



# Semi-classical Asymptotics for the Schrödinger Operator with Oscillating Decaying Potential

Mouez Dimassi

*Abstract.* We study the distribution of the discrete spectrum of the Schrödinger operator perturbed by a fast oscillating decaying potential depending on a small parameter  $h$ .

## 1 Introduction

This note is devoted to the study of the discrete spectrum of the operator

$$H(h) := -\Delta_y + V(hy, y),$$

where  $\Delta_y$  is the usual Laplacian with respect to  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $h > 0$ . The function  $(x, y) \mapsto V(x, y)$  is smooth, real-valued, and  $\Gamma$ -periodic on  $y$ . Suppose in addition that  $V$  is bounded with all its derivatives and satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n / \Gamma} |V(x, y)| = 0.$$

The operator  $H := -\Delta$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with domain  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is self-adjoint; its discrete spectrum is empty, while the essential one coincides with  $[0, +\infty[$ . Under the above hypothesis, the operator  $H(h)$  admits a unique self-adjoint realization in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with domain  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Moreover, the essential spectrum of  $H(h)$  and  $H$  are the same. In  $]-\infty, 0[$  we have a discrete spectrum caused by the potential  $V$ .

There are many works on the location of the absolutely continuous spectrum of the Schrödinger operator with oscillating decaying potential (see [1, 2, 7–9, 23, 24, 33] and the references given there).

The asymptotic behaviour of the discrete spectrum of  $H(1) = -\Delta + V(y, y)$  near the origin was studied in [25].

In the one-dimensional case, the existence and the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues of the operator  $Q(h) = -\partial_x^2 + V_0(x) + V(x, \frac{x}{h})$ , tending to the border of the essential spectrum as  $h \searrow 0$ , were established in [5] for  $V_0 = 0$ , and in [15] for periodic potential  $V_0$  (see also [4, 5, 14, 16, 17]). Our problem here is different. In fact, the scaling of  $H(h)$  is that of semiclassical analysis. In particular, the number of discrete eigenvalues grows as  $h \searrow 0$  and satisfies a Weyl type asymptotics. To our

---

Received by the editors January 14, 2016; revised April 12, 2016.

Published electronically May 24, 2016.

AMS subject classification: 81Q10, 35P20, 47A55, 47N50, 81Q15.

Keywords: periodic Schrödinger operator, semi-classical asymptotics, effective Hamiltonian, asymptotic expansion, spectral shift function.

best knowledge, there has been no work so far treating the semiclassical asymptotics of the Schrödinger operator with oscillating decaying potential.

In this paper, for  $f \in C_0^\infty ]-\infty, 0[; \mathbb{R}$ , we give a complete asymptotic expansion of the trace of  $f(H(h))$  in powers of  $h$ . We also establish a Weyl-type asymptotics formula with optimal remainder estimate. Our results depend on the Floquet eigenvalues of a periodic Schrödinger operator depending on the variable “ $x$ ” (see (2.1)). The proof is similar in spirit to the one in [11] and based on the effective Hamiltonian method (see Subsection 2.2).

**The paper is organized as follows:** In the next section, we formulate our main results and draw conclusions and comments on it. We give an outline of the proofs in Subsection 2.2. We introduce a class of symbols and the corresponding  $h$ -Weyl operators (see Subsection 3.2). In Subsections 3.1 and 3.3 we recall the effective Hamiltonian method. The proofs of the main results are given in Section 4.

**Notation** We employ the following standard notations. Given a complex function  $f_h$  depending on a small positive parameter  $h$ , the relation  $f_h = \mathcal{O}(h^N)$  means that there exists  $C_N, h_N > 0$  such that  $|f_h| \leq C_N h^N$  for all  $h \in ]0, h_N[$ . The relation  $f_h = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$  means that, for all  $N \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , we have  $f_h = \mathcal{O}(h^N)$ . We write  $f_h \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j h^j$  if, for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $f_h - \sum_{j=0}^N a_j h^j = \mathcal{O}(h^{N+1})$ .

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. The scalar product in  $H$  will be denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . The set of linear bounded operators from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(H_1)$  in the case where  $H_1 = H_2$ .

## 2 Preliminaries and Results

Let  $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}e_i$  be a lattice generated by the basis  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The reciprocal lattice  $\Gamma^*$  is defined as the lattice generated by the dual basis  $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*\}$  determined by  $e_j \cdot e_i^* = 2\pi\delta_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $E$  and  $E^*$  be fundamental domains for  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma^*$ , respectively. If we identify opposite edges of  $E$  (resp.  $E^*$ ), then it becomes a flat torus denoted by  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}^n / \Gamma$  (resp.  $\mathbb{T}^* = \mathbb{R}^n / \Gamma^*$ ).

Let  $V$  be as above. For  $(x, \xi)$  fixed in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , we define

$$(2.1) \quad P(x, \xi) := (D_y + \xi)^2 + V(x, y): L^2(\mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T})$$

as unbounded operator with domain  $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ . The Hamiltonian  $P(x, \xi)$  is semibounded and self-adjoint. Since the resolvent of  $(D_y + \xi)^2$  is compact, the resolvent of  $P(x, \xi)$  is also compact, and therefore  $P(x, \xi)$  has a complete set of (normalized) eigenfunctions  $\Phi_n(\cdot, x, \xi) \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , called Bloch functions. The corresponding eigenvalues accumulate at infinity, and we enumerate them according to their multiplicities,

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda_1(x, \xi) \leq \lambda_2(x, \xi) \leq \dots$$

Since  $e^{-iy\cdot\gamma^*} P(x, \xi) e^{iy\cdot\gamma^*} = P(x, \xi + \gamma^*)$ , it follows that  $\xi \mapsto \lambda_m(x, \xi)$  is  $\Gamma^*$ -periodic. The function  $\xi \mapsto \lambda_m(x, \xi)$  is called the band function. Standard perturbation theory shows that  $\lambda_m(x, \xi)$  is real continuous function and analytic in a neighborhood of

any  $\xi_0$  such that  $\lambda_m(x, \xi_0)$  is simple, i.e.,

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda_{m-1}(x, \xi_0) < \lambda_m(x, \xi_0) < \lambda_{m+1}(x, \xi_0).$$

We are now in a position to state our main results.

**Theorem 2.1** Assume (1.1), and let  $f \in C_0^\infty(]-\infty, 0[; \mathbb{R})$ . The operator  $f(H(h))$  is of trace class, and there exists a sequence of real numbers  $(a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad \text{tr} [f(H(h))] \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j h^{j-n}, \quad h \searrow 0,$$

with

$$(2.5) \quad a_0 = (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{k \geq 1} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times E^*} f(\lambda_k(x, \xi)) dx d\xi.$$

Let  $[a, b] \subset ]-\infty, 0[$  be an  $h$ -independent sub-interval, and let  $N([a, b]; h)$  denote the number of eigenvalues of  $H(h)$  in  $[a, b]$  (counted with their multiplicity).

**Corollary 2.2** Under the assumption of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{h \searrow 0} [(2\pi h)^n N([a, b]; h)] = \sum_{k \geq 1} \text{vol} \{ (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times E^*; \lambda_k(x, \xi) \in [a, b] \}.$$

Under an additional assumption, we shall improve the above corollary. Fix  $b < 0$ , and let

$$\Sigma_b = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \{ (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times E^*; \lambda_j(x, \xi) = b \}.$$

We make the following assumption :

**H :** for all  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \Sigma_b$ ,  $\lambda_j(x_0, \xi_0)$  satisfies (2.3) and  $\nabla_{x, \xi} \lambda_j(x_0, \xi_0) \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.3** Under the condition stated above, we have

$$(2\pi h)^n N(]-\infty, b]; h) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \text{vol} \{ (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times E^*; \lambda_j(x, \xi) \leq b \} + \mathcal{O}(h), \quad (h \searrow 0).$$

Notice that if  $V$  is positive, then the set of discrete spectrum is empty. In particular, the leading terms of the above asymptotics are all zero. The following result can be useful.

**Theorem 2.4** We suppose that there exists  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\int_E V(x_0, y) dy < 0$ . Then  $\lambda_1(x_0, 0) < 0$ . In particular, for  $b$  small enough, the right-hand sides of (2.5) and (2.6) are strictly positive.

**Remark 2.5**

(i) Notice that only a finite number of terms in the above sums are non-zero, since  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_m(x, \xi) = +\infty$ . On the other hand, since  $\sup_{y \in \mathbb{T}} |V(x, y)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x|$  tends to infinity, it follows that  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_m(x, \xi) \geq 0$ . Thus, we can replace  $\mathbb{R}^n \times E^*$  in (2.5) by  $K \times E^*$ , where  $K$  is a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(ii) Here is another way of stating (2.5). Let  $\rho(t, x)$  be the integrated density of states corresponding to the operator  $-\Delta_y + V(x, y)$  (where  $x$  is a parameter), i.e.,

$$\rho(t, x) := (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{m \geq 1} \int_{\{\xi \in E^*; \lambda_m(x, \xi) \leq t\}} d\xi.$$

Using integration by parts in (2.5), we obtain

$$a_0 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(t) \rho(t, x) dt dx.$$

The following result will be useful in the study of the spectral shift function and can be proved in much the same way as Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.6** *We assume here that  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, V(x, y) \neq 0\} \subset K$ , for some compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  independent of  $y \in \mathbb{T}$ . For  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ , the operator  $(f(H(h)) - f(H_0))$  is of trace class, and there exists a sequence of real numbers  $(b_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that*

$$\text{tr} [f(H(h)) - f(H_0)] \sim \sum_{j=0}^\infty b_j h^{j-n}, \quad h \searrow 0,$$

with

$$b_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(t) [\rho_0(t) - \rho(t, x)] dt dx.$$

Here  $\rho_0(t) = c_n (2\pi)^{-n} t_+^{n/2}$  is the integrated density of states corresponding to  $-\Delta$ , where  $c_n$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t_+ = (|t| + t)/2$ .

### 2.1 Comments

(a) Our results remain valid for the periodic Schrödinger operator with oscillating potential. In fact, let  $y \mapsto V_0(y)$  be a real-valued  $\Gamma$ -periodic function, and consider the operator

$$P(h) := P + V(hy, y), \quad P = -\Delta_y + V_0(y).$$

The operator  $P$  with domain  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is self-adjoint; its spectrum is the union of finite or infinite sequence of intervals  $[\alpha_n, \beta_n]$  called band that are separated by gaps. Under the assumption (1.1) the essential spectra of  $P(h)$  and  $P$  are the same. In  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma(P)$  we have a discrete spectrum caused by the potential  $V$ . Let  $[a, b]$  be a closed interval such that  $[a, b] \cap \sigma(P) = \emptyset$ . Replacing  $H(h)$  by  $P(h)$ , Theorems 2.1–2.3 and Corollary 2.2 hold provided that we replace  $\lambda_k(x, \xi)$  by  $\mu_k(x, \xi)$ , where now  $\mu_k(x, \xi)$  are the eigenvalues of the periodic hamiltonian

$$P_1(x, \xi) = (D_y + \xi)^2 + V_0(y) + V(x, y): L^2(\mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}).$$

(b) Fix  $n \geq 3$ , and assume for simplicity that  $x \mapsto \sup_{y \in \mathbb{T}} |V(x, y)|^{n/2} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and that  $V$  is negative. By the Cwikel–Lieb–Rozenblum bound (see, for instance, [22, 28]) it is known that

$$N(-\infty, 0[; h) \leq L_n h^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{T}} |V(x, y)|^{n/2} dx,$$

where the constant  $L_n$  depends only on  $n$ . Using the above inequality we can prove that (2.6) remains true for  $b = 0$ . This and more precise results on the discrete spectrum of the perturbed periodic Schrödinger operator near the edges of gaps will be considered in a forthcoming paper with M. Assal.

## 2.2 Outline of the Proofs

By the change of variable  $x = hz$ , the operator  $H(h)$  is unitarily equivalent to

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{H}(h) = -h^2 \Delta_z + V\left(z, \frac{z}{h}\right).$$

In the case where  $V(x, y) = V(x)$  is independent of the periodic variable  $y$ , the operator  $\tilde{H}(h)$  is still the semiclassical Schrödinger one, and all our results are well known in this case (see [13, 27] and the references given there).

However, there are two spatial scales in the potential  $V(hx, x)$ , namely  $x$  and  $y = hx$ , which are completely different when  $h$  tends to zero. So  $H(h)$  cannot be identified with the semiclassical Schrödinger operator method, which allows us to reduce the spectral study of  $H(h)$  to the one of a system of  $h$ -pseudodifferential operators  $E_{\pm}(z, h)$ , acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^*; \mathbb{C}^N)$  (see Proposition 3.2). Thus, we establish a trace formula involving the effective Hamiltonian  $E_{\pm}(z, h)$  (see (4.6)). Now, using some standard results on  $h$ -pseudodifferential calculus, we prove our results.

## 3 Effective Hamiltonian Method

### 3.1 Grushin Problem: Brief Description

In this paragraph we review some of the standard facts on the Grushin problem. Let  $H_1, H_2$  and  $H_3$  be three Hilbert spaces, and let  $P \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_3)$ . Assume that there exists  $R_+ \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$  and  $R_- \in \mathcal{L}(H_2, H_3)$  such that the operator

$$\mathcal{P}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} P - z & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} : H_1 \times H_2 \longrightarrow H_3 \times H_2$$

is bijective for  $z \in \Omega$ . Here,  $\Omega$  is an open bounded set in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{E}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} E(z) & E_+(z) \\ E_-(z) & E_{\pm}(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

be its inverse. We refer to the problem  $\mathcal{P}(z)$  as a *Grushin problem* and the operator  $E_{\pm}(z)$  is called *effective Hamiltonian*. The following properties are consequence of the identities  $\mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{P} = I$  and  $\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{E} = I$ :

$$(3.1) \quad (P - z) \text{ is invertible if and only if } E_{\pm}(z) \text{ is invertible,}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \dim \ker(P - z) = \dim \ker(E_{\pm}(z)),$$

$$(3.3) \quad (P - z)^{-1} = E(z) - E_+(z)E_{\pm}^{-1}(z)E_-(z),$$

$$(3.4) \quad E_{\pm}^{-1}(z) = R_+(z - P)^{-1}R_-.$$

On the other hand, since  $z \rightarrow (P - z)$  is holomorphic, it follows that the operators  $E(z), E_{\pm}(z), E_{-+}(z)$  are also holomorphic in  $z \in \Omega$ . Moreover, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \partial_z E_{-+}(z) = E_{-}(z)E_{+}(z).$$

This identity comes from the fact that  $R_{\pm}$  are independent of  $z$ .

### 3.2 Classes of Symbols and Notations

For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $S(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  the space of  $P \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,\xi}; \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  such that for all  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{N}^n$  there exists  $C_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad \|\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} P(x, \xi)\|_{\mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C})} \leq C_{\alpha,\beta},$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$  is the set of  $N \times N$ -matrices.

If  $P$  depends on a semiclassical parameter  $h \in ]0, h_0]$  and possibly on other parameters as well, we require (3.6) to hold uniformly with respect to these parameters. For  $h$ -dependent symbols, we say that  $P(x, \xi; h)$  has an asymptotic expansion in powers of  $h$ , and we write

$$P(x, \xi; h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_j(x, \xi) h^j$$

if for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$h^{-(m+1)} \left( P - \sum_{j=0}^m P_j h^j \right) \in S(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C})).$$

For  $P \in S(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$ , the  $h$ -Weyl operator  $P = P^w(x, hD_x; h)$  is defined by

$$P^w(x, hD_x; h)u(x) = (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y) \cdot \xi} P\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi; h\right) u(y) dy d\xi.$$

Here,  $D_x = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ . Assume now that  $P(x, \xi; h)$  is  $\Gamma^*$ -periodic in  $x$ . Then  $P^w(x, hD_x; h)$  is well defined and bounded from  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^*)$  into  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^*)$ . In particular, we have a global  $h$ -pseudodifferential calculus on the torus in analogy to the one in Euclidean space. In an appendix, we recall some well-known results on the  $h$ -pseudodifferential calculus.

### 3.3 Reduction to a Semiclassical Problem

In this subsection, we recall some results on the effective Hamiltonian method of the perturbed periodic Schrödinger operator. For the convenience of the reader we repeat the relevant material from [18] without proofs, thus making our exposition self-contained. We will only point out the main ideas of the proofs.

In the sequel we fix a compact interval  $I = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and we denote by  $T_{\Gamma}$  the distribution in  $S'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  defined by  $T_{\Gamma}(x, y) = \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma} \delta(x - hy - h\beta)$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we introduce the following Hilbert space with its natural norm

$$\mathbb{L}^m := \left\{ u(x) T_{\Gamma}(x, y) ; \partial_x^{\alpha} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \forall \alpha, |\alpha| \leq m \right\}.$$

Using that

$$\left[ (hD_x + D_y)^2 + V(x, y) \right] (u(x) T_{\Gamma}(x, y)) = \left[ \left( -h^2 \Delta_x + V\left(x, \frac{x}{h}\right) \right) u(x) \right] T_{\Gamma}(x, y)$$

and (2.7), it follows easily that the operator  $H(h)$  acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with domain  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is unitary equivalent to

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbb{P}(h) := (D_y + hD_x)^2 + V(x, y): \mathbb{L}^0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^0$$

with domain  $\mathbb{L}^2$ . The advantage of using (3.7) lies in the fact that  $\mathbb{P}(h)$  is the semi-classical Schrödinger operator with respect to  $x$  with symbol  $P(x, \xi) = (D_y + \xi)^2 + V(x, y)$ .

First, we work on the symbolic level. Using the Floquet theory, we construct the following Grushin problem for the symbol  $P(x, \xi)$ .

**Proposition 3.1** ([18, Proposition 2.1]) *There exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , a complex neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $I$ , and a bounded operator  $r_+$  in  $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{T}); \mathbb{C}^N)$  such that for all  $z \in \Omega$  and  $0 < h < h_0$  small enough, the operator*

$$\mathcal{P}(x, \xi, z) := \begin{pmatrix} P(x, \xi) - z & r_+^* \\ r_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}: H^2(\mathbb{T}) \times \mathbb{C}^N \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}) \times \mathbb{C}^N,$$

is bijective with bounded two-sided inverse

$$\mathcal{E}(x, \xi, z) := \begin{pmatrix} e(x, \xi, z) & e_+(x, \xi, z) \\ e_-(x, \xi, z) & e_{-+}(x, \xi, z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here,  $e_{-+} \in S(\mathbb{R}_{x,\xi}^{2d}; \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  is  $\Gamma^*$ -periodic in  $\xi$ .

We now turn to the quantization of  $\mathcal{P}(x, \xi, z)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(x, \xi, z)$ . According to Propositions A.1 and A.2, we have

$$\mathcal{P}^w(x, hD_x, z) \circ \mathcal{E}^w(x, hD_x, z) = I + h\mathcal{R}^w(x, hD_x, z; h),$$

with  $\|\mathcal{R}^w\| = \mathcal{O}(1)$ . By Proposition A.4, the right-hand side of the above equality is invertible for  $h$  small enough. Consequently, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.2** ([18, Theorem 3.7, Remark 3.9]) *There exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , a complex neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $I$ , and a bounded operator  $R_+$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}^0; L^2(\mathbb{T}^*; \mathbb{C}^N))$  such that for all  $z \in \Omega$  and  $0 < h < h_0$  small enough, the operator*

$$\mathcal{P}(z, h) := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}(h) - z & R_+^* \\ R_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}: \mathbb{L}^2 \times L^2(\mathbb{T}^*; \mathbb{C}^N) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^0 \times L^2(\mathbb{T}^*; \mathbb{C}^N)$$

is bijective with bounded two-sided inverse

$$\mathcal{E}(z, h) := \begin{pmatrix} E(z, h) & E_+(z, h) \\ E_-(z, h) & E_{-+}(z, h) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here,  $E_{-+} := E_{-+}^w(x, hD_x, z; h)$  is an  $h$ -pseudodifferential operator with symbol  $\Gamma^*$ -periodic in  $x$  and

$$E_{-+}(x, \xi, z; h) \sim \sum_{l \geq 0} E_{l,-+}(x, \xi, z) h^l,$$

where  $E_{0,-+}(x, \xi, z) = e_{-+}(\xi, -x, z)$  is given in Proposition 3.1.

For simplicity of notation we ignore the dependence of  $E, E_{\pm}, E_{-+}$  on  $(z, h)$ . From (2.1), (2.2), (3.2), (3.1), (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), and the above propositions, it follows that

$$(3.8) \quad (z - \mathbb{P}(h))^{-1} = -E + E_+ E_{-+}^{-1} E_-,$$

$$(3.9) \quad E_{-+}^{-1} = R_+ (z - \mathbb{P}(h))^{-1} R_+^*,$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad \partial_z E_{-+} = E_- E_+,$$

$$\det(e_{-+}(x, \xi, z)) = 0 \text{ iff } \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } z = \lambda_k(x; \xi),$$

$$(3.11) \quad \|(e_{-+}(x, \xi, z))^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))} \leq \frac{C}{|\Im z|},$$

$$\dim \ker(P(x, \xi) - z) = \dim \ker(e_{-+}(x, \xi, z)).$$

**Remark 3.3** Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $d = \dim \ker(e_{-+}(x, \xi, z))$  for a fixed  $(x, \xi)$ . By ordinary perturbation theory (see Kato [21]) we can reorder the eigenvalues  $(\lambda_j(z))_{1 \leq j \leq N}$  of  $e_{-+}(x, \xi, z)$  to be holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda_1(z_0) = \dots = \lambda_d(z_0) = 0$ . Using (3.11) we see that  $|\lambda_j(z)| \geq C_j |\Im z|$ , so  $\lambda'_j(z_0) \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq N$ . Hence,  $z \mapsto \det e_{-+}(x, \xi, z)$  has a root  $z_0$  of multiplicity  $d$ .

## 4 Proof of the Results

### 4.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Fix  $a < b < 0$  such that  $\text{supp } f \subset ]a, b[ =: I$ . Let  $\varphi(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; [0, 1])$  be equal to one for  $|x| > 2R$  and  $\varphi(x) = 0$  for  $|x| < R$ . We fix  $R$  large enough such that

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\varphi(x)V(x,y)| \leq \frac{|b|}{2}.$$

Let  $\widehat{e}_{-+}(x, \xi, z)$  be the effective Hamiltonian given by Proposition 3.1 associated with

$$\widehat{P}(x, \xi) = (D_y + \xi)^2 + \varphi(x)V(x, y),$$

and put

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{E}_{-+}(x, \xi, z; h) &= \widehat{e}_{-+}(\xi, -x, z) + E_{-+}(x, \xi, z; h) - E_{-+}^0(x, \xi, z). \\ &= \widehat{e}_{-+}(\xi, -x, z) + \sum_{j \geq 1} h^j E_{j,-+}(x, \xi, z). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.1), we have

$$\langle (\widehat{P}(x, \xi) - z)u, u \rangle \geq \frac{|b|}{2} \|u\|^2, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^* \times \mathbb{C}^n),$$

uniformly on  $z \in [a, b]$ . Combining this with (3.10), we deduce that

$$|\det \widehat{e}_{-+}(x, \xi, z)| \geq \frac{1}{C} \text{ uniformly on } (x, \xi, z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^* \times [a, b],$$

which together with (4.2) yield, for  $h$  small enough,

$$(4.3) \quad |\det \widehat{E}_{-+}(x, \xi, z; h)| \geq \frac{1}{2C} \text{ uniformly on } (x, \xi, z) \in \mathbb{T}^* \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [a, b].$$

On the other hand, from the properties of  $\varphi$ , we have

$$E_{-+}(x, \xi, z; h) = \widehat{E}_{-+}(x, \xi, z; h) \text{ for large } \xi.$$

It follows from (4.3) and Proposition A.4 that for  $h$  small enough,  $(\widehat{E}_{-+})^{-1}$  is well defined and holomorphic for  $z$  near  $[a, b]$  and

$$\|(\widehat{E}_{-+})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{T}^*; \mathbb{C}^N))} = \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Let  $\tilde{f} \in C_0^\infty((a, b) + i[-1, 1])$  be an almost analytic extension of  $f$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{f} = f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}$  vanishes on  $\mathbb{R}$  to infinite order, i.e.,  $\bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) = \mathcal{O}_N(|\Im z|^N)$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the functional calculus due to Helffer-Sjöstrand (see e.g., [13, Chapter 8]) yields

$$f(\mathbb{P}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z)(z - \mathbb{P})^{-1} L(dz).$$

Here  $L(dz) = dx dy$  is the Lebesgue measure on the complex plane  $\mathbb{C} \sim \mathbb{R}_{x,y}^2$ . The identity

$$E_{-+}^{-1} = \widehat{E}_{-+}^{-1} - E_{-+}^{-1}(E_{-+} - \widehat{E}_{-+})\widehat{E}_{-+}^{-1},$$

combined with (3.8) and the fact that  $\widehat{E}_{-+}^{-1}, E_+, E_-$  are holomorphic in  $z$  near  $[a, b]$ , give

$$(4.4) \quad f(\mathbb{P}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) \left( E_+ E_{-+}^{-1} (\widehat{E}_{-+} - E_{-+}) \widehat{E}_{-+}^{-1} E_- \right) L(dz).$$

In the above equality we have used the fact that  $\int \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) K(z) L(dz) = 0$  provided that  $K(z)$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\text{supp } \tilde{f}$ .

By Proposition A.3,  $(E_{-+} - \widehat{E}_{-+})$  is of trace class and we can take the trace and permute integration and the operator  $\text{tr}$  in (4.4). The identity  $\partial_z E_{-+} = E_- E_+$  shows that for  $\Im z \neq 0$ ,

$$(4.5) \quad \text{tr} \left( E_+ E_{-+}^{-1} (\widehat{E}_{-+} - E_{-+}) \widehat{E}_{-+}^{-1} E_- \right) = \text{tr} \left( E_{-+}^{-1} (\widehat{E}_{-+} - E_{-+}) \widehat{E}_{-+}^{-1} \partial_z E_{-+} \right).$$

Let  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\xi^n)$  be equal to 1 in a neighborhood of

$$\Pi_\xi \left( \text{supp}(E_{-+}^0(x, \xi, z) - \widehat{e}_{-+}(\xi, -x, z)) \right),$$

and denote by  $\widehat{\chi} = \chi^w(hD_x)$  the corresponding operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^*; \mathbb{C}^N)$ . Since

$$\Pi_\xi \left( \text{supp}(E_{0,-+}(x, \xi, z) - \widehat{e}_{-+}(\xi, -x, z)) \right) \cap \text{supp}(1 - \chi) = \emptyset,$$

it follows from Proposition A.5 that

$$\|(\widehat{E}_{-+} - E_{-+})\widehat{E}_{-+}^{-1} \partial_z E_{-+} (1 - \widehat{\chi})\|_{\text{tr}} = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty).$$

On the other hand, (3.9) yields  $\|E_{-+}^{-1}\| = \mathcal{O}(|\Im z|^{-1})$ . Hence

$$\|E_{-+}^{-1} (\widehat{E}_{-+} - E_{-+}) \widehat{E}_{-+}^{-1} \partial_z E_{-+} (1 - \widehat{\chi})\|_{\text{tr}} = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty |\Im z|^{-1}).$$

Combining this equality with (4.4) and (4.5) we obtain

$$\text{tr} [f(\mathbb{P})] = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{tr} \left[ \int \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) E_{-+}^{-1} (\widehat{E}_{-+} - E_{-+}) \widehat{E}_{-+}^{-1} \partial_z E_{-+} \widehat{\chi} L(dz) \right] + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty).$$

Splitting the integral into two terms and using the fact that  $\widehat{E}_{-+}^{-1} \partial_z \widehat{E}_{-+}$  is holomorphic in  $z$ , we get

$$(4.6) \quad \text{tr} [f(\mathbb{P})] = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{tr} \left[ \int \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) E_{-+}^{-1} \partial_z E_{-+} \widehat{\chi} L(dz) \right] + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty).$$

The proof of the following lemma is similar to the one in [11].

**Lemma 4.1** *There exists  $r(x, \xi; h) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  such that*

$$r(x, \xi; h) \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} h^j r_j(x, \xi)$$

and

$$\text{Op}_h^w(r(x, \xi; h)) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|\mathcal{I}z| \geq h^\delta} \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) (E_{-+})^{-1} \partial_z E_{-+} L(dz).$$

Moreover,  $r_j$  is  $\Gamma^*$ -periodic in  $x$  for all  $j \geq 0$  with:

$$r_0(x, \xi) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) (E_{0,-+}(x, \xi, z))^{-1} \partial_z E_{0,-+}(x, \xi, z) L(dz).$$

If we restrict the integral in the right-hand side of (4.6) to the domain  $|\mathcal{I}z| \leq h^\delta$ , then we get a term  $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$  in trace norm. Here we have used the fact that  $\bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) = \mathcal{O}_N(|\mathcal{I}z|^N)$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . If we restrict our attention to the domain  $|\mathcal{I}z| \geq h^\delta$ , then by Lemma 4.1 and Proposition A.3 we get (2.4). To finish the proof let us compute  $a_0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= \iint_{E^* \times \mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\text{tr}}[r_0(x, \xi)] dx d\xi = \iint_{E^* \times \mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\text{tr}}[r_0(x, \xi)] dx d\xi \\ &= \iint_{E^* \times \mathbb{R}^n} \left( -\frac{1}{\pi} \int \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) \widehat{\text{tr}}[(E_{0,-+}(x, \xi, z))^{-1} \partial_z E_{0,-+}(x, \xi, z)] L(dz) \right) dx d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\widehat{\text{tr}}$  denotes the trace in the set of square matrices. Thanks to Liouville's formula (i.e.,  $\widehat{\text{tr}}(\partial_z A(z) A^{-1}(z)) = \frac{\partial_z \det A(z)}{\det A(z)}$  in the sense of matrices), we get

$$a_0 = \iint_{E^* \times \mathbb{R}^n} \left( -\frac{1}{\pi} \int \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) \frac{\partial_z \det E_{-+}^0(x, \xi, z)}{\det E_{-+}^0(x, \xi, z)} L(dz) \right) dx d\xi.$$

To prove (2.5) we use Remark 3.3 and the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2** *Let  $g$  be an analytic function. Let  $(z_k)_{k \geq 1}$  be the roots (counted with their multiplicity) of  $g$  in  $\text{supp}(\tilde{f})$ . We have:*

$$\frac{-1}{\pi} \int \bar{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} L(dz) = \sum_{k \geq 1} f(z_k).$$

**Proof** This follows from the formula  $\frac{1}{\pi} \bar{\partial}_z \left( \frac{1}{z-z_0} \right) = \delta(\cdot - z_0)$  and the fact that

$$\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{z - z_k} + k(z),$$

where  $k$  is holomorphic for  $z$  in a small neighborhood of  $\text{supp} \tilde{f}$ . ■

**4.2 Proof of Corollary 2.2**

For every small  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $\overline{f_\epsilon}, \underline{f_\epsilon} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; [0, 1])$  with

$$1_{[a+\epsilon, b-\epsilon]} \leq \underline{f_\epsilon} \leq 1_{[a, b]} \leq \overline{f_\epsilon} \leq 1_{[a-\epsilon, b+\epsilon]}.$$

It then suffices to observe that

$$\text{tr} [\underline{f_\epsilon}(H(h))] \leq N([a, b]; h) \leq \text{tr} [\overline{f_\epsilon}(H(h))],$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \lim_{h \searrow 0} \left( (2\pi h)^n \text{tr} [\underline{f_\epsilon}(H(h))] \right) &\leq \lim_{h \searrow 0} (2\pi h)^n N([a, b]; h) \\ &\leq \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \lim_{h \searrow 0} \left( (2\pi h)^n \text{tr} [\overline{f_\epsilon}(H(h))] \right), \end{aligned}$$

and to apply Theorem 2.1.

**4.3 Proof of Theorem 2.3**

To prove this theorem one needs a more precise trace formula than Theorem 2.1. Let  $\theta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , and put

$$\check{\theta}_h(\tau) := \frac{1}{2\pi h} \int e^{it\tau/h} \theta(t) dt.$$

Analysis similar to that in the proof of (4.6) shows that

$$(4.7) \quad \text{tr} [f(H(h))\check{\theta}_h(t - H(h))] = \text{tr} \left[ -\frac{1}{\pi} \int \overline{\partial}_z \tilde{f}(z) \check{\theta}_h(t - z) (E_{-+})^{-1} \partial_z E_{-+} \widehat{\chi} L(dz) \right] + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty),$$

In the first equality we have used the fact that  $\tilde{f}(z)\check{\theta}_{h^2}(t - z)$  is an almost analytic extension of  $f(x)\check{\theta}_h(t - x)$ , since  $z \mapsto \check{\theta}_h(t - z)$  is analytic. Here, the support of  $\tilde{f}$  is in a small neighborhood of  $z = b$ . Trace formulas involving effective Hamiltonians like (4.7) were studied in [11].

According to the definition of  $\Sigma_b$  and (3.10) we have

$$\Sigma_b = \{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}; e_{-+}(x, \xi, b) = 0\}.$$

Fix  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \Sigma_b$ . Under the assumption of Theorem 2.3 we can choose

$$e_{-+}(x, \xi, z) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{j_i}(x, \xi) - z & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & g(x, \xi, z) & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\det(g(x, \xi, z)) \neq 0$  for all  $(x, \xi, z)$  in a small neighborhood  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $(x_0, \xi_0, b)$ .

The assumption **H** implies that the principal symbol  $e_{-+}(\xi, -x, b)$  of  $E_{-+}(b)$  is micro-hyperbolic at every point  $(x, \xi) \in \Sigma_b$ .

Thus, applying [11, Theorem 1.8] to the left-hand side of (4.7), we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \text{tr} [f(H(h))\check{\theta}_h(t - H(h))] \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_j h^{j-n}, \quad (h \searrow 0).$$

Now Theorem 2.3 follows from Theorem 2.1 and (4.8) by tauberian arguments (see [27, Theorem V-13]).

#### 4.4 Proof of Theorem 2.4

According to (2.1) and (2.2),  $\lambda_1(x_0, 0)$  is the first eigenvalue of the operator  $P(x_0, 0) : -\Delta + V(x, y) : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T})$ . Let  $\psi_0(y) = 1$  be the constant function on the torus. By the min-max principle, we have

$$\lambda_1(x_0, 0) = \inf_{\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{T})} \langle P(x_0, 0)\psi, \psi \rangle \leq \langle P(x_0, 0)\psi_0, \psi_0 \rangle = \int_E V(x_0, y) dy,$$

which yields Theorem 2.4.

## A Appendix

In this appendix, we recall some well-known results on the h-pseudodifferential calculus. For the proofs we refer to [13].

By  $X$  we denote either  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  or  $\mathbb{T}^* \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . We recall that

$$S(\mathbb{T}^* \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C})) = \{P \in S(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C})); \Gamma^* - \text{periodic in } x\}.$$

Put  $Y = \Pi_x X$  (i.e.,  $Y = \mathbb{R}^n$  (resp.  $\mathbb{T}^*$ ) for  $X = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  (resp.  $\mathbb{T}^* \times \mathbb{R}^n$ )).

**Proposition A.1** (Composition formula) *Let  $a_i \in S(X; \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $b^w(y, hD_y; h) = a_1^w(y, hD_y) \circ a_2^w(y, hD_y)$  is an h-pseudo-differential operator, and*

$$b(y, \eta; h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(y, \eta) h^j, \text{ in } S(X; \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C})).$$

**Proposition A.2** ( $L^2$ - boundedness) *Let  $a = a(x, \xi; h) \in S(X; \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$ . Then  $a^w(x, hD_x; h)$  is bounded :  $L^2(Y; \mathbb{C}^N) \rightarrow L^2(Y; \mathbb{C}^N)$ , and there is a constant  $C$  independent of  $h$  such that*

$$\|a^w(x, hD_x; h)\| \leq C.$$

**Proposition A.3** (trace) *Let  $a = a(x, \xi; h) \in S(X; \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$ . We assume that  $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a \in L^1(X)$ , for all  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2n + 2$ . Then  $a^w(x, hD_x; h)$  is trace class operator and*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(a^w(x, hD_x; h)) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \iint_Y \widehat{\text{tr}}(a(x, \xi; h)) dx d\xi, \\ \|a^w(x, hD_x; h)\|_{\text{tr}} &\leq C_n h^{-n} \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2n+1} \iint_Y \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)\|_{\mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C})} dx d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition A.4** (invertibility) *Let  $a = a(x, \xi; h) \in S(X; \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$ . We assume that there exists  $C > 0$  (independent of  $h$ ) such that*

$$|\det a(x, \xi; h)| \geq C.$$

*Then, for  $h$  small enough, the operator  $a^w(x, hD_x; h): L^2(Y) \rightarrow L^2(Y)$  is invertible with uniformly bounded inverse.*

**Proposition A.5** *Let  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \in S(X; \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C}))$ . We assume that*

$$\Pi_{\xi} Q_1 := \overline{\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n; Q(x, \xi) \neq 0\}}$$

*is compact and  $\Pi_{\xi} Q_1 \cap \Pi_{\xi} Q_3 = \emptyset$ . Then*

$$\|Q_1^w(x, hD_x) \circ Q_2^w(x, hD_x) \circ Q_3^w(x, hD_x)\|_{\text{tr}} = \mathcal{O}(h^{\infty}).$$

**Acknowledgments** The author wishes to thank the Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, where the paper was written, for financial support and hospitality. We would like to thank M. Weinstein for giving us some references. We thank both referees for their constructive comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] M. Sh. Birman and M. Solomyak, *On the negative discrete spectrum of a periodic elliptic operator in a waveguide-type domain, perturbed by a decaying potential*. J. Anal. Math. 83(2001), 337–391. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02790267>
- [2] M. Sh. Birman, A. Laptev, and T. A. Suslina, *Discrete spectrum of the two-dimensional periodic elliptic second order operator perturbed by a decreasing potential. I. Semi-infinite gap*. St. Petersburg Math. J. 12(2001), 535–567.
- [3] D. I. Borisov, *The spectrum of the Schrödinger operator perturbed by a rapidly oscillating potential*. J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 139(2006), no. 1, 6243–6323. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10958-006-0349-6>
- [4] D. I. Borisov and R. R. Gadyl'shin, *On the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator with a rapidly oscillating compactly supported potential*. (Russian) Teoret. Mat. Fiz. 147(2006), no. 1, 58–63; translation in Theoret. and Math. Phys. 147(2006), no. 1, 496–500. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4213/tmf2022>
- [5] ———, *On the spectrum of a selfadjoint differential operator with rapidly oscillating coefficients on the axis*. (Russian) Mat. Sb. 198 (2007), no. 8, 3–34; translation in Sb. Math. 198 (2007), no. 7–8, 1063–1093 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4213/sm1986>
- [6] V. S. Buslaev, *Semiclassical approximation for equations with periodic coefficients*. (Russian) Math. Surveys 42 (1987), no. 6, 97–125.
- [7] M. Christ and A. Kiselev, *Absolutely continuous spectrum for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with slowly decaying potentials: some optimal results*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. II(1998), no. 4, 771–797. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-98-00276-8>
- [8] A. Devinatz and P. Rejto *A limiting absorption principle for Schrödinger operators with oscillating potentials, Part I*. J. Differential Equations 49(1983), no. 1, 29–84. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396\(83\)90019-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396(83)90019-0)
- [9] S. Denisov, *Absolutely continuous spectrum of multidimensional Schrödinger operator*. Int. Math. Res. Not. (2004), no. 74, 3963–3982. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/S107379280414141X>
- [10] M. Dimassi, *Développements asymptotiques des perturbations lentes de l'opérateur de Schrödinger périodique*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 18(1993), no. 5–6, 771–803. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03605309308820950>
- [11] ———, *Trace asymptotics formulas and some applications*. Asymptot. Anal. 18(1998), no. 1–2, 1–32.
- [12] ———, *Resonances for a slowly varying perturbation of a periodic Schrödinger operator*. Canad. J. Math. 54(2002), no. 5, 998–1037. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2002-037-9>
- [13] M. Dimassi and J. Sjöstrand, *Spectral asymptotics in the semi-classical limit*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 268, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

- [14] V. Duchênes, I. Vukicevic, and M. Weinstein, *Scattering and localization properties of highly oscillatory potentials*. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 67(2014), no. 1, 83–128. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cpa.21459>
- [15] ———, *Oscillatory and localized perturbations of periodic structures and the bifurcation of defect modes*. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 47(2015), no. 5, 3832–3883. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/140980302>
- [16] ———, *Homogenized description of defect modes in periodic structures with localized defects*. *Commun. Math. Sci.* 13(2015), no. 3, 777–823. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/CMS.2015.v13.n3.a9>
- [17] V. Duchênes and M. Weinstein *Scattering, Homogenization, and Interface Effects for Oscillatory Potentials with Strong Singularities*, *Multiscale Model. Simul.* 9(2011), no. 3, 1017–1063. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/100811672>
- [18] C. Gérard, A. Martinez, and J. Sjöstrand, *A mathematical approach to the effective hamiltonian in perturbed periodic problems*. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 142(1991), no. 2, 217–244. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02102061>
- [19] C. Gérard and F. Nier, *Scattering theory for the perturbations of periodic Schrödinger operators*. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 38(1998), 595–634.
- [20] J-C. Guillot, J. Ralston, and E. Trubowitz, *Semiclassical methods in solid state physics*. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 116(1988), no. 3, 401–415. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01229201>
- [21] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 132, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.
- [22] A. Laptev, *Spectral inequalities for Partial Differential Equations and their applications*. Proceedings of ICCM2010 in Beijing, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 51, pt.2, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012, pp. 629–643.
- [23] A. Laptev, S. Naboko, and O. Safronov, *Absolutely continuous spectrum of Schrödinger operators with slowly decaying and oscillating potentials*. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 253(2005), no. 3, 611–631. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00220-004-1157-9>
- [24] L. Parnowski and R. Shterenberg, *Complete asymptotic expansion of the spectral function of multidimensional almost-periodic Schrödinger operators*. *Duke Math. J.* Volume 165(2016), no. 3, 509–561. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/00127094-3166415>
- [25] G. Raikov, *Discrete spectrum for Schrödinger operators with oscillating decaying potentials*. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 438(2016), no. 2, 551–564. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.02.005>
- [26] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV*. Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [27] D. Robert, *Autour de l'approximation semi-classique*. Progress in Mathematics, 68, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA., 1987.
- [28] G. V. Rozenblum, *The distribution of the discrete spectrum of singular differential operators*. English transl.: *Sov. Math. Izv. VUZ* 20(1976), 63–71.
- [29] M. M. Skriganov, *The spectrum band structure of the three-dimensional Schrödinger operator with periodic potential*. *Invent. Math.* 80(1985), no. 1, 107–121. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01388550>
- [30] ———, *Geometric and arithmetic methods in the spectral theory of multidimensional periodic operators*. (Russian) *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* 171(1985).
- [31] J. Sjöstrand, *Microlocal analysis for the periodic magnetic Schrödinger equation and related questions*. In: *Microlocal analysis and applications* (Montecatini Terme, 1989), Lecture Notes in Math., 1495, Springer, Berlin, 1991, pp. 237–332. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BFb0085125>
- [32] M. A. Shubin, *The spectral theory and the index of elliptic operators with almost periodic coefficients*. *Russian Math. Surveys* 34(1979), no. 2, 109–158.
- [33] T. A. Suslina, *Discrete spectrum of a two-dimensional periodic elliptic second order operator perturbed by a decaying potential. II. Internal gaps*. *Algebra i Analiz* 15(2003), no. 2, 128–289; *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 15(2004), 249–287. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S1061-0022-04-00810-6>

Université Bordeaux I, Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, 351, Cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence, France

e-mail: mouez.dimassi@math.u-bordeaux1.fr