

## INTERSECTION THEOREMS FOR SYSTEMS OF SETS

BY  
JOEL SPENCER

ABSTRACT. Let  $n$  and  $k$  be positive integers,  $k \geq 3$ . Denote by  $\varphi(n, k)$  the least positive integer such that if  $F$  is any family of more than  $\varphi(n, k)$  sets, each set with  $n$  elements, then some  $k$  members of  $F$  have pairwise the same intersection. In this paper we obtain a new asymptotic upper bound for  $\varphi(n, k)$ ,  $k$  fixed,  $n$  approaching infinity.

1. **Introduction.** We shall say, following [2], that  $k$  sets form a  $\Delta$ -system if the sets have pairwise the same intersection. We say a family  $F$  does not contain a  $k$  element  $\Delta$ -system if no  $k$  sets in  $F$  form a  $\Delta$ -system. Erdős and Rado [2] proved that to each pair of positive integers  $n, k, k \geq 3$  there corresponds a least integer  $\varphi(n, k)$  so that if  $F$  is a family of distinct  $n$ -element sets,  $|F| > \varphi(n, k)$ , then  $F$  contains a  $k$ -element  $\Delta$ -system. As the case  $k = 3$  is of particular interest, we shall set  $\varphi(n) = \varphi(n, 3)$ . They showed

$$(1.1) \quad (k-1)^n \leq \varphi(n, k) \leq n! (k-1)^n \left\{ 1 - \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \frac{t}{(t+1)! (k-1)^t} \right\}$$

We shall restrict our attention to asymptotic results for fixed  $k$ . Abbott, Hanson, and Sauer [1] showed

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi(n) > [\sqrt{10} - o(1)]^n$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi(n, k) \leq (n+1)! \left\{ \frac{k-1 + (k^2 + 6k - 7)^{1/2}}{4} \right\}^n$$

So, in particular,

$$(1.4) \quad \varphi(n) \leq (n+1)! \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$$

We shall prove:

**THEOREM 1.** *For fixed  $k, \varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $C$  so that*

$$(1.5) \quad \varphi(n, k) \leq Cn! (1 + \varepsilon)^n$$

for all  $n$ .

---

Received by the editors February 9, 1976 and, in revised form, June 23, 1976.

Our proof shall follow the lines of [1]. In [2] Erdős and Rado ask if  $\varphi(n) < K^n$  for some universal constant  $K$ . While our efforts were inspired by this question, we cannot resolve it.

2. **The case  $k = 3$ .** Let  $\varphi(n)$  be as previously defined. Let  $\gamma(n)$  be the least integer so that if  $F$  is a family of  $n$  element sets, *no two disjoint*,  $|F| > \gamma(n)$ , then  $F$  contains a  $\Delta$ -system.

We shall make frequent use of the following *reduction principle*: Suppose  $F$  does not contain a  $\Delta$ -system and  $X \subseteq A_i \in F, 1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then  $\{A_i - X : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$  does not contain a  $\Delta$ -system. (If, say,  $A_1 - X, A_2 - X, A_3 - X$  formed a  $\Delta$ -system, so would  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  in  $F$ .) In particular, setting  $X = \{x\}$ , if  $F$  does not contain a  $\Delta$ -system at most  $\varphi(n - 1)$  sets in  $F$  can contain a given point  $x$ .

LEMMA 1.  $\varphi(n) \leq n\varphi(n - 1) + \gamma(n)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $|F| = \varphi(n)$ ,  $F$  not containing a  $\Delta$ -system. Fix  $S \in F$ . At most  $\varphi(n - 1)$   $T \in F$  contain any particular  $x \in S$ , thus at most  $n\varphi(n - 1)$   $T \in F$  intersect  $S$ . If  $T_1, T_2 \in F$ , both disjoint from  $S$ , then  $T_1 \cap T_2 \neq \emptyset$ , as otherwise  $S, T_1, T_2$  form a  $\Delta$ -system. Hence at most  $\gamma(n)$   $T \in F$  are disjoint from  $S$ .

Let  $F = \{S_1, \dots, S_\gamma\}$ ,  $\gamma = \gamma(n)$ , be a family of non-disjoint  $n$ -sets not containing a  $\Delta$ -system. Let  $t$  be the *average*  $|S_i \cap S_j|, 1 \leq i < j \leq \gamma$ . Formally

$$(2.1) \quad t = \binom{\gamma}{2}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \gamma} |S_i \cap S_j|$$

LEMMA 2.

$$\gamma \leq \frac{n}{t} \varphi(n - 1).$$

**Proof.**

$$(2.2) \quad t = \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \sum_{j \neq i} |S_i \cap S_j| \right]$$

Hence for some  $i$ , say  $i = 1$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \sum_{j \neq 1} |S_1 \cap S_j| \geq t.$$

For  $x \in S_1$ , let

$$(2.4) \quad n(x) = |\{j : x \in S_j, 1 \leq j \leq \gamma\}|.$$

Then

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{x \in S_1} n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\gamma} |S_1 \cap S_j| = n + \sum_{j \neq 1} |S_1 \cap S_j| \geq n + t(\gamma - 1) \geq t\gamma$$

Hence some  $n(x) \geq t\gamma/n$ . But, by the Reduction Principle, all  $n(x) \leq \varphi(n-1)$ .

LEMMA 3. For  $1 \leq s \leq \gamma$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad \gamma \leq t \binom{s}{2} \varphi(n-1) + (n-1)^s \varphi(n-s).$$

**Proof.** For  $X \subseteq \{1, \dots, \gamma\}$ ,  $|X| = s$  set

$$(2.8) \quad g(X) = \sum_{\substack{i, j \in X \\ i < j}} |S_i \cap S_j|$$

By linearity of expected value the average  $g(X)$  is  $t \binom{s}{2}$ . Formally

$$(2.9) \quad \Sigma^* g(X) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \gamma} |S_i \cap S_j| \binom{\gamma-2}{s-2} = t \binom{\gamma}{2} \binom{\gamma-2}{s-2} = t \binom{\gamma}{s} \binom{s}{2}$$

where  $\Sigma^*$  runs over  $X \subseteq \{1, \dots, \gamma\}$ ,  $|X| = s$ . Thus some  $X$  has

$$(2.10) \quad g(X) \leq t \binom{s}{2}.$$

Renumber so that  $X = \{1, \dots, s\}$  for convenience. Set

$$(2.11) \quad Y = \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} S_i \cap S_j, \text{ so } |Y| \leq T \binom{s}{2}.$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq \gamma$  either

(i)  $S_i \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ . There are at most  $|Y| \varphi(n-1) \leq t \binom{s}{2} \varphi(n-1)$  such  $i$  or,

(ii)  $S_i \cap Y = \emptyset$ . Then there exist (not necessarily unique)  $x_1, \dots, x_s; \mathbf{x}_j \in S_i \cap (S_j - Y)$  (as  $S_i \cap S_j \neq \emptyset$  and  $S_i \cap Y = \emptyset$ ). These  $x$ 's are distinct since the  $(S_j - Y)$  are disjoint. There are at most  $\prod_{j=1}^s |S_j - Y| \leq (n-1)^s$  possible sequences and at most  $\varphi(n-s)$  sets with the same sequence (i.e. a common  $s$  points); thus at most  $(n-1)^s \varphi(n-s)$  such  $i$ .

We now prove Theorem 1 (for  $k = 3$ ) using Lemmas 1, 2, 3. Let  $C$  be such that (1.5) holds for  $n \leq n_0$  where  $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$  shall be determined later. We assume (1.5) holds for all  $n' < n$  and proceed by induction. By Lemmas 1, 2

$$(2.12) \quad \varphi(n) \leq n\varphi(n-1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right)$$

so that if  $t \geq \varepsilon^{-1}$  (1.5) follows by induction. We therefore assume  $t < \varepsilon^{-1}$ . From Lemmas 1, 3

$$(2.13) \quad \varphi(n) \leq n\varphi(n-1) + t \binom{s}{2} \varphi(n-1) + (n-1)^s \varphi(n-s)$$

$$(2.14) \quad \leq n\varphi(n-1) + \varepsilon^{-1} \binom{s}{2} \varphi(n-1) + (n-1)^s \varphi(n-s).$$

By induction

$$(2.15) \quad \varphi(n) \leq C(1 + \varepsilon)^n n! \psi(n, \varepsilon, s)$$

where

$$(2.16) \quad \psi(n, \varepsilon, s) = (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} + \varepsilon^{-1} \binom{s}{2} (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} n^{-1} + (1 + \varepsilon)^{-s} (n - 1)^s / (n)_s$$

For  $\varepsilon, s$  fixed

$$(2.17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(n, \varepsilon, s) = (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} + (1 + \varepsilon)^{-s}.$$

Fix  $s = s(\varepsilon)$  so that  $(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} + (1 + \varepsilon)^{-s} < 1$ . Then select  $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon, s) = n_0(\varepsilon)$  so that  $\psi(n, \varepsilon, s) < 1$  for  $n > n_0$ . Then by (2.15), our induction is complete.

By a more careful analysis one can show, using only Lemmas 1, 2, 3, that

$$(2.18) \quad \Phi(N) < n! \exp[n^{0.75+o(1)}]$$

**3. The general case.** In this section we prove Theorem 1. As the proof is basically a generalization of the case  $k = 3$ , we shall be somewhat sketchy. The term “ $\Delta$ -system” shall refer to “ $k$ -element  $\Delta$ -system.” We note that the reduction principle applies to  $k$ -element  $\Delta$ -systems.

**DEFINITION.** For  $2 \leq i \leq K$  let  $\varphi_i(n, k)$  denote the least integer so that if  $F$  is a family of  $n$  element sets, no  $i$  pairwise disjoint,  $|F| > \varphi_i(n, k)$ , then  $F$  contains a  $\Delta$ -system.

We observe

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi_2(n, k) \leq \varphi_3(n, k) \leq \dots \leq \varphi_k(n, k) = \varphi(n, k).$$

For  $k = 3$ ,  $\varphi_2 = \gamma$ ,  $\varphi_3 = \varphi$  in the notation of §2.

**LEMMA 5.** For  $2 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $n \geq 1$  there exists  $t$  so that

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi_i(n, k) \leq \frac{n}{t} \varphi(n - 1, k)$$

and such that for all integral  $s \leq \varphi_i(n, k)$

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi_i(n, k) \leq t \binom{s}{2} \varphi(n - 1, k) + (n - 1)^s \varphi(n - s, k) + s \varphi_{i-1}(n, k)$$

(where for  $i = 2$ ,  $\varphi_1(n, k)$  is interpreted as zero).

**Proof.** Let  $F$  be a family of  $\varphi_i(n, k)$   $n$ -sets, no  $i$  pairwise disjoint, not containing a  $\Delta$ -system. Set  $t$  equal the average  $|S \cap T|$  where  $S, T \in F$ ,  $S \neq T$ . Then (3.2) follows as in Lemma 2. For any  $s \leq \varphi_i(n, k)$  we find (as in Lemma 3)

$S_1, \dots, S_s \in F$  so that, setting

$$(3.4) \quad Y = \bigcup_{1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq s} S_\mu \cap S_\nu$$

we have

$$(3.5) \quad |Y| \leq t \binom{s}{2}$$

All sets in  $F$  either

- (i) intersect  $Y$ ; at most  $|Y| \varphi(n-1, k) \leq t \binom{s}{2} \varphi(n-1, k)$  such sets, or
- (ii) are disjoint from  $Y$  but intersect  $S_1, \dots, S_s$ ; at most  $(n-1)^s \varphi(n-s, k)$  such sets, or
- (iii) are disjoint from  $S_\mu$  for some  $1 \leq \mu \leq s$ . For fixed  $\mu$  there are at most  $\varphi_{i-1}(n, k)$  such sets (as if those sets contained  $i-1$  pairwise disjoint sets with  $S_k$  there would be  $i$  pairwise disjoint sets); at most  $s\varphi_{i-1}(n, k)$  such sets.

The remainder of the proof is purely analytic using Lemma 5.

Select  $C_2, C_3, \dots, C_k = C$ ;  $s_2, s_3, \dots, s_k$  positive integers such that

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 < C_{i-1} < [C_i - C(1 + \varepsilon)^{-s_i}] / s_i, \quad 3 \leq i \leq k \\ 0 < [C_2 - C(1 + \varepsilon)^{-s_2}] s_2 \end{aligned}$$

(E.g., select  $C_k = C$  arbitrarily; having chosen  $C_i$  choose  $s_i$  so that  $C_i - C(1 + \varepsilon)^{-s_i} > 0$  and  $C_{i-1}$  satisfying (3.6)). Let  $K$  be such that

$$(3.7) \quad \varphi_i(n, k) \leq KC_i(1 + \varepsilon)^n n!$$

for  $2 \leq i \leq k$  and all  $n \leq n_0(\varepsilon)$  where  $n_0(\varepsilon)$  shall be determined. We show (3.7) holds for all  $n$  by a double induction on  $n$  and  $i$ . Assume (3.7) holds for all  $n' < n$  and for  $n$  with  $i' < i$ . By (3.2)

$$(3.8) \quad \varphi_i(n, k) \leq K(C/t)n! (1 + \varepsilon)^{n-1} < KC_i(1 + \varepsilon)^n n!$$

if  $t > C/C_i$ . Now assume  $t \leq C/C_i$ . By (3.3), with  $s = s_i$

$$(3.9) \quad \varphi_i(n, k) \leq Kn! (1 + \varepsilon)^n \psi_i(n, s_i, \varepsilon)$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad \psi_i(n, s_i, \varepsilon) = \frac{(C/C_i)(2^{s_i})C}{n} + C(1 + \varepsilon)^{-s_i} \frac{(n-1)^{s_i}}{\binom{n}{s_i}} + s_i C_{i-1}$$

(for  $i = 2, C_1 = 0$ ). Then

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_i(n, s_i, \varepsilon) = C(1 + \varepsilon)^{-s_i} + s_i C_{i-1} < C_i$$

by (3.6). We choose  $n_0(\varepsilon)$  so that

$$(3.12) \quad \psi_i(n, s_i, \varepsilon) < C_i \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq k, n \geq n_0(\varepsilon).$$

(Note that the  $C_i, s_i$  depended only on  $\varepsilon$ .) Then (3.7) holds for  $n, i$  by (3.10), (3.12) and (1.5) holds with constant  $KC$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. H. L. Abbott, D. Hanson, and N. Sauer, *Intersection theorems for systems of sets*, J. Combinatorial Theory **12** (1972), 381–389.
2. P. Erdős and R. Rado, *Intersection theorems for systems of sets*, J. London Math. Soc. **35** (1960), 85–90.

DEPT. OF MATH.,  
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK  
AT STONY BROOK,  
STONY BROOK, NEW YORK 11794  
U.S.A.