

ON RECURRENCE FORMULAE

by T. M. MACROBERT

(Received 20th October, 1955)

1. Introductory. The recurrence formulae for the Bessel, Legendre, hypergeometric and other such functions can all be related to each other by means of the E -functions. In this paper it will be shown how, starting from known recurrence formulae for the hypergeometric function, others can be derived. The E -function formulae are deduced in § 2, and the others in § 3.

2. E-function formulae. Many recurrence formulae are known ([1], [2]) for the hypergeometric function. From these the following four have been selected for consideration.

$$\gamma F(\alpha, \beta - 1; \gamma; z) - \gamma F(\alpha - 1, \beta; \gamma; z) + (\alpha - \beta)zF(\alpha, \beta; \gamma + 1; z) = 0. \quad \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

$$\gamma(\alpha - \beta)F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) - \alpha(\gamma - \beta)F(\alpha + 1, \beta; \gamma + 1; z) + \beta(\gamma - \alpha)F(\alpha, \beta + 1; \gamma + 1; z) = 0. \quad \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

$$\gamma(\gamma + 1)F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) - \gamma(\gamma + 1)F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma + 1; z) - \alpha\beta zF(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1; \gamma + 2; z) = 0. \quad \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

$$(\beta - \alpha)F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) + \alpha F(\alpha + 1, \beta; \gamma; z) - \beta F(\alpha, \beta + 1; \gamma; z) = 0. \quad \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

Now in (1) replace α, β, γ and z by $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \rho_1 - 1$ and $-1/z$, and multiply by $\Gamma(\alpha_1), \Gamma(\alpha_2)$ and $1/\Gamma(\rho_1)$; then the formula can be written

$$(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)E(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \rho_1 : z) = (\alpha_2 - 1)zE(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 - 1; \rho_1 - 1 : z) - (\alpha_1 - 1)zE(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2; \rho_1 - 1 : z);$$

and, when this is generalised, it becomes

$$(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)z^{-1}E(p; \alpha_r : q; \rho_s : z) = (\alpha_2 - 1)E(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 - 1, \dots, \alpha_p - 1; q; \rho_s - 1 : z) \\ - (\alpha_1 - 1)E(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 - 1, \dots, \alpha_p - 1; q; \rho_s - 1 : z). \quad \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

In the same manner, from formulae (2) to (4) the following can be derived.

$$(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)E(p; \alpha_r : q; \rho_s : z) = (\rho_1 - \alpha_2)E(\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p; \rho_1 + 1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_q : z) \\ - (\rho_1 - \alpha_1)E(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 1, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_p; \rho_1 + 1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_q : z). \quad \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

$$E(p; \alpha_r : q; \rho_s : z) = \rho_1 E(p; \alpha_r; \rho_1 + 1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_q : z) \\ - z^{-1}E(p; \alpha_r + 1; \rho_1 + 2, \rho_2 + 1, \dots, \rho_q + 1 : z). \quad \dots \dots \dots (7)$$

$$(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)E(p; \alpha_r : q; \rho_s : z) = E(\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p; q; \rho_s : z) \\ - E(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 1, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_p; q; \rho_s : z). \quad \dots \dots \dots (8)$$

From these formulae others can be deduced. For instance, on applying (5) to the terms on the right of (5), it is found that

$$(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 1)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)E(p; \alpha_r : q; \rho_s : z) \\ = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1)(\alpha_2 - 1)(\alpha_2 - 2)z^2E(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 - 2, \dots, \alpha_p - 2; q; \rho_s - 2 : z) \\ - 2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_2 - 1)z^2E(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2 - 1, \alpha_3 - 2, \dots, \alpha_p - 2; q; \rho_s - 2 : z) \\ + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 1)(\alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_1 - 2)z^2E(\alpha_1 - 2, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 - 2, \dots, \alpha_p - 2; q; \rho_s - 2 : z). \quad \dots \dots \dots (9)$$

Again, on applying (5) to the terms on the right of (8), we get

$$(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 1)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)E(p; \alpha_r : q; \rho_s : z) \\ = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1)(\alpha_2 - 1)zE(\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2 - 1, \dots, \alpha_p - 1; q; \rho_s - 1 : z) \\ - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)zE(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 - 1, \dots, \alpha_p - 1; q; \rho_s - 1 : z) \\ + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 1)(\alpha_1 - 1)zE(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2 + 1, \alpha_3 - 1, \dots, \alpha_p - 1; q; \rho_s - 1 : z). \quad \dots \dots \dots (10)$$

Finally, apply (5) to the terms on the right of (6), and so obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 1)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)E(p; \alpha_r : q; \rho_s : z) \\
 & = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1)(\rho_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_2 - 1)zE(\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2 - 1, \dots, \alpha_p - 1 : \rho_1, \rho_2 - 1, \dots, \rho_q - 1 : z) \\
 & \quad - \{\rho_1(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1) - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2\}zE(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 - 1, \dots, \alpha_p - 1 : \rho_1, \rho_2 - 1, \dots, \rho_q - 1 : z) \\
 & \quad + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 1)(\rho_1 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_1 - 1)zE(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2 + 1, \alpha_3 - 1, \dots, \alpha_p - 1 : \rho_1, \rho_2 - 1, \dots, \rho_q - 1 : z) \dots (11)
 \end{aligned}$$

The formula for the derivative is

$$\frac{d}{dz} E(p; \alpha_r : q; \rho_s : z) = \frac{1}{z^2} E(p; \alpha_r + 1 : q; \rho_s + 1 : z). \dots (12)$$

3. Special Cases. The recurrence formulae for the Bessel and Legendre functions are special cases of the formulae of § 2.

Bessel functions. In (7) take $p = 0, q = 1, \rho_1 = n$ and replace z by $4/z^2$; then, since

$$J_n(z) = (\tfrac{1}{2}z)^n E(: n + 1 : 4/z^2), \dots (13)$$

$$J_{n-1}(z) - 2nz^{-1}J_n(z) + J_{n+1}(z) = 0. \dots (14)$$

Next, in (10) put $p = 2, q = 0, \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2} + n, \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2} - n$, and replace z by $2z$; then, since

$$E(\tfrac{1}{2} + n, \tfrac{1}{2} - n : 2z) = \sec n\pi \sqrt{(2\pi z)} e^z K_n(z), \dots (15)$$

$$2nz^{-1}K_n(z) = K_{n+1}(z) - K_{n-1}(z). \dots (16)$$

Legendre functions. In (10) put $p = 2, q = 1, \alpha_1 = -n, \alpha_2 = n + 1, \rho_1 = m + 2$, and replace z by $2/(z - 1)$; then, since

$$T_n^{-m}(z) = -\pi^{-1} \sin n\pi \left(\frac{1-z}{1+z} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}m} E(-n, n+1 : m+1 : \frac{2}{z-1}), \dots (17)$$

$$(2n+1)\sqrt{1-z^2}T_n^{-m-1}(z) = T_{n-1}^{-m}(z) - T_{n+1}^{-m}(z). \dots (18)$$

Again, in (11) put $p = 2, q = 1, \alpha_1 = -n, \alpha_2 = n + 1, \rho_1 = m + 1$ and replace z by $2/(z - 1)$; then

$$(n+m+1)T_{n+1}^{-m}(z) - (2n+1)zT_n^{-m}(z) + (n-m)T_{n-1}^{-m}(z) = 0. \dots (19)$$

Next, in (7) put $p = 2, q = 1, \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}(n+m), \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}(n+m+1), \rho_1 = n + \frac{1}{2}$, and replace z by $-z^2$; then, since

$$Q_n^m(z) = 2^{m-1}(z^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}m} z^{-n-m-1} E(\tfrac{1}{2}n + \tfrac{1}{2}m + \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}n + \tfrac{1}{2}m + 1 : n + \tfrac{3}{2} : -z^2), \dots (20)$$

$$Q_{n-1}^m(z) - (2n+1)\sqrt{(z^2 - 1)}Q_n^{m-1}(z) - Q_{n+1}^m(z) = 0. \dots (21)$$

$$\text{From (12), } \frac{d}{dz} E(: n + 1 : 4/z^2) = -\frac{1}{2}z E(: n + 2 : 4/z^2),$$

and therefore, from (13),

$$J'_n(z) - nz^{-1}J_n(z) = -J_{n+1}(z). \dots (22)$$

Hence, from (14),

$$2J'_n(z) = J_{n-1}(z) - J_{n+1}(z). \dots (23)$$

The other derivatives can be derived in much the same way. For instance, for $K_n(z)$, apply (9) to the expression on the right of (12), and then use formula (15).

REFERENCES

1. Gauss, C. F., *Werke III* (1876), 130, 133.
2. MacRobert, T. M., Proofs of some formulae for the hypergeometric function, *Phil. Mag.* (7) 16 (1933), 440, 441, 442.

THE UNIVERSITY
GLASGOW