

## WEAK AND STRONG CONVERGENCE TO FIXED POINTS OF ASYMPTOTICALLY NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS

J. SCHU

Let  $T$  be an asymptotically nonexpansive self-mapping of a closed bounded and convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space which satisfies Opial's condition. It is shown that, under certain assumptions, the sequence given by  $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T^n(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n$  converges weakly to some fixed point of  $T$ . In arbitrary uniformly convex Banach spaces similar results are obtained concerning the strong convergence of  $(x_n)$  to a fixed point of  $T$ , provided  $T$  possesses a compact iterate or satisfies a Frum-Ketkov condition of the fourth kind.

### 0. INTRODUCTION

In 1972, K. Goebel and W.A. Kirk [2] introduced the class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and proved that every asymptotically nonexpansive self-mapping of a nonempty closed bounded and convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space has a fixed point. After this, several authors have been concerned with the iterative construction of a fixed point of an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping  $T$  as the weak limit of the sequence  $(T^n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of iterates, assuming that  $T$  is (weakly) asymptotically regular (see for example [1, 3, 4, 6]).

Let  $E$  be a uniformly convex Banach space satisfying Opial's condition,  $\emptyset \neq A \subset E$  closed bounded and convex and  $T : A \rightarrow A$  asymptotically nonexpansive. Using a demiclosedness result of J. Górnicki [3], we shall show that, under certain conditions, the modified Mann-iteration process  $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T^n(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n$  converges weakly to some fixed point of  $T$ . We emphasise that no asymptotic regularity condition is posed on  $T$ . This result, together with two further theorems concerning the strong convergence of  $(x_n)$  to some fixed point of  $T$ , are given in section 2, while section 1 contains several lemmas needed in the sequel.

**PRELIMINARIES.** A normed space  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is called uniformly convex if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x, y \in E$  with  $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1$  and  $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$  it follows that  $\|x + y\| \leq 2(1 - \delta)$ .  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is said to satisfy Opial's condition if for each sequence

---

Received 19th March, 1990.

Thanks are due to Professor J. Reinermann for his interest in this paper.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/91 \$A2.00+0.00.

$(x_n) \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$  weakly converging to a point  $x \in E$  and for all  $y \in E$  it follows from  $y \neq x$  that  $\liminf \|x_n - x\| < \liminf \|x_n - y\|$ .

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $E$ ,  $(k_n) \in [1, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $L > 0$ . A mapping  $T : A \rightarrow A$  is called asymptotically nonexpansive with sequence  $(k_n)$  if  $\lim(k_n) = 1$  and  $\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq k_n \|x - y\|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $x, y \in A$ .  $T$  is called uniformly  $L$ -Lipschitzian if  $\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq L \|x - y\|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $x, y \in A$ , and  $T$  is said to be compact if it maps bounded sets into relatively compact ones. Furthermore, a mapping  $F : A \rightarrow E$  is said to be demiclosed with respect to  $y \in E$  if for each sequence  $(x_n) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$  and each  $x \in E$  it follows from  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$  and  $\lim F(x_n) = y$  that  $x \in A$  and  $F(x) = y$ . For abbreviation we denote the fixed point set of  $T$  by  $Fix(T)$  and the diameter of  $A$  by  $diam(A)$ .

Finally, a sequence  $(\alpha_n) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  is said to be bounded away if  $\varepsilon \leq \alpha_n \leq 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

In what follows we shall deal with the iteration process

$$(M) \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T^n(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n.$$

### 1. AUXILIARY RESULTS

**LEMMA 1.1.** *Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space,  $\emptyset \neq A \subset E$  convex and  $T : A \rightarrow A$  asymptotically nonexpansive with sequence  $(k_n) \in [1, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$  for which  $\prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} k_{\nu}$  converges.*

*For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  set  $c_n = \prod_{\nu=n}^{\infty} k_{\nu}$ . Suppose that  $x_1 \in A$ ,  $(\alpha_n) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  and that  $(x_n)$  is given by (M). Then*

- (1)  $\|x_{n+1} - x\| \leq k_n \|x_n - x\|$  for all  $x \in Fix(T)$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (2)  $\|x_{n+m} - x\| \leq c_n \|x_n - x\|$  for all  $x \in Fix(T)$  and all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**PROOF:** For  $x \in Fix(T)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x\| &= \|x_{n+1} - \alpha_n T^n x - (1 - \alpha_n)x\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|T^n x_n - T^n x\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x\| \\ &\leq (\alpha_n k_n + (1 - \alpha_n)) \|x_n - x\| \leq k_n \|x_n - x\|, \end{aligned}$$

which establishes (1). To show (2), fix  $x \in Fix(T)$  and  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by (1),

$$\|x_{n+m} - x\| \leq \left( \prod_{\nu=n}^{n+m-1} k_{\nu} \right) \|x_n - x\| \leq c_n \|x_n - x\|.$$

□

The following lemma was motivated by the method of proof of Theorem 1.1 of [6] (S.K. Samanta).

**LEMMA 1.2.** *Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space,  $\emptyset \neq A \subset E$  bounded and convex and  $T : A \rightarrow A$  asymptotically nonexpansive with sequence  $(k_n) \in [1, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$  for which  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$ . Suppose that  $x_1 \in A$ ,  $(\alpha_n) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  and that  $(x_n)$  is given by (M). Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\|$  exists for each  $x \in \text{Fix}(T)$ .*

**PROOF:** Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$ , it follows that  $\prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} k_{\nu}$  converges. Thus, if we set  $c_n = \prod_{\nu=n}^{\infty} k_{\nu}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\lim(c_n) = 1$ . Fix  $x \in \text{Fix}(T)$  now. Since  $A$  is bounded, we may choose  $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  strictly increasing such that  $d = \lim \|x_{\varphi_n} - x\|$  exists. Then, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$

$$(a) (c_n - 1)\text{diam}(A) < \varepsilon, \quad (b) \quad c_{\varphi_n}(\varepsilon + d) < 2\varepsilon + d \quad \text{and} \quad (c) \quad \left| \|x_{\varphi_n} - x\| - d \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Additionally, for each  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists some integer  $j_q \geq n_0$  such that  $\varphi_{j_q} > q + \varphi_{n_0}$ . Thus, for arbitrary  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\varphi_{j_q} - (\varphi_{n_0} + q) \in \mathbb{N}$ , and it follows from (c) and Lemma 1.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} d - \varepsilon &< \|x_{\varphi_{j_q}} - x\| = \|x_{\varphi_{j_q} - (\varphi_{n_0} + q) + (\varphi_{n_0} + q)} - x\| \leq c_{\varphi_{n_0} + q} \|x_{\varphi_{n_0} + q} - x\| \\ &\leq (c_{\varphi_{n_0} + q} - 1) \|x_{\varphi_{n_0} + q} - x\| + \|x_{\varphi_{n_0} + q} - x\| = b_q, \end{aligned}$$

say. Since  $\varphi_{n_0} + q \geq n_0$  and  $c_{\varphi_{n_0} + q} \geq 1$ , a further application of Lemma 1.1 together with (a), (b) and (c) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} b_q &\leq \varepsilon + \|x_{\varphi_{n_0} + q} - x\| \leq \varepsilon + c_{\varphi_{n_0}} \|x_{\varphi_{n_0}} - x\| \leq \varepsilon + c_{\varphi_{n_0}} (\|x_{\varphi_{n_0}} - x\| - d + d) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + c_{\varphi_{n_0}} (\varepsilon + d) \leq 3\varepsilon + d. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $d - 2\varepsilon \leq \|x_{\varphi_{n_0} + q} - x\| \leq d + 2\varepsilon$ , and so we have shown that  $|\|x_m - x\| - d| \leq 2\varepsilon$  for all  $m \geq \varphi_{n_0} + 1$ . Thus  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_m - x\| = d$ . □

**LEMMA 1.3.** (compare [8, p.484]) *Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a uniformly convex Banach space,  $0 < b < c < 1$ ,  $a \geq 0$ ,  $(t_n) \in [b, c]^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(x_n), (y_n) \in E^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\limsup \|x_n\| \leq a$ ,  $\limsup \|y_n\| \leq a$  and  $\lim \|t_n x_n + (1 - t_n) y_n\| = a$ . Then  $\lim \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$ .*

**LEMMA 1.4.** (see [7, Lemma 1.2]) *Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space,  $\emptyset \neq A \subset E$  convex,  $T : A \rightarrow A$  uniformly  $L$ -Lipschitzian for some  $L > 0$ ,  $(\alpha_n) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $x_1 \in A$ . Suppose that  $(x_n)$  is given by (M), and set  $c_n = \|T^n x_n - x_n\|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\|x_n - T x_n\| \leq c_n + c_{n-1} L (1 + 3L + 2L^2)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

Now we are in a position to prove a lemma which, together with Lemma 1.2, is essential for the results of Section 2.

**LEMMA 1.5.** *Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a uniformly convex Banach space,  $\emptyset \neq A \subset E$  closed bounded and convex,  $T : A \rightarrow A$  asymptotically nonexpansive with sequence  $(k_n) \in [1, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$  for which  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$  and  $(\alpha_n) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  bounded away. Suppose that  $x_1 \in A$  and that  $(x_n)$  is given by (M). Then  $\lim \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$ .*

**PROOF:** It follows from Theorem 1 of [2] that  $T$  has a fixed point  $x$  in  $A$ . Then  $d = \lim \|x_n - x\|$  is well-defined by Lemma 1.2 and  $\limsup \|T^n x_n - x\| \leq d$  because  $\lim (k_n) = 1$  and  $\|T^n x_n - x\| = \|T^n x_n - T^n x\| \leq k_n \|x_n - x\|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Additionally,  $\lim \|\alpha_n(T^n x_n - x) + (1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - x)\| = \lim \|x_{n+1} - x\| = d$ , so that  $\lim \|T^n x_n - x_n\| = 0$  by Lemma 1.3. Now, since every asymptotically nonexpansive mapping is also uniformly  $L$ -Lipschitzian for some  $L > 0$ , it follows from Lemma 1.4 that  $\lim \|Tx_n - x_n\| = 0$ . □

Note that the above property “ $\lim \|T^n x_n - x_n\| = 0$ ” is a kind of asymptotic regularity condition. Indeed, denoting  $\alpha_n T^n + (1 - \alpha_n)\text{id}$  by  $S_n$ , we have  $\|S_n x_n - S_{n-1} x_{n-1}\| = \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = \alpha_n \|T^n x_n - x_n\|$  with  $\varepsilon \leq \alpha_n \leq 1 - \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  independent of  $n$ . Hence  $\lim \|T^n x_n - x_n\| = 0$  if and only if  $\lim \|S_n x_n - S_{n-1} x_{n-1}\| = 0$ .

Before we state our main results we need one further lemma which is due to J. Górnicki.

**LEMMA 1.6.** (see [3, Lemma 4]) *Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a uniformly convex Banach space satisfying Opial’s condition,  $\emptyset \neq A \subset E$  closed and convex and  $T : A \rightarrow A$  asymptotically nonexpansive. Then  $\text{id} - T$  is demiclosed with respect to zero.*

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a uniformly convex Banach space satisfying Opial’s condition,  $\emptyset \neq A \subset E$  closed bounded and convex and  $T : A \rightarrow A$  asymptotically nonexpansive with sequence  $(k_n) \in [1, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$  for which  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$ . Suppose that  $x_1 \in A$  and  $(\alpha_n) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  is bounded away. Then the sequence  $(x_n)$  given by  $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T^n(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n$  converges weakly to some fixed point of  $T$ .*

**PROOF:** Consider two subsequences  $(x_{\varphi_n})$  and  $(x_{\psi_n})$  of  $(x_n)$  which are weakly convergent to some points  $x$  and  $z$  in  $A$ , respectively. Since  $\lim \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$  by Lemma 1.5 and  $\text{id} - T$  is demiclosed with respect to zero by Lemma 1.6, it follows that  $Tx = x$  and  $Tz = z$ . Now we are allowed to apply Lemma 1.2 which provides us with the existence of  $a = \lim \|x_n - x\|$  and  $b = \lim \|x_n - z\|$ . Assuming that  $x \neq z$  and taking into account the fact that  $(x_{\varphi_n}) \rightarrow x$  and  $(x_{\psi_n}) \rightarrow z$ , it follows from Opial’s

condition that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \liminf \|x_{\varphi_n} - x\| < \liminf \|x_{\varphi_n} - z\| = b \\ &= \liminf \|x_{\psi_n} - z\| < \liminf \|x_{\psi_n} - x\| = a, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $x = z$ .

This, together with the weak compactness of  $A$  (note that every uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive), shows that  $(x_n)$  possesses exactly one weak cluster point, from which it follows that  $(x_n)$  converges weakly to some  $y \in A$ . Repeating the argument above we see that  $Ty = y$ .  $\square$

Our next theorem is a generalisation of Theorem 1.5 of [7], where  $E$  was assumed to be a Hilbert space.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a uniformly convex Banach space,  $\emptyset \neq A \subset E$  closed bounded and convex,  $T : A \rightarrow A$  asymptotically nonexpansive with sequence  $(k_n) \in [1, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$  for which  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$ ,  $(\alpha_n) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  bounded away and  $x_1 \in A$ . Furthermore, suppose that  $T^m$  is compact for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the sequence  $(x_n)$  given by  $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T^n(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n$  converges strongly to some fixed point of  $T$ .*

**PROOF:** Since  $\lim \|Tx_n - x_n\| = 0$  by Lemma 1.5, it follows from the estimation

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^m(x_n) - x_n\| &\leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \|T^{\nu+1}(x_n) - T^{\nu}(x_n)\| + \|Tx_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq \|Tx_n - x_n\| \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} k_{\nu} + \|Tx_n - x_n\| \end{aligned}$$

that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^m(x_n) - x_n\| = 0$ . Furthermore, as a consequence of the compactness of  $T^m$ , we may choose some subsequence  $(x_{\varphi_n})$  of  $(x_n)$  and some  $x \in A$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^m(x_{\varphi_n}) = x$ . It follows from the estimation  $\|x_{\varphi_n} - x\| \leq \|x_{\varphi_n} - T^m(x_{\varphi_n})\| + \|T^m(x_{\varphi_n}) - x\|$ , together with the observations above, that  $\lim(x_{\varphi_n}) = x$ , which in turn implies that  $Tx = x$ , taking into account that  $\lim \|Tx_n - x_n\| = 0$ . Consequently  $a = \lim \|x_n - x\|$  exists by Lemma 1.2. Since  $\lim(x_{\varphi_n}) = x$ , we conclude that  $a = 0$ .  $\square$

We close this section with a result analogous to one given by W.V. Petryshyn and T.E. Williamson for (conditionally quasi-) nonexpansive mappings satisfying a Frum-Ketkov condition of the fourth kind (Theorem 3.3 of [5]).

**LEMMA 2.3.** (see [5, Lemma 3.1]) *Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space,  $\emptyset \neq A \subset E$  closed and convex,  $\emptyset \neq K \subset E$  compact and convex,  $c \in [0, 1)$  and  $T : A \rightarrow A$  such that*

$$\text{dist}(Tx, K) \leq c \text{dist}(x, K) \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

Suppose that  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , and define  $T_\lambda = \lambda T + (1 - \lambda)id$  and  $c_\lambda = \lambda c + (1 - \lambda)$ . Then

$$\text{dist}(T_\lambda(x), K) \leq c_\lambda \text{dist}(x, K) \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

**THEOREM 2.4.** Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a uniformly convex Banach space,  $\emptyset \neq A \subset E$  closed bounded and convex,  $T : A \rightarrow A$  asymptotically nonexpansive with sequence  $(k_n) \in [1, \infty)^\mathbb{N}$  for which  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$ ,  $(\alpha_n) \in [0, 1]^\mathbb{N}$  bounded away and  $x_1 \in A$ . Furthermore, suppose that there exists a nonempty compact and convex subset  $K$  of  $E$  and some  $c \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\text{dist}(Tx, K) \leq c \text{dist}(x, K) \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

Then the sequence  $(x_n)$  given by  $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T^n(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)x_n$  converges strongly to some fixed point of  $T$ .

**PROOF:** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in A$  we have  $\text{dist}(T^n x, K) \leq c^n \text{dist}(x, K)$  and, consequently, by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(\alpha_n T^n x + (1 - \alpha_n)x, K) &\leq (\alpha_n c^n + (1 - \alpha_n)) \text{dist}(x, K) \\ &\leq (c^n + 1 - \varepsilon) \text{dist}(x, K) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  independent of  $n$  and  $x$ . Since  $c \in (0, 1)$ , there is an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $c^n + 1 - \varepsilon \leq 1 - \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Hence, for  $n \geq n_0$ , we have  $\text{dist}(x_{n+1}, K) \leq (1 - \varepsilon/2) \text{dist}(x_n, K)$  and thus  $\text{dist}(x_{n+1}, K) \leq (1 - \varepsilon/2)^{n+1-n_0} \text{dist}(x_{n_0}, K)$ , from which we conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, K) = 0$ . Since  $K$  is compact, this is easily seen to imply that  $(x_n)$  possesses some strongly convergent subsequence  $(x_{\varphi_n})$ . The rest of the proof is identical to the related part of the proof of Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S.C. Bose, 'Weak convergence to the fixed point of an asymptotically nonexpansive map', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **68** (1978), 305–308.
- [2] K. Goebel and W.A. Kirk, 'A fixed point theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **35** (1972), 171–174.
- [3] J. Górnicki, 'Weak convergence theorems for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in uniformly convex Banach spaces', *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **30** (1989), 249–252.
- [4] G.B. Passty, 'Construction of fixed points for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **84** (1982), 212–216.
- [5] W.V. Petryshyn and T.E. Williamson, Jr., 'Strong and weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for quasi-nonexpansive mappings', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **43** (1973), 459–497.

- [6] S.K. Samanta, 'Fixed point theorems in a Banach space satisfying Opial's condition', *J. Indian Math. Soc.* 45 (1981), 251–258.
- [7] J. Schu, 'Iterative construction of fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (to appear).
- [8] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications I, Fixed-Point Theorems* (Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1986).

RWTH Aachen  
Lehrstuhl C für Mathematik  
Templergraben 55  
D-5100 Aachen  
Federal Republic of Germany