

ON THE CONES ASSOCIATED WITH BIORTHOGONAL SYSTEMS AND BASES IN BANACH SPACES

C. W. McARTHUR, IVAN SINGER, AND MARK LEVIN

1. Let E be a Banach space (by this we shall mean, for simplicity, a *real* Banach space) and (x_n, f_n) ($\{x_n\} \subset E, \{f_n\} \subset E^*$) a biorthogonal system, such that $\{f_n\}$ is total on E (i.e. the relations $x \in E, f_n(x) = 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$, imply $x = 0$). Then it is natural to consider the cone

$$(1) \quad K = K_{(x_n, f_n)} = \{x \in E \mid f_n(x) \geq 0 \ (n = 1, 2, \dots)\},$$

which we shall call "the cone associated with the biorthogonal system (x_n, f_n) ". In particular, if $\{x_n\}$ is a basis of E and $\{f_n\}$ the sequence of coefficient functionals associated with the basis $\{x_n\}$, this cone is nothing else but

$$(2) \quad K = K_{\{x_n\}} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i \in E \mid \alpha_n \geq 0 \ (n = 1, 2, \dots) \right\},$$

and we shall call it "the cone associated with the basis $\{x_n\}$ ". Recently, Fullerton (3, Theorems 1, 2, and 3) and Gurevič (6, Theorems 1 and 4, Lemma 3) have given geometric conditions on the cone $K = K_{(x_n, f_n)}$ associated with a biorthogonal system (x_n, f_n) , which are necessary and sufficient in order that $\{x_n\}$ be an unconditional basis of the space E , and Gurariĭ (5, p. 1239, Theorem 2) has given a condition on the cone $K = K_{(x_n, f_n)}$ which is sufficient in order that $\{x_n\}$ be a "basis of the cone K " (i.e. that for every $x \in K$ the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)x_i$ be convergent to x). In § 2 of the present paper we shall further this study, giving conditions on K which are necessary and sufficient in order that $\{x_n\}$ be an unconditional basis of the cone K , and a sufficient condition in order that $\{x_n\}$ be an unconditional basis of E , which is also "boundedly complete on K ".

Throughout this paper, by "cone" we shall understand "closed convex cone having the origin as extreme point", i.e. a closed set K such that $K + K \subset K, \lambda K \subset K$ ($\lambda \geq 0$), and $K \cap (-K) = \{0\}$. (The assumption above, that $\{f_n\}$ is total on E , was made in order to ensure that this last condition is satisfied.) A subset B of a cone K is said to be a "base" of the cone K if B is closed and convex and if every $x \in K \sim \{0\}$ has a unique representation of the form $x = \lambda y$, with $\lambda > 0, y \in B$. Fullerton (3, Remark after Theorem 3') has observed that the cone $K = K_{\{x_n\}}$ associated with a basis $\{x_n\}$ of a Banach

Received May 8, 1968 and in revised form, August 19, 1968. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, Grant NSF GP-7243.

space cannot have a base which is compact. (Actually, his argument in (3) shows that the cone may not have even a weakly compact base.) In § 3 we shall further this study, characterizing some types of bases $\{x_n\}$ of a Banach space E by geometric properties of the bases B of the associated cone $K = K_{\{x_n\}}$, or of the bases $B^{(\epsilon_n)}$ of the cones $K_{\{\epsilon_n x_n\}}$ associated with the bases $\{\epsilon_n x_n\}$ of E , where $\epsilon_n = \pm 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). The question of finding geometric properties of B corresponding to certain properties of $\{x_n\}$ and the converse question, to find properties of $\{x_n\}$ which correspond to certain geometric properties of B , may deserve further interest.

2. We recall that a cone K induces a natural partial order relation on E , namely, $x \geq y$ if and only if $x - y \in K$. (In particular, $x \geq 0$ if and only if $x \in K$.) Let us also recall that the cone K is said to be (a) generating, if $E = K - K$; (b) minihedral, if for every $x, y \in K$ there exists $z_0 = \sup(x, y)$ (i.e. the element $z_0 \geq x, y$ with the property $z \geq x, y \Rightarrow z \geq z_0$); (c) normal, if there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(3) \quad \|x + y\| \geq \delta \quad (x, y \in K, \|x\| = \|y\| = 1).$$

Consequently, any cone K which is contained in a normal cone is normal. It is well known (see, e.g., 8, Chapter 1, § 1.2.2) that K is normal if and only if the norm on E is "semi-monotone", i.e. there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$(4) \quad 0 \leq x \leq y \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq L\|y\|.$$

The cone $K = K_{\{x_n\}}$ associated with a basis $\{x_n\}$ is normal if and only if it is regular, i.e. the relations $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y_n \leq \dots \leq z$ imply the norm-convergence of the sequence $\{y_n\}$ (see 8, Chapter 1, § 1.2.2; 6).

THEOREM 1. *Let E be a Banach space and let (x_n, f_n) ($\{x_n\} \subset E, \{f_n\} \subset E^*$) be a biorthogonal system such that $\{f_n\}$ is total and that $\{x_n\}$ is a basis of the associated cone $K = K_{(x_n, f_n)}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1°) *For every $x \in K$ the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)x_i$ is unconditionally convergent (i.e. $\{x_n\}$ is an unconditional basis of the cone K);*
- (2°) *For every $x \in K$ the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)x_i$ is weakly unconditionally Cauchy;*
- (3°) *K is normal;*
- (4°) *For every $x \in K$, the set $P_x = K \cap (x - K) = \{y \in E \mid 0 \leq y \leq x\}$ is bounded;*
- (5°) *For every $x \in K$, the set P_x above is linearly homeomorphic to a finite cube or a cube of Hilbert.*

Moreover, if we have (1°), then K is minihedral.

Proof. The implication (1°) \Rightarrow (2°) is trivial. Assume now that we have (2°).

Let $x, y \in K$ be such that $y \leq x$, $0 \leq f_i(y) \leq f_i(x)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), and let $f \in E^*$ be arbitrary. Then since $\{x_n\}$ is a basis of K and by (2°),

$$|f(y)| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(y)f(x_i) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(y)|f(x_i)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)|f(x_i)| = M_{f,x} < \infty$$

(M a positive constant),

which shows that for every $x \in K$, the set

$$P_x = \{y \in E \mid 0 \leq y \leq x\}$$

is weakly bounded, whence also strongly bounded. Thus (2°) \Rightarrow (4°). The equivalence (3°) \Leftrightarrow (4°) is well known (see, e.g., **1**, p. 1165, Lemma 2). Assume now that we have (3°). Let $x \in K$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $\{x_n\}$ is a basis of K , there exists a positive integer N such that

$$(5) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} f_i(x)x_i \right\| < \epsilon/L,$$

where L is the constant occurring in (4). Now let $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{n_i}(x)x_{n_i}$ be an arbitrary subseries of $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)x_i$. Choose i_0 such that $n_i \geq N$ whenever $i \geq i_0$. We have then, for any $p, q \geq i_0$,

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=p}^q f_{n_i}(x)x_{n_i} \leq \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} f_i(x)x_i,$$

whence by (4) and (5),

$$\left\| \sum_{i=p}^q f_{n_i}(x)x_{n_i} \right\| \leq L \left\| \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} f_i(x)x_i \right\| < L(\epsilon/L) = \epsilon,$$

which proves (since E is complete) that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)x_i$ is unconditionally convergent. Thus (3°) \Rightarrow (1°). Furthermore, the implication (5°) \Rightarrow (4°) is trivial (since the cubes in (5°) are compact), and the implication (1°) \Rightarrow (5°) follows, observing that in the proof by Fullerton (**3**, Theorem 2) of the similar statement for $\{x_i\}$ an unconditional basis of the whole space E , only expansions of elements of K are used. (Let us also mention that one can show directly, with the standard ϵ -net method, that for each $x \in K$ the set P_x is compact, and then apply a result of Klee (**7**, p. 31, Corollary 1.3), to conclude that P_x is homeomorphic to the fundamental cube of Hilbert whenever $f_n(x) > 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$.) Thus (1°) $\Leftrightarrow \dots \Leftrightarrow$ (5°). Assume, finally, that we have (1°) and let $x, y \in K$ be arbitrary. Then the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [f_i(x) + f_i(y)]x_i$ is unconditionally convergent, whence so is the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\sup(f_i(x), f_i(y))]x_i$, and the sum of this latter series is obviously $\sup(x, y)$. Thus (1°) $\Rightarrow K$ is minihedral, which completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 1. A basis $\{x_n\}$ of the whole space E can have property (1°) without

being an unconditional basis of E , as shown, for example, by the basis

$$(6) \quad x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \text{ (where } e_i = \{\delta_{ij}\}_{j=1}^\infty),$$

of the space $E = c_0$.

Remark 2. The converse of the last assertion of Theorem 1 is not valid, as shown by the following example: Let E be the closed hyperplane

$$\left\{ x = \{\xi_n\} \in l^1 \mid \sum_{i=1}^\infty \xi_i = 0 \right\}$$

in the space l^1 , and let

$$(7) \quad x_n = e_n - e_{n-1} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then $\{x_n\}$ is a basis of E (see, e.g., **11**, p. 364), with the associated sequence of coefficient functionals

$$(8) \quad f_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \quad (x = \{\xi_n\} \in E),$$

whence

$$(9) \quad K = \left\{ x = \{\xi_n\} \in E \mid \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \geq 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots) \right\}.$$

The cone K is minihedral and generating. In fact, if $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i x_i \in E$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i (e_i - e_{i+1}) = \alpha_1 e_1 + \sum_{i=2}^\infty (\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}) e_i$$

(where $\{e_n\}$ denotes the unit vector basis of l^1), i.e.

$$|\alpha_1| + \sum_{i=2}^\infty |\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}| < \infty,$$

and conversely. Since $|\alpha_i| - |\alpha_{i-1}| \leq |\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}|$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots$), it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\alpha_i| x_i$ converges whenever $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i x_i$ converges, and thus for each $x \in E$ there exists the element $|x| \in E$, whence also the elements $x_+ = \sup(x, 0)$, $x_- = \sup(-x, 0)$, whence K is minihedral and generating. However, K is not normal, since for the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{z_n\} \subset E$ defined by

$$y_n = (1/n)[e_1 + e_3 + \dots + e_{2n-1}] - (1/n)[e_2 + e_4 + \dots + e_{2n}] \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$z_n = (1/n)[e_1 - e_{2n}],$$

we have $0 \leq y_n \leq z_n$, $\|y_n\| = 2$, $\|z_n\| = 2/n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). (One can also observe that if K would be normal, then since it is generating, $\{x_n\}$ would be an unconditional basis of E (**6**, Theorems 1 and 4, Lemma 3), which is not the case (**11**, p. 364).)

Remark 3. It is essential in Theorem 1 to assume that $\{x_n\}$ is a basis of K , as shown by the example of the unit vectors x_n in the space $E = m$, for which we have (3°) and (4°) but not (1°), (2°) or (5°). Let us mention that if $\{f_n\}$ is total on E and K is “acute angled” in the sense that

$$(10) \quad \|x + y\| - 1 \geq \delta(t) > 0 \quad (x, y \in K, \|x\| \geq 1, \|y\| \geq t),$$

then $\{x_n\}$ is a basis of K . (5, Theorem 2); obviously, every acute angled cone is normal, but the converse is not true, as shown by simple two-dimensional examples. Furthermore, the natural positive cone of the space $E = m$, mentioned in this remark is also normal but not acute angled. Let us also observe that for the usual Schauder basis $\{x_n\}$ of the space $E = C([0, 1])$ the associated cone $K = K_{\{x_n\}}$ is contained in the natural positive cone of the space E , which is obviously normal. Therefore K is normal, whence, by Theorem 1, for every $x \in K$ the series $\sum_{i=1}^\infty f_i(x)x_i$ is unconditionally convergent (although $\{x_n\}$ is not an unconditional basis of E). However, K is not acute angled. (It is easy to give two consecutive elements, x_k and x_{k+1} , of the Schauder basis $\{x_n\}$ such that $\|x_k\| = \|x_{k+1}\| = \|x_k + x_{k+1}\| = 1$.)

In the case when K is also sequentially weakly complete, we have the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Let E be a Banach space and let (x_n, f_n) ($\{x_n\} \subset E, \{f_n\} \subset E^*$) be a biorthogonal system such that the associated cone $K = K_{(x_n, f_n)}$ is normal and sequentially weakly complete. Then $\{x_n\}$ is an unconditional basis of K , which is also boundedly complete on K (i.e., the relations $a_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), $\sup_n \|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i\| < \infty$ imply that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i x_i$ converges).*

Proof. Let us first prove that $\{x_n\}$ is boundedly complete on K . Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of scalars such that $a_n \geq 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), $\sup_n \|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i\| = M < \infty$, and let J be an arbitrary finite set of positive integers. Choose n such that $J \subset [1, n]$. Then

$$0 \leq \sum_{i \in J} a_i x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i,$$

whence, since K is normal,

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in J} a_i x_i \right\| \leq L \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| \leq LM.$$

Consequently, by a theorem of Gel'fand (4, p. 242, Proposition 2), the series $\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i x_i$ is weakly unconditionally Cauchy, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i |f(x_i)| = \sum_{i=1}^\infty |f(a_i x_i)| < \infty \quad (f \in E^*),$$

whence, since K is sequentially weakly complete, every subseries $\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_{n_i} x_{n_i}$ converges weakly to an element of K . Therefore, by the Orlicz-Pettis theorem

(2, p. 318, Theorem 1), the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ converges strongly. Thus $\{x_n\}$ is boundedly complete on K . Now, since (x_n, f_n) is a biorthogonal system, for every $x \in K$ we have

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)x_i \leq x \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

whence, since K is normal,

$$\sup_n \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)x_i \right\| \leq L \|x\| < \infty,$$

and therefore, by the above, the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)x_i$ converges strongly to an element $y \in K$. Since $\{f_n\}$ is total on E , we must have $y = x$, and thus $\{x_n\}$ is a basis of K , whence, by the normality of K and by Theorem 1, it is also an unconditional basis of K . This completes the proof.

Remark 4. The converse of Theorem 2 is not valid, as shown by the following example. Let E and $\{x_n\}$ be as in Remark 1. Then $\{x_n\} \subset K$ is a weak Cauchy sequence which is not weakly convergent to any element of E , and thus K is not sequentially weakly complete. However, as observed in Remark 1, $\{x_n\}$ is an unconditional basis of K and it is also boundedly complete on K since the relations $a_n \geq 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$),

$$\sup_n \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| = \sup_n \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=j}^n a_i \right| = \sup_n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right| < \infty$$

imply that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i < \infty$ and that the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ converges to $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} a_i) e_j \in K$ (where $\{e_n\}$ is the unit vector basis of $E = c_0$).

3. Let us now turn to a base B of the cone $K = K_{(x_n, f_n)}$ associated with a biorthogonal system (x_n, f_n) . The problem of the existence of such a base has an affirmative answer, namely, we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let E be a Banach space and let (x_n, f_n) ($\{x_n\} \subset E, \{f_n\} \subset E^*$) be a biorthogonal system such that $\{f_n\}$ is total. Then the associated cone $K = K_{(x_n, f_n)}$ has an unbounded base.*

Proof. Define $f \in E^*$ by

$$(11) \quad f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i \|f_i\|} f_i(x) \quad (x \in E).$$

It is true that the set

$$(12) \quad B = \{y \in K \mid f(y) = 1\}$$

is an unbounded base of K . In fact, B is convex and closed and for every $x \in K \sim \{0\}$ we have $x = \lambda y$, where $\lambda = f(x) > 0$ and $y = (1/f(x))x \in B$. This representation is unique since the relations $x = \lambda_1 y_1 = \lambda_2 y_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$,

$y_1, y_2 \in B$ imply by (12) that $f(x) = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, whence also $y_1 = y_2$; therefore B is a base of K . Furthermore, we have

$$f(2^n \|f_n\| x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i \|f_i\|} f_i(2^n \|f_n\| x_n) = 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

i.e. $2^n \|f_n\| x_n \in B$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and this sequence is unbounded, since

$$\|2^n \|f_n\| x_n\| = 2^n \|f_n\| \|x_n\| \geq 2^n \|f_n(x_n)\| = 2^n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

All the results stated in the remainder of the paper for normalized bases $\{x_n\}$, i.e., bases satisfying $\|x_n\| = 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), remain valid, obviously, for “bounded” bases (12, p. 546, Theorem 1.6), i.e. bases satisfying

$$0 < \inf_n \|x_n\| \leq \sup_n \|x_n\| < \infty;$$

we state them here only for normalized bases in order to avoid confusion with boundedness of a base B of K . We recall that a normalized basis $\{x_n\}$ of a Banach space E is said to be of type l_+ (11, p. 353) if there exists a constant $\eta > 0$ such that

$$(13) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \right\| \geq \eta \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

for any finite sequence $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \geq 0$. As shown in (11, Proposition 1), this happens if and only if there exists a functional $f \in E^*$ such that

$$(14) \quad f(x_n) \geq 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

THEOREM 3. *A normalized basis $\{x_n\}$ of a Banach space E is of type l_+ if and only if the associated cone $K = K_{\{x_n\}}$ has a bounded base.*

Proof. Assume that $\{x_n\}$ is a normalized basis of type l_+ . Put

$$(15) \quad B = \{y \in K \mid f(y) = 1\},$$

where $f \in E^*$ is any functional satisfying (14). Then B is a base of K and for every $y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i \in B$ we have, taking into account $\|x_n\| = 1$ and $\alpha_n \geq 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$),

$$\|y\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i f(x_i) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i\right) = 1,$$

i.e. B is bounded. Conversely, assume that the cone K associated with the normalized basis $\{x_n\}$ has a bounded base B . Then $0 \notin B$ (since otherwise by the convexity of B , for any $y \in B$ one would have $\frac{1}{2}y \in B$, whence the element $y \in K$ would have two representations $y = 1 \cdot y = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}y$, i.e. B would not be a base), whence, since B is closed and convex, there exists a functional $f \in E^*$ such that

$$(16) \quad \inf_{y \in B} f(y) = \delta > 0.$$

Since $x_n \in K \sim \{0\}$, there exists a unique representation $x_n = \lambda_n y_n$, with $\lambda_n > 0$, $y_n \in B$, whence $x_n/\lambda_n \in B$, whence $1/\lambda_n = \|x_n/\lambda_n\| \leq \sup_{y \in B} \|y\| = C < \infty$ and thus $\lambda_n \geq 1/C$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Therefore, taking also into account (16), we obtain

$$f(x_n) = \lambda_n f(x_n/\lambda_n) \geq \delta/C \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

which proves that $\{x_n\}$ is of type l_+ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

We recall that a normalized basis $\{x_n\}$ of a Banach space E is said to be (a) shrinking, if $\|f\|[\|x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots\|] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $f \in E^*$ (where $[x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots]$ denotes the closed linear subspace spanned by $\{x_j\}_{j=n}^\infty$); (b) of type P (11, p. 354) if $\sup_n \|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\| < \infty$.

COROLLARY 1. *If $\{x_n\}$ is a normalized shrinking basis or a normalized basis of type P of a Banach space E , then every base B of the associated cone $K = K_{\{x_n\}}$ is unbounded.*

In fact, every shrinking basis and every basis of type P is not of type l_+ (11, Theorem 1).

In connection with the above results, the following proposition on general cones (not necessarily associated with biorthogonal systems) will be useful.

PROPOSITION 2. *If a cone K in a Banach space E has a bounded base, then K is normal.*

Proof. Let B be a bounded base of K . Then $\sup_{y \in B} \|y\| = M < \infty$, and since B is closed and $0 \notin B$, we also have $\inf_{y \in B} \|y\| = m > 0$. Let $0 \leq x \leq z$ be arbitrary with $0 \neq x \neq z$. Then x, z , and $z - x$ have unique representations $x = \lambda_1 y_1, z = \lambda_2 y_2, z - x = \lambda_3 y_3$, with $\lambda_i > 0, y_i \in B$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Hence

$$\lambda_2 y_2 = z = (z - x) + x = \lambda_3 y_3 + \lambda_1 y_1 = (\lambda_3 + \lambda_1) \left[\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_3 + \lambda_1} y_3 + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_3 + \lambda_1} y_1 \right].$$

Since B is convex, we have

$$\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_3 + \lambda_1} y_3 + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_3 + \lambda_1} y_1 \in B,$$

whence by the unique representation property occurring in the definition of a base of a cone,

$$\lambda_3 + \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_3 + \lambda_1} y_3 + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_3 + \lambda_1} y_1 = y_2.$$

We observe that the second equality is also a consequence of the first equality, since it amounts to

$$\frac{z - x}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_3} + \frac{x}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_3} = \frac{z}{\lambda_2}.$$

Since $\lambda_3 > 0$, from the first of these equalities we obtain $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$, whence

$$\|x\| = \lambda_1 \|y_1\| \leq \lambda_1 M < \lambda_2 M = \lambda_2 m \frac{M}{m} \leq \lambda_2 \|y_2\| \frac{M}{m} = \|z\| \frac{M}{m},$$

which completes the proof of Proposition 2.

Obviously, the converse of Proposition 2 is not valid, since, e.g., the positive cone K associated with the unit vector basis $\{x_n\}$ of the space $E = c_0$ is normal, but has no bounded base (since $\{x_n\}$ is not of type l_+). From Propositions 1 and 2 also follows the following result.

COROLLARY 1. *If $\{x_n\}$ is a normalized basis of type l_+ of a Banach space E , then the associated cone $K = K_{\{x_n\}}$ is normal.*

One can also prove this result directly, observing that if $\{x_n\}$ is a normalized basis of type l_+ and $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i x_i \right\|$$

(where $\eta > 0$ is the constant occurring in (13)), whence the same also holds for convergent infinite series $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^\infty \beta_i x_i$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$).

Taking also into account Theorem 1, we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 2. *If $\{x_n\}$ is a normalized basis of type l_+ of a Banach space E , and $K = K_{\{x_n\}}$ is the associated cone, then for every $x \in K$ the series $\sum_{i=1}^\infty f_i(x)x_i$ is unconditionally convergent.*

Actually, for bases of type l_+ , one can prove more, namely the result which follows.

PROPOSITION 3. *A normalized basis $\{x_n\}$ of a Banach space E is of type l_+ if and only if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for every $x \in K$ the series $\sum_{i=1}^\infty f_i(x)x_i$ is absolutely convergent (i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|f_i(x)x_i\| < \infty$) and*

$$(17) \quad \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|f_i(x)x_i\| \leq M \|x\| \quad (x \in K).$$

Proof. If $\{x_n\}$ is a normalized basis of type l_+ , then for every $x \in K$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i(x)x_i\| = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)x_i \right\|,$$

whence, taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain (17) with $M = 1/\eta$. Conversely, if $\{x_n\}$ is a normalized basis satisfying (17), then for any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \geq 0$ we have, setting $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$ in (17),

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\alpha_i x_i\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \right\|,$$

i.e., $\{x_n\}$ is of type l_+ , which completes the proof.

In particular, the bases equivalent to the unit vector basis of the space l^1 can be characterized as follows.

THEOREM 4. *A normalized basis $\{x_n\}$ of a Banach space E is equivalent to the unit vector basis of l^1 if and only if the associated cone $K = K_{\{x_n\}}$ is generating and has a bounded base.*

Proof. The cone $K_{\{e_n\}}$ associated with the unit vector basis $\{e_n\}$ of l^1 is generating and by Theorem 3 it has a bounded base. Therefore, the cone $K_{\{x_n\}}$ associated with any basis $\{x_n\}$ equivalent to $\{e_n\}$ has the same properties. Conversely, assume that $\{x_n\}$ is a normalized basis such that $K = K_{\{x_n\}}$ is generating and has a bounded base B . Then by Proposition 2, K is normal, whence, since it is also generating, $\{x_n\}$ is an unconditional basis (by Theorem 1, or by (6)). On the other hand, by Theorem 3, $\{x_n\}$ is of type l_+ . Consequently (11, p. 353, Remark 1), $\{x_n\}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of l^1 , which completes the proof.

The sufficiency part of Theorem 4 can also be proved using Proposition 3, as follows. By Theorem 3, $\{x_i\}$ is of type l_+ . Let $x \in E$ be arbitrary. Then, since K is generating, $x = y - z$, with $y, z \in K$, whence, by Proposition 3,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f_i(x)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f_i(y)| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f_i(z)| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|f_i(y)x_i\| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|f_i(z)x_i\| < \infty.$$

The converse implication ($\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_i| < \infty \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i$ converges) being obvious (since $\{x_n\}$ is normalized and E is complete), $\{x_n\}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of l^1 , which completes the proof.

We shall call a subset B of a cone K in a Banach space E a *hyperbase* of K if there exists a strictly positive functional $f \in E^*$ (i.e. $f(x) > 0$ for all $x \in K \sim \{0\}$) such that $B = \{y \in K | f(y) = 1\}$. It is immediate that every hyperbase is a base, but the converse is not true, even for compact bases, as shown by the following example. Consider in the space $E = l^2$ the compact convex set

$$Q = \{x = \{\xi_n\} \in l^2 | |\xi_j| \leq 1/j \ (j = 1, 2, \dots)\}.$$

Then the linear subspace $G = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} nQ$ spanned by Q is dense in $E = l^2$ (since it contains all almost zero sequences), but does not coincide with E (since otherwise by the theorem of Baire (2, p. 20, Theorem 9) some n_0Q would have an interior point, in contradiction with $\dim E = \infty$). Take an arbitrary $x \in E \sim G$ and put

$$K = \{\lambda(y - x) | y \in Q, \lambda \geq 0\}.$$

Then one can show that K is a cone and $B = Q - x = \{y - x | y \in Q\}$ is a compact base of K , but not a hyperbase of K .

If (x_n, f_n) ($\{x_n\} \subset E, \{f_n\} \subset E^*$) is a biorthogonal system (respectively, if $\{x_n\}$ is a normalized basis of E) then for every sequence $\{\epsilon_n\}$ with $\epsilon_n = \pm 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), the sequence $(\epsilon_n x_n, \epsilon_n f_n)$ is also a biorthogonal system (respectively, $\{\epsilon_n x_n\}$ is also a normalized basis of E). One can therefore consider the associated cone

$$K^{(\epsilon_n)} = K_{(\epsilon_n x_n, \epsilon_n f_n)} = \{x \in E | \epsilon_n f_n(x) \geq 0 \ (n = 1, 2, \dots)\}$$

(respectively, $K^{(\epsilon_n)} = K_{\{\epsilon_n x_n\}}$), and a hyperbase $B^{(\epsilon_n)}$ of $K^{(\epsilon_n)}$. This will permit us to characterize geometrically some other classes of bases in Banach spaces. We shall use the notation

$$B_n^{(\epsilon_j)} = B^{(\epsilon_j)} \cap [x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots] \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We recall (**11**, p. 354) that a normalized basis $\{x_n\}$ of a Banach space E is said to be (a) of type P^* , if $\sup_n \|\sum_{i=1}^n f_i\| < \infty$, where $\{f_n\}$ is the associated sequence of coefficient functionals; (b) of type al_+ , if there exists a sequence $\{\epsilon_n\}$, where $\epsilon_n = \pm 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), such that $\{\epsilon_n x_n\}$ is of type l_+ ; (c) of type wc_0 , if

$$x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$$

(i.e. $f(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ for all $f \in E^*$).

PROPOSITION 4. *A normalized basis $\{x_n\}$ of a Banach space E is*

(a) *of type P^* , if and only if there exists a hyperbase B of K containing all x_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$);*

(b) *not of type al_+ , if and only if for every $\{\epsilon_n\}$, $\epsilon_n = \pm 1$ and for every hyperbase $B^{(\epsilon_n)}$ of the cone $K^{(\epsilon_n)}$ the (unique) numbers $\lambda_n > 0$ for which $B^{(\epsilon_n)} \supset \{\lambda_n \epsilon_n x_n\}$ satisfy $\sup_n \lambda_n = \infty$;*

(c) *of type wc_0 , if and only if for every $\{\epsilon_n\}$, $\epsilon_n = \pm 1$, and every hyperbase $B^{(\epsilon_n)}$ of the cone $K^{(\epsilon_n)}$, the (unique) numbers $\lambda_n > 0$ for which $B^{(\epsilon_n)} \supset \{\lambda_n \epsilon_n x_n\}$ satisfy $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$;*

(d) *shrinking, only if for every $\{\epsilon_n\}$, $\epsilon_n = \pm 1$, and every hyperbase $B^{(\epsilon_n)}$ of the cone $K^{(\epsilon_n)}$ we have $\text{dist}(0, B_n^{(\epsilon_j)}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. (a) If $\{x_n\}$ is of type P^* , then by (**11**, Proposition 3), there exists an $f \in E^*$ such that $f(x_n) = 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Put $B = \{y \in K \mid f(y) = 1\}$. Then B is a hyperbase of K containing all x_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Conversely, if B is a hyperbase of K such that $x_n \in B$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), then there exists an $f \in E^*$ such that $B = \{y \in K \mid f(y) = 1\}$. Then $f(x_n) = 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and therefore, by (**11**, Proposition 3), $\{x_n\}$ is of type P^* .

(b) If $\{x_n\}$ is not of type al_+ , then by (**11**, Proposition 1, we have $\inf_n |f(x_n)| = 0$ ($f \in E^*$). Let $\epsilon_n = \pm 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and let $B^{(\epsilon_n)}$ be an arbitrary hyperbase of the cone $K^{(\epsilon_n)}$. Then there exists $f \in E^*$ such that $B^{(\epsilon_n)} = \{y \in K^{(\epsilon_n)} \mid f(y) = 1\}$, whence $(1/f(\epsilon_n x_n)) \epsilon_n x_n \in B^{(\epsilon_n)}$ and thus

$$\lambda_n = 1/f(\epsilon_n x_n) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

whence $\sup_n \lambda_n = \infty$. Conversely, if $\{x_n\}$ is of type al_+ , then by (**11**, Proposition 1), there exists an $f \in E^*$ such that $|f(x_n)| \geq 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Put $\epsilon_n = \text{sign } f(x_n)$. Then $f(\epsilon_n x_n) \geq 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), whence the set $B^{(\epsilon_n)} = \{y \in K^{(\epsilon_n)} \mid f(y) = 1\}$ is a hyperbase of the cone $K^{(\epsilon_n)}$ and

$$(1/f(\epsilon_n x_n)) \epsilon_n x_n \in B^{(\epsilon_n)} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

whence $\lambda_n = 1/f(\epsilon_n x_n) \leq 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

(c) The proof is similar to that of (b), with slightly more computation in the converse part.

(d) If $\{x_n\}$ is shrinking, let $\epsilon_n = \pm 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and let $B^{(\epsilon_n)}$ be an arbitrary hyperbase of the cone $K^{(\epsilon_n)}$. Then there exists an $f \in E^*$ such that $B^{(\epsilon_n)} = \{y \in K^{(\epsilon_n)} \mid f(y) = 1\}$, whence for any $y \in B_n^{(\epsilon_i)}$,

$$y = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i, \quad \alpha_i \geq 0 \quad (i = n, n + 1, \dots)$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{\|y\|} = f\left(\frac{y}{\|y\|}\right) < \epsilon \quad \text{for } n > N(\epsilon)$$

(since $\{x_n\}$ is shrinking). Therefore $\|y\| > 1/\epsilon$ for all $y \in B_n^{(\epsilon_i)}$ whenever $n > N(\epsilon)$, which completes the proof.

Remark 5. For a biorthogonal system $\{x_i, f_i\}$ with $\{f_i\}$ total, if E is the closed linear span of $\{x_i\}$ and W is the closure of the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i : a_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots; n = 1, 2, \dots \right\},$$

Schaeffer (10, p. 139; 9, p. 251) has shown that $\{x_i, f_i\}$ is an unconditional basis for E if and only if W is a normal b -cone.

REFERENCES

1. T. Andô, *On fundamental properties of a Banach space with a cone*, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 1163–1169.
2. N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear operators. I: General theory* (Interscience, New York, 1958).
3. R. E. Fullerton, *Geometric structure of absolute basis systems in a linear topological space*, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 137–147.
4. I. M. Gel'fand, *Abstrakte Funktionen und lineare Operatoren*, Mat. Sb. 46 (1938), 235–284.
5. V. I. Gurarii, *On bases for sets in Banach spaces*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. 10 (1965), 1235–1240.
6. L. A. Gurevič, *Conic tests for bases of absolute convergence*, Problems of Mathematical Physics and Theory of Functions, Vol. II, pp. 12–21 (Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1964). (Russian)
7. V. Klee, *Some topological properties of convex sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1955), 30–45.
8. M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Positive solutions of operator equations*; translated from the Russian by Richard E. Flaherty; edited by Leo F. Boron (Noordhoff, Gröningen, 1964).
9. H. H. Schaeffer, *Topological vector spaces* (Macmillan, New York, 1966).
10. ——— *Halbgeordnete lokal konvexe Vectorräume*, Math. Ann. 195 (1958), 115–141.
11. I. Singer, *Basic sequences and reflexivity of Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 21 (1961/62), 351–369.
12. ——— *Bases in Banach spaces. I*, Stud. Cerc. Mat. 14 (1963), 533–585. (Romanian)

*The Romanian Academy of Sciences,
Bucharest, Rumania;
The Florida State University,
Tallahassee, Florida*