

## TRANSLATES OF SEQUENCES IN SETS OF POSITIVE MEASURE

BY  
D. BORWEIN AND S. Z. DITOR

Given a measurable set  $A$  of real numbers with measure  $mA > 0$ , and a sequence  $\{d_n\}$  of real numbers converging to zero, is there always an  $x$  such that  $x + d_n \in A$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large?

The answer to this question, which was posed to the authors by P. Erdős, is NO. The actual situation can be described as follows.

**THEOREM 1.** (i) *If  $A$  is a measurable set with  $mA > 0$  and  $\{d_n\}$  is a sequence converging to zero, then, for almost all  $x \in A$ ,  $x + d_n \in A$  for infinitely many  $n$ .*

(ii) *There is a measurable set  $A$  with  $mA > 0$  and a monotonic sequence  $\{d_n\}$  of positive numbers converging to zero such that, for all  $x$ ,  $x + d_n \notin A$  for infinitely many  $n$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Suppose without loss in generality that  $A$  is compact. Let  $E_k = \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} (A - d_n)$  and let  $E = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ . Then  $x \in E$  if and only if  $x + d_n \in A$  for infinitely many  $n$ . Since  $A$  is closed,  $E \subset A$ . Further,  $mE_k \geq m(A - d_k) = mA$ , and, since  $mE_1 < \infty$  and  $E_k \supset E_{k+1}$ ,  $mE_k \rightarrow mE$ . Hence  $mA = mE$ . The desired conclusion follows.

(ii) Let  $B_1 = \{1\}$ ,  $B_2 = \{2, 3\}$ ,  $B_3 = \{4, 5, 6\}$ , and, in general,  $B_n = \{N+1-n, N+2-n, \dots, N\}$  where  $N = n(n+1)/2$ . Let  $A_n$  be the set of numbers  $x$  in  $[0, 1]$  admitting a dyadic expansion

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_i}{2^i}, \quad \xi_i \in \{0, 1\}$$

such that, for every  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\xi_i = 0$  for some  $i \in B_j$ . Let  $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ . Then  $mA = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2^{-j}) > 0$ . The probability argument for this assertion is clear. The assertion can, however, be established directly as follows.

For each subset  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  which meets every  $B_j$  with  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , let  $x_{\sigma} = \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i/2^i$ , where  $\xi_i = 0$  if  $i \in \sigma$ ,  $\xi_i = 1$  otherwise. There are  $\prod_{j=1}^n (2^j - 1)$  such subsets  $\sigma$ , and  $A_n = \bigcup_{\sigma} [x_{\sigma}, x_{\sigma} + 2^{-N}]$ . Hence  $mA_n = 2^{-N} \prod_{j=1}^n (2^j - 1) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - 2^{-j})$ , and, since  $mA_1 < \infty$  and  $A_n \supset A_{n+1}$ ,  $mA_n \rightarrow mA$ .

Further, since each  $A_n$  is closed, so also is  $A$ .

---

Received by the editors December 13, 1977.

Now let  $D_n$  be the set of positive numbers  $x$  admitting a dyadic expansion

$$x = \sum_{i \in B_n} \frac{\xi_i}{2^i}, \quad \xi_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty D_n$  can be enumerated so as to form a monotonic sequence  $\{d_k\}$  of positive numbers converging to zero.

Suppose  $x \in A$  and  $n_0$  is any positive integer. Then there is a  $d_n \in D_{n_0}$  such that  $x + d_n = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \xi_i/2^i$  where every  $\xi_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $\xi_i = 1$  for all  $i \in B_{n_0}$ . Hence  $x + d_n \notin A$  for infinitely many  $n$ .

On the other hand if  $x \notin A$ , then, since  $A$  is closed,  $x + d_n \notin A$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large.

The following theorem is a generalization of part (i) of Theorem 1. The proof given below is similar to, but probably of necessity somewhat less elementary than, the proof of part (i) of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $A$  is a measurable set with  $mA > 0$  and  $\{d_{1,n}\}, \{d_{2,n}\}, \dots, \{d_{r,n}\}$  are  $r$  sequences each converging to zero, then, for almost all  $x \in A$ ,  $x + d_{1,n}, x + d_{2,n}, \dots, x + d_{r,n}$  are all in  $A$  for infinitely many  $n$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose without loss in generality that  $A$  is bounded. Let  $d_{0,n} \equiv 0$ , let  $E_k = \bigcup_{n=k}^\infty \bigcap_{i=0}^r (A - d_{i,n})$  and let  $E = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty E_k$ . Then  $E \subset A$  and  $x \in E$  if and only if  $x + d_{1,n}, x + d_{2,n}, \dots, x + d_{r,n}$  are all in  $A$  for infinitely many  $n$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} m(A \sim (A - h)) &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty \chi_A(t)(\chi_A(t) - \chi_A(t+h)) dt \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\chi_A(t) - \chi_A(t+h)| dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

by a standard result. It follows that

$$m\left(A \sim \bigcap_{i=0}^r (A - d_{i,k})\right) = m \bigcup_{i=0}^r (A \sim (A - d_{i,k})) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

and hence that

$$mE_k \geq m \bigcap_{i=0}^r (A - d_{i,k}) \rightarrow mA.$$

Further, since  $mE_1 < \infty$  and  $E_k \supset E_{k+1}$ ,  $mE_k \rightarrow mE$ . Thus  $mA = mE$ , and the theorem is established.

NOTE: Taking  $d_{j,n} = jd_n$  in Theorem 2, we get as a special case the known result that a measurable set of positive measure contains finite arithmetic progressions of arbitrary length.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO  
LONDON, ONTARIO, CANADA N6A 5B9