

# DIRECT-SUM DECOMPOSITION OF ATOMIC AND ORTHOGONALLY COMPLETE RINGS

ALEXANDER ABIAN

(Received 5 March 1969)

Communicated by G. B. Preston

In this paper we give a necessary and sufficient condition for decomposition (as a direct sum of fields) of a ring  $R$  in which for every  $x \in R$  there exists a (and hence the smallest) natural number  $n(x) > 1$  such that

$$(1) \quad x^{n(x)} = x.$$

We would like to emphasize that *in what follows  $R$  stands for a ring every element  $x$  of which satisfies (1).*

It is well known [1] that  $R$  is commutative and that  $x^{n(x)-1}$  is an idempotent element of  $R$ , i.e., for every  $x \in R$

$$(2) \quad (x^{n(x)-1})^2 = x^{n(x)-1}$$

which implies that  $R$  has no nonzero nilpotent element, i.e., for every  $x \in R$  and every natural number  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$(3) \quad x^k = 0 \text{ implies } x = 0.$$

LEMMA 1. *The ring  $R$  is partially ordered by  $\leq$  where for all elements  $x$  and  $y$  of  $R$*

$$(4) \quad x \leq y \text{ if and only if } xy = x^2.$$

PROOF. Since  $xx = x^2$  we see that  $\leq$  is reflexive.

Next, let  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$ , i.e.  $xy = x^2$  and  $yx = y^2$ . But then

$$x^2 - xy - yx - y^2 = (x - y)^2 = 0$$

which, in view of (3), implies  $x - y = 0$ , i.e.  $x = y$ . Hence  $\leq$  is antisymmetric.

Finally, let  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$ , i.e.,  $xy = x^2$  and  $yz = y^2$ . Thus,  $x^2z = xyz = xy^2 = x^2y = x^3$ . Consequently,  $x^2z^2 = x^3z$  and  $x^3z = x^4$ . But then

$$x^2z^2 - 2x^3z + x^4 = (xz - x^2)^2 = 0$$

which, in view of (3), implies  $xz = x^2$  which in turn, in view of (4), implies  $x \leq z$ . Hence  $\leq$  is transitive.

Thus, Lemma 1 is proved.

Clearly, from (4) and (2) it follows that for all elements  $x, y$  and  $z$  of  $R$

$$y \leq z \text{ implies } xy \leq xz \quad (5)$$

and

$$(6) \quad x^{n(x)-1}y \leq y.$$

DEFINITION 1. A nonzero element  $a$  of  $R$  is called an atom of  $R$  provided for every  $x \in R$

$$(7) \quad x \leq a \text{ implies } x = a \text{ or } x = 0.$$

Moreover,  $R$  is called atomic provided for every nonzero element  $r$  of  $R$  there exists an atom  $a$  of  $R$  such that  $a \leq r$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $a$  be an atom of  $R$ . Then

$$r^{n(r)-1}a = a \text{ or } ra = 0$$

for every element  $r$  of  $R$ .

PROOF. By (6) we have  $r^{n(r)-1}a \leq a$  and since  $a$  is an atom, by (7) we have  $r^{n(r)-1}a = a$  or  $r^{n(r)-1}a = 0$ . However,  $r^{n(r)-1}a = 0$  in view of (1) implies  $ra = 0$ .

DEFINITION 2. A subset  $S$  of  $R$  is called orthogonal provided  $xy = 0$  for distinct elements  $x$  and  $y$  of  $S$ .

LEMMA 3. The set  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  of all idempotent atoms of  $R$  is an orthogonal set.

PROOF. Since each  $e_i$  is both an atom and an idempotent, from Lemma 2 it follows that  $e_i e_j = e_i = e_j$  or  $e_i e_j = 0$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $a$  be an atom of  $R$ . Then  $a^{n(a)-1}$  is an idempotent atom of  $R$ .

PROOF. From (2) it follows that  $a^{n(a)-1}$  is idempotent.

Now, let  $x \leq a^{n(a)-1}$ . But then (5) and (1) imply  $ax \leq a$ . Since  $a$  is an atom (7) implies  $ax = a$  or  $ax = 0$ .

If  $ax = a$  then  $a^{n(a)-1}x = a^{n(a)-1}$  which by (4) implies  $a^{n(a)-1} \leq x$ . Hence  $x = a^{n(a)-1}$ .

If  $ax = 0$  then  $a^{n(a)-1}x = 0$ ; but  $a^{n(a)-1}x = x^2$  by definition of  $\leq$ , therefore  $x^2 = 0$  which by (3) implies  $x = 0$ .

LEMMA 5. Let  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  be the set of all idempotent atoms of  $R$ . Then for every  $i \in I$  the ideal  $F_i$  of  $R$  given by

$$(8) \quad F_i = \{re_i | r \in R\}$$

is a subfield of  $R$ . Moreover,

$$(9) \quad F_i \cap F_j = \{0\} \text{ if } i \neq j.$$

PROOF. Since  $e_i^2 = e_i$  it follows that  $e_i$  is an element of  $F_i$  and also the unit of  $F_i$ .

Now, let  $re_i \neq 0$ . We show that  $re_i$  has an inverse in  $F_i$ . If  $n(r) = 2$  then Lemma 2 implies  $re_i = e_i$  which shows that  $re_i$  is its own inverse in  $F_i$ . If  $n(r) > 2$  then Lemma 2 implies  $(re_i)(r^{n(r)-2}e_i) = e_i$  which shows that  $r^{n(r)-2}e_i$  is the inverse of  $re_i$  in  $F_i$ .

Next, if  $i \neq j$  and  $re_i = qe_j$  for  $r, q \in R$  then Lemma 3 implies  $re_ie_j = qe_j = re_i = 0$ .

LEMMA 6. *Let  $R$  be atomic and let  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  be the set of all idempotent atoms of  $R$ . Then for every nonzero element  $q$  of  $R$  there exists an idempotent atom, say,  $e_k$  such that  $qe_k \neq 0$ . Moreover, for every  $r \in R$  the  $\sup_i re_i$  exists and*

$$(10) \quad r = \sup_i re_i.$$

PROOF. In view of (7) there exists an atom  $a$  such that  $a \leq q$ , i.e.,  $aq = a^2 \neq 0$ . But then Lemma 4 and (1) imply that  $e_k = a^{n(a)-1}$  is an idempotent atom and  $a^{n(a)-1}q = a^{n(a)} = a \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $qe_k \neq 0$ .

Next, since  $rre_i = (re_i)^2$  for every  $i \in I$ , it follows that  $r$  is an upper bound of  $(re_i)_{i \in I}$ . Let  $h$  be any upper bound of  $(re_i)_{i \in I}$ , i.e.  $hre_i = (re_i)^2$  for every  $i \in I$ . We show that  $r \leq h$ . Because otherwise,  $hr - r^2 = q \neq 0$  and therefore  $hre_k - r^2e_k = qe_k \neq 0$ , contradicting that  $hre_i = rre_i$  for every  $i \in I$ .

Thus, Lemma 6 is proved.

Let us observe that if  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  is the set of all idempotent atoms of  $R$  then in view of (9) we may consider the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} F_i$  of the fields  $F_i$  given by (8). In this connection we have the following

LEMMA 7. *Let  $R$  be atomic and let  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  be the set of all idempotent atoms of  $R$ . Then*

$$(11) \quad \alpha(r) = (re_i)_{i \in I}$$

*is an isomorphism from  $R$  into the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} F_i$  of fields  $F_i$ .*

PROOF. It is obvious that  $\alpha$  is a homomorphism. We show that  $\alpha$  is one-to-one. Indeed, if  $r \neq q$  then  $\alpha(r) \neq \alpha(q)$ . Because otherwise, (10) would imply  $r = \sup_i re_i = \sup_i qe_i = q$ , contradicting  $r \neq q$ .

Thus, Lemma 7 is proved.

Let us observe that the existence of an isomorphism from  $R$  onto a subring of a direct sum of fields is a well known fact and is proved without imposing any special condition (such as atomicity) on  $R$ . However, for the proof of our Theorem we need (as seen below) the special isomorphism  $\alpha$  described in Lemma 7. In fact the existence of the isomorphism  $\alpha$  is crucial for the proof of our Theorem which states that atomicity and orthogonal completeness of  $R$  is a necessary and sufficient

condition for  $R$  to be isomorphic to a direct sum of fields. The proof uses Lemma 8 below.

First however, we observe that if  $(r_i)_{i \in I}$  is a subset of  $R$  such that  $\sup_i r_i$  exists then, since  $r_i \leq \sup_i r_i$ , in view of (3) we have

$$(12) \quad r_i \sup_i r_i = r_i^2.$$

LEMMA 8. *Let  $(r_i)_{i \in I}$  be a subset of  $R$  such that  $\sup_i r_i$  exists. Then for every element  $b$  of  $R$  the  $\sup_i br_i$  exists and*

$$(13) \quad b \sup_i r_i = \sup_i br_i.$$

PROOF. In view of (12) we have

$$(br_i)(b \sup_i r_i) = b^2 r_i \sup_i r_i = (br_i)^2$$

which, in view of (4), implies  $br_i \leq b \sup_i r_i$  for every  $i \in I$ . Thus,  $b \sup_i r_i$  is an upper bound of  $(br_i)_{i \in I}$ .

Next, let  $u$  be any upper bound of  $(br_i)_{i \in I}$ , i.e.,  $br_i \leq u$ , which, in view of (4), implies that for every  $i \in I$ ,

$$br_i u - b^2 r_i^2 + r_i^2 = r_i^2.$$

But then from (12) it follows that for every  $i \in I$

$$r_i(bu - b^2 \sup_i r_i + \sup_i r_i) = r_i^2$$

and therefore

$$r_i \leq bu - b^2 \sup_i r_i + \sup_i r_i$$

which implies

$$\sup_i r_i \leq bu - b^2 \sup_i r_i + \sup_i r_i.$$

But then from (4) it follows that

$$(\sup_i r_i)(bu - b^2 \sup_i r_i + \sup_i r_i) = (\sup_i r_i)^2$$

which yields

$$(b \sup_i r_i)u = (b \sup_i r_i)^2$$

implying by (4) that  $b \sup_i r_i \leq u$ . Hence  $(br_i)_{i \in I}$  has a supremum which is equal to  $b \sup_i r_i$ .

DEFINITION 3. *The ring  $R$  is called orthogonally complete provided  $\sup S$  of every orthogonal subset  $S$  of  $R$  exists.*

Finally, we prove:

**THEOREM.** *The ring  $R$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of fields if and only if  $R$  is atomic and orthogonally complete.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\beta$  be an isomorphism from  $R$  onto a direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} K_i$  of fields  $K_i$ . Let  $r$  be a nonzero element of  $R$  and let  $\beta(r) = (r_i)_{i \in I}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $r_1 \neq 0$ . Let  $u_1$  be the unit of  $K_1$ . But then  $a = r\beta^{-1}((k_i)_{i \in I})$  with  $k_1 = u_1$  and  $k_i = 0$  for  $i \neq 1$  is obviously an atom of  $R$  such that  $a \leq r$ . Thus,  $R$  is atomic. Next, let  $S$  be an orthogonal subset of  $R$  and let  $\beta[S] = ((k_i(s))_{i \in I})_{s \in S}$ . But then, in view of the orthogonality of  $S$ , clearly,  $\beta^{-1}((k_i)_{i \in I}) = \sup S$  where  $k_i = k_i(s)$  if  $k_i(s) \neq 0$  for some  $s \in S$ , and, otherwise  $k_i = 0$ . Thus,  $R$  is orthogonally complete.

Conversely, we show that if  $R$  is atomic and orthogonally complete then  $R$  is isomorphic to the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} F_i$  of fields  $F_i$  mentioned in Lemma 7. To this end we show that the isomorphism  $\alpha$  mentioned in Lemma 7 is an onto mapping. Let  $(r_i e_i)_{i \in I}$  be an element of  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} F_i$ . From Lemma 3 it follows readily that  $(r_i e_i)_{i \in I}$  is an orthogonal subset of  $R$ . Let  $h = \sup_i r_i e_i$ . But then from (13) and Lemma 3 it follows that  $h e_j = e_j \sup_i r_i e_i = r_j e_j$  for every  $j \in I$ . Hence  $(h e_j)_{j \in I} = (r_i e_i)_{i \in I}$ . However, from (11) it follows that  $\alpha(h) = (h e_j)_{j \in I} = (r_i e_i)_{i \in I}$ . Thus,  $(r_i e_i)_{i \in I}$  is in the range of  $\alpha$  and therefore  $\alpha$  is an onto mapping, as desired.

### Reference

- [1] N. Jacobson, *Structure of Rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. Vol. 37 (1956), p. 217.

Iowa State University  
Ames, Iowa