

Grief, bereavement and prolonged grief disorder: scoping and mapping the evidence

Gary Raine, Claire Khouja, Meena Khatwa, Helen Fulbright, Katy Sutcliffe and Amanda J. Sowden

Background

Some individuals experience abnormally persistent and intense symptoms of grief that significantly interfere with daily functioning. This condition has been described using terms such as complicated or prolonged grief and prolonged grief disorder (PGD).

Aims

To identify the availability of evidence addressing a range of policy relevant issues related to grief, bereavement and PGD. In this paper we focus on the availability of evidence from systematic reviews.

Method

We searched 12 databases and the websites of 18 grief- or bereavement-related organisations. Using key characteristics extracted from included reviews, we produced a high-level overview of the available evidence that enabled potential research gaps to be identified.

Results

We identified 212 reviews – 103 focused on people's experiences of grief/bereavement including service use; 22 reported on PGD prevalence, 42 on PGD risk factors, 37 on factors that influence grief more broadly and 80 on the effectiveness of

grief-related interventions. Fifty-five reviews focused on multiple issues of interest. Half of reviews focused on a specific cause/type of death ($n = 108$). Of these reviews, most focused on three main causes/types of death: a specific health condition or terminal illness ($n = 36$), perinatal loss ($n = 34$) and suicide ($n = 20$).

Conclusions

We identified a large number of reviews, but key evidence gaps exist, particularly in relation to intervention cost-effectiveness and social, organisational or structural-level interventions that are needed for addressing inequities and other modifiable factors that can impair grieving and potentially increase the risk of PGD.

Keywords

Grief; bereavement; prolonged grief disorder; scoping review; evidence map.

Copyright and usage

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Royal College of Psychiatrists. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Grief is a natural reaction to bereavement, and most people adapt successfully to their loss over time without the need for formal support or treatment.^{1,2} Some individuals experience abnormally persistent and intense symptoms of grief that significantly interfere with daily functioning and increase the risk of adverse physical and mental health outcomes.^{2–4}

This condition has been described using various terms including chronic, complicated, disordered, pathological, prolonged, morbid and traumatic grief; persistent complex bereavement disorder; and, most recently, prolonged grief disorder (PGD).

PGD has recently been recognised as a distinct mental health disorder in both ICD-11 and DSM-5-TR, published by the World Health Organization⁵ and American Psychiatric Association, respectively.⁶ A key diagnostic criterion of PGD in both classification systems is that the duration of an individual's grief exceeds expected social, cultural or religious norms.^{7,8} However, the criteria for PGD in ICD-11 and DSM-5-TR are not identical. For example, DSM-5-TR requires the death of an adult to have occurred at least 12 months prior to diagnosis compared with at least 6 months in ICD-11.⁹ The classification of PGD as a mental health disorder has not received universal approval. Various concerns have been raised around issues such as pathologising and medicalising grief, stigmatisation of the bereaved and increased use/misuse of medication.^{10–12}

The prevalence of PGD among bereaved adults as a result of non-violent loss is estimated to be approximately 10%.¹³ However, prevalence varies and PGD is thought to be more common in certain circumstances – for example, following the death of a partner or child, and when individuals are bereaved as a result of a sudden, unexpected or violent death, including suicide.^{2,14–16}

Social and structural inequities make individuals more susceptible to poorer health outcomes by constraining agency and health-related behaviour, as well as by creating barriers to care.^{17–21} Consequently, death, loss and bereavement are disproportionately experienced by structurally vulnerable groups.^{20,22} The combined life circumstances of these individuals may result in greater vulnerability to PGD. Notably, social and structural factors, including lower socioeconomic status and low income, have been linked to a higher risk of developing the condition.^{9,23} In addition, research from the UK has found that individuals on a low income face greater difficulties accessing services following bereavement and are also more reluctant to seek support.^{24,25}

In 2022, the UK Commission on Bereavement published a review of bereavement support in England and other countries of the UK.²⁶ The commission gathered evidence from different stakeholder groups to improve understanding of the challenges faced by bereaved individuals and identify barriers to accessing support. Inequality in access to appropriate formal support was highlighted as a key issue, and certain groups were reported to be particularly poorly served. The review also detailed a range of social, organisational and structural factors that impact negatively on people's experience of bereavement and act as barriers to support. These included stigma associated with certain types of deaths and with seeking professional support; inadequate support in the workplace; negative interactions with organisations with which individuals come into contact following a bereavement; and inadequate funding and coordination of services. Some respondents raised the issue of prolonged grief and gave examples of factors thought to increase the risk of it developing. The commission

produced eight key principles and related recommendations for change, which included having an increased focus on tackling inequality in the provision of bereavement services. It also called for more research, particularly in relation to better understanding the needs of the bereaved, improving services for underserved groups and evaluating bereavement support.²⁶

This paper reports on a systematic scope of the evidence on grief, bereavement and PGD commissioned by the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) in England. Scoping reviews are widely used for summarising and mapping the extent of evidence on a particular topic and identifying potential research gaps.²⁷ We aimed to identify the availability of evidence from systematic reviews on the following issues:

- (a) the extent and nature of PGD;
- (b) risk factors for PGD;
- (c) grief and bereavement experiences including the needs of the bereaved; use of services and equity in relation to access;
- (d) the effectiveness of interventions for preventing or treating PGD.

We also sought to identify protocols for ongoing reviews, as well as primary studies, that reported on the types of bereavement support services that are available in the UK. Our goal was to produce a high-level overview ('map') of the available evidence to enable identification of potential research gaps. In this paper, we focus on the availability of evidence from published systematic reviews and 'reviews of reviews' only. We did not seek to extract, evaluate and synthesise findings from included reviews, protocols or primary studies. Please see our full project report²⁸ for an overview of review protocols and findings on the availability of evidence from primary studies, which can be accessed from <https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/Default.aspx?tabid=3929>.

Method

A protocol for our scope of the literature was approved by the DHSC but was not registered on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO), because scoping and mapping reviews are not eligible for inclusion in the registry. We searched the following 12 academic databases on 28 October 2022: MEDLINE (OVID); Embase (OVID); PsycINFO (OVID); CINAHL (EBSCO); Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR) (Wiley); Epistemonikos (<https://www.epistemonikos.org/en>); Health Management Information Consortium (HMIC) (OVID); Social Policy & Practice (OVID); Social Care Online (<https://www.scie.org.uk/social-care-online/>); Social Services Abstracts (ProQuest); EThOS (British Library); and PROSPERO (CRD). Searches were date limited from 2015 onwards to maximise the relevance of the evidence identified. No language restrictions were applied. The search strategy was developed in Ovid MEDLINE by an information specialist and consisted of broad terms for grief and bereavement. The MEDLINE search strategy was subsequently adapted for use with the other academic databases. We also searched the websites of 18 key organisations related to grief and bereavement, which included the Center for Complicated Grief (Columbia University), National Bereavement Alliance, Sue Ryder, Marie Curie Palliative Care Research Centre and the Caresearch Project: Palliative Care Knowledge Network. The MEDLINE search strategy and a complete list of organisation websites that we searched are available in Supplementary file 1.

We uploaded records identified from database searches into EPPI-Reviewer software.²⁹ A sample of 40 records was pilot

screened by three reviewers to ensure consistency in screening decisions. The remaining records were screened by one reviewer only. The full texts of potentially relevant reviews were screened independently by two reviewers.

Records were selected for inclusion in the evidence map based on clearly defined inclusion criteria, which are provided in full in Supplementary file 1. In summary, we included systematic reviews focusing on any bereaved population that reported on one or more of the following: the frequency or risk of PGD; the relationship between grief-related outcomes and potential risk factors for PGD; experiences of grief/bereavement, including use of bereavement services and the needs of the bereaved; and intervention effectiveness/impact, cost-effectiveness and/or implementation. For each included publication, key characteristics were extracted by one reviewer and checked by a second. This information was used to produce a high-level descriptive summary that detailed the extent and nature of the current evidence base.

Results

We screened 5627 records and included 212 systematic reviews. The flow of studies through the review is shown in Fig. 1. An interactive map of all included publications (i.e. including the protocols and primary studies not reported on in this paper) is available from <https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/eppi-vis/login/open?webdbid=471>.

In the following sections, we present an overview of the key characteristics of included reviews. Full details about included reviews are provided in Supplementary file 2. Please see the full project report for a more detailed description of the evidence for each of the main issues of interest.

Overview of included reviews (2015–2022)

Year of publication

Sixty-one per cent of reviews ($n = 129$) were published between 2020 and 2023, and over three-quarters were published from 2018 (78%, $n = 166$).

Topic focus of the reviews

To assess the extent of evidence available on the key issues of interest, we coded reviews according to the broad topic on which they focused. The largest group of reviews explored individuals' grief and bereavement experiences ($n = 103$).^{30–132} This group encompassed reviews that addressed one or more of the following issues: the use of formal or informal sources of support, barriers to accessing support, equity related to grief/bereavement and the use of services, coping with grief, post-bereavement needs, and views about the factors that facilitate or impair the grieving process. One of the 103 reviews was a review of reviews.⁹⁴ The second largest group of reviews focused on the effectiveness of grief-related interventions ($n = 80$).^{33,58,67,73–75,82,88,89,94,96,118,133–200} This included three reviews of reviews.^{94,137,190} Forty-two reviews addressed risk and protective factors for prolonged grief, correlates of PGD or factors moderating its prevalence.^{13,32,38,71,116,118,130,168,172,175,178,187,191,201–229} We also identified 37 reviews reporting on what we called 'general grief reactions'. These reviews examined the relationship between specific factors and outcomes such as the severity or intensity of grief and/or individual adjustment after bereavement, rather than focusing on prolonged grief specifically.^{31,33,38,42,50,55,63,67,69,71,72,76,90,99,115,116,124,130,187,191,202–204,209,212,213,215,220,221,224,226,230–235} We identified 22 reviews focused on the extent and nature (prevalence) of prolonged grief among




Fig. 1 Flow of studies through the review. SR, systematic review; PROSPERO, International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews. a. SR protocols and UK primary studies were included in the published report and interactive map, but not in this paper.

various groups of bereaved people.^{13,71,76,116,175,178,203,205,208,212,216–218,220,222,228,229,236–240} Fifty-five out of the 212 reviews focused on two or more issues of interest. Table 1 provides a summary of all reviews by focus and key characteristics.

Characteristics of the bereaved ($n = 212$)

Relationship to the deceased

A majority of reviews ($n = 123$) focused on bereaved individuals with a specific relationship to the deceased (carer, parent, spouse/partner, sibling, son/daughter, grandparent, health or care professional or work colleague). The remaining 89 reviews either had a generic focus on 'family' or 'family and friends' ($n = 31$) or focused on bereaved individuals with no specified relationship to the deceased ($n = 58$) (Table 1).

Table 2 shows the specific relationship of bereaved individuals to the deceased. Over half of the 123 reviews included a focus on bereaved parents ($n = 64$). Forty-six focused on parents, mothers or fathers only, 15 focused on a defined group of parents with other family members, and 3 focused on both parents and health professionals. Fifty-nine reviews focused on a range of other relationships: informal/family carers ($n = 18$), child (parent loss) or sibling (sibling loss) ($n = 13$), individuals with a professional

relationship to the deceased or relatives ($n = 11$), the spouse or partner of the deceased ($n = 10$), children and their surviving parent/carer ($n = 3$), work colleagues ($n = 1$) and daughters following the death of their mother ($n = 1$). The remaining two reviews focused on a combination of individuals: siblings, extended family and members of the community ($n = 1$) and co-workers, family members and close friends ($n = 1$).

Relationship to the deceased and review focus

Most reviews addressing PGD prevalence (15/22) and PGD risk factors (28/42) had a generic focus on 'family' or 'family and friends', or focused on bereaved individuals with no specified relationship to the deceased. In contrast, a majority of reviews reporting grief/bereavement experiences (74/103), intervention effectiveness (42/80) and general grief reactions (22/37) focused on individuals with a specific relationship to the deceased. Of the 116 reviews examining experiences and/or intervention effectiveness that focused on individuals with a specific relationship to the deceased, the majority (40/74 reviews of experiences and 23/42 intervention reviews) focused on parents (including mothers or fathers) or a defined group of parents with other family members. A further three reviews of experiences focused on parents and health professionals. Informal carers were the focus of half of the 14

Table 1 Number of reviews by focus and key characteristics

Characteristics	Extent of PGD	Risk factors for PGD	General grief	Experiences ^a	Intervention effectiveness ^b	All reviews
Relationship						
Specific	7	14	22	74	42	123
Any family/friends	4	13	7	11	9	31
Other non-specific	11	15	8	18	29	58
All non-specific	15	28	15	29	38	89
Personal characteristics						
Adults ^c	13	21	12	15	24	61
Children ^c	1	3	6	7	7	16
Female		1		5	4	9
Male			1	4		4
LGBT+				2		2
Disability	1	1		1	1	2
Minority ethnic			1	3		3
Refugees/migrants	2	1	1	1		3
Substance misuse	1	1			1	1
Structural vulnerability				1		1
Country						
UK, USA and other high-income	2	3	4	14	7	20
LMIC				2	1	2
Low-income and sub-Saharan Africa		1		3	1	3
HMIC				1		1
China, Hong Kong or Taiwan	2	1	1	1		3
Asia-Pacific				1		1
Cause/type of death						
Specific health issue/terminal illness	6	9	6	14	15 ^d	36
Perinatal		2	2	23	13	34
Suicide		1	3	13	8	20
Violent/unnatural	2	4	1	2	2	7
Assisted suicide		2	1	3		3
Sudden/unexpected				1	1	2
Non-violent	2	1				2
Drug related				2		2
COVID-19					1	1
Mass events – illness or terrorism, etc.					1	1
No cause of death: specific setting ^e						
Hospital settings		2		8	6	15
Long-term care			1	1		1
No specific cause of death or setting	12	21	23	36	33	88
Totals	22	42	37	103	80	212

PGD, prolonged grief disorder; LGBT+, lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender; LMIC, low- and middle-income countries; HMIC, high- and middle-income countries. Some reviews reported findings on multiple issues, and some included both primary studies and reviews.

a. Includes one review of reviews.

b. Includes three reviews of reviews.

c. Based on inclusion criteria. Other reviews may also have reported only findings from studies of adults owing to a lack of eligible child-focused research.

d. One review focused on deaths due to medical illness and unforeseen circumstances but was included only in the specific health condition category.

e. Excludes reviews focused on both a specific cause and setting.

Table 2 Specific relationship to the deceased

Relationship to the deceased	Extent of PGD	PGD Risk factors	General grief	Experiences	Intervention effectiveness	All reviews
Parents only	2	3	5	21	10	34
Mothers		1		4	4	8
Fathers			1	4		4
Parents with other family				11	9	15
Parents and professionals				3		3
Informal carers	3	7	4	6	8	18
Child/sibling	1	2	5	5	5	13 ^a
Professionals			2	11		11
Spouse/partner	1		4	6	3	10
Child and surviving parent/carer		1	1	1	1	3
Co-worker/colleague				1		1
Daughters (death of mother)				1		1
Siblings, extended family or community					1	1
Co-workers, family members or close friends					1	1
Totals	7	14	22	74	42	123

PGD, prolonged grief disorder.

a. Three of the 16 reviews that included only children/young people did not focus on individuals with a specific relationship to the deceased.

Numbers in the five topic focus columns do not add up to the 'All reviews' total because some reviews reported on multiple topics.

reviews exploring PGD risk factors among individuals with a specific relationship to the deceased ($n = 7$). The largest number of reviews on PGD prevalence among individuals with a specific relationship to the deceased also focused on informal carers (3/7). Full details of the relationship to the deceased by review focus are provided in Table 2.

Reviews focused on bereaved individuals with other specific characteristics

Ninety-four reviews focused on bereaved individuals with other specific characteristics. Of these, 61 restricted inclusion to bereaved adults and 16 had a primary focus on bereaved children and young people. Nine reviews focused solely on females and four had a focus on males only. Twelve reviews focused on marginalised or minority populations. Three of these 12 reviews focused on minority ethnic groups; 3 on refugees, migrants or asylum seekers; 2 on lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender (LGBT+) individuals; and 2 on individuals with an intellectual disability. Another review comprised studies of individuals who misused drugs and one focused on those positioned as structurally vulnerable in bereavement. Eight reviews focused on individuals with multiple specific characteristics, such as adults from a minority ethnic background or adult refugees.

Reviews with geographical restrictions

Thirty of the 212 reviews applied geographical restrictions. Two-thirds of these reviews focused on studies conducted in the UK, USA or other high-income countries ($n = 20$). The remaining 10 focused on low-income countries including in sub-Saharan Africa ($n = 3$), China, Hong Kong or Taiwan ($n = 3$), low- or middle-income countries ($n = 2$), high- or middle-income countries ($n = 1$) and the Asia-Pacific region ($n = 1$).

Reviews focused on a specific cause/type of death

Approximately half of included reviews (108/212) focused on a specific cause or type of death. Figure 2 shows the cause or type of death reported in reviews.

Of the 108 reviews, 90 focused on 3 main causes or types of death: a specific health condition or terminal illness (e.g. cancer or dementia), excluding COVID-19 deaths ($n = 36$), perinatal loss (miscarriage through to neonatal death, including stillbirth [$n = 34$]), or suicide ($n = 20$). The other 18 reviews examined violent or unnatural deaths ($n = 7$), assisted suicide or euthanasia ($n = 3$), sudden and unexpected deaths ($n = 2$), non-violent deaths ($n = 2$), drug-related deaths ($n = 2$), COVID-19-related deaths ($n = 1$) and mass events such as pandemics, natural and human-made disasters, or terrorism ($n = 1$).

Sixteen reviews did not examine a specific cause or type of death but were focused on a specific setting: intensive/critical care ($n = 9$), acute care settings ($n = 3$), in-hospital settings ($n = 2$), emergency department ($n = 1$) or long-term care ($n = 1$). Eighty-eight reviews did not focus on a specific cause or type of death or deaths in a specific setting. A breakdown of cause/type or setting of death by review focus is provided in Table 1. An additional breakdown of cause/type or setting of death by relationship to the deceased is provided in Supplementary file 3.

Reviews on intervention effectiveness

Over half of reviews (43/80) that reported on intervention effectiveness had a focus on any type of bereavement support, most commonly for specific population groups and/or following specific causes/types of death: for example, any form of intervention for bereaved children or parents or interventions for individuals

bereaved by suicide. This included reviews focused on any bereavement support or services in medical or palliative care settings ($n = 6$), as well as those delivered by healthcare professionals ($n = 3$).

Thirty-seven reviews had a focus on specific types of intervention. This included multiple reviews that examined the effectiveness of psychological-based interventions ($n = 9$), psychosocial interventions ($n = 5$), internet-based interventions ($n = 4$), peer support ($n = 3$) and bereavement support (individual/group support), or specifically, structured/formal bereavement support including suicide postvention services ($n = 3$). Three reviews focused on multiple intervention types, two of which involved psychological interventions delivered online, and one on online peer support. One other review focused on psychosocial or psychotherapeutic interventions. A range of other types of support were the primary focus of 11 reviews: brief contact interventions, community-based support, system-level approaches, physical activity-based interventions, interventions based on the Dual Process Model of Coping, those that incorporate rituals and ritualised acts, use of intensive care unit diaries by bereaved relatives, parental contact with their baby following stillbirth, bereavement groups; bereavement follow-up and memory making in end-of-life care. Four reviews also evaluated specific types of therapy: dignity therapy, visual art therapy, acceptance and commitment therapy, cognitive behavioural therapy and mindfulness therapy.

Reviews on equity issues in relation to bereavement and service access and use

A secondary objective of our scoping review was to identify evidence on equity issues in relation to bereavement and access to support services. We examined in greater depth five reviews that focused on the experiences of marginalised or minority populations, and report findings related to access to, or use of, support services.^{37,41,64,69,93} Three of the reviews had a key focus on equity issues.^{37,41,93}

The review by Mayland et al examined bereavement care in the UK for ethnic minority communities. It identified barriers and facilitators to accessing care, explored satisfaction with service provision and investigated whether any models of care provision exist for addressing the bereavement-specific needs of ethnic minority communities.⁹³ Bindley et al examined the existing literature on bereavement and structural vulnerability. They reported evidence on the way in which unequal social status, related to gender, class, sexuality, ethnicity and age, influences access to, and use of, bereavement support and interactions with institutions.³⁷ The review by Bristowe et al reported evidence on the barriers and stressors experienced by LGBT+ individuals in accessing support following the loss of a partner.⁴¹

The other two reviews also reported some relevant findings related to service access or use, both of which were focused on members of the Latino community predominately in the USA.^{64,69} These reviews examined factors, such as cultural values, that are potentially important in terms of relationships with health care providers, service provision and help-seeking from formal services. One of the reviews also reported findings on individuals' perceptions and satisfaction with services.⁶⁴

We also identified nine reviews that explored gendered experiences of grief and bereavement.^{48,57,75,80,85,95,99,125,128} Seven of these reviews explored experiences following perinatal loss, including miscarriage and stillbirth, of which four focused on mothers only^{48,75,85,128} and three on fathers only.^{80,99,125} Another review explored the experiences of fathers following the death of a child under 21 years old, but excluded studies of miscarriage and




Fig. 2 Reviews focused on a specific cause/type of death.

stillbirth.⁹⁵ The remaining review focused on daughters' experiences of maternal bereavement.⁵⁷ Six of the nine reviews reported findings on individuals' experiences of using health services.^{48,75,80,85,99,125}

Discussion

This paper provides a high-level overview of the available evidence related to grief, bereavement and PGD identified from 212 systematic reviews published up to October 2022. Our work reveals that issues related to grief and bereavement, including PGD, have been extensively researched, but key evidence gaps were identified and these are discussed below. In November 2024, we conducted a supplementary search of six databases from October 2022 onwards to check whether the gaps in evidence remained. The search retrieved 542 records, which we screened purposively for any reviews that addressed the identified evidence gaps only. Findings from this supplementary search were incorporated into the Discussion. Further details about this search and the strategy used are detailed in Supplementary file 1.

When categorising review focus for our map, consideration was given to the context and aim of each review, as well as to the findings reported. There exists a degree of overlap between categories in terms of the nature of reported findings. For example, the categories of grief/bereavement experiences and intervention effectiveness both included some reviews that reported qualitative findings on people's perceptions of services and the benefits/impacts of specific interventions.

Reviews reported PGD prevalence estimates for a broad range of bereaved population groups and causes of death. These often focused on individuals who are potentially more vulnerable to developing grief-related problems, such as bereaved parents and following various types of death including violent deaths, suicides and deaths from cancer. Most reviews reporting PGD prevalence also explored factors that potentially influence the risk of developing the disorder.

Reviews have investigated the relationship between prolonged grief and a broad range of factors. However, without a more in-depth analysis of the specific factors assessed in existing research, it remains unclear to what extent reviews have gone beyond a focus on individual- and interpersonal-level factors to consider broader

social, organisational, cultural and structural factors that potentially influence the risk of developing PGD.

There is a large body of literature on individuals' experiences of grief and bereavement. Included reviews reported a broad range of experiences, especially in terms of population groups and types of death. However, across reviews of experience there was a predominant focus on bereaved parents and other family members following the loss of a child ($n = 43$), particularly in the perinatal period ($n = 23$). We also identified a sizeable number of reviews focused on experiences following common causes of bereavement including suicide ($n = 13$), and health conditions such as dementia, cancer and other terminal illnesses ($n = 14$).

Reviews of individuals' grief and bereavement experiences are potentially valuable for informing policy and practice within the context of minimising PGD. These may facilitate a better understanding of the grieving process and what helps people cope effectively with grief, as well as informing the provision of services and the development of interventions. Individual focused interventions will not be sufficient on their own to reduce the prevalence of prolonged grief on a population level. Evidence on individuals' lived experience of grief and bereavement could be useful for informing organisational change and other preventative efforts intended to address broader social and structural factors that disrupt the process of grieving.

It is important to consider issues of equity in relation to bereavement, particularly within the context of reducing health disparities. We identified three published reviews with a key focus on equity issues relating to bereavement and service provision. These reviews explored the experiences of ethnic minority communities in the UK,⁹³ LGBT+ individuals⁴¹ and those considered structurally vulnerable in bereavement.³⁷ Notably, Mayland et al reported an overall lack of research on bereavement services in the UK for individuals from ethnic minority communities, and highlighted a need to strengthen the evidence base.⁹³ Similarly, the review by Bristowe et al on the bereavement experiences of LGBT+ communities was limited by a scarcity of research conducted with bereaved bisexual and trans people. They cautioned that the use of the term LGBT to describe research can be misleading when some groups are significantly under-represented in the sample.⁴¹

The review by Bindley et al, published in 2019 on social and structural inequity in bereavement, identified only four studies that were focused on issues related to income, employment and financial circumstances, and three of these were conducted prior to 2012.³⁷ This represents an important gap in the literature, especially considering the difficulties in accessing services following bereavement reported by individuals on a low income and their greater reluctance to seek support.^{24,25} We are aware of one qualitative study published in 2022 which found that housing insecurity can negatively impact bereavement and the process of grieving among low-income communities in the UK.²⁴¹ There may be other recently published studies examining the influence of broader socioeconomic determinants and inequities on post-bereavement needs, experience and service use that have not yet been incorporated into evidence reviews. Additional work to identify and synthesise the findings from such studies could be beneficial for informing policy and practice.

From our supplementary search conducted in November 2024, we identified one recently published review reporting the bereavement experiences of individuals who were homeless.²⁴² Nonetheless, the literature would benefit from a greater focus on the bereavement needs and experiences of the most marginalised and disadvantaged communities as these individuals, in particular, may have difficulty accessing support and be at higher risk of PGD.^{9,19,20,23–25} This includes people with a range of disabilities, gypsy, Roma and traveller communities, refugees and asylum seekers, and trans individuals.

In terms of issues related to gender and equity, we identified five published reviews exploring the bereavement experiences of women only and four focused solely on men. All but one of these reviews focused on women as mothers or men as fathers following the death of a child or a miscarriage. Even the one study not focused on bereaved parents explored the experiences of daughters following the death of their mother. The lack of reviews of gendered research that go beyond a focus on parental experiences, or a limited type of child–parent relationship, represents another notable evidence gap. Applying a broad gendered lens to the examination of bereavement experiences including service use is important given that some studies have identified a relationship between being a woman and experiencing PGD.^{9,210} There is also evidence that men are less likely to seek help and use services when experiencing mental health difficulties,²⁴³ which could also include grief-related problems. Gender socialisation is recognised to play a key role in the responses of men and women to bereavement.^{244,245} We identified one additional review of eight studies from our supplementary search examining men's bereavement experiences, which did include some studies of grief following deaths in non-parental relationships: for example, experiences following the loss of a spouse or military colleague.²⁴⁶ Notably, the review authors highlighted limitations with their search strategy, and they only searched a small number of databases; consequently, it is unlikely that the review included all relevant studies.

When considering issues related to bereavement and equity in the provision of support, it would be particularly beneficial for research to adopt an intersectional focus in order to better understand how social characteristics such as gender, ethnicity and disability interact with wider social and structural inequities to influence individuals' bereavement experiences, access to support and use of services.²⁴⁷

Reviews have evaluated a wide range of different grief-related interventions, but there is a paucity of evidence concerning social, organisational or structural-level interventions. One review did explore system-level responses to bereavement support following major disaster events, but all included studies were focused on the individual level.¹⁵⁷ Our supplementary search identified a recently

published review that explored best practices for supporting mental health clinicians in the military following the death of a patient by suicide.²⁴⁸ It reported a range of recommendations for postvention identified from both empirical and non-empirical literature, which included actions on an organisational level. By specifically targeting inequities and other modifiable factors that increase the risk of impaired grieving and PGD before individuals develop problematic symptoms, social/organisational/structural-level interventions potentially have an important role in terms of prevention. We did not identify any reviews focused on the cost-effectiveness of interventions from either our original or supplementary search.

Strengths and limitations

Our scoping of the evidence was conducted using systematic methods that included comprehensive searching, clearly defined inclusion criteria and systematic coding of key characteristics. We captured a range of key information about each included review, including details on its focus, the participants in included studies and, where applicable, the nature of the intervention being evaluated. Our evidence map is limited by the quality of reporting on included studies by review authors. For example, some key details about included studies may have been omitted or reported inaccurately by review authors.

We identified multiple reviews with a similar topic focus and study aim, particularly in relation to bereavement resulting from perinatal death, terminal/chronic illness or suicide. Consequently, there could exist considerable overlap in the primary studies included across these reviews. When the same study or studies are included in multiple reviews, it can offer reassurance that individual reviews were conducted in a consistent manner and that their results reflect the existing literature. However, study overlap may result in an overestimation of the size and strength of the evidence base.^{249,250}

Our main findings are based on reviews published up to October 2022 only; relevant reviews published after this time will not be in our map. However, this is not a major limitation because we did not seek to synthesise findings in order to identify key themes or draw conclusions about intervention effectiveness.²⁵¹ A particular strength of our work is that it illuminates those topics within the field of grief and bereavement that have already been the focus of multiple reviews, and it identifies key gaps in knowledge in other areas, which is potentially valuable for informing decisions about the need for new systematic reviews. By highlighting those topics that have already been extensively reviewed, this work can help prevent research waste resulting from the unnecessary duplication of reviews. This is an issue that has been identified as a significant problem in the field of evidence synthesis.²⁵²

Our supplementary search indicated that the key evidence gaps identified from our October 2022 search remain. This was unsurprising because our examination of protocols, detailed in the full project report,²⁸ indicated that reviews ongoing during the course of our work largely focused on populations/relationships, causes of death, settings or intervention types very similar to existing published reviews.

This work facilitates the identification of evidence gaps across a broad research field; researchers seeking to conduct reviews in this area can begin by exploring evidence gaps and conducting searches to identify whether there have been any newly published reviews that address topics of potential interest, rather than needing to focus on the evidence base in its entirety. Our online interactive map will be of benefit to practitioners, researchers and policy-makers because it enables users to quickly locate existing evidence and offers access to additional information about each included review.

To conclude, our goal was to map the available evidence on grief, bereavement and PGD, and to identify gaps in the literature. We found a large number of reviews addressing the extent and nature of PGD; risk factors for the disorder; grief and bereavement experiences; and/or the effectiveness of interventions for preventing or treating PGD. However, the current evidence base is limited by key gaps in the literature, particularly in relation to the cost-effectiveness of interventions, and interventions for addressing social, organisational or structural-level factors that can impair the grieving process and potentially increase the risk of PGD.

Gary Raine , Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, University of York, UK; **Claire Khouja**, Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, University of York, UK; **Meena Khatwa**, EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, UCL Institute of Education, University College London, UK; **Helen Fulbright**, Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, University of York, UK; **Katy Sutcliffe**, EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, UCL Institute of Education, University College London, UK; **Amanda J. Sowden**, Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, University of York, UK

Correspondence: Gary Raine. Email: gary.raine@york.ac.uk

First received 12 Jun 2024, final revision 26 Feb 2025, accepted 1 May 2025

Supplementary material

The supplementary material can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2025.10050>

Data availability

Data availability is not applicable to this article because no new data were created or analysed in this study.

Acknowledgements

Our National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR)-commissioned project report was published by the EPPI-Centre and is accessible via the EPPI-Centre website. As copyright holders, we do not require NIHR permission to reproduce text from the report in this manuscript.

Author contribution

All authors contributed to study conceptualisation and design. H.F. developed the search strategy and conducted searches of academic databases. G.R., C.K. and M.K. screened papers for inclusion and extracted and mapped the data. K.S. and A.J.S. supervised the work. All authors were involved in the production and editing of the project report and have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This review was commissioned by the NIHR Policy Research Programme for the DHSC. It was funded through the NIHR PRP contract with the EPPI Centre at UCL (no. NIHR200701). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of the NIHR or DHSC.

Declaration of interest

None.

References

- Goveas J, Shear M. Grief and the COVID-19 pandemic in older adults. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2020; **28**: 1119–25.
- Boelen P, Smid G. Disturbed grief: prolonged grief disorder and persistent complex bereavement disorder. *Br Med J* 2017; **357**: j2016.
- Prigerson H, Kakarala S, Gang J, Maciejewski P. History and status of Prolonged Grief Disorder as a psychiatric diagnosis. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* 2021; **17**: 109–26.
- Trembl J, Kaiser J, Plexnies A, Kersting A. Assessing Prolonged Grief Disorder: a systematic review of assessment instruments. *J Affect Disord* 2020; **274**: 420–34.
- World Health Organization (WHO). *International Classification of Diseases (11th revision) (ICD-11)*. WHO, 2022 (<https://icd.who.int/>).
- American Psychiatric Association (APA). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (5th edn, text rev) (DSM-5)*. APA, 2022 (<https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425787>).
- American Psychiatric Association (APA). *APA Offers Tips for Understanding Prolonged Grief Disorder*. APA, 2021 (<https://psychiatry.org/news-room/news-releases/apa-offers-tips-for-understanding-prolonged-grief>).
- Eisma M, Rosner R, Comtesse H. ICD-11 Prolonged Grief Disorder criteria: turning challenges into opportunities with multiverse analyses. *Front Psychiatry* 2020; **11**: 752.
- Szuhany K, Malgaroli M, Miron C, Simon N. Prolonged Grief Disorder: course, diagnosis, assessment, and treatment. *Focus (Am Psychiatr Publ)* 2021; **19**: 161–72.
- Cacciatore J, Frances A. DSM-5-TR turns normal grief into a mental disorder. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2022; **9**: e32.
- Khan A. *Prolonged Grief is Now Labelled a Disorder. Not All Psychiatrists Agree*. VICE, 2022 (<https://www.vice.com/en/article/wxd97m/prolonged-grief-disorder-psychiatry-mental-health>).
- Reilly K. *The Hidden Dangers of Pathologizing Grief*. UNDARK, 2022 (<https://undark.org/2022/07/21/the-hidden-dangers-of-pathologizing-grief/>).
- Lundorff M, Holmgren H, Zachariae R, Farver-Vestergaard I, O'Connor M. Prevalence of Prolonged Grief Disorder in adult bereavement: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord* 2017; **212**: 138–49.
- Doering B, Barke A, Vogel A, Comtesse H, Rosner R. Predictors of Prolonged Grief Disorder in a German representative population sample: unexpectedness of bereavement contributes to grief severity and Prolonged Grief Disorder. *Front Psychiatry* 2022; **13**: 853698.
- Public Health England. *Support After a Suicide: A Guide to Providing Local Services. A Practice Resource*. PHE London, 2016 (https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/590838/support_after_a_suicide.pdf).
- Kristensen P, Weisæth L, Heir T. Bereavement and mental health after sudden and violent losses: a review. *Psychiatry* 2012; **75**: 76–97.
- Bindley K, Lewis J, Travaglia J, DiGiacomo M. Caring and grieving in the context of social and structural inequity: experiences of Australian carers with social welfare needs. *Qual Health Res* 2022; **32**: 64–79.
- Carruth L, Martinez C, Smith L, Donato K, Piñones-Rivera C, Quesada J. Structural vulnerability: migration and health in social context. *BMJ Glob Health* 2021; **6**: e005109.
- Bourgeois P, Holmes S, Sue K, Quesada J. Structural vulnerability: operationalizing the concept to address health disparities in clinical care. *Acad Med* 2017; **92**: 299–307.
- Reimer-Kirkham S, Stajduhar K, Pauly B, Giesbrecht M, Mollison A, McNeil R, et al. Death is a social justice issue: perspectives on equity-informed palliative care. *Adv Nurs Sci* 2016; **39**: 293–307.
- McNeil R, Kerr T, Anderson S, Maher L, Keewatin C, Milloy MJ, et al. Negotiating structural vulnerability following regulatory changes to a provincial methadone program in Vancouver, Canada: a qualitative study. *Soc Sci Med* 2015; **133**: 168–76.
- Wilson DT, O'Connor MF. From grief to grievance: combined axes of personal and collective grief among Black Americans. *Front Psychiatry* 2022; **13**: 850994.
- Newsom C, Stroebe M, Schut H, Wilson S, Birrell J, Moerbeek M, et al. Community-based counseling reaches and helps bereaved people living in low-income households. *Psychother Res* 2019; **29**: 479–91.
- Sue Ryder. *A Better Grief*. Sue Ryder, 2019 (<https://www.sueryder.org/sites/default/files/2019-03/a-better-grief-report-sue-ryder.pdf>).
- Sue Ryder. *Grief in the Workplace. How Employers Can Provide Better Bereavement Support*. Sue Ryder, 2021 (<https://www.sueryder.org/sites/default/files/2021-01/Final%20-%20Bereavement%20in%20the%20workplace%20Report%20A4%2020pp%20high%20res.pdf>).
- The UK Commission on Bereavement. *Bereavement is Everyone's Business: 2022 Report*. UK Commission on Bereavement, 2022 (<https://bereavementcommission.org.uk/ukcb-findings/>).
- Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Res Methodol* 2005; **8**: 19–32.
- Raine G, Khouja C, Khatwa M, Fulbright H, Sutcliffe K, Sowden A. *Bereavement support and Prolonged Grief Disorder: Scoping and Mapping the Evidence. Final Report*. EPPI Centre, UCL Social Research Institute, UCL Institute of Education, University College London, 2024 (<https://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/Default.aspx?tabid=3929>).
- Thomas J, Graziosi S, Brunton J, Ghouze Z, O'Driscoll P, Bond M. *EPPI-Reviewer: Advanced Software for Systematic Reviews, Maps and Evidence Synthesis*. UCL, 2020.

- 30 Anderson E, Van Vuuren J, Bennett KM, Soulsby LK. Exploring the process of adjustment following partner death in younger, widowed individuals: a qualitative systematic review. *Death Stud* 2022; **47**: 606–17.
- 31 Andriessen K, Draper B, Dudley M, Mitchell PB. Pre- and postloss features of adolescent suicide bereavement: a systematic review. *Death Stud* 2016; **40**: 229–46.
- 32 Andriessen K, Kryszynska K, Castelli Dransart DA, Dargis L, Mishara BL. Grief after euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide. *Crisis* 2020; **41**: 255–72.
- 33 Arruda EH, Paun O. Dementia caregiver grief and bereavement: an integrative review. *West J Nurs Res* 2017; **39**: 825–51.
- 34 Baffour-Awuah A, Richter S. Perinatal loss in Sub-Saharan Africa: a scoping review. *Afr J Nurs Midwifery* 2020; **22**: 2.
- 35 Barnes S, Jordan Z, Broom M. Health professionals' experiences of grief associated with the death of pediatric patients: a systematic review. *JBI Evid Synth* 2020; **18**: 459–515.
- 36 Berry SN, Marko T, Oneal G. Qualitative interpretive metasynthesis of parents' experiences of perinatal loss. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 2021; **50**: 20–9.
- 37 Bindley K, Lewis J, Travaglia J, DiGiacomo M. Disadvantaged and disenfranchised in bereavement: a scoping review of social and structural inequity following expected death. *Soc Sci Med* 2019; **242**: 112599.
- 38 Bjelland S, Jones K. A systematic review on improving the family experience after consent for deceased organ donation. *Prog Transplant* 2022; **32**: 152–66.
- 39 Bloomer M, Ranse K, Adams L, Brooks L, Coventry A. 'Time and life is fragile': an integrative review of nurses' experiences after patient death in adult critical care. *Aust Crit Care* 2022; **36**: 872–88.
- 40 Boven C, Dillen L, Van den Block L, Piers R, Van Den Noortgate N, Van Humbeeck L. In-hospital bereavement services as an act of care and a challenge: an integrative review. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2022; **63**: e295–316.
- 41 Bristowe K, Marshall S, Harding R. The bereavement experiences of lesbian, gay, bisexual and/or trans people who have lost a partner: a systematic review, thematic synthesis and modelling of the literature. *Palliat Med* 2016; **30**: 730–44.
- 42 Brown-Brundage A. Grief in long-term care: a study of the impact of death and the benefits of end of life planning on long-term care staff. Public Health doctoral thesis, College of Public Health, University of Georgia, 2021.
- 43 Burden C, Bradley S, Storey C, Ellis A, Heazell AE, Downe S, et al. From grief, guilt pain and stigma to hope and pride – a systematic review and meta-analysis of mixed-method research of the psychosocial impact of stillbirth. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2016; **16**: 9.
- 44 Butler A, Hall H, Willetts G, Copnell B. Parents' experiences of healthcare provider actions when their child dies: an integrative review of the literature. *J Spec Pediatr Nurs* 2015; **20**: 5–20.
- 45 Butler A, Hall H, Willetts G, Copnell B. Family experience and PICU death: a meta-synthesis. *Pediatrics* 2015; **136**: e961–73.
- 46 Causer H, Muse K, Smith J, Bradley E. What is the experience of practitioners in health, education or social care roles following a death by suicide? A qualitative research synthesis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019; **16**: 3293.
- 47 Causer H, Spiers J, Efstathiou N, Aston S, Chew-Graham CA, Gopfert A, et al. The impact of colleague suicide and the current state of postvention guidance for affected co-workers: a critical integrative review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022; **19**: 11565.
- 48 Cheer K. Asia-Pacific women's experiences of stillbirth: a metasynthesis of qualitative literature. *Health Care Women Int* 2016; **37**: 889–905.
- 49 Chen C, Chow AYM, Tang S. Bereavement process of professional caregivers after deaths of their patients: a meta-ethnographic synthesis of qualitative studies and an integrated model. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2018; **88**: 104–13.
- 50 Chen C, Chow AYM, Tang S. Professional caregivers' bereavement after patients' deaths: a scoping review of quantitative studies. *Death Stud* 2019; **43**: 500–8.
- 51 Clabburn O, Knighting K, Jack BA, O'Brien MR. Continuing bonds with children and bereaved young people: a narrative review. *Omega (Westport)* 2021; **83**: 371–89.
- 52 Coelho A, Barbosa A. Family anticipatory grief: an integrative literature review. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* 2017; **34**: 774–85.
- 53 Coffey H. Parents' experience of the care they received following a stillbirth: a literature review. *Evid Based Midwifery* 2016; **14**: 16–21.
- 54 Connolly J, Gordon R. Co-victims of homicide: a systematic review of the literature. *Trauma Violence Abuse* 2015; **16**: 494–505.
- 55 D'Alton SV, Ridings L, Williams C, Phillips S. The bereavement experiences of children following sibling death: an integrative review. *J Pediatr Nurs* 2022; **66**: e82–99.
- 56 de Andrade Alvarenga W, de Montigny F, Zeghiche S, Polita Naiara B, Verdon C, Nascimento Lucila C. Understanding the spirituality of parents following stillbirth: a qualitative meta-synthesis. *Death Stud* 2021; **45**: 420–36.
- 57 De Rosbo-Davies C, Laletas S, Round P. Daughters' experiences of maternal bereavement during adolescence: a scoping review. *Death Stud* 2022; **46**: 2156–66.
- 58 Donovan LA, Wakefield CE, Russell V, Cohn RJ. Hospital-based bereavement services following the death of a child: a mixed study review. *Palliat Med* 2015; **29**: 193–210.
- 59 Dorman J, Raffin Bouchal S, daSilva Curiel K, Miller M. Family experiences with palliative care in freestanding paediatric hospices: a scoping review. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2022; **14**: e133–42.
- 60 Duncan D. Death and dying: a systematic review into approaches used to support bereaved children. *Rev Educ* 2020; **8**: 452–79.
- 61 Dutta O, Tan-Ho G, Choo PY, Ho AHY. Lived experience of a child's chronic illness and death: a qualitative systematic review of the parental bereavement trajectory. *Death Stud* 2019; **43**: 547–61.
- 62 Ellis A, Chebsey C, Storey C, Bradley S, Jackson S, Flenady V, et al. Systematic review to understand and improve care after stillbirth: a review of parents' and healthcare professionals' experiences. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2016; **16**: 16.
- 63 Evans A, Abrahamson K. The influence of stigma on suicide bereavement: a systematic review. *J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv* 2020; **58**: 21–7.
- 64 Falzarano F, Winoker H, Burke RV, Mendoza JA, Munoz F, Tergas A, et al. Grief and bereavement in the Latino/a community: a literature synthesis and directions for future research. *Health Equity* 2022; **6**: 696–707.
- 65 Fee A, Hanna J, Hasson F. Pre-loss grief experiences of adults when someone important to them is at end-of-life: a qualitative systematic review. *Death Stud* [Epub ahead of print] 9 Nov 2021. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/07481187.2021.1998935>.
- 66 Fernandez-Basanta S, Coronado C, Movilla-Fernandez MJ. Multicultural coping experiences of parents following perinatal loss: a meta-ethnographic synthesis. *J Adv Nurs* 2020; **76**: 9–21.
- 67 Fiore J. A systematic review of the Dual Process Model of Coping with Bereavement (1999–2016). *Omega (Westport)* 2021; **84**: 414–58.
- 68 Gamondi C, Fusi-Schmidhauser T, Oriani A, Payne S, Preston N. Family members' experiences of assisted dying: a systematic literature review with thematic synthesis. *Palliat Med* 2019; **33**: 1091–105.
- 69 Garcini LM, Brown RL, Chen MA, Saucedo L, Lite AM, Ye P, et al. Bereavement among widowed Latinos in the United States: a systematic review of methodology and findings. *Death Stud* 2021; **45**: 342–53.
- 70 Goodall R, Kryszynska K, Andriessen K. Continuing bonds after loss by suicide: a systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022; **19**: 2963.
- 71 Hay A, Howell JA, Rudaizky D, Breen LJ. Experiences and support needs of bereaved students in Higher Education. *Omega (Westport)* 2022; **89**: 1560–91.
- 72 Haylett WJ, Tilley DS. The phenomenon of bereaved parenting: an integrative review of literature. *Omega (Westport)* 2021; **82**: 424–45.
- 73 Heazell AEP, Siassakos D, Blencowe H, Burden C, Bhutta ZA, Cacciatore J, et al. Stillbirths: economic and psychosocial consequences. *Lancet* 2016; **387**: 604–16.
- 74 Hewison A, Zafar S, Efstathiou N. *Bereavement Support in the UK – A Rapid Evidence Assessment*. Sue Ryder, University of Birmingham, 2019 (<https://bit.ly/3OANODS>).
- 75 Ho AL, Hernandez A, Robb JM, Zeszutek S, Luong S, Okada E, et al. Spontaneous miscarriage management experience: a systematic review. *Cureus* 2022; **14**: e24269.
- 76 Holm AL, Berland AK, Severinsson E. Factors that influence the health of older widows and widowers: a systematic review of quantitative research. *Nurs Open* 2019; **6**: 591–611.
- 77 Holtslander L, Baxter S, Mills K, Bocking S, Dadgostari T, Duggleby W, et al. Honoring the voices of bereaved caregivers: a metasummary of qualitative research. *BMC Palliat Care* 2017; **16**: 48.
- 78 Ito Y, Tsubaki M, Kobayashi M. Families' experiences of grief and bereavement in the emergency department: a scoping review. *Jpn J Nurs Sci* 2022; **19**: e12451.
- 79 Jackson B, McPeake J, Johnston B. What information and resources do carers require pre and post bereavement in the acute hospital setting? A rapid review. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care* 2019; **13**: 328–36.
- 80 Jones K, Robb M, Murphy S, Davies A. New understandings of fathers' experiences of grief and loss following stillbirth and neonatal death: a scoping review. *Midwifery* 2019; **79**: 102531.
- 81 Kabatchnick R, Perry Black B. Remembering the 'forgotten bereaved': understanding and caring for siblings of completed suicide victims. In

- Perinatal and Pediatric Bereavement in Nursing and Other Health Professions* (eds B Perry Black, P Wright, R Limbo): 287–305. Springer Publishing Company, 2016.
- 82 Kaspersen SL, Kalseth J, Stene-Larsen K, Reneflot A. Use of health services and support resources by immediate family members bereaved by suicide: a scoping review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022; **19**: 10016.
 - 83 Kenny M, Darcy-Bewick S, Martin A, Eustace-Cook J, Hilliard C, Clinton F, et al. You are at rock bottom: a qualitative systematic review of the needs of bereaved parents as they journey through the death of their child to cancer. *J Psychosoc Oncol* 2020; **38**: 761–81.
 - 84 Kingdon C, O'Donnell E, Givens J, Turner M. The role of healthcare professionals in encouraging parents to see and hold their stillborn baby: a meta-synthesis of qualitative studies. *PLoS ONE* 2015; **10**: e0130059.
 - 85 Kuforiji O, Mills TA, Lovell K. Women's experiences of care and support following perinatal death in high burden countries: a metasynthesis. *Women Birth* 2022; **36**: e195–202.
 - 86 Lalande D, Desrosiers AA, Zeghiche S, Landry I, de Montigny F. L'expérience des parents endeuillés participant à des groupes de soutien après un deuil périnatal: une synthèse thématique [The experience of bereaved parents participating in support groups after perinatal loss: a thematic synthesis]. *Rech Soins Infirm* 2022; **149**: 31–50.
 - 87 Law K, Farrell C. Needs of bereaved parents following the death of a child or young person from cancer. *Cancer Nurs Pract* 2019; **18**: 28–34.
 - 88 Lestienne L, Leane E, Haesebaert J, Poulet E, Andriessen K. An integrative systematic review of online resources and interventions for people bereaved by suicide. *Prev Med* 2021; **152**: 106583.
 - 89 Lichtenthal WG, Sweeney CR, Roberts KE, Corner GW, Donovan LA, Prigerson HG, et al. Bereavement follow-up after the death of a child as a standard of care in pediatric oncology. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2015; **62**: S834–69.
 - 90 Logan EL, Thornton JA, Breen LJ. What determines supportive behaviors following bereavement? A systematic review and call to action. *Death Stud* 2018; **42**: 104–14.
 - 91 Lord AJ, Field S, Smith IC. The experiences of staff who support people with intellectual disability on issues about death, dying and bereavement: a metasynthesis. *J Appl Res Intellect Disabil* 2017; **30**: 1007–21.
 - 92 Mayland CR, Harding AJE, Preston N, Payne S. Supporting adults bereaved through COVID-19: a rapid review of the impact of previous pandemics on grief and bereavement. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2020; **60**: e33–9.
 - 93 Mayland CR, Powell RA, Clarke GC, Ebenso B, Allsop MJ. Bereavement care for ethnic minority communities: a systematic review of access to, models of, outcomes from, and satisfaction with, service provision. *PLoS ONE* 2021; **16**: e0252188.
 - 94 McGrath-Lone L, Ott E. *Perinatal Loss: Key Messages for Infant Removal at Birth: An Evidence Review*. Rees Centre, Oxford University, 2022 (<https://bit.ly/3u2y5nB>).
 - 95 McNeil MJ, Baker JN, Snyder I, Rosenberg AR, Kaye EC. Grief and bereavement in fathers after the death of a child: a systematic review. *Pediatrics* 2021; **147**: e2020040386.
 - 96 McNeil MJ, Namisango E, Hunt J, Powell RA, Baker JN. Grief and bereavement in parents after the death of a child in low- and middle-income countries. *Children* 2020; **7**: 39.
 - 97 Meller N, Parker D, Hatcher D, Sheehan A. Grief experiences of nurses after the death of an adult patient in an acute hospital setting: an integrative review of literature. *Collegian* 2019; **26**: 302–10.
 - 98 Meunier S, de Montigny F, Zeghiche S, Lalande D, Verdon C, Da Costa D, et al. Workplace experience of parents coping with perinatal loss: a scoping review. *Work* 2021; **69**: 411–21.
 - 99 Obst KL, Due C, Oxlid M, Middleton P. Men's grief following pregnancy loss and neonatal loss: a systematic review and emerging theoretical model. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2020; **20**: 11.
 - 100 Ogwulu CB, Jackson LJ, Heazell AEP, Roberts TE. Exploring the intangible economic costs of stillbirth. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2015; **15**: 188.
 - 101 Pearce C, Wong G, Kuhn I, Barclay S. Supporting bereavement and complicated grief in primary care: a realist review. *BJGP Open* 2021; **5**: BJGP0.2021.0008.
 - 102 Pentaris P, Patlamazoglou L, Schaub J. The role of faith in the experience of grief among sexually diverse individuals: a systematic review. *Psychol Sex* 2022; **14**: 640–56.
 - 103 Peters MD, Lisy K, Riitano D, Jordan Z, Aromataris E. Providing meaningful care for families experiencing stillbirth: a meta-synthesis of qualitative evidence. *J Perinatol* 2016; **36**: 3–9.
 - 104 Piil K, Nordentoft S, Larsen A, Jarden M. Bereaved caregivers of patients with high-grade glioma: a systematic review. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2019; **9**: 26–33.
 - 105 Polita NB, de Montigny F, Neris RR, Alvarenga WA, Silva-Rodrigues FM, Leite A, et al. The experiences of bereaved parents after the loss of a child to cancer: a qualitative metasynthesis. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs* 2020; **37**: 444–57.
 - 106 Raymond A, Lee SF, Bloomer MJ. Understanding the bereavement care roles of nurses within acute care: a systematic review. *J Clin Nurs* 2017; **26**: 1787–800.
 - 107 Reime MA, Loseth HM, Lindeman SK, Titlestad KB, Dyregrov K, Selseng LB. Professional helpers' experiences of assisting the bereaved after drug-related deaths: a knowledge gap. *Nordisk Alkohol Nark* 2022; **39**: 453–65.
 - 108 Roberts F. *Bereaved Children: How Are Their Needs Understood and Addressed?* University of Manchester, 2020.
 - 109 Sajan M, Kakar K, Majid U. Social interactions while grieving suicide loss: a qualitative review of 58 studies. *Family J* 2022; **30**: 401–10.
 - 110 Schoonover KL, Yadav H, Prokop L, Lapid MI. Accommodating bereaved parents in the workplace: a scoping review. *J Loss Trauma* 2022; **28**: 348–63.
 - 111 Schoonover KL, Prokop L, Lapid MI. Valuable informal bereavement support strategies for bereaved parents of stillborn, young children, and adult children: a scoping review. *J Palliat Care* 2022; **37**: 381–400.
 - 112 Shakespeare C, Merriel A, Bakhbaki D, Baneszova R, Barnard K, Lynch M, et al. Parents' and healthcare professionals' experiences of care after stillbirth in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-summary. *BJOG* 2019; **126**: 12–21.
 - 113 Shariff A, Olson J, Salas AS, Cranley L. Nurses' experiences of providing care to bereaved families who experience unexpected death in intensive care units: a narrative overview. *Can J Crit Care Nurs* 2017; **28**: 21–9.
 - 114 Shields C, Kavanagh M, Russo K. A qualitative systematic review of the bereavement process following suicide. *Omega (Westport)* 2017; **74**: 426–54.
 - 115 Shulla RM, Toomey RB. Sex differences in behavioral and psychological expression of grief during adolescence: a meta-analysis. *J Adolesc* 2018; **65**: 219–27.
 - 116 Skantharajah N, Barrie C, Baxter S, Carolina Borja M, Butters A, Dudgeon D, et al. The grief and bereavement experiences of informal caregivers: a scoping review of the North American literature. *J Palliat Care* 2022; **37**: 242–58.
 - 117 Stiffler D, Birch N, Campbell H, Cullen D. A synthesis of coping experiences after infant death. *Holist Nurs Pract* 2017; **31**: 118–25.
 - 118 Taggart H, Greatrex-White S. Traumatic grief in young people in Sub-Saharan Africa: a scoping review. *Nurs Res Rev* 2015; **5**: 77–89.
 - 119 Talseth AG, Gilje FL. Liberating burdensomeness of suicide survivorship loss: a critical interpretive synthesis. *J Clin Nurs* 2017; **26**: 3843–58.
 - 120 Thornton R, Nicholson P, Harms L. Scoping review of memory making in bereavement care for parents after the death of a newborn. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 2019; **48**: 351–60.
 - 121 Titlestad KB, Lindeman SK, Lund H, Dyregrov K. How do family members experience drug death bereavement? A systematic review of the literature. *Death Stud* 2021; **45**: 508–21.
 - 122 van Kempen MM, Kochen EM, Kars MC. Insight into the content of and experiences with follow-up conversations with bereaved parents in paediatrics: a systematic review. *Acta Paediatr* 2022; **111**: 716–32.
 - 123 Vig PS, Lim JY, Lee RWL, Huang H, Tan XH, Lim WQ, et al. Parental bereavement – impact of death of neonates and children under 12 years on personhood of parents: a systematic scoping review. *BMC Palliat Care* 2021; **20**: 136.
 - 124 Wang Q, Walsh CA, Tong H. A systematic review of late-life spousal bereavement and widowhood, with an emphasis on immigrants in western countries, and older Chinese adults. *China J Soc Work* 2018; **11**: 211–35.
 - 125 Williams HM, Topping A, Coomarasamy A, Jones LL. Men and miscarriage: a systematic review and thematic synthesis. *Qual Health Res* 2020; **30**: 133–45.
 - 126 Wilson DM, Bykowski K, Chrzanowski AM, Knox M, Errasti-Ibarrondo B. A scoping research literature review to explore bereavement humor. *Curr Psychol* 2022:1–12.
 - 127 Wray A, Pickwell-Smith B, Greenley S, Pask S, Bamidele O, Wright B, et al. Parental death: a systematic review of support experiences and needs of children and parent survivors. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* [Epub ahead of print] 16 Nov 2022. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1136/spcare-2022-003793>.
 - 128 Wright P. Perinatal loss and spirituality: a metasynthesis of qualitative research. *Illn Crises Loss* 2020; **28**: 99–118.

- 129 Wright P. Parental bereavement in older age: a scoping review. *Illn Crises Loss* 2022; **30**: 531–71.
- 130 Yan H, Bytautas J, Isenberg SR, Kaplan A, Hashemi N, Kornberg M, et al. Grief and bereavement of family and friends around medical assistance in dying: scoping review. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2022; **13**: 414–28.
- 131 Zavrou R, Charalambous A, Papastavrou E, Koutrouba A, Karanikola M. Trying to keep alive a non-traumatizing memory of the deceased: a meta-synthesis on the interpretation of loss in suicide-bereaved family members, their coping strategies and the effects on them. *J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs* 2022; **30**: 182–207.
- 132 Zavrou R, Karanikola M, Papastavrou E. Διερεύνηση Βιομαρτυρικής Εμπειρίας Ατόμων που Έχασαν Κάποιο Μέλος της Οικογενείας τους από Αυτοκτονία [Exploring the experiential experience of people who have lost a family member to suicide]. *Nosileftiki* 2016; **55**: 347–58.
- 133 Ainscough T, Fraser L, Taylor J, Beresford B, Booth A. Bereavement support effectiveness for parents of infants and children: a systematic review. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2022; **12**: e623–31.
- 134 Alves-Costa F, Hamilton-Giachritsis C, Christie H, van Denderen M, Halligan S. Psychological interventions for individuals bereaved by homicide: a systematic review. *Trauma Violence Abuse* 2021; **22**: 793–803.
- 135 Andriessen K, Kryszka K, Hill NTM, Reifels L, Robinson J, Reavley N, et al. Effectiveness of interventions for people bereaved through suicide: a systematic review of controlled studies of grief, psychosocial and suicide-related outcomes. *BMC Psychiatry* 2019; **19**: 49.
- 136 Andriessen K, Kryszka K, Kolves K, Reavley N. Suicide postvention service models and guidelines 2014-2019: a systematic review. *Front Psychol* 2019; **10**: 2677.
- 137 Asgari Z, Naghavi A, Abedi MR. Grief interventions: a qualitative review of systematic reviews. *J Loss Trauma* 2022; **28**: 235–51.
- 138 Bartone PT, Bartone JV, Violanti JM, Gileno ZM. Peer support services for bereaved survivors: a systematic review. *Omega (Westport)* 2019; **80**: 137–66.
- 139 Bergman AS, Axberg U, Hanson E. When a parent dies: a systematic review of the effects of support programs for parentally bereaved children and their caregivers. *BMC Palliat Care* 2017; **16**: 39.
- 140 Brekelmans ACM, Ramnarain D, Pouwels S. Bereavement support programs in the Intensive Care Unit: a systematic review. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2022; **64**: e149–57.
- 141 Byeon H. Effects of grief focused intervention on the mental health of dementia caregivers: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Iran J Public Health* 2020; **49**: 2275–86.
- 142 Charrois E. *Identifying Effective Psychotherapeutic Interventions and Preferences in Emotional Care: Reducing Psychological Distress and Promoting Emotional Health in Women who Have Experienced Perinatal Loss*. University of Calgary, 2022.
- 143 Chen CY-C, Panebianco A. Interventions for young bereaved children: a systematic review and implications for school mental health providers. *Child Youth Care Forum* 2018; **47**: 151–71.
- 144 Crispus-Jones H, McKenzie-McHarg K, Horsch A. Standard care practices and psychosocial interventions aimed at reducing parental distress following stillbirth: a systematic narrative review. *J Reprod Infant Psychol* 2015; **33**: 448–65.
- 145 Davidow JB, Zide BS, Levin LL, Biddle KD, Urizar JC, Donovan NJ. A scoping review of interventions for spousal bereavement in older adults. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2022; **30**: 404–18.
- 146 de Lopez K, Sondergaard Knudsen H, Hansen T. What is measured in bereavement treatment for children and adolescents? A systematic literature review. *Illn Crises Loss* 2020; **28**: 363–87.
- 147 Dias N, Hendricks-Ferguson VL, Wei H, Boring E, Sewell K, Haase JE. A systematic literature review of the current state of knowledge related to interventions for bereaved parents. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* 2019; **36**: 1124–33.
- 148 Dolan N, Grealish A, Tuohy T, Bright AM. Are mindfulness-based interventions as effective as Cognitive Behavioral Therapy in reducing symptoms of complicated perinatal grief? A systematic review. *J Midwifery Womens Health* 2022; **67**: 209–25.
- 149 Eddinger JR, Hardt MM, Williams JL. Concurrent treatment for PTSD and Prolonged Grief Disorder: review of outcomes for exposure- and nonexposure-based treatments. *Omega (Westport)* 2021; **83**: 446–69.
- 150 Efstathiou N, Walker W, Metcalfe A, Vanderspank-Wright B. The state of bereavement support in adult intensive care: a systematic review and narrative synthesis. *J Crit Care* 2019; **50**: 177–87.
- 151 Endo K, Yonemoto N, Yamada M. Interventions for bereaved parents following a child's death: a systematic review. *Palliat Med* 2015; **29**: 590–604.
- 152 Enez O. Effectiveness of psychotherapy-based interventions for complicated grief: a systematic review. *Psikiyatride Guncel Yaklasimlar* 2017; **9**: 441–63.
- 153 Fernandez-Ferez A, Ventura-Miranda MI, Camacho-Avila M, Fernandez-Caballero A, Granero-Molina J, Fernandez-Medina IM, et al. Nursing interventions to facilitate the grieving process after perinatal death: a systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021; **18**: 5587.
- 154 Finlayson-Short L, Hetrick S, Kryszka K, Harris M, Salom C, Stefanac N, et al. Community based support for people at risk for suicide and those who care for them – areas for improvement. *Arch Suicide Res* 2020; **24**: 125–57.
- 155 Galazzi A, Adamini I, Bazzano G, Cancelli L, Fridh I, Laquintana D, et al. Intensive care unit diaries to help bereaved family members in their grieving process: a systematic review. *Intens Crit Care Nurs* 2022; **68**: 103121.
- 156 Grijó L, Tojal C, Rego F. Effects of dignity therapy on palliative patients' family members: a systematic review. *Palliat Support Care* 2021; **19**: 605–14.
- 157 Harrop E, Mann M, Semedo L, Chao D, Selman LE, Byrne A. What elements of a systems' approach to bereavement are most effective in times of mass bereavement? A narrative systematic review with lessons for COVID-19. *Palliat Med* 2020; **34**: 1165–81.
- 158 Harrop E, Morgan F, Longo M, Semedo L, Fitzgibbon J, Pickett S, et al. The impacts and effectiveness of support for people bereaved through advanced illness: a systematic review and thematic synthesis. *Palliat Med* 2020; **34**: 871–88.
- 159 Hennegan JM, Henderson J, Redshaw M. Contact with the baby following stillbirth and parental mental health and well-being: a systematic review. *BMJ Open* 2015; **5**: e008616.
- 160 Higgins A, Hybholt L, Meuser OA, Eustace Cook J, Downes C, Morrissey J. Scoping review of peer-led support for people bereaved by suicide. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022; **19**: 3485.
- 161 Huberty JL, Matthews J, Leiferman J, Hermer J, Cacciatore J. When a baby dies: a systematic review of experimental interventions for women after stillbirth. *Reprod Sci* 2017; **24**: 967–75.
- 162 Ing V, Patterson P, Szabo M, Allison KR. Interventions available to adolescents and young adults bereaved by familial cancer: a systematic literature review. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2022; **12**: e632–40.
- 163 Johannsen M, Damholdt MF, Zachariae R, Lundorff M, Farver-Vestergaard I, O'Connor M. Psychological interventions for grief in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Affect Disord* 2019; **253**: 69–86.
- 164 Jones K, Methley A, Boyle G, Garcia R, Vseteckova J. A systematic review of the effectiveness of acceptance and commitment therapy for managing grief experienced by bereaved spouses or partners of adults who had received palliative care. *Illn Crises Loss* 2022; **30**: 596–613.
- 165 Journot-Reverbel K, Raynaud JP, Bui E, Revet A. Support groups for children and adolescents bereaved by suicide: lots of interventions, little evidence. *Psychiatry Res* 2017; **250**: 253–5.
- 166 Kochen EM, Jenken F, Boelen PA, Deben LMA, Fahner JC, van den Hoogen A, et al. When a child dies: a systematic review of well-defined parent-focused bereavement interventions and their alignment with grief- and loss theories. *BMC Palliat Care* 2020; **19**: 28.
- 167 Kustanti CY, Fang HF, Linda Kang X, Chiou JF, Wu SC, Yunitri N, et al. The effectiveness of bereavement support for adult family caregivers in palliative care: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Nurs Scholarsh* 2021; **53**: 208–17.
- 168 Lancel M, Stroebe M, Eisma MC. Sleep disturbances in bereavement: a systematic review. *Sleep Med Rev* 2020; **53**: 101331.
- 169 Laranjeira C, Moura D, Salci MA, Carreira L, Covre E, Jaques A, et al. A scoping review of interventions for family bereavement care during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Behav Sci* 2022; **12**: 155.
- 170 Linde K, Trembl J, Steinig J, Nagl M, Kersting A. Grief interventions for people bereaved by suicide: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE* 2017; **12**: e0179496.
- 171 Maass U, Hofmann L, Perlinger J, Wagner B. Effects of bereavement groups: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Death Stud* 2022; **46**: 708–18.
- 172 Mason TM, Tofthagen CS, Buck HG. Complicated grief: risk factors, protective factors, and interventions. *J Soc Work End Life Palliat Care* 2020; **16**: 151–74.
- 173 McGill K, Bhullar N, Pearce T, Batterham PJ, Wayland S, Maple M. Effectiveness of brief contact interventions for bereavement: a systematic review. *Omega (Westport)* 2022; **90**: 611–40.
- 174 Moss SJ, Wollny K, Poulin TG, Cook DJ, Stelfox HT, Ordons ARD, et al. Bereavement interventions to support informal caregivers in the intensive care unit: a systematic review. *BMC Palliat Care* 2021; **20**: 66.
- 175 O'Riordan D, Boland G, Guerin S, Dodd P. Synthesising existing research on complicated grief in intellectual disability: findings from a systematic review. *J Intellect Disabil Res* 2022; **66**: 833–52.
- 176 Pachalla S, Witting C, James K, Michelson KN. Interventions for siblings, extended family, and community members after pediatric death. *J Loss Trauma* 2021; **26**: 519–26.

- 177 Paraiso Pueyo E, Gonzalez Alonso A, Botigue T, Masot O, Escobar-Bravo MA, Lavedan Santamaria A. Nursing interventions for perinatal bereavement care in neonatal intensive care units: a scoping review. *Int Nurs Rev* 2021; **68**: 122–37.
- 178 Parisi A, Sharma A, Howard MO, Blank Wilson A. The relationship between substance misuse and complicated grief: a systematic review. *J Subst Abuse Treat* 2019; **103**: 43–57.
- 179 Patinadan PV, Tan-Ho G, Choo PY, Ho AHY. Resolving anticipatory grief and enhancing dignity at the end-of life: a systematic review of palliative interventions. *Death Stud* 2022; **46**: 337–50.
- 180 Pelacho-Rios L, Bernabe-Valero G. The loss of a child, bereavement and the search for meaning: a systematic review of the most recent parental interventions. *Curr Psychol* 2023; **42**: 25931–56.
- 181 Rait L, Yeo N, Abdelhamid Y, Showler L, Finnis M, Deane A. The impact of bereavement support on psychological distress in family members: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care Resusc* 2021; **23**: 225–33.
- 182 Ridley A, Frache S. Bereavement care interventions for children under the age of 18 following the death of a sibling: a systematic review. *Palliat Med* 2020; **34**: 1340–50.
- 183 Riegel M, Randall S, Buckley T. Memory making in end-of-life care in the adult intensive care unit: a scoping review of the research literature. *Aust Crit Care* 2019; **32**: 442–7.
- 184 Roberts KE, Walsh LE, Saracino RM, Fogarty J, Coats T, Goldberg J, et al. A systematic review of treatment options for grieving older adults. *Curr Treat Options Psychiatry* 2019; **6**: 422–49.
- 185 Robinson C, Pond R. Do online support groups for grief benefit the bereaved? Systematic review of the quantitative and qualitative literature. *Comput Human Behav* 2019; **100**: 48–59.
- 186 Seo W, Lee H, Oh S, Sa H, Kim H. [Bereavement care for parents in the neonatal intensive care unit: a literature review]. *Child Health Nurs Res* 2020; **26**: 286–95.
- 187 Setubal MS, Bolibio R, Jesus RC, Benute GG, Gibelli MA, Bertolassi N, et al. A systematic review of instruments measuring grief after perinatal loss and factors associated with grief reactions. *Palliat Support Care* 2021; **19**: 246–56.
- 188 Shaohua L, Shorey S. Psychosocial interventions on psychological outcomes of parents with perinatal loss: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2021; **117**: 103871.
- 189 Thrower C, Barrie C, Baxter S, Bloom M, Borja MC, Butters A, et al. Interventions for grieving and bereaved informal caregivers: a scoping review of the Canadian literature. *J Palliat Care* 2022; **38**: 215–24.
- 190 Uphoff EP, Zamperoni V, Yap J, Simmonds R, Rodgers M, Dawson S, et al. Mental health promotion and protection relating to key life events and transitions in adulthood: a rapid systematic review of systematic reviews. *J Ment Health* 2022; **34**: 182–95.
- 191 Vedder A, Boerner K, Stokes JE, Schut HAW, Boelen PA, Stroebe MS. A systematic review of loneliness in bereavement: current research and future directions. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2022; **43**: 48–64.
- 192 Vrkljan B, Montpetit A, Naglie G, Rapoport M, Mazer B. Interventions that support major life transitions in older adulthood: a systematic review. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2019; **31**: 393–415.
- 193 Wagner B, Rosenberg N, Hofmann L, Maass U. Web-based bereavement care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Psychiatry* 2020; **11**: 525.
- 194 Waller A, Turon H, Mansfield E, Clark K, Hobden B, Sanson-Fisher R. Assisting the bereaved: a systematic review of the evidence for grief counselling. *Palliat Med* 2016; **30**: 132–48.
- 195 Weiskittle RE, Gramling SE. The therapeutic effectiveness of using visual art modalities with the bereaved: a systematic review. *Psychol Res Behav Manag* 2018; **11**: 9–24.
- 196 Williams J, Shorter GW, Howlett N, Zakrzewski-Fruer J, Chater AM. Can physical activity support grief outcomes in individuals who have been bereaved? A systematic review. *Sports Med Open* 2021; **7**: 26.
- 197 Wilson DM, Dhanji N, Playfair R, Nayak SS, Puplampu GL, Macleod R. A scoping review of bereavement service outcomes. *Palliat Support Care* 2017; **15**: 242–59.
- 198 Wilson S, Toye C, Aoun S, Slatyer S, Moyle W, Beattie E. Effectiveness of psychosocial interventions in reducing grief experienced by family carers of people with dementia: a systematic review. *JBI Database Syst Rev Implem Rep* 2017; **15**: 809–39.
- 199 Wojtkowiak J, Lind J, Smid GE. Ritual in therapy for prolonged grief: a scoping review of ritual elements in evidence-informed grief interventions. *Front Psychiatry* 2020; **11**: 623835.
- 200 Zuelke AE, Luppia M, Lobner M, Pabst A, Schlapke C, Stein J, et al. Effectiveness and feasibility of internet-based interventions for grief after bereavement: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JMIR Ment Health* 2021; **8**: e29661.
- 201 Aehlig L. *Adult Attachment and Prolonged Grief: A Systematic Review*. University of Groningen, 2021.
- 202 Burrell A, Selman LE. How do funeral practices impact bereaved relatives' mental health, grief and bereavement? A mixed methods review with implications for COVID-19. *Omega (Westport)* 2022; **85**: 345–83.
- 203 Crawley S, Sampson EL, Moore KJ, Kupeli N, West E. Grief in family carers of people living with dementia: a systematic review. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2022; **35**: 477–508.
- 204 Delalibera M, Presa J, Coelho A, Barbosa A, Franco MH. Family dynamics during the grieving process: a systematic literature review. *Cienc Saude Coletiva* 2015; **20**: 1119–34.
- 205 Djelantik A, Smid GE, Mroz A, Kleber RJ, Boelen PA. The prevalence of Prolonged Grief Disorder in bereaved individuals following unnatural losses: systematic review and meta regression analysis. *J Affect Disord* 2020; **265**: 146–56.
- 206 Eisma MC, Stroebe MS. Emotion regulatory strategies in complicated grief: a systematic review. *Behav Ther* 2021; **52**: 234–49.
- 207 Flach K, Gressler N, Marcolino M, Levandowski D. Complicated grief after the loss of a baby: a systematic review about risk and protective factors for bereaved women. *Trends Psychol* 2022; **31**: 777–81.
- 208 Grosse J, Trembl J, Kersting A. Impact of caregiver burden on mental health in bereaved caregivers of cancer patients: a systematic review. *Psychooncology* 2018; **27**: 757–67.
- 209 Hanschmidt F, Lehnig F, Riedel-Heller SG, Kersting A. The stigma of suicide survivorship and related consequences: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE* 2016; **11**: e0162688.
- 210 Heeke C, Kampisiou C, Niemeyer H, Knaevelsrud C. A systematic review and meta-analysis of correlates of Prolonged Grief Disorder in adults exposed to violent loss. *Eur J Psychotraumatol* 2019; **10**: 1583524.
- 211 Ito Y, Tsubaki M, Kobayashi M, Yagome S, Sakaguchi Y. Effect size estimates of risk factors for post-intensive care syndrome- family: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart Lung* 2023; **59**: 1–7.
- 212 Jessop M, Fischer A, Good P. Impact of expected parental death on the health of adolescent and young adult children: a systematic review of the literature. *Palliat Med* 2022; **36**: 928–37.
- 213 Jiao K, Chow AYM, Chen C. Dyadic relationships between a surviving parent and children in widowed families: a systematic scoping review. *Fam Process* 2021; **60**: 888–903.
- 214 Kakarala SE, Roberts KE, Rogers M, Coats T, Falzarano F, Gang J, et al. The neurobiological reward system in Prolonged Grief Disorder (PGD): a systematic review. *Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging* 2020; **303**: 111135.
- 215 King LM, Lacey A, Hunt J. Bereavement and children's mental health: recognising the effects of early parental loss. *Nurs Child Young People* 2022; **34**: 22–7.
- 216 Kokou-Kpolou CK, Moukouta CS, Masson J, Bernoussi A, Cenat JM, Bacque MF. Correlates of grief-related disorders and mental health outcomes among adult refugees exposed to trauma and bereavement: a systematic review and future research directions. *J Affect Disord* 2020; **267**: 171–84.
- 217 Komischke-Konnerup K, Zachariae R, Johannsen M, Nielsen LD, O'Connor M. Co-occurrence of prolonged grief symptoms and symptoms of depression, anxiety, and posttraumatic stress in bereaved adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord Rep* 2021; **4**: 100140.
- 218 Kustanti CY, Chu H, Kang XL, Huang TW, Jen HJ, Liu D, et al. Prevalence of grief disorders in bereaved families of cancer patients: a meta-analysis. *Palliat Med* 2022; **36**: 305–18.
- 219 Lopez Perez Y, Cruzado J, Lacasta Reverte M, Lallana-Frias E. Predictors of complicated grief in caregivers of palliative care patients. *Omega (Westport)* 2022; **91**: 16996.
- 220 Morris S, Fletcher K, Goldstein R. The grief of parents after the death of a young child. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings* 2019; **26**: 321–38.
- 221 Nielsen MK, Neergaard MA, Jensen AB, Bro F, Guldin MB. Do we need to change our understanding of anticipatory grief in caregivers? A systematic review of caregiver studies during end-of-life caregiving and bereavement. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2016; **44**: 75–93.
- 222 Parro-Jimenez E, Moran N, Gesteira C, Sanz J, Garcia-Vera MP. Complicated grief: a systematic review of prevalence, diagnosis, risk and protective factors in the adult population of Spain. *An Psicol* 2021; **37**: 189–201.
- 223 Russ V, Stopa L, Sivyer K, Jane H, Tess M. The relationship between adult attachment and complicated grief: a systematic review. *Omega (Westport)* 2022; **89**: 1293–319.
- 224 Sampson C. *A Grounded Theory of How Facebook Facilitates Continuing Bonds with the Deceased*. Canterbury Christ Church University, 2020.
- 225 Sanderson EAM, Humphreys S, Walker F, Harris D, Carduff E, McPeake J, et al. Risk factors for complicated grief among family members bereaved in

- intensive care unit settings: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE* 2022; **17**: e0264971.
- 226 Scott HR, Pitman A, Kozuharova P, Lloyd-Evans B. A systematic review of studies describing the influence of informal social support on psychological wellbeing in people bereaved by sudden or violent causes of death. *BMC Psychiatry* 2020; **20**: 265.
 - 227 Trembl J, Schmidt V, Nagl M, Kersting A. Pre-loss grief and preparedness for death among caregivers of terminally ill cancer patients: a systematic review. *Soc Sci Med* 2021; **284**: 114240.
 - 228 Wilson DM, Darko EM, Kusi-Appiah E, Roh SJ, Ramic A, Errasti-Ibarrondo B. What exactly is 'complicated' grief? A scoping research literature review to understand its risk factors and prevalence. *Omega (Westport)* 2020; **86**: 471–87.
 - 229 Yuan MD, Wang ZQ, Fei L, Zhong BL. Prevalence of Prolonged Grief Disorder and its symptoms in Chinese parents who lost their only child: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Public Health* 2022; **10**: 1016160.
 - 230 Albuquerque S, Pereira M, Narciso I. Couple's relationship after the death of a child: a systematic review. *J Child Fam Stud* 2016; **25**: 30–53.
 - 231 Duncan C, Cacciatore J. A systematic review of the peer-reviewed literature on self-blame, guilt, and shame. *Omega (Westport)* 2015; **71**: 312–42.
 - 232 Fernandez-Fernandez J, Gomez-Diaz M. Resilience and grief facing the loss of a loved one: a systematic review. *Rev Psicopatol Psicol Clin* 2022; **27**: 129–39.
 - 233 Hai AH, Currin-McCulloch J, Franklin C, Cole AH. Spirituality/religiosity's influence on college students' adjustment to bereavement: a systematic review. *Death Stud* 2018; **42**: 513–20.
 - 234 Hoffmann R, Kaiser J, Kersting A. Psychosocial outcomes in cancer-bereaved children and adolescents: a systematic review. *Psychooncology* 2018; **27**: 2327–38.
 - 235 Purrington J. Psychological adjustment to spousal bereavement in older adults: a systematic review. *Omega (Westport)* 2021; **88**: 95–120.
 - 236 Killikelly C, Bauer S, Maercker A. The assessment of grief in refugees and post-conflict survivors: a narrative review of etic and emic research. *Front Psychol* 2018; **9**: 1957.
 - 237 Kuo SC, Sun JL, Tang ST. Trajectories of depressive symptoms for bereaved family members of chronically ill patients: a systematic review. *J Clin Nurs* 2017; **26**: 3784–99.
 - 238 Pan H, Liu F. The prevalence of complicated grief among Chinese people at high risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Death Stud* 2021; **45**: 480–90.
 - 239 Thiemann P, Street AN, Heath SE, Quince T, Kuhn I, Barclay S, et al., Prolonged Grief Disorder prevalence in adults 65 years and over: a systematic review. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2021; **13**: e30, 42.
 - 240 van Denderen M, de Keijser J, Kleen M, Boelen PA. Psychopathology among homicidally bereaved individuals: a systematic review. *Trauma Violence Abuse* 2015; **16**: 70–80.
 - 241 Hansford L, Thomas F, Wyatt K. How does housing affect end-of-life care and bereavement in low-income communities? A qualitative study of the experiences of bereaved individuals and service providers in the United Kingdom. *Palliat Care Soc Pract* 2022; **16**: 26323524221110248.
 - 242 Monk J, Black J, Carter R, Hassan E. Bereavement in the context of homelessness: a rapid review. *Death Stud* 2024; **48**: 561–70.
 - 243 Sagar-Ouriaghli I, Godfrey E, Bridge L, Meade L, Brown JSL. Improving mental health service utilization among men: a systematic review and synthesis of behavior change techniques within interventions targeting help-seeking. *Am J Mens Health* 2019; **13**: 1557988319857009.
 - 244 Stelzer EM, Atkinson C, O'Connor MF, Croft A. Gender differences in grief narrative construction: a myth or reality? *Eur J Psychotraumatol* 2019; **10**: 1688130.
 - 245 Harris D. Oppression of the bereaved: a critical analysis of grief in Western society. *Omega (Westport)* 2009; **60**: 241–53.
 - 246 Martínez-Esquivel D, Araya-Solís M, García-Hernández A. Men's mourning experiences for the death of a loved one: an integrative review. *Enferm Clin* 2024; **34**: 429–38.
 - 247 Thacker N, Duran A. Operationalizing intersectionality as a framework in qualitative grief research. *Death Stud* 2022; **45**: 1128–38.
 - 248 Daly K, Segura A, Heyman R, Aladia S, Slep A. Scoping review of postvention for mental health providers following patient suicide. *Mil Med* 2024; **189**: e90–100.
 - 249 Caird J, Sutcliffe K, Kwan I, Dickson K, Thomas J. Mediating policy-relevant evidence at speed: are systematic reviews of systematic reviews a useful approach? *Evid Policy* 2015; **11**: 81–97.
 - 250 Raine G, Khouja C, Scott R, Wright K, Sowden A. Pornography use and sexting amongst children and young people: a systematic overview of reviews. *Syst Rev* 2020; **9**: 283.
 - 251 Stokes G, Sutcliffe K, Thomas J. Is a one-size-fits-all 12-month rule appropriate when it comes to the last search date in systematic reviews? *BMJ Evid Based Med* 2023; **28**: 359–63.
 - 252 Hoffmann F, Allers K, Rombey T, Helbach J, Hoffmann A, Mathes T, et al. Nearly 80 systematic reviews were published each day: observational study on trends in epidemiology and reporting over the years 2000–2019. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2021; **138**: 1–11.