

Education Brief Report

Cite this article: Heard-Garris NJ, Brown JF, Ewulonu UC, Goel MS, Gordon AS, Henley C, Khan SS, Smith SM, and McColley SA. Anti-racist strategies for clinical and translational research: Design, implementation, and lessons learned from a new course. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science* 7: e26, 1–7. doi: [10.1017/cts.2022.524](https://doi.org/10.1017/cts.2022.524)

Received: 16 August 2022

Revised: 3 December 2022

Accepted: 8 December 2022

Keywords:

Health equity; career development; research design; education; diversity

Address for correspondence:

S. A. McColley, MD, 225 E Chicago Ave, Box 43, Chicago, IL 60611, USA.
Email: smccolley@luriechildrens.org

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Association for Clinical and Translational Science. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



Anti-racist strategies for clinical and translational research: Design, implementation, and lessons learned from a new course

Nia J. Heard-Garris^{1,2}, Jen F. Brown³, Uchenna C. Ewulonu^{2,4}, Mita S. Goel⁵, Adam S. Gordon⁶, Candace Henley^{3,7}, Sadiya S. Khan⁸, Shawn M. Smith^{2,4} and Susanna A. McColley^{2,9} 

¹Department of Pediatrics, Division of Advanced General Pediatrics, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; ²Stanley Manne Children's Research Institute, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA; ³Alliance for Research in Chicagoland Communities, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; ⁴Department of Pediatrics, Division of Hospital Based Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; ⁵Department of Medicine, Division of General Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; ⁶Department of Pharmacology, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; ⁷Blue Hat Foundation, Chicago, IL, USA; ⁸Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA and ⁹Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pulmonary and Sleep Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL 60611, USA

Abstract

Translational research should examine racism and bias and improve health equity. We designed and implemented a course for the Master of Science in Clinical Investigation program of the Northwestern University Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute. We describe curriculum development, content, outcomes, and revisions involving 36 students in 2 years of “Anti-Racist Strategies for Clinical and Translational Science.” Ninety-six percent of students reported they would recommend the course. Many reported changes in research approaches based on course content. A course designed to teach anti-racist research design is feasible and has a positive short-term impact on learners.

Introduction

In 2020, leaders of the Northwestern University Clinical and Translational Sciences (NUCATS) Institute met to discuss how institute resources and expertise could address longstanding health and societal inequities that were starkly highlighted by the “dual pandemics of COVID-19 and systemic racism [1–3].” One initiative was to develop a course that provides foundational knowledge of societal and structural racism, especially as pertains to health and the healthcare system, and to discuss strategies for design and conduct of research to improve equity in health and health care, for trainees and faculty at Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine. The course, “Anti-Racist Strategies for Clinical and Translational Science,” was initiated as an elective for the Master of Science in Clinical Investigation (MSCI) program in summer 2021. We conducted, evaluated, and modified, and again offered the course in summer 2022. We describe course design, participation, student feedback, and short-term outcomes.

Methods

Overarching goals for the course were 1) development of foundational knowledge of societal and structural racism, including social determinants of health, health effects of racism, and historical and contemporary perspectives on racism in biomedical research and health care; 2) decoupling the sociopolitical constructs of race and ethnicity from ancestry, genetics, and biology; and 3) providing researcher and community perspectives on the importance of designing research in collaboration with, and inclusive of, populations at risk for or affected by diseases studied. We focused on anti-Black racism, including discussion of other populations who face discrimination, exclusion, and underrepresentation in health care and biomedical research. The course was developed by its co-Directors (NHG and SAM), with input from NUCATS leaders in education and career development and diversity, equity, and inclusion. Content was refined by participating faculty, representing several departments and community organizations, and who are co-authors of this report. The 2021 initial and 2022 modified courses were approved by The Graduate School at Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine.

Table 1. Student demographics

Year	n	Affiliation	Graduate students	Physicians in training	Instructor or Assistant Professor	Associate or Full Professor	Health system physician	Research staff
2021	16	NU (15) Other (1)	2 (PhD)	9 (1 resident, 8 fellows)	1	1	1	1
2022	20	NU (19) Other (1)	3 (2 PhD, 1 MS)	12 (1 resident, 11 fellows)	3	2	0	0
Fields, years combined	Internal Medicine (4), Allergy/Immunology (2), Cardiology (3), Infectious Diseases (2) Pulmonary and Critical Care (2), Nephrology, Transplant Nephrology, Pediatric Allergy/Immunology, Child Abuse Pediatrics, Pediatric Endocrinology, Pediatric Gastroenterology (2), Pediatric Hematology/Oncology, Pediatric Nephrology (3), Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences (3), Dermatology, Family Planning/Obstetrics and Gynecology, Preventive Medicine, Neurologic Diseases, Physical Therapy/Human Movement Sciences, Neurological Surgery, Plastic Surgery, Orthopedic Surgery							

NU, Northwestern University. Numbers in parentheses are given when more than one student was in that field; otherwise, there was one student.

The 2021 course was a ½ credit hour, six-session series held virtually one weekday evening per week on the Zoom™ platform. Session attendance was required except for extenuating circumstances. Sessions were recorded for asynchronous review.

The faculty discussed the importance of acknowledging discomfort and emotions that arise when confronting the related topics of racism, violence, and health inequity, and the importance of maintaining an empathic, respectful, and inclusive environment. This led to encouraging students to take breaks or stop video during class as needed and faculty support in encouraging inclusive and supportive dialogue.

Pre-course knowledge assessment is not routinely conducted in the MSCI program and was not conducted. Pre-reading was assigned for each session. Faculty were encouraged to include authors from backgrounds underrepresented in biomedical science. Since journals do not publish information on author self-identified race, ethnicity, or gender, there was no formal evaluation mechanism for this goal. Students submitted reflections related to readings before each session. During sessions, one or two faculty members presented didactic content and led discussions, sometimes through breakout groups. One or both course co-directors attended each session to facilitate.

Initial sessions developed a common understanding of health inequities and how racism leads to adverse health outcomes. The first session defined and described health inequities, identified factors contributing to inequities, highlighted bias in scientific research, and gave an overview of future topics that provided approaches to identifying and mitigating this bias [4–7]. The second session discussed how racism in health and health care is perpetuated in medical schools, how access to delivery of health care and healthcare provider implicit bias adversely affect health, and health effects of interpersonal and structural racism [8–10].

Subsequent sessions focused on strategies to improve health equity through research study design. Session 3 addressed historical origins of the social construct of race and racism in science; differentiating race from ancestry, genetics, and susceptibility to heritable disorders; and understanding the implications of race adjustment of laboratory values in clinical care. [11–15] Session 4 discussed sources of bias in demographic determination, accounting for missing and incomplete demographic data, and understanding implications of making inferences based on cohorts that do not represent a population of people with or at risk of disease [16–18]. Session 5 emphasized why community engagement is crucial for research on health inequities and racism, how researchers can prepare before engaging community stakeholders, identifying strategies to avoid and address bias in community-academic

partnerships, and how individual researchers and institutional structures can apply community engagement strategies [19–21]. The last session was 5-minute student presentations that were summaries of how they had or would apply course content to research and, when applicable, clinical and educational responsibilities, followed by 10 min of discussion. Students submitted final written reports expanding on these topics.

The course was evaluated by a standard, anonymous survey used across MSCI courses. Students respond to questions or statements in a range from very low to very high; very high is the best score. Comments may be added after each statement and at the end of the survey. Surveys are sent via email 2–3 weeks after course conclusion. Results were reviewed by course co-directors and participating faculty, the directors of MSCI program, and The Graduate School at Northwestern University. We sent an identical follow-up survey to 2021 students in March 2022, approximately 6 months after course conclusion.

Based on survey results, feedback from students and faculty, and classroom observations, the 2022 course was expanded to a 10-week, full-credit course. The initial session focused on inclusive environments and a more detailed overview. The discussion of structural racism included more discussion of adverse social determinants of health [22]. New sessions on mitigating bias [23–27] and structural competency and other considerations in research recruitment and retention [28–33] were added, and another student presentation session was added. The course was held in hybrid format in a classroom, with remote participation via Zoom™. The course was otherwise conducted as in 2022.

To further explore course outcomes, we conducted a literature search to assess student publications (excluding abstracts) received by journals on or after 9/1/2021 (after the course concluded) and published through 11/8/2022. We collated article type, reporting of race, and ethnicity, whether race and ethnicity were used to describe demographics or also as a variable in modeling outcomes, and whether other course concepts, such as presenting race and ethnicity as social constructs and discussing inequities in health care and society, were included.

Results

Enrollment and Completion

Student demographics are shown in Table 1. Most were physicians in fellowship in clinical subspecialties, with heterogeneity in level of training, division, and department. During 2021, most students attended all sessions, all gave final presentations and completed the

Table 2. Course evaluation by students, 2021 and 2022

Course evaluation	2021	2022
	<i>n</i> (%) [*]	<i>n</i> (%) [*]
Students enrolled	16	20
Overall response rate	9 (56)	16 (80)
Overall rating of the course by respondents		
Very Low or Low	0 (0)	0 (0)
Neutral	0 (0)	1 (6)
High	4 (44)	5 (31)
Very High	5 (56)	10 (63)
Interest in subject before taking the course		
Very Low or Low	0 (0)	0 (0)
Neutral	0 (0)	2 (13)
High	5 (56)	7 (44)
Very High	4 (44)	7 (44)
Estimate how much you learned in the course		
Very Low, Low, or Neutral	0 (0)	0 (0)
Low	0 (0)	1 (6)
Neutral	0 (0)	1 (6)
High	4 (44)	6 (38)
Very High	5 (56)	8 (50)
Rate the effectiveness of the course in challenging you intellectually		
Very Low	0 (0)	0 (0)
Low	0 (0)	1 (6)
Neutral	1 (11)	2 (13)
High	6 (67)	7 (44)
Very High	2 (22)	8 (50)
Rate the effectiveness of the course in stimulating your interest in the subject		
Very Low	0 (0)	0 (0)
Low	1 (11)	2 (13)
Neutral	0 (0)	1 (6)
High	3 (33)	4 (25)
Very High	5 (56)	9 (56)
Rate how well the assignments remained consistent with the objectives of the course		
Very Low	0 (0)	0 (0)
Low	0 (0)	1 (6)
Neutral	0 (0)	0 (0)
High	4 (44)	6 (38)
Very High	5 (56)	9 (56)
Rate how well the course effectively integrated theory and practice		
Very Low	0 (0)	0 (0)
Low	1 (11)	2 (13)
Neutral	0 (0)	1 (6)

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

Course evaluation	2021	2022
High	4 (44)	4 (25)
Very High	4 (44)	9 (56)
Rate the instructional materials (handouts, visuals, online blackboard) used in the course		
Very Low	0 (0)	0 (0)
Low	0 (0)	1 (6)
Neutral	0 (0)	1 (6)
High	6 (67)	6 (38)
Very High	3 (33)	8 (50)
Rate how well this course helped you improve your ability to solve real problems		
Very Low	0 (0)	0 (0)
Low	0 (0)	1 (6)
Neutral	1 (1)	2 (13)
High	3 (33)	5 (31)
Very High	5 (56)	6 (38)
Rate how well this course made you more aware of your interests and talents		
Very Low	0 (0)	0 (0)
Low	0 (0)	1 (6)
Neutral	1 (11)	4 (25)
High	5 (56)	5 (31)
Very High	3 (33)	6 (37)
Rate the degree to which this course enhanced your ability to conduct clinical/translational research		
Very Low	0 (0)	0 (0)
Low	0 (0)	1 (6)
Neutral	0 (0)	1 (6)
High	6 (67)	8 (50)
Very High	3 (33)	6 (38)
Rate how well the instructor identified important concepts in the course		
Very Low or Low	0 (0)	0 (0)
Neutral	0 (0)	1 (6)
High	5 (56)	5 (31)
Very High	4 (44)	10 (63)
Provide an overall rating of instruction		
Very Low or Low	0 (0)	0 (0)
Neutral	1 (11)	1 (6)
High	4 (44)	7 (44)
Very High	4 (44)	8 (50)
Rate the clarity with which the instructor communicated their ideas		
Very Low or Low	0 (0)	0 (0)
Neutral	1 (11)	1 (6)

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

Course evaluation	2021	2022
High	3 (33)	5 (31)
Very High	5 (56)	10 (63)
Rate how well the instructor encouraged you to think for yourself		
Very Low or Low	0 (0)	0 (0)
Neutral	0 (0)	1 (6)
High	4 (44)	6 (38)
Very High	5 (56)	9 (56)
Rate the instructor's enthusiasm for the subject		
Very Low, Low, or Neutral	0 (0)	0 (0)
High	2 (22)	2 (13)
Very High	7 (78)	14 (88)
Would you recommend this course to a colleague?		
Yes	9 (100)	15 (94)
No	0 (0)	1 (6)

*May not add up to 100 due to rounding.

course, and 15/16 submitted a final paper. Twenty students participated in summer 2022; one audited the course. Attendance was again high, all gave final presentations, and all except the auditing student submitted final papers.

Final presentations and Course Evaluations

In final presentations and papers from both years, students described changes to their research based on course content. Examples from 2021 included recognizing the importance of assessing how race and ethnicity were collected (e.g., self-reported or other methods) for a retrospective study using electronic health records, plans to disseminate study results to community members through a disease advocacy organization, defining race and ethnicity as sociodemographic variables, collecting data to better understand the influence of adverse social determinants of health, revising recruitment approaches, and expanding a laboratory study to include both female and male rodents. Examples from 2022 included recognition that socioeconomic factors, language, transportation needs, and education can manifest as “noncompliance,” bias introduced in medical records-based research due to exclusion of populations with inadequate access to care, the need to develop diverse research teams, and goals to focus research activities towards improving health equity.

Course Evaluation and Student Publications

Course evaluation survey results are shown in Table 2. A higher percentage of students responded in 2022 than in 2021. The course was well-received; 96% reported they would recommend the course. Open-ended comments, edited for brevity and confidentiality, are shown in Table 3. Follow-up course evaluation for students enrolled in 2021 was positive but was completed by only 7 (43%) students (data not shown).

Twenty-one manuscripts that met criteria were published by 9 students enrolled in 2021. Ten were published by a student with a faculty appointment [34–43]. Overall, there were 3 case reports [34,44,45], one case series [46], 2 editorials [41,43], 2 letters [37,47], 2 review articles [48,49], 7 systematic reviews [35,36,38–40,42,50], 2 retrospective cohort studies [51,52], an evaluation of mobile health applications [53], and a medical education report. [54] One case report noted the subject's race, without further comment [44]. The case series described a rare genetic disease using the term origin, reflecting geography or ethnicity [46]. One letter was a secondary analysis of a prospective cohort study that collected race/ethnicity data as “white” and “non-white”; it noted overrepresentation of high-income families as a limitation to generalizability [47]. One review article noted the lack of participant diversity in studies reviewed [49]. All retrospective cohort studies used race as a demographic variable, but there was variability in whether methods of collection were described and what categories were used. One found that Hispanic ethnicity was a risk factor for youth onset type 2 diabetes and discussed limitations of electronic health record data and impact of social determinants of health [51]. Other articles did not present data on race or ethnicity.

Based on evaluation of the first two years of the course, the course became required for students matriculating to the MSCI Program effective fall 2022.

Discussion

We designed a course to increase knowledge of health inequities in US minoritized populations and enable anti-racist research study design and implementation. The course was well-subscribed by students with varied experience and research interests. Students rated the course highly, noted its applicability to current and projected future professional activities, and reported making changes to current or future research plans based on what they learned. Manuscripts submitted by students after completion of the course, and subsequently published, were heterogeneous. Few were research articles using primary data from human subjects, and these were retrospective or secondary analyses of other studies. This is not surprising given the early career stage of most students.

Limitations of this report include that course evaluation used a standard survey, and not all students participated. This, and the elective nature of the course, probably introduced bias; participating students likely had a high level of interest and self-awareness of their knowledge gaps. The impact of the course on students' future research is not clear and will take years to measure. Because the course is now required for degree-seeking students in the MSCI program, further assessment of course impact will be essential.

In conclusion, we developed, implemented, and evaluated a new course, “Anti-racist Strategies in Clinical and Translational Research,” that was highly rated by students, many of whom reported changes to their research approaches. We speculate that our experience is generalizable to other institutions and that offering similar content to the greater clinical and translational research workforce can improve research design and implementation. The course goals overlap with a recently described community-led course on structural racism in health care and research [55], which had more community member presentations. Themes from both courses are important as undergraduate and graduate medical education distinguishes and moves from describing health disparities to improving health equity [56].

Table 3. Synopsis of open-ended comments from routine course surveys, 2021 and 2022

2021 positive comments	2022 positive comments
... overall the course was great!	... very interesting and culturally relevant ... most valuable were practical, concrete tips for research.
... very pertinent. helped understand current conversations re-equity/advocacy/inclusion in research	... almost wish the course was a little longer
... very practical knowledge about how to embed anti-racist practices into the work I am already doing.	... a fantastic and incredibly motivating course ... the push to constantly tie topics back into our own research or practice ... actionable steps
Instructors were very engaged and passionate.	... everyone involved in health care making patient care decisions should take a course like this.
... content was great and very relevant. I learned a lot about how to apply to my research.	... helped understand current conversations re-equity/advocacy/inclusion in research.
This course was very valuable for me ... everyone involved in health care making patient care decisions should take a course like this.	... practical, no fluff, no busy work ... the assignments were truly useful.
The course was well-organized and provided actionable strategies for both short-term and long-term clinical research goals.	... amazing and should be required for everyone in the medical and/or research field.
... best course in the MSCI program. It should be mandatory ... I think there is enough content to make it a full-credit course	... well-organized and provided actionable strategies
Course content is very interesting and culturally relevant ... recommend for anyone involved in research or health care. Loved hearing about applications to different fields in final presentations. Most valuable were practical, concrete tips for research.	Very best course in the MSCI program ... should be mandatory
2021 suggestions for improvement	2022 suggestions for improvement
Provide more time for discussion and reflection	... have opportunities for more Q&A regarding incorporating race in specific research projects
Some of the classes were too long and could be better formatted in additional sessions (3 similar comments)	... improvement could be made in more practical application to clinical research.
More breakout rooms would have been helpful	
Lectures felt a bit redundant	
Content was good but the lectures felt too long, circular often. I think the lecture time could be abridged to maintain student participation.	
Might be nice to have opportunities for more Q&A regarding incorporating race in specific research projects.	

Acknowledgements. We gratefully acknowledge Ravi Kalhan, MD, MS; Mercedes R. Carnethon, PhD; and Clyde W. Yancy, MD, MSc for their advice and support in course development. We are also grateful to Adam White, Administrative Coordinator for the MSCI Program, to Marisol Vazquez, MPH, course teaching assistant, to Karen Gutzman, MSLS, MA, who conducted the literature search for student publications, and to students who participated in the course.

Disclosures. The authors have no relevant personal or institutional conflicts of interest.

References

- Jones JM. The dual pandemics of COVID-19 and systemic racism: Navigating our path forward. *School Psychology* 2021; 36(5): 427–431. DOI: [10.1037/spq0000472](https://doi.org/10.1037/spq0000472).
- Espina CR, Narruhn RA. “I Can’t Breathe”: Biopower in the time of COVID-19: An exploration of how biopower manifests in the dual pandemics of COVID and racism. *Advances in Nursing Science* 2021; 44(3): 183–194. DOI: [10.1097/ANS.0000000000000355](https://doi.org/10.1097/ANS.0000000000000355).
- Brodie N, Perdomo JE, Silberholz EA. The dual pandemics of COVID-19 and racism: impact on early childhood development and implications for physicians. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics* 2021; 33(1): 159–169. DOI: [10.1097/MOP.0000000000000985](https://doi.org/10.1097/MOP.0000000000000985).
- Ndugga N, Artiga S. Disparities in Health and Health Care: 5 Key Questions and Answers. Kaiser Family Foundation. (<https://kff.org/racial-equity-and-health-policy/issue-brief/disparities-in-health-and-health-care-5-key-questions-and-answers>)
- Jones CP. Levels of racism: a theoretic framework and a gardener’s tale. *American Journal of Public Health* 2000; 90(8): 1212–1215. DOI: [10.2105/ajph.90.8.1212](https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.90.8.1212).
- Bailey ZD, Feldman JM, Bassett MT. How structural racism works – racist policies as a root cause of U.S. racial health inequities. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2021; 384(8): 768–773. DOI: [10.1056/NEJMms2025396](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMms2025396).
- Vyas DA, Eisenstein LG, Jones DS. Hidden in plain sight - reconsidering the use of race correction in clinical algorithms. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2020; 383(9): 874–882. DOI: [10.1056/NEJMms2004740](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMms2004740).
- Zestcott CA, Blair IV, Stone J. Examining the presence, consequences, and reduction of implicit bias in health care: A narrative review. *Group Process Intergroup Relations* 2016; 19(4): 528–542. DOI: [10.1177/1368430216642029](https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430216642029).
- Chapman EN, Kaatz A, Carnes M. Physicians and implicit bias: how doctors may unwittingly perpetuate health care disparities. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 2013; 28(11): 1504–1510. DOI: [10.1007/s11606-013-2441-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-013-2441-1).
- Amutah C, Greenidge K, Mante A, et al. Misrepresenting race - the role of medical schools in propagating physician bias. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2021; 384(9): 872–878. DOI: [10.1056/NEJMms2025768](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMms2025768).

11. **Borrell LN, Elhawary JR, Fuentes-Afflick E, et al.** Race and genetic ancestry in medicine - a time for reckoning with racism. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2021; **384**(5): 474–480. DOI: [10.1056/NEJMms2029562](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMms2029562).
12. **American Society of Human Genetics.** ASHG denounces attempts to link genetics and racial supremacy. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 2018; **103**(5): 636. DOI: [10.1016/j.ajhg.2018.10.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2018.10.011).
13. **Cooper RS, Nadkarni GN, Ogedegbe G.** Race, ancestry, and reporting in medical journals. *JAMA* 2018; **320**(15): 1531–1532. DOI: [10.1001/jama.2018.10960](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.10960).
14. **Bentley AR, Callier SL, Rotimi CN.** Evaluating the promise of inclusion of African ancestry populations in genomics. *NPJ Genomic Medicine* 2020; **5**: 5. DOI: [10.1038/s41525-019-0111-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41525-019-0111-x).
15. **Claw KG, Anderson MZ, Begay RL, et al.** A framework for enhancing ethical genomic research with indigenous communities. *Nature Communications* 2018; **9**(1): 2957. DOI: [10.1038/s41467-018-05188-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05188-3).
16. **Shah NS, Kandula NR.** Addressing Asian American misrepresentation and underrepresentation in research. *Ethnicity & Disease* 2020; **30**(3): 513–516. DOI: [10.18865/ed.30.3.513](https://doi.org/10.18865/ed.30.3.513).
17. **Tahhan AS, Vaduganathan M, Greene SJ, et al.** Enrollment of older patients, women, and racial and ethnic minorities in contemporary heart failure clinical trials: a systematic review. *JAMA Cardiology* 2018; **3**(10): 1011–1019. DOI: [10.1001/jamacardio.2018.2559](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamacardio.2018.2559).
18. **The Opportunity Atlas.** (<https://www.opportunityatlas.org/>)
19. **Alliance for Research in Chicagoland Communities.** ARCC Anti-Racist Community-Academic Research Reflective Practice Tool, 2022. (https://docs.google.com/document/d/17a7m_SPsTupX_oJSc5fcGGct4ArHxsvdb7twF27e6ck/edit)
20. **Grumbach K, Cottler LB, Brown J, et al.** It should not require a pandemic to make community engagement in research leadership essential, not optional. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science* 2021; **5**(1): e95. DOI: [10.1017/cts.2021.8](https://doi.org/10.1017/cts.2021.8).
21. **Why Am I Always Being Researched?** A Guidebook for Community Organizations, Researchers and Funders to Help Us Get from Insufficient Understanding to More Authentic Truth. Chicago Beyond. (<https://chicagobeyond.org/researchequity/>)
22. **Cerdeña JP, Plaisime MV, Tsai J.** From race-based to race-conscious medicine: how anti-racist uprisings call us to act. *Lancet* 2020; **396**(10257): 1125–1128. DOI: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32076-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32076-6).
23. **Gates K.** Sitting in our discomfort. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2022; **386**(4): e8. DOI: [10.1056/NEJMp2119290](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2119290).
24. **Cleland J, Razack S.** When I say . . . privilege. *Medical Education* 2021; **55**(12): 1347–1349. DOI: [10.1111/medu.14599](https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.14599).
25. **Marcelin JR, Siraj DS, Victor R, Kotadia S, Maldonado YA.** The impact of unconscious bias in healthcare: how to recognize and mitigate it. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2019; **220**(220): S62–S73. DOI: [10.1093/infdis/jiz214](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiz214).
26. **Burgess D, van Ryn M, Dovidio J, Saha S.** Reducing racial bias among health care providers: lessons from social-cognitive psychology. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 2007; **22**(6): 882–887. DOI: [10.1007/s11606-007-0160-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-007-0160-1).
27. **Sharma A, Palaniappan L.** Improving diversity in medical research. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers* 2021; **7**(1): 74. DOI: [10.1038/s41572-021-00316-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-021-00316-8).
28. **Metzl JM, Hansen H.** Structural competency: theorizing a new medical engagement with stigma and inequality. *Social Science and Medicine* 2014; **103**: 126–133. DOI: [10.1016/j.socscimed.2013.06.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2013.06.032).
29. **Metzl JM, Roberts DE.** Structural competency meets structural racism: race, politics, and the structure of medical knowledge. *Virtual Mentor* 2014; **16**(9): 674–690. DOI: [10.1001/virtualmentor.2014.16.09.spec1-1409](https://doi.org/10.1001/virtualmentor.2014.16.09.spec1-1409).
30. **Winter SS, Page-Reeves JM, Page KA, et al.** Inclusion of special populations in clinical research: important considerations and guidelines. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Research* 2018; **4**(1): 56–69.
31. **Williams AS, Moore SM.** Universal design of research: inclusion of persons with disabilities in mainstream biomedical studies. *Science Translational Medicine* 2011; **3**(82): 82cm12. DOI: [10.1126/scitranslmed.3002133](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3002133).
32. **Buscemi J, Blumstein L, Kong A, et al.** Retaining traditionally hard to reach participants: Lessons learned from three childhood obesity studies. *Contemporary Clinical Trials* 2015; **42**: 98–104. DOI: [10.1016/j.cct.2015.03.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2015.03.014).
33. **Glickman SW, Anstrom KJ, Lin L, et al.** Challenges in enrollment of minority, pediatric, and geriatric patients in emergency and acute care clinical research. *Annals of Emergency Medicine* 2008; **51**(6): 775–780.e3. DOI: [10.1016/j.annemergmed.2007.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2007.11.002).
34. **Lee Chuy K, Reddy PR, Vij A.** Recurrent coronary vasospasm: A case of kounis syndrome from anaphylaxis to contrast dye. *Methodist DeBakey Cardiovascular Journal* 2022; **18**(1): 29–36. DOI: [10.14797/mdcvj.1084](https://doi.org/10.14797/mdcvj.1084).
35. **Park DY, An S, Kumar A, et al.** Abbreviated versus standard duration of DAPT after PCI: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *American Journal of Cardiovascular Drugs* 2022; **22**(6): 633–645. DOI: [10.1007/s40256-022-00541-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40256-022-00541-w).
36. **Park DY, An S, Murthi M, et al.** Effect of weight loss on recurrence of atrial fibrillation after ablative therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology* 2022; **64**(3): 763–771. DOI: [10.1007/s10840-022-01168-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10840-022-01168-2).
37. **Park DY, An S, Attanasio S, Doukky R, Sawaqed R, Vij A.** Impact of pulmonary embolism on perioperative outcomes of coronary artery bypass graft. *Coronary Artery Disease* 2022; **33**(7): 590–592. DOI: [10.1097/MCA.0000000000001152](https://doi.org/10.1097/MCA.0000000000001152).
38. **Park DY, An S, Romero ME, et al.** Incidence and risk factors of atrial fibrillation and atrial arrhythmias in people living with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology* 2022; **65**(1): 183–191. DOI: [10.1007/s10840-022-01233-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10840-022-01233-w).
39. **Park DY, An S, Kaur A, Malhotra S, Vij A.** Myocarditis after COVID-19 mRNA vaccination: A systematic review of case reports and case series. *Clinical Cardiology* 2022; **45**(7): 691–700. DOI: [10.1002/clc.23828](https://doi.org/10.1002/clc.23828).
40. **Park DY, An S, Jolly N, et al.** Systematic review and network meta-analysis comparing bifurcation techniques for percutaneous coronary intervention. *Journal of the American Heart Association: Cardiovascular and Cerebrovascular Disease* 2022; **11**(12): e025394. DOI: [10.1161/JAHA.122.025394](https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.122.025394).
41. **Vij A, Malhotra S.** Identifying CRT responders: Moving from electrical to mechanical dyssynchrony. *Journal of Nuclear Cardiology* 2022; **29**(5): 2649–2651. DOI: [10.1007/s12350-022-02914-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12350-022-02914-9).
42. **Ravi V, Poudyal A, Khanal S, et al.** A systematic review and meta-analysis comparing radiofrequency catheter ablation with medical therapy for ventricular tachycardia in patients with ischemic and non-ischemic cardiomyopathies. *Journal of Interventional Cardiac Electrophysiology* 2022; DOI: [10.1007/s10840-022-01287-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10840-022-01287-w)
43. **Vij A, Kavinsky CJ.** The clinical impact of device lead-associated tricuspid regurgitation: need for a multidisciplinary approach. *Circulation* 2022; **145**(4): 239–241. DOI: [10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.055019](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.055019).
44. **Smilnak G, Jiang M, Jain B.** Calciphylaxis of the penis and distal digits: a case report. *Journal of Medical Case Reports* 2022; **16**(1): 18. DOI: [10.1186/s13256-021-03231-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13256-021-03231-4).
45. **Berken JA, Saul S, Osgood PT.** Case report: superior mesenteric artery syndrome in an adolescent with cannabinoid hyperemesis. *Frontiers in Pediatrics* 2022; **10**: 830280. DOI: [10.3389/fped.2022.830280](https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2022.830280).
46. **Giardino S, Volpi S, Lucioni F, et al.** Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in ARPC1B deficiency. *Journal of Clinical Immunology* 2022; **42**(7): 1535–1544. DOI: [10.1007/s10875-022-01305-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10875-022-01305-6).
47. **Lang A, Kaur O, Devonshire A, Kaat AJ, Kumar R.** Learning early about peanut worries: Evaluation of parental PROMIS-29 anxiety scores during early peanut introduction clinics. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology* 2022; **128**(4): 472–473. DOI: [10.1016/j.anaai.2022.01.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaai.2022.01.038).
48. **Mylvaganam RJ, Bailey JI, Sznajder JI, Sala MA.** Consortium NCCC recovering from a pandemic: pulmonary fibrosis after SARS-CoV-2 infection. *The European Respiratory Review* 2021; **30**(162): 210194. DOI: [10.1183/16000617.0194-2021](https://doi.org/10.1183/16000617.0194-2021).
49. **DeLacey S, Josefson JL.** A mini-review of pediatric anthropometrics as predictors of future insulin resistance. *Frontiers in Endocrinology* 2022; **13**: 826430. DOI: [10.3389/fendo.2022.826430](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2022.826430).
50. **Hensley CP, Kontos D, Feldman C, Wafford QE, Wright A, Chang AH.** Reliability and validity of 2-dimensional video analysis for a running task:

- A systematic review. *Physical Therapy in Sport* 2022; **58**: 16–33. DOI: [10.1016/j.ptsp.2022.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2022.08.001).
51. **DeLacey S, Arzu J, Levin L, Ranganna A, Swamy A, Bianco ME.** Impact of SARS-CoV2 on youth onset type 2 diabetes new diagnoses and severity. *Journal of Diabetes* 2022; **14**(8): 532–540. DOI: [10.1111/1753-0407.13301](https://doi.org/10.1111/1753-0407.13301).
52. **Frisby-Zedan J, Barhight MF, Keswani M, Arzu J, Nelson D.** Long-term kidney outcomes in children following continuous kidney replacement therapy. *Pediatric Nephrology* 2022; **38**(2): 565–572. DOI: [10.1007/s00467-022-05579-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-022-05579-1).
53. **Hensley CP, Witte MM, Cai J, et al.** Assessment of mobile health applications for management of knee and/or hip osteoarthritis using the mobile application rating scale. *Journal of Clinical Rheumatology* 2022; DOI: [10.1097/RHU.0000000000001896](https://doi.org/10.1097/RHU.0000000000001896).
54. **Sarria GR, Timmerman R, Hermansen M, et al.** Longitudinal remote SBRT/SRS training in Latin America: a prospective cohort study. *Frontiers in Oncology* 2022; **12**: 851849. DOI: [10.3389/fonc.2022.851849](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.851849).
55. **Terrance T, Sugarwala L, McIntosh S, et al.** Structural racism in health-care and research: a community-led model of curriculum development and implementation. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science* DOI: [10.1017/cts.2022.499](https://doi.org/10.1017/cts.2022.499).
56. **Ward MC, Ganjoo R.** When I say . . . health equity. *Medical Education* 2022; DOI: [10.1111/medu.14962](https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.14962).