



The 48th Annual Scientific Meeting of the Nutrition Society of Australia, 3-6 December 2024

## Ultra-processed food intake and cancer risk in the Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study

A.M. Hodge<sup>1,2</sup>, J.K. Bassett<sup>1</sup> and P. Machado<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cancer Council Victoria, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition, School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Deakin University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

<sup>4</sup>Center for Epidemiological Research in Nutrition and Health, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil

A recent umbrella review found that overall greater exposure to ultra-processed foods (UPF) was associated with worse outcomes. For cancer incidence overall (k = 7) and for colorectal cancer (k = 7) there was suggestive low-quality evidence of a positive association. There was no evidence for association with cancers of other sites<sup>(1)</sup>. Analysis of data from EPIC found positive associations for UPF consumption with head and neck cancer, and oesophageal adenocarcinoma, with a small proportion of the association mediated via adiposity<sup>(2)</sup>. This study aims to examine the association between UPF consumption and incidence of cancer, using data from the Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study (MCCS). Adults aged 40-69 years and born in Australia, Greece or Italy (n = 41,513) were recruited between 1990 and 1994; invasive cancer incidence was identified up until June 30th, 2021, by linkage to cancer registries. Dietary data was collected using a food frequency questionnaire developed for the MCCS, and the NOVA classification was used to identify UPF<sup>(3)</sup>. After exclusions, 35,039 (n = 21,244 females and 13,795 males) people were included in the analysis. UPF consumption (% of total grams intake) was modelled as quintiles and as a continuous variable. Flexible parametric models were fitted to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for cancer risk associated with UPF consumption after adjusting for sex, country of birth, socio-economic position, average lifetime alcohol intake (grams/day), smoking status and intensity, education, physical activity score. Overall cancer, overall obesity-related cancer, and individual obesity-related cancers where there were more than 100 cases, were considered as the outcomes. There were 10,445 incident cancers identified, of which 4,237 were considered as obesity-related, with more than 100 cases for cancers of pancreas (n = 270), colorectum (1369), endometrium (n = 223), kidney (n = 216), ovary (n = 159), multiple myeloma (n = 187), and post-menopausal breast (n = 1479). The highest UPF consumers included more males and people born in Australia than the lowest consumers. Positive associations were observed for all cancers: HR for continuous variable 1.03, 95% CI (1.01, 1.05); Q5 vs Q1HR 1.09, 95% CI (1.02, 1.16); obesity-related cancers: HR for continuous variable 1.04, 95% CI (1.01, 1.08); Q5 vs Q1HR 1.10, 95% CI (1.00, 1.22), and post-menopausal breast cancer: HR for continuous variable 1.07, 95% CI (1.00, 1.14); Q5 vs Q1HR 1.12, 95% CI (0.90, 1.41). Direct associations between UPF consumption and cancer outcomes were found, although some of those associations were weak. Limiting the consumption of UPF may reduce cancer risk.

## References

- 1. Lane MM, Gamage E, Du S et al. (2024) BMJ 384, e077310.
- 2. Morales-Berstein F, Biessy C, Viallon V et al. (2024) Eur J Nutr 63(2), 377-396.
- 3. Lane MM, Lotfaliany M, Hodge AM et al. (2023) J Affect Disord 335, 57-66.