Bootstrap. Species delimitation tests representing species concepts including 1) mPPT, 2) ABGD, 3) ASAP, 4) bPPT and 5) GMYC are depicted on the right. The scale bar indicates substitutions per site.

Table I. *P*-distance matrix based on the mitochondrial gene *COI* calculated in Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD). This includes *Waegelea antarctica*, *Antarctophilina abyssalis* sp. nov., *Antarctophilina alata* species complex, *Antarctophilina amundseni*, *Antarctophilina easmithi*, *Antarctophilina gibba* and *Antarctophilina malaquiasi* sp. nov.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1 <i>W. antarctica</i>	0–0.45									
2 <i>A. abyssalis</i> sp. nov.	9.43–11.36	0–3.10								
3 <i>A. amundseni</i>	8.07–9.79	6.33–8.49	0–2.94							
4 <i>A. gibba</i>	8.94–10.69	6.71–9.59	8.01–8.53	0–0.45						
5 <i>A. alata</i> south South Sandwich Islands	7.55–9.79	6.53–9.06	6.51–7.51	2.79–4.06	0–0.45					
6 <i>A. alata</i> north South sandwich Islands	8.58–9.79	6.85–9.38	5.68–7.16	3.26–3.74	3.10–4.05	0–0.45				
7 <i>A. alata</i> Bouvet Island	8.58–9.97	7.18–9.90	6.99–7.15	3.74	1.69–1.84	2.46–2.62	0			
8 <i>A. alata</i> Deception Islands	9.26–10.66	7.02–9.72	7.15–7.65	2.94	2.31–2.78	1.68–1.84	1.53	0		
9 <i>A. malaquiasi</i> sp. nov.	9.28–10.51	6.69–8.54	6.52–7.01	6.34–6.67	4.38–5.03	5.02–5.51	5.34	5.67	-	
10 <i>A. easmithi</i>	9.95–11.71	7.52–9.92	6.99–8.01	6.15–7.15	4.85–5.83	5.32–6.47	5.32–5.97	5.65–6.14	4.21–4.38	0–0.30

2019, 2021), *A. gibba* can be considered as the sister species to the *A. alata* complex, and part of our results, including GMYC, bPTP, ABGD and ASAP, recover the same pattern. The tree and the species delimitation tests show a complex of species in *A. alata*, with four species hypotheses recognized and *A. gibba* as part of the complex, by ABGD, ASAP, bPTP and GMYC but not mPTP. The specimens belonging to the two undescribed species of *Antarctophilina* differed topologically from the rest of the sequenced specimens. bPTP recovers the same groupings but splits *A. amundseni* and one of the undescribed species into three different groups each. The *A. alata* complex of species is differentiated from the specimens from the South Sandwich Islands, Bouvet Island and Deception Island but has lower *p*-distance values (1.68–4.05%) than the rest of the species (Table 1). The *A. alata* specimens from the complex had highly different nucleotide proportions compared to the other five species analysed (4.38–9.90%) but a lower proportion compared to *A. gibba* (2.79–4.06%). Based on our results and those of previous studies, we are going to use as reference the results obtained from ABGD, ASAP and GMYC.

Systematic descriptions

Class Gastropoda Cuvier, 1795
 Order Cephalaspidea Fischer, 1883
 Superfamily Philinoidea Gray, 1850 (1815)
 Family Antarctophilinidae Moles, Avila & Malaquias, 2019
Antarctophilina Chaban, 2016
Antarctophilina alata (Thiele, 1912)

Diagnosis

Body oval, arched dorsally, white or ivory coloured. Cephalic shield square-oval. Shell internal, subquadrate, slightly angled dorsally. Radular formula 12–13 × 2.1.1.1.2. Gizzard plates (3), elongated, oval, symmetrical; internal surface, chitinous, flattened, holes or slits absent, with concentric amber and brown bands; external surface flattened.

Material examined

North South Sandwich Islands, SS1A/30, 56°42′60.6″S, 27°1′35.8″W, 134–142 m depth: 1 specimen, sequenced and dissected, SIO-BIC M13656 (3 September 2011), COI barcode MN486291, *L* = 11 mm, *W* = 7 mm; SS2A/38, 58°22′42″S, 26°17′W, 134–260 m depth: 23 specimens, 11 sequenced and 1 dissected, SIO-BIC M12941 (6 October 2011), *L* = 10 mm, *W* = 7 mm; SS3/42, 56°42′60.6″S, 27°1′35.8″W, 103–221 m depth: 4 specimens, 1 sequenced and 1 dissected, SIO-BIC M12993 (7 October 2011), barcode MN486273, *L* = 15 mm, *W* = 8 mm. South Sandwich Islands, St. A6-2: 12 specimens, 1 dissected (not sequenced), MCZ393943 (February 1995), *L* = 15 mm, *W* = 9 mm; St. 136-1, 70°50′12.0″S, 10°35′24.0″W, 271 m depth: 6 specimens, 1 dissected (not sequenced), ZSM20010079 (10 April 2000), *L* = 13 mm, *W* = 7 mm.

External morphology (Fig. 2a)

Maximum *L* = 11 mm, *W* = 7 mm. Body oval, arched dorsally; white or ivory coloured. Mantle thin, translucent white. Cephalic shield oval, extending approximately half of body, slightly extended over shell; extending longitudinally from second third until posterior edge. Parapodial lobes triangular, slightly extended over shell. Foot smooth, extending from mouth to approximately three-quarters of animal.

Shell (Fig. 2b)

Maximum *L* = 7.2 mm, *W* = 5.7 mm. Internal, subquadrate, white, thin, slightly angled dorsally, flattened. Periostracum thin, pellucid. Aperture almost full extension of shell, with thin parietal callus. Outer lip straight, in right angle posteriorly. Columellar wall concave. Apex superficial, umbilicated, left-sided. Growth lines marked.

Radula (Fig. 2c)

Radular formula 12–13 × 2.1.1.1.2. Rachidian teeth not detected. Later teeth hook-shaped, inner lateral thick, with broad base, tip rounded or pointed, inner edge with faint dentition not always detected. Outer lateral teeth hook-shaped, with broad base, tip rounded.

Digestive system (Figs 2d & 3)

Buccal mass very muscular. Salivary glands short, entering pharynx from posterior end, extending over crop to beginning of gizzard. Crop globose, saccular, thin-walled. Gizzard elongated, surrounded by circular muscle fibres. Gizzard plates three (maximum *L* = 1.5 mm, *W* = 0.6 mm), elongated, oval, symmetrical; internal surface, chitinous, flattened, holes or slits absent, with concentric amber and brown bands; external surface flattened (Fig. 2e,f); spines present in gizzard (Fig. 2d). Oesophagus thin, internally plicate. Stomach embedded in digestive gland. Digestive gland large, brownish, granulose; occupying most of viscera. Intestine rising posteriorly towards the anterior part of the shell, creating a loop, turning backwards to right side; ending in external anal papilla situated in mantle cavity.

Reproductive system (Figs 2g,h & 4)

Male penial sheath short, pyriform. Penial papilla short. Ejaculatory duct displayed in an S shape, surrounded by musculature tissue. Prostate granulose, saccular, shorter than penial sheath, attached to seminal vesicle. Seminal vesicle saccular, semi-translucent, slightly smaller than prostate, containing autosperm. Female gonad orange in colour (ovules), presenting white marks (sperm), lumpy, surrounding the digestive gland posteriorly. Ampulla cylindrical, isodiametric, displayed in zigzag. Seminal receptacle oval, short, connected by a thin duct. Albumen gland (= capsule gland; Klusmann-Kolb 2001) rugose, relatively small, covering end of ampulla and seminal receptacle duct. Membrane gland bean-shaped, internally attached to mantle cavity. Mucous gland white, large, folded, extending ventrally above viscera towards left edge. Bursa copulatrix rounded, distally connected to vagina through a thin, long duct. Vagina muscular, with ample atrium.

Excretory, circulatory and respiratory systems

Kidney flattened, in anterior right side of viscera, attached to mantle, internally highly ramified, connected to pericardium anteriorly. Pericardial complex arranged transversally in an angle of 45°. Auricle thin-walled. Ventricle triangular, muscular. Aorta thick, bifurcating into two branches that run parallel to edge of shell.

Ecology

Occurs in sandy bottoms at depths from 4 m (this study) down to 640 m (Hain 1990). Sponge spicules, diatoms and foraminifera were found in the gut contents of the specimens dissected in this study (Fig. 5a–c).




Figure 2. *Antarctophilina alata* specimens from northern South Sandwich Islands (SIO-BIC M13656 and SIO-BIC M17802), southern South Sandwich Islands (SIO-BIC M12993) and South Shetland Islands (MCZ393943). **a.** Dorsal (left) and ventral (right) view of the complete animal (SIO-BIC M17802). **b.** Adapertural (left), apertural (middle) and apex (right) view of the shell (SIO-BIC M17802). **c.** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the radula (SIO-BIC M12993). **d.** Detail of the gizzard spines (SEM; SIO-BIC M13656). **e.** Anterior part of the digestive system, dorsal (left) and ventral (right) view of a gizzard plate (SIO-BIC M17802). **f.** Detail of gizzard plates in dorsal (d), ventral (v) and lateral (l) view (SEM; SIO-BIC M17802). **g.** Male reproductive system (MCZ393943). **h.** Penial papilla (SEM; MCZ393943). bm = buccal mass; c = crop; ed = ejaculatory duct; g = gizzard; ga = genital aperture; gp = gizzard plate; pr = prostate; ps = penial sheath; sv = seminal vesicle.

Distribution

Known from the Davis Sea and Adélie land in East Antarctica (Thiele 1912, Vicente & Arnaud 1974); South Orkney Islands, South Sandwich Islands in the Scotia Sea and Palmer Archipelago in the western Antarctic Peninsula (Powell 1951, Aldea & Troncoso 2008, Moles *et al.* 2021); Deception, Livingston and King George islands in the South Shetland Islands (Powell 1951, Troncoso *et al.* 1996, Engl 2012, Moles *et al.* 2021); Peter I Island, Bellingshausen Sea (Aldea & Troncoso 2008); ? eastern Weddell Sea (Hain 1990, Engl 2012); and Bouvet Island (Moles *et al.* 2019).

Remarks

This species was described by Thiele (1912) based on a single shell record from the Davis Sea characterized by an almost squarish, smooth shell with growth lines, a straight outer lip and a somewhat straight base. This type of shell has been found all over Antarctica and resembles our material. Although slight intraspecific differences in the shape of the gizzard plates exist (e.g. more convex, sometimes slightly pointy, the colour on the bands), they are oval, chitinous and flattened in the interior and exterior parts, with concentric amber and brown bands on the interior side. Most




Figure 3. Dorsal schematic representation of the digestive system of *Antarctophilina alata*. a = anus; c = crop; dig gl = digestive gland; g = gizzard; int = intestine; m = mouth; oes = oesophagus; ot = oral tube; pha = pharynx; s gl = salivary glands.




Figure 4. Schematic representation of the reproductive system of *Antarctophilina alata*. amp = ampulla; bc = bursa copulatrix; cap gl = capsule gland; go = gonad; gon = genital opening = mem gl = membrane gland; muc gl = mucous gland; pr = prostate; ps = penial sheath; sr = seminal receptacle; sv = seminal vesicle; vag = vagina.

records in the distribution area have been revised by Moles *et al.* (2019), and here we add specimens from the northern and southern South Sandwich Islands. There, we found specimens showing no remarkable morphological differences from those from the remaining areas of distribution. Overall, although molecular data recognize several lineages (Fig. 1; Moles *et al.* 2021), the dissected specimens from Bouvet Island, the South Shetland Islands and the South Sandwich Islands present no clear morphological differences (Moles *et al.* 2019; this study) and thus are retained here as a single species.

Antarctophilina abyssalis sp. nov.

Diagnosis

Body oval, large, arched sagittally, white nacreous coloured. Cephalic shield sub-rectangular. Shell internal, ovate-subquadrate, slightly angled dorsally, wide dorsoventrally. Radular formula $15 \times 2.1.0.1.2$. Gizzard plates (3) elongated, oval, ventral plate smaller than dorsal ones; internal surface highly chitinous, convex, holes or slits absent; external surface flattened, with concentric amber and dark brown bands.

ZooBank registration

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:2B7C5D1E-C187-47CE-8897-250E481-2071F.

Etymology

The species' name derives from its depth distribution encompassing the abyssal plain from ~3000 to 4550 m depth.

Type locality

Transition Weddell Sea to south of South Sandwich Islands, 2892.8–4547.6 m depth.

Material examined

Transition Weddell Sea to south of South Sandwich Islands, St. PS61/1384, $62^{\circ}57'48''S$, $27^{\circ}52'8.4''W$, 4547.6 m depth: 1 specimen, ZSM20021046 (holotype; 16 March 2002), COI barcode MN486283, $L = 27$ mm, $W = 10$ mm. North Elephant Island, St. PS61/046-7, $60^{\circ}39'11.4''S$, $53^{\circ}56'51''W$, 2892.8 m depth: 1 specimen, sequenced, ZSM20021211 (paratype; 30 January 2002), COI barcode MN486284, $L = 5$ mm, $W = 2$ mm. Northwest Bouvet Island, South Atlantic Ocean, St. PS71/013-15,




Figure 5. Gut content from **a.–c.** *Antarctophiline alata*, **d.–f.** *Antarctophiline abyssalis* sp. nov. and **g.–i.** *Antarctophiline malaquiasii* sp. nov., including sponge spicules (**a.**, **d.**, **g.**), diatoms (**b.**, **h.**) and foraminifera (**c.**, **e.**, **f.**, **i.**).

52°2'31.8"S, 0°0'36"E, 2996 m depth: 2 specimens, 2 sequenced, ZSM20081179 (paratypes; 6 December 2007), COI barcode MN486285, $L = 10$ mm, $W = 5$ mm, COI barcode MN486286, $L = 8$ mm, $W = 3$ mm; St. PS79/081-18, 52°21'S, 10°1'28"E, 3705–3757 m depth: 1 specimen, ZSM20130151 (paratype; 20 January 2012), COI barcode MN486287, $L = 16$ mm, $W = 5$ mm; PS79/081-17, 52°11'S, 10°43'E, 3743–3763 m depth: 1 specimen, dissected, ZSM20130153 (paratype; 20 January 2012), COI barcode MN486288, $L = 27$ mm, $W = 11$ mm.

External morphology (Fig. 6a)

Maximum $L = 27$ mm, $W = 11$ mm. Body oval, large, arched sagittally. Shell slightly wide, white nacreous coloured; surrounded by translucent white thin mantle. Cephalic shield sub-rectangular, representing 45% body extension, slightly over shell; posteriorly slightly bilobed. Parapodia triangular, extended over shell. Foot smooth, extending from mouth approximately three-fifths of the animal.

Shell (Fig. 6b)

Maximum $L = 12.6$ mm, $W = 8.6$. Internal, ovate-subrectangular, white, thin, slightly angled dorsally, wide dorsoventrally. Periostracum thin, pellucid. Aperture almost representing full extension of shell. Pellucellar wall concave. Parietal callus thin; outer lip directed towards body whorl, extending downwards. Body whorl

wide. Apex bulky, umbilicated, sunken, left-sided. Growth lines marked.

Radula (Fig. 6c)

Radular formula $15 \times 2.1.0.1.2$. Rachidian not detected. Lateral teeth hook-shaped, inner lateral thick, with broad base, tip rounded or pointed, inner edge with very faint denticulation. Outer lateral teeth hook-shaped, with broad base, tip rounded or pointed.

Digestive tract (Fig. 6e)

Buccal mass highly muscular. Salivary glands long, connected ventrally, entering pharynx from dorsal end, extending under pharynx, going up over crop to beginning of gizzard. Crop globose, saccular, thin walled. Gizzard elongated, surrounded by circular muscle fibres. Gizzard plates (3; maximum $L = 1.5$ mm, $W = 0.8$ mm), elongated, oval, ventral plate smaller than dorsal ones; internal surface highly chitinous, convex, translucent, holes or slits absent; external surface flattened, with concentric amber and dark brown bands (Fig. 6e,f); spines present in gizzard (Fig. 6d).

Male reproductive system (Fig. 6g,h)

Penial sheath short, pyriform. Penial papilla small, ~4 mm in length. Ejaculatory duct surrounded by musculature tissue. Prostate granulose, saccular, shorter than penial sheath, displayed attached to seminal vesicle. Seminal vesicle saccular, slightly smaller than prostate, containing autospERM.




Figure 6. *Antarctophilina abyssalis* sp. nov. **a.** Ventral (left) and lateral (right) view of the complete animal. **b.** Adapertural (left), apertural (middle) and apex (right) view of the shell. **c.** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the radula. **d.** Detail of the gizzard spines (SEM). **e.** Anterior part of the digestive system, dorsal (left) and ventral (right) view of a gizzard plate. **f.** Detail of gizzard plates in dorsal (d), ventral (v) and lateral (l) view (SEM). **g.** Male reproductive system. **h.** Penial papilla (SEM). bm = buccal mass; c = crop; ed = ejaculatory duct; g = gizzard; ga = genital aperture; gp = gizzard plate; pr = prostate; ps = penial sheath; sgl = salivary glands; sv = seminal vesicle.

Ecology

Found in abyssal plains from 2892.8 to 4547.6 m depth. Sponge spicules and foraminifera were found in the gut contents of the single specimen studied (Fig. 5d–f).

Distribution

Known from the South Atlantic Antarctic Ridge, from the vicinities of Bouvet Island to Elephant Island.

Remarks

The new species is differentiated from its counterparts (i.e. *A. alata*, *A. amundseni*, *A. apertissima*, *A. easmithi*, *A. falklandica*, *A. gibba*, *A. malaquiasi* sp. nov.) by the larger size and wider shell. The shell has a large columellar callus and presents a greater

length/width ratio and larger body compared to the other species. In addition, the dark brown, highly chitinous, small gizzard plates, pronouncedly convex internally and flattened externally, are characteristic of this species. The bathymetric range of *A. abyssalis* sp. nov. encompasses bathyal and abyssal depths in the South Atlantic Antarctic Ridge, where no previous records of any other species are found. The most closely related species is *A. amundseni*, known from 196 to 1048 m depth in the Weddell Sea.

Antarctophilina malaquiasi sp. nov.

Diagnosis

Body oval, large, arched in sagittal plain, white or ivory coloured. Cephalic shield oval. Shell internal, subquadrate, slightly angled




Figure 7. *Antarctophilina malaquiasii* sp. nov. **a.** Dorsal (left), ventral (middle) and lateral (right) view of the complete animal. **b.** Adapertural (left), apertural (middle) and apex (right) view of the shell. **c.** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the radula. **d.** Detail of rachidian tooth (SEM). **e.** Anterior part of the digestive system, dorsal (left) and ventral (right) view of a gizzard plate. **f.** Detail of gizzard plates in dorsal (d), ventral (v) and lateral (l) view (SEM). **g.** Male reproductive system. **h.** Penial papilla (SEM). bm = buccal mass; c = crop; ed = ejaculatory duct; g = gizzard; ga = genital aperture; gp = gizzard plate; pr = prostate; ps = penial sheath; s gl = salivary glands; sv = seminal vesicle.

dorsally, flattened. Radular formula $12 \times 2.1.0.1.2$. Gizzard plates (3) elongated, oval, ventral plate smaller than dorsal ones; internal surface, chitinous, slightly convex on one side, holes or slits absent, with concentric amber and dark brown bands; external surface highly convex, sometimes asymmetrically pointy dorsally.

ZooBank registration

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:963E806A-B353-472D-A3E9-29D690-CB00B7.

Etymology

This species is named in honour of Dr Manuel António E. Malaquias for his contribution to the systematics of cephalaspidean molluscs.

Type locality

Bransfield Strait, 150–247 m depth.

Material examined

Bransfield Strait, St. BS1/86, $62^{\circ}52'20.7''S$, $57^{\circ}11'32.5''W$, 150–247 m depth: 2 specimens, sequenced and dissected, SIO-BIC M17786 (holotype; 24 October 2011), $L = 9.6$ mm, $W = 5.4$ mm, COI barcode MN486290, SIO-BIC M17787 (paratype; 24 October 2011), $L = 6$ mm, $W = 4$ mm.

External morphology (Fig. 7a)

Body oval, arched in sagittal plane; maximum $L = 8$ mm, $W = 4.5$ mm, white or ivory coloured; surrounding translucent white thin mantle. Cephalic shield oval, half of body extension,

slightly over shell; central groove slightly marked, last two-thirds extension. Parapodia triangular, slightly extended over shell; gill visible from above. Foot smooth, extending from mouth approximately three-quarters of the animal.

Shell (Fig. 7b)

Maximum $L = 6.6$ mm, $W = 5.3$. Internal, subquadrate, white, thin, slightly angled dorsally, flattened. Periostracum thin, pellucid. Aperture almost full extension of shell, with thin, parietal callus. Outer lip straight, in right angle on posterior side. Columellar wall concave. Apex superficial, umbilicated, left-sided. Growth lines marked.

Radula (Fig. 7c)

Radular formula $12 \times 2.1.0.1.2$. Rachidian not detected. Lateral teeth hook-shaped, inner lateral thick, with broad base, tip rounded, inner edge without denticulation. Outer lateral teeth hook-shaped, with broad base, tip rounded or pointed.

Digestive tract (Fig. 7e)

Buccal mass highly muscular. Salivary glands short, entering pharynx from posterior end, extending over crop to beginning of gizzard. Crop globose, saccular, thin walled. Gizzard elongated, surrounded by circular muscle fibres. Gizzard plates (3; maximum $L = 1.5$ mm, $W = 0.6$ mm) elongated, oval, symmetrical; internal surface chitinous, slightly convex on one side, holes or slits absent, with concentric amber and brown bands; external surface highly convex, sometimes asymmetrically pointy dorsally (Fig. 7e,f); spines present in gizzard (Fig. 7d).

Male reproductive system (Fig. 7g,h)

Penial sheath short, pyriform. Penial papilla small. Ejaculatory duct surrounded by musculature tissue. Prostate granulose, saccular, shorter than penial sheath, displayed attached to seminal vesicle. Seminal vesicle saccular, semitranslucent, slightly bigger than prostate, containing autosperm.

Ecology

Found between 150 and 247 m depth. Sponge spicules, diatoms and foraminifera were found in the gut contents of the single specimen dissected in this study (Fig. 5g–i).

Distribution

Only known from the Bransfield Strait.

Remarks

Although not closely related phylogenetically to *A. alata* and *A. gibba*, the new species can hardly be differentiated from them externally. Only the shape of the gizzard plates, being highly convex and pointy dorsally, and a slightly more rounded shell are differential characters compared to the ones from *A. alata* and *A. gibba*. These are characters subject to intraspecific variation, thus more specimens are needed to further explore these differences. Unfortunately, only two specimens have been collected so far. Its known distribution also seems to overlap with *A. alata* and *A. gibba*, matching the same bathymetrical distribution, indicating that this species may coexist in the same locality.

Discussion

Based on the molecular, morphological and anatomical data obtained here, we propose the establishment of two new species.

A. abyssalis sp. nov. is described from the transition of Weddell Sea to the south of the South Sandwich Islands, at depths of 2996.0–4547.6 m, and *A. malaquiasii* sp. nov. is described from the Bransfield Strait at depths of 150–247 m. These species present subtle morpho-anatomical differences that are supported by the results of the molecular analyses (see Table II). *A. abyssalis* sp. nov. presents a larger body size and greater length/width ratio compared to the other species. The shell is higher, has a larger columellar callus and presents a greater length/width ratio. We observed some differences in the anterior part of the digestive system, such as longer salivary glands and a different shape and colour in the gizzard plates. *A. malaquiasii* sp. nov. is morphologically different in the shape of the gizzard plates, being highly convex and pointy dorsally, and it has a slightly more rounded shell compared to *A. alata*. Yet, the external morphology of *A. malaquiasii* sp. nov. is quite similar to those of *A. alata* and *A. gibba*. Overall, the species from the genus *Antarctophilinae* are differentiated by subtle morphological differences, usually found in the shell and the gizzard plates, although cryptic speciation is also observed in the genus (Moles *et al.* 2019, 2021). Common characters used for cephalaspideans' taxonomy, related to the male reproductive system or shell ultrastructure, have proven unsuitable for differentiating most species.

A. alata is considered to encompass several independent taxonomic units using both mitochondrial and nuclear markers (Moles *et al.* 2021). Yet, the newly analysed specimens of *A. alata* from the southern and northern South Sandwich Islands reveal few morphological differences from specimens from the rest of the species distribution (see Moles *et al.* 2019). The complex of species of *A. alata* is distributed in the South Sandwich Islands, South Georgia Island, South Shetland Islands and Bouvet Island. The low character displacement of these molecular taxonomic units defines a clear case of cryptic speciation. With the absence of morphological differences, even though molecular characters are present, we decided to retain the same taxonomical identity for all specimens belonging to the complex *A. alata* (except for *A. gibba*). This might be a case of incipient speciation among the different populations (Moles *et al.* 2021) or a case of parallel evolution due to the morphological constraints in the adaptability of the species to the same environment. Another similar-looking species, *A. gibba*, was revised morphologically by Seager (1978) and Moles *et al.* (2019) and sequenced by Moles *et al.* (2021). The molecular analyses made in the latter study using thousands of molecular markers support the identity of *A. gibba* as a different species from *A. alata*, as was already suggested morphologically by Moles *et al.* (2019).

Most of the molluscs in the SO are only known by their shells, and this has created taxonomic confusion and misidentification of taxa (Price *et al.* 2011). New morphological and molecular analyses have enabled us to differentiate and revise the species described during the early and mid-twentieth century (Moles *et al.* 2017, 2019, Fassio *et al.* 2019, Layton *et al.* 2019, Schächinger *et al.* 2022). Gastropods in Antarctica generally show a eurybathic (wide) depth range, usually with species numbers decreasing from shallow to deep waters (Brandt *et al.* 2009). Antarctic philinids display this eurybathic distribution, being particularly diverse in shallow waters, except for two deep-sea species, one being present in the abyssal plains. This family has a circum-Antarctic distribution, with most of the species and records found in West Antarctica (Moles *et al.* 2019, 2021). However, due to high morphological similarities, historical misidentifications of taxa, overlap in bathymetric and geographical distribution and high

Table II. Summary of most useful characters for diagnosis of all species of *Antarctophilina* based on the previous literature and our data.

Species	Shell outline	Posterior edge of outer lip	Shell sculpture	Gizzard plates	Radula	Penis	Prostate	Distribution	Depth (m)
<i>Antarctophilina abyssalis</i> sp. nov.	Ovate-subrectangular	Obtuse, protruding, coiled	Growth lines only	3 dark brown-amber, elongated, internal surface very convex	15 × 2.1.0.1.2	Short, rounded	Short, unilobed + smaller seminal vesicle	Transition Weddell Sea to south of South Sandwich Islands	2892.8–4547.6
<i>Antarctophilina alata</i>	Ovate-subquadrate	Right angle, sometimes slightly protruding	Growth lines, very fine spiral striations	3 brown-amber, oval, convex	11–13 × 2.1.1.1.2	Short, rounded/conical	Short, unilobed	Adélie Island, Antarctic Peninsula, Bouvet Island, Davis Sea, Peter I Island, South Shetland Islands, South Orkney Islands, South Sandwich Islands, ? eastern Weddell Sea	4–460
<i>Antarctophilina amundseni</i>	Ovate-subquadrate	Obtuse, not protruding	Growth lines, very fine spiral striations	3 brown-green, rhomboidal, highly convex	12 × 2.1.1.1.2	Short, squared	Short, ? unilobed	Eastern Weddell Sea	736–910
<i>Antarctophilina apertissima</i>	Elliptical, large aperture	Rounded, slightly protruding	Growth lines only	-	-	-	-	Cape Adare, Ross Sea	18–205
<i>Antarctophilina easmithi</i>	Ovate-subquadrate	Obtuse, not protruding	Growth lines, very fine spiral striations	3 brown-green, oval, highly convex	12–13 × 2.1.1.1.2	Short, conical	Short, ? unilobed	Eastern Weddell Sea	173–463
<i>Antarctophilina falklandica</i>	Ovate-rhomboidal	Right angle, not protruding	Axial fine striations minutely	3 minute, calcareous or chitinous	2.1.1.1.2	Conical	Short, ? bilobed	Falkland Islands, Ross Sea	12–219
<i>Antarctophilina gibba</i>	Ovate-subquadrate, humped adaperturally	Obtuse, protruding	Growth lines, very fine spiral striations	3 brown-amber, oval, convex	12–13 × 2.1.1.1.2	Short, conical	Short, unilobed + short seminal vesicle	? Argentinian Sea, South Orkney Islands, South Georgia Island, King George Island, Ross Sea	4–329
<i>Antarctophilina malaquiasii</i> sp. nov.	Ovate-subquadrate	Obtuse, protruding	Growth lines only	3 brown-amber, oval, symmetrical, slightly convex on one side	12 × 2.1.0.1.2	Short, rounded/conical	Short, unilobed + short seminal vesicle	Bransfield Strait	150–247

sampling efforts in specific regions, determining the current distribution of the species remains challenging. Even at the superfamily level they are very difficult to differentiate, as they share a similar morphology and ecological preferences (Price *et al.* 2011, Ohnheiser & Malaquias 2013, Moles *et al.* 2019). They are sediment-dwelling organisms inhabiting sandy or muddy substrates with an omnivorous diet, feeding on detritus, small invertebrates or organic matter found within the sediment (Malaquias *et al.* 2016). That matches with the gut contents of our specimens, in which we found foraminifera, diatoms and sediment remnants, including sponge spicules, which are present due to their burrowing behaviour. These discoveries add to the growing body of evidence supporting the high level of endemism in this region, improving our knowledge of the hidden diversity of the SO, even if many instances of cryptic species exist (Wilson *et al.* 2009, 2013, Maroni *et al.* 2022).

Acknowledgements. We thank the crews and participants of expeditions undertaken by the German R/V *Polarstern* and the US R/V *Nathaniel B. Palmer*. We also thank Enrico Schwabe from the ZSM, as well as Greg Rouse and Charlotte Seid for their assistance with loans at the Scripps Institution of Oceanography Benthic Invertebrates collection. The comments of two anonymous reviewers are also acknowledged.

Financial support. MP-S thanks the Erasmus+ programme of the European Union and UNITAS Malacologica for funding his participation in the World Congress of Malacology 2022. JM's postdoctoral fellowships were supported by Ramón Areces (Spain) and the Alexander von Humboldt (Germany) Foundations. NGW acknowledges the funding provided by the NSF Office of Polar Programs (ANT-1043749). JM is indebted to the Ministry of Science and Innovation through the HETGEN1000 project (PID2021-127037NA-I00/MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/ and by FEDER una manera de hacer Europa).

Author contributions. JM was involved in the conception and design of the study. MS, NGW and JM contributed samples and funding. MP-S and JM performed morpho-anatomical and molecular analyses and wrote the first draft of the article. All authors reviewed and edited the final manuscript.

References

- ALDEA, C. & TRONCOSO, J. 2008. Systematics and distribution of shelled molluscs (Gastropoda, Bivalvia and Scaphopoda) from the South Shetland Islands to the Bellingshausen Sea, West Antarctica. *Iberus*, **26**, 1–75.
- BOUCHET, P., ROCROI, J.P., HAUSDORF, B., KAIM, A., KANO, Y., NÜTZEL, A., *et al.* 2017. Revised classification, nomenclature and typification of gastropod and monoplacophoran families. *Malacologia*, **61**, 1–526.
- BRANDT, A., LINSE, K. & SCHÜLLER, M. 2009. Bathymetric distribution patterns of Southern Ocean macrofaunal taxa: Bivalvia, Gastropoda, Isopoda and Polychaeta. *Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, **56**, 2013–2025.
- CHABAN, E.M. 2016. New genus of opisthobranch molluscs *Antarctophilina* gen. nov. (Cephalaspidea: Philinoidea) from the Cooperation Sea, Antarctica. *Ruthenica*, **26**, 49–56.
- CHABAN, E., EKIMOVA, I., LUBIN, P., NIKITENKO, E. & SCHEPETOV, D. 2023. Bizarre morphology obscures real affiliation: an integrative study of enigmatic cephalaspidean. *Philine denticulata* from Arctic waters reveals its unique phylogenetic position. *Diversity*, **15**, 395.
- CHABAN, E.M., EKIMOVA, I.A., SCHEPETOV, D.M., KOHNERT, P.C., SCHRÖDL, M. & CHERNYSHEV, A.V. 2019. Euopisthobranch mollusks of the order Cephalaspidea (Gastropoda: Heterobranchia) of the Kuril-Kamchatka Trench and the adjacent Pacific abyssal plain with descriptions of three new species of the genus *Spiraphiline* (Philinidae). *Progress in Oceanography*, **178**, 102185.
- CLARKE, A. & JOHNSTON, N. 2003. Antarctic marine benthic diversity. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review*, **41**, 47–114.
- CLARKE, A., GRIFFITHS, H.J., LINSE, K., BARNES, D.K.A. & CRAME, J.A. 2007. How well do we know the Antarctic marine fauna? A preliminary study of macroecological and biogeographical patterns in Southern Ocean gastropod and bivalve molluscs. *Diversity and Distributions*, **13**, 620–632.
- ENGL, W. 2012. *Shells of Antarctica*. Harxheim: ConchBooks, 402 pp.
- FASSIO, G., MODICA, M.V., ALVARO, M.C., BUGE, B., SALVI, D., OLIVERIO, M. & SCHIAPARELLI, S. 2019. An Antarctic flock under the Thorson's rule: diversity and larval development of Antarctic Velutinidae (Mollusca: Gastropoda). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **132**, 1–13.
- HAIN, S. 1990. The benthic seashells (Gastropoda and Bivalvia) of the Weddell Sea, Antarctica. *Berichte der Polar- und Meeresforschung*, **70**, 1–181.
- HOANG, D.T., CHERNOMOR, O., VON HAESELER, A., MINH, B.Q. & VINH L.S. 2018. *UFBoot2*: improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap approximation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **35**, 518–522.
- KALYAANAMOORTHY, S., MINH, B.Q., WONG, T.K.F., VON HAESELER, A. & JERMIIN L.S. 2017. *ModelFinder*: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature Methods*, **14**, 587–589.
- KATO, K. & STANDLEY, D.M. 2013. *MAFFT* multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **30**, 772–780.
- KLUSMANN-KOLB, A. 2001. Comparative investigation of the genital systems in the Opisthobranchia (Mollusca, Gastropoda) with special emphasis on the nidamental glandular system. *Zoomorphology*, **120**, 215–235.
- LAYTON, K.K.S., ROUSE, G.W. & WILSON, N.G. 2019. A newly discovered radiation of endoparasitic gastropods and their coevolution with asteroid hosts in Antarctica. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **19**, 180.
- LINSE, K., GRIFFITHS, H.J., BARNES, D.K.A. & CLARKE, A. 2006. Biodiversity and biogeography of Antarctic and sub-Antarctic Mollusca. *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, **53**, 985–1008.
- MALAQUIAS, M.A.E., OHNHEISER, L.T., OSKARS, T.R., & WILLASSEN, E. 2016. Diversity and systematics of philinid snails (Gastropoda: Cephalaspidea) in West Africa with remarks on the biogeography of the region. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **180**, 1–35.
- MARCUS, E. & MARCUS, E. 1969. Opisthobranchian and lamellarian gastropods collected by the 'Vema'. *American Museum Novitates*, **2368**, 1–34.
- MARONI, P.J. & WILSON, N.G. 2022. Multiple *Doris* 'kergeuelensis' (Nudibranchia) species span the Antarctic Polar Front. *Ecology and Evolution*, **12**, e9333.
- MOLES, J., AVILA, C. & MALAQUIAS, M.A.E. 2017. Systematic revision of the Antarctic gastropod family Newnesiidae (Heterobranchia: Cephalaspidea) with the description of a new genus and a new abyssal species. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **183**, 763–775.
- MOLES, J., AVILA, C. & MALAQUIAS, M.A.E. 2019. Unmasking Antarctic mollusc lineages: novel evidence from philinoid snails (Gastropoda: Cephalaspidea). *Cladistics*, **1850**, 1–27.
- MOLES, J., DERKARABETIAN, S., SCHIAPARELLI, S., SCHRÖDL, M., TRONCOSO, J., WILSON, N. & GIRIBET, G. 2021. An approach using *ddRADseq* and machine learning for understanding geographic and bathymetric patterns of speciation in Antarctic gastropods (Mollusca). *Scientific Reports*, **11**, 8473.
- NGUYEN, L.T., SCHMIDT, H.A., VON HAESELER, A. & MINH, B.Q. 2015. *IQ-TREE*: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **32**, 268–274.
- ODHNER, N.H.J. 1926. Further zoological results of the Swedish Antarctic Expedition 1901–1903. *Die Opisthobranchien*, **2**, 1–100.
- OHNEISER, L.T. & MALAQUIAS, M.A.E. 2013. Systematic revision of the gastropod family Philinidae (Mollusca: Cephalaspidea) in the northeast Atlantic Ocean with emphasis on the Scandinavian peninsula. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **167**, 273–326.
- OSKARS, T.R., BOUCHET, P. & MALAQUIAS, M.A.E. 2015. A new phylogeny of the Cephalaspidea (Gastropoda: Heterobranchia) based on expanded taxon sampling and gene markers. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **89**, 130–150.
- POWELL, A.W.D. 1951. Antarctic and Subantarctic Mollusca: Pelecypoda and Gastropoda. *Discovery Reports*, **26**, 47–196.

- PRICE, R.M., GOSLINER, T.M. & VALDÉS, Á. 2011. Systematics and phylogeny of *Philine* (Gastropoda: Opisthobranchia), with emphasis on the *Philine aperta* species complex. *The Veliger*, **51**, 1–58.
- PUILLANDRE, N., BROUILLET, S. & ACHAZ, G. 2021. ASAP: assemble species by automatic partitioning. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **21**, 609–620.
- PUILLANDRE, N., LAMBERT, A., BROUILLET, S. & ACHAZ, G. 2012. ABGD, Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery for primary species delimitation. *Molecular Ecology*, **21**, 1864–1877.
- RUDMAN, W.B. 1972. The genus *Philine* (Opisthobranchia, Gastropoda). *Proceedings of the Malacological Society of London*, **40**, 171–187.
- SCHÄCHINGER, P.M., SCHRÖDL, M., WILSON, N.G., MOLES, J. 2022. Crossing the polar front - Antarctic species discovery in the nudibranch genus *Tritoniella* (Gastropoda). *Organisms Diversity & Evolution*, **22**, 431–456.
- SCHRÖDL, M., BOHN, J.M., BRENKE, N., ROLÁN, E. & SCHWABE, E. 2011. Abundance, diversity, and latitudinal gradients of southeastern Atlantic and Antarctic abyssal gastropods. *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, **58**, 49–57.
- SEAGER, J.R. 1978. A redescription of the Antarctic opisthobranch *Philine gibba* Strebel, 1908, from the type locality, South Georgia, South Atlantic. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **44**, 171–179.
- SEAGER, J.R. 1982. Population dynamics of the Antarctic opisthobranch *Philine gibba* Strebel. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **60**, 163–179.
- SMITH, E.A. 1902. VII. MOLLUSCA. In *Report on the collections of natural history made in the Antarctic regions during the voyage of the Southern Cross*. London, 201–213.
- STREBEL, H. 1908. Die Gastropoden. *Wissenschaftliche Ergebnisse der Schwedischen Südpolar-Expedition, 1901–1903*, **6**, 1–108, pl. 1–6.
- THIELE, J. 1912. Die antarktischen schnecken und muscheln. *Deutsche Südpolar-Expedition 1901–1903. Zoologie*, **5**, 185–285.
- THIELE, J. 1925. Gastropoden der Deutschen tiefsee-expedition. Vol. 17, No. 2. In FISHER, G., ed., *Wissenschaftliche Ergebnisse Der Deutschen Tiefsee-Expedition Auf Dem Dampfer 'Valdivia' 1898–1899*. Berlin: Gustav Fischer, 4–382.
- TRONCOSO, J.S., GARCÍA, F.J., BACKELJAU, T. & URGORRI, V. 1996. Faunistic and anatomical data on the Antarctic Opisthobranchia (Mollusca, Gastropoda) in the collections of the Royal Belgian Institute of Sciences. *Bulletin de l'Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique*, **66**, 29–40.
- VICENTE, N. & ARNAUD, P.M. 1974. Invertébrés marins des XIIème et XVème Expéditions Antarctiques Françaises en Terre Adélie. 12. *Gasteropodes Opisthobranches. Téthys*, **5**, 531–547.
- WILSON, N.G., MASCHKE, J.A. & BAKER, B.J. 2013. A species flock driven by predation? Secondary metabolites support diversification of slugs in Antarctica. *PLoS ONE*, **8**, e80277.
- WILSON, N.G., SCHRÖDL, M. & HALANYCH, K.M. 2009. Ocean barriers and glaciation: evidence for explosive radiation of mitochondrial lineages in the Antarctic sea slug *Doris kerguelenensis* (Mollusca, Nudibranchia). *Molecular Ecology*, **18**, 965–984.
- ZELAYA, D.G. 2005. Systematics and biogeography of marine gastropod molluscs from South Georgia. *Spixiana*, **28**, 109–139.
- ZHANG, J., KAPLI, P., PAVLIDIS, P. & STAMATAKIS, A. 2013. A general species delimitation method with applications to phylogenetic placements. *Bioinformatics*, **29**, 2869–2876.