

THE LATTICE OF IDEALS OF $M_R(R^2)$, R A COMMUTATIVE PIR

C. J. MAXSON AND L. VAN WYK

(Received 20 June 1990; revised 20 November 1990)

Communicated by P. Schultz

Abstract

In this paper we characterize the ideals of the centralizer near-ring $N = M_R(R^2)$, where R is a commutative principle ideal ring. The characterization is used to determine the radicals $J_\nu(N)$ and the quotient structures $N/J_\nu(N)$, $\nu = 0, 1, 2$.

1991 *Mathematics subject classification* (Amer. Math. Soc.): 16 A 76.

1. Introduction

Let R be a ring with identity and let G be a unitary (right) R -module. Then $M_R(G) = \{f: G \rightarrow G \mid f(ar) = f(a) \cdot r, a \in G, r \in R\}$ is a near-ring under function addition and composition, called the *centralizer near-ring determined by the pair* (R, G) . When G is the free R -module on a finite number of (say n) generators, then $M_R(R^n)$ contains the ring $\mathcal{M}_n(R)$ of $n \times n$ matrices over R , and in this case the known structure of $\mathcal{M}_n(R)$ can be used to obtain structural results for $M_R(R^n)$. An investigation of these relationships was initiated in [5]. (As in [5] we restrict our attention to the case $n = 2$, which shows all the salient features, for ease of exposition.)

When R is an integral domain, it was shown in [5] that $M_R(R^2)$ is a simple near-ring. Moreover, when R is a principal ideal domain, there is a lattice isomorphism between the ideals of R and the lattice of two-sided

invariant subgroups of $M_R(R^2)$. In this work we turn to the case in which R is a commutative principal ideal ring and investigate the lattice of ideals of $M_R(R^2)$. Here the situation is quite different from that of the principal ideal domain.

Let R be a commutative principal ideal ring with identity. It is well-known ([1], [8]) that R is the direct sum of principal ideal domains (PID) and special principal ideal rings (PIR). A special PIR is a principal ideal ring which has a unique prime ideal and this ideal is nilpotent. Thus a special PIR is a local ring with nilpotent radical $J = \langle \theta \rangle$ (the principal ideal generated by θ). If m is the index of nilpotency of $\langle \theta \rangle$, then every non-zero element in a special PIR, R , can be written in the form $a\theta^l$ where a is a unit in R , $0 \leq l < m$, l is unique and a is unique modulo θ^{m-l} . Furthermore every ideal of R is of the form $\langle \theta^j \rangle$, $0 \leq j \leq m$. We mention that special PIR's are chain rings. (See [3] and the references there for information and examples of finite chain rings.)

Our work also has geometric connections. Specifically, let R be a principal ideal ring and let \mathcal{E} be a cover (see [2]) of R^2 by cyclic submodules. Then for each $f \in M_R(R^2)$ and each $\mathcal{E}_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists $\mathcal{E}_\beta \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $f(\mathcal{E}_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_\beta$. Hence $M_R(R^2)$ is a set of operators for the geometry $\langle R^2, \mathcal{E} \rangle$ and we obtain a *generalized translation space with operators* as investigated in [4].

Throughout the remainder of this paper all rings R will be commutative principal ideal rings, unless specified to the contrary, with identity and all R -modules will be unitary. We let $N = M_R(R^2)$ denote the centralizer near-ring and all near-rings will be right near-rings. For details about near-rings we refer the reader to the books by Meldrum [6] or Pilz [7]. Also, for any set S , let $S^* = S \setminus \{0\}$.

The objective of this investigation is to determine the ideals of $N = M_R(R^2)$. After developing some general results in the next section we establish the characterization of the ideals of N in Section 3. As mentioned above, the situation here differs from the PID situation. In fact, we find for a special PIR, R , a very nice bijection between the ideals of R and the ideals of $M_R(R^2)$. In the final section we use our results to determine the radicals $J_\nu(N)$, $\nu = 0, 1, 2$, and we find the quotient structure $N/J_\nu(N)$.

2. General results

We start out with an arbitrary (not necessarily commutative principal ideal) ring S with identity and suppose $S = S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_t$ is the direct

sum of the ideals S_1, S_2, \dots, S_t . Then $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_t$ where $\{e_i\}$ is a set of orthogonal idempotents, e_i the identity of S_i . Note further that $S^2 = S_1^2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_t^2$, and let $\binom{x}{y} \in S^2$, $\binom{x}{y} = \binom{x_1}{y_1} + \dots + \binom{x_t}{y_t}$, $\binom{x_i}{y_i} \in S_i^2$. For $f \in M_S(S^2)$, $f\binom{x}{y} = f\left(\binom{x_1}{y_1} + \dots + \binom{x_t}{y_t}\right) = \binom{a_1}{b_1} + \dots + \binom{a_t}{b_t}$, $\binom{a_i}{b_i} \in S_i^2$. But $f\binom{x}{y}e_i = f\left(\binom{x}{y}e_i\right)$ implies $f\binom{x_i}{y_i} = \binom{a_i}{b_i}$, so we obtain $f\binom{x}{y} = f\binom{x_1}{y_1} + \dots + f\binom{x_t}{y_t}$ and $f(S_i^2) \subseteq S_i^2$.

If $M_i = M_{S_i}(S_i^2)$, then $\varphi: M \rightarrow M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_t$ defined by $\varphi(f) = (f_1, \dots, f_t)$, where $f_i = f|_{S_i^2}$, is a near-ring homomorphism. Moreover, φ is onto. For, if $(g_1, \dots, g_t) \in M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_t$, define $g: S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ by $g\binom{x}{y} = g_1\binom{x_1}{y_1} + \dots + g_t\binom{x_t}{y_t}$, where $\binom{x}{y} = \binom{x_1}{y_1} + \dots + \binom{x_t}{y_t}$. Then $g \in M$ and $\varphi(g) = (g_1, \dots, g_t)$. Next, suppose $f \in M$ and $\varphi(f) = 0$. This means that $f|_{S_i^2} = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, so $f \equiv 0$, and hence φ is an isomorphism.

Since $S_i \subseteq S$, we have $M_S(S_i^2) \subseteq M_{S_i}(S_i^2)$. On the other hand, for $s \in S$, $s = s_1 + \dots + s_t$, $s_i \in S_i$, and for $\binom{a_i}{b_i} \in S_i^2$, $\binom{a_i}{b_i}s = \binom{a_i}{b_i}(e_1s_1 + \dots + e_t s_t) = \binom{a_i}{b_i}s_i$. Thus if $f \in M_{S_i}(S_i^2)$, then $f\left(\binom{a_i}{b_i}s\right) = f\left(\binom{a_i}{b_i}s_i\right) = f\binom{a_i}{b_i}s_i = f\binom{a_i}{b_i}s$, i.e., $f \in M_S(S_i^2)$. We have established the following result.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $S = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_t$ be a direct sum of ideals S_1, \dots, S_t . Then $M_S(S^2) \cong M_{S_1}(S_1^2) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{S_t}(S_t^2)$.*

Let $K = K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_t$ be a direct sum of near-rings with identities e_i , and let B denote an ideal of K . Note that $B \cap K_i$ is an ideal of K_i , and for $b \in B$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_t)$, we have $be_i = b_i e_i = b_i$, which implies $b_i \in B \cap K_i$. Thus $B = (B \cap K_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (B \cap K_t)$, and so, from the previous theorem, to determine the ideals of $M_S(S^2)$ it suffices to determine the ideals of the individual components.

If R is a commutative PIR, then, as stated above, R is the direct sum of principal ideal domains (PID) and special PIR's, say $R = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_t$. From Theorem 2.1, $N = M_R(R^2) \cong M_{R_1}(R_1^2) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{R_t}(R_t^2)$, so we are going to determine the ideals of $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$. We know, however, if R_i is a PID then $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$ is simple, so the only ideals are $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$ and $\{0\}$. (See [5, Theorem II.12].) It remains to determine the ideals of $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$ when R_i is a special PIR.

To this end, let R be a special PIR with unique maximal ideal $J = \langle \theta \rangle$, and let m be the index of nilpotency of J , i.e., $\theta^m = 0$ and $\theta^{m-1} \neq 0$.

We know that the ideals of R are of the form $\langle \theta^k \rangle$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$. We denote $\langle \theta^k \rangle$ by A_k and remark that $A_k^2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid a_1, a_2 \in A_k \right\}$ is an R -submodule of R^2 with the property $f(A_k^2) \subseteq A_k^2$ for each $f \in N = M_R(R^2)$, because $f \begin{pmatrix} r\theta^2 \\ s\theta^2 \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix} \theta^2$ for all $r, s \in R$. But then $\left(\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} : A_k^2 \right)$ is an ideal of N . For $r, s \in R$ and $f \in \left(\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} : A_k^2 \right)$, we have $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} r\theta^k \\ s\theta^k \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix} \theta^k$, so $f \begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix} \in \langle \theta^{m-k} \rangle^2 = A_{m-k}^2$. Therefore $\left(\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} : A_k^2 \right) \subseteq (A_{m-k}^2 : R^2)$. Since the reverse inclusion is straightforward, we have the next result.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If R is a special PIR with $J = \langle \theta \rangle$ and index of nilpotency m , and if $A_k = \langle \theta^k \rangle$, then $\left(\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} : A_k^2 \right) = (A_{m-k}^2 : R^2)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$.*

We know that if I is an ideal of N , then there exists a unique ideal A_k of R with $I \cap \mathcal{M}_2(R) = \mathcal{M}_2(A_k)$. In particular from [5], if $f \in I$, say $f \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$, then $f \circ \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. This in turn implies $f(R^2) \subseteq A_k^2$, so we have $I \subseteq (A_k^2 : R^2)$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *If R is a special PIR with $J = \langle \theta \rangle$ and index of nilpotency m , then for each non-trivial ideal I of $N = M_R(R^2)$ there is a unique integer k , $0 < k < m$, such that $I \subseteq (A_l^2 : R^2)$ for $l \leq k$, and $I \not\subseteq (A_l^2 : R^2)$ for $l > k$.*

In the next section we develop the machinery to show that $I = (A_k^2 : R^2)$. (Of course, if $I = \{0\}$, then $I = \left(\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} : R^2 \right) = (A_m^2 : R^2)$, and if $I = M_R(R^2)$, then $I = (R^2 : R^2) = (A_0^2 : R^2)$.) This will complete a proof of our major result.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let R be a commutative principal ideal ring with $R = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_t$, where R_i is a PID or a special PIR. Then $N = M_R(R^2) = M_{R_1}(R_1^2) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{R_t}(R_t^2)$, and if I is an ideal of N , then $I = I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_t$, where I_i is an ideal of $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$. If R_i is a PID, then $I_i = \{0\}$ or $I_i = M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$. If R_i is a special PIR with $J = \langle \theta \rangle$ and index of nilpotency m , then $I_i = (A_k^2 : R_i^2) = \left(\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} : A_{m-k}^2 \right)$ for some k , $0 \leq k \leq m$, where $A_k = \langle \theta^k \rangle$.*

3. Ideals in $M_R(R^2)$, R a special PIR

Unless otherwise stated, in this section R will denote a special PIR with unique maximal ideal $J = \langle \theta \rangle$ and index of nilpotency m . Let I be an

ideal of $N = M_R(R^2)$ with $I \subseteq (A_k^2:R^2)$ as given in Proposition 2.3. From the fact that $\mathcal{M}_2(A_k) \subseteq I$ our plan is to show that an arbitrary function in $(A_k^2:R^2)$ can be constructed from functions in I . This will then give the desired equality. To aid in the construction of functions in N we recall from [5] that $x, y \in (R^2)^*$ are *connected* if there exist $x = a_0, a_1, \dots, a_s = y$ in $(R^2)^*$ such that $a_i R \cap a_{i+1} R \neq \{(0)\}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s - 1$. This defines an equivalence relation on $(R^2)^*$ and the equivalence classes are called *connected components*. We first determine the connected components of $(R^2)^*$.

Let F be a set of representatives for the classes R/J , where we choose 0 for the class J . Thus for $\alpha \in F^*$, α is a unit in R . We know for each $r \in R$ there is a unique $\alpha_0 \in F$ such that $r = \alpha_0 + r_0\theta$, $r_0 \in R$. But $r_0 = \alpha_1 + r_1\theta$, with $\alpha_1 \in F$, $r_1 \in R$, implies $r = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\theta + r_1\theta^2$. Continuing, we find that every element $r \in R$ has a unique “base θ ” representation, $r = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}$, $\alpha_i \in F$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$.

In the sequel, for ease of exposition we let $\#$ denote a symbol not in F , and we let $\widehat{F} = F \cup \{\#\}$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $M_\# = \langle \theta^{m-1} \rangle$ and let $M_\alpha = \langle \alpha\theta^{m-1} \rangle$, $\alpha \in F$. The submodules M_β , $\beta \in \widehat{F}$, are the minimal submodules of R^2 .*

PROOF. Let H be an R -submodule of R^2 , $\{(0)\} \subsetneq H \subseteq M_\beta$, where $\beta \in F$, and let $(0) \neq x \in H$. Then $x = (\beta\theta^{m-1} \ s)$ for some $s \in R$, and since $x \neq 0$, we have $s \notin J$, so s is a unit in R . But then $xs^{-1} \in H$, hence $M_\beta \subseteq H$. In the same manner if $\beta = \#$, then $H = M_\#$.

To show that the M_β , $\beta \in \widehat{F}$, are the only minimal submodules, we show that every non-zero submodule L of R^2 must contain some M_β , $\beta \in \widehat{F}$.

Let $y = \begin{pmatrix} u_1\theta^{l_1} \\ u_2\theta^{l_2} \end{pmatrix}$ be a non-zero element in L , where u_1, u_2 are units in R . Suppose $l_1 \geq l_2$. Then $yu_2^{-1}\theta^{m-l_2-1} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1u_2^{-1}\theta^{l_1-l_2+m-1} \\ 1\theta^{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$. If $l_1 > l_2$, then $yu_2^{-1}\theta^{m-l_2-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \theta^{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$, so $M_0 \subseteq L$. We have $u_1u_2^{-1} = \alpha + r\theta$ for some $\alpha \in F$, $r \in R$, and $u_1u_2^{-1}\theta^{m-1} = \alpha\theta^{m-1}$, and so if $l_1 = l_2$, then $yu_2^{-1}\theta^{m-l_2-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\theta^{m-1} \\ \theta^{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$, i.e., $M_\alpha \subseteq L$. A similar argument for $l_1 < l_2$ gives $M_\# \subseteq L$ and the proof is complete.

LEMMA 3.2. *For $x, y \in (R^2)^*$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *x and y are connected;*
- (ii) *xR and yR contain the same minimal submodule M ;*

(iii) *there exist positive integers l_1, l_2 such that $x\theta^{l_1} \in M^*$ and $y\theta^{l_2} \in M^*$ for some minimal submodule M .*

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose x and y are connected. As we showed in the previous proof, xR and yR contain minimal submodules, say $xR \supseteq M' = cR$ and $yR \supseteq M'' = dR$. Thus there exist $r, s \in R^*$ such that $c = xr$ and $d = ys$. Since x and y are connected, so are c and d , say $cr_1 = b_1s_1 \neq 0$, $b_1r_2 = b_2s_2 \neq 0, \dots, b_{t-1}r_t = ds_t \neq 0$. Since $cr_1 \in (M')^*$, it follows that $cr_1R = cR$, so there exists $r' \in R$ such that $cr_1r' = c$, hence $c = cr_1r' = b_1s_1r'$. Now c has the form $\binom{a}{b}\theta^{m-1}$, so if $b_1 = \binom{u_1}{u_2}\theta^{l_1}$ and $s_1r' = v_1\theta^{l_3}$, then $b_1\theta^{l_3} = cv_1^{-1} \in (cR)^*$. If $r_2 = v_2\theta^{l_4}$, then $0 \neq b_1r_2 = b_1v_2\theta^{l_3+(l_4-l_3)}$, and since $b_1\theta^{l_3} \in cR$, a minimal submodule, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $l_4 \leq l_3$, otherwise $b_1r_2 = 0$. Therefore $r_2\theta^{l_3-l_4} = v_2\theta^{l_3}$, which in turn implies $b_1r_2\theta^{l_3-l_4} = b_1v_2\theta^{l_3} \in (cR)^*$. Hence $b_2s_2\theta^{l_3-l_4} \in (cR)^*$, so there exists $r'' \in R$ such that $b_2r'' = c$. Continuing in this manner we get \hat{r} such that $d\hat{r} = c$ for some $\hat{r} \in R$. But this means $M' = M''$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). If $xR \supseteq M$ and $yR \supseteq M$, then there exist $r, s \in R$ such that $xr, ys \in M^*$, say $r = u\theta^{l_1}, s = v\theta^{l_2}$, u, v units. But then $x\theta^{l_1}$ and $y\theta^{l_2}$ are non-zero in M .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). From $x\theta^{l_1} \in M^*$ we have $\{\binom{0}{0}\} \subsetneq M \cap xR = M$. Hence $M \subseteq xR$, and similarly, $M \subseteq yR$. Therefore, for some $r, s \in R^*$, $xr = ys \neq 0$, i.e., x and y are connected.

From this lemma we have that every minimal submodule M determines a connected component \mathcal{C} , where $\mathcal{C} = (\bigcup\{xR \mid xR \supseteq M\}) \setminus \{\binom{0}{0}\}$.

Consider the minimal submodule M_α , for some $\alpha \in F$. We consider the submodules $H(\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) = \langle \binom{\alpha+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{1} \rangle$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}$ range over F . We note that $H(\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) \cap H(\beta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}) = \{\binom{0}{0}\}$ if and only if $\alpha \neq \beta$. For if $\alpha = \beta$, then $\binom{\alpha+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{1}\theta^{m-1} = \binom{\alpha\theta^{m-1}}{\theta^{m-1}} = \binom{\beta+\beta_1\theta+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{1}\theta^{m-1}$, so

$$H(\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) \cap H(\beta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}) \supseteq M_\alpha.$$

Conversely, suppose $\binom{\alpha+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{1}r = \binom{\beta+\beta_1\theta+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{1}s$ for some non-zero $r, s \in R$. Then if $r = a\theta^{l_1}, s = b\theta^{l_2}$, we get $l_1 = l_2$ and $\binom{\alpha\theta^{m-1}}{\theta^{m-1}} = \binom{\beta\theta^{m-1}}{\theta^{m-1}}$. Hence $\alpha = \beta$, since $\alpha, \beta \in F$. In the same way

we see that $H(\#, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) = \langle \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \rangle$ contains $M_\#$ and that $H(\#, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) \cap H(\beta, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}) = \{(0)\}$ for all $\beta \in F$.

Let a be an arbitrary non-zero element of R^2 , say $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1\theta^{l_1} \\ a_2\theta^{l_2} \end{pmatrix}$. If $l_1 \geq l_2$, then $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1\theta^{l_1-l_2} \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}\theta^{l_2} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1a_2^{-1}\theta^{l_1-l_2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}a_2\theta^{l_2}$ implies a is in some $H(\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1})$, $\alpha \in F$. If $l_1 < l_2$, then

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2\theta^{l_2-l_1} \end{pmatrix} \theta^{l_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a_2a_1^{-1}\theta^{l_2-l_1} \end{pmatrix} a_1\theta^{l_1}$$

implies a is in some $H(\#, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1})$. Thus we see that the collection of submodules $\{H(\beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}) \mid \beta \in \widehat{F}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in F\}$ is a cover for R^2 (see [2]) and we call the submodules $H(\beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1})$ covering submodules.

Therefore, to define a function f in N it suffices to define f on the generators of the covering submodules, use the homogeneous property $f(xr) = f(x)r$ to extend f to all of R^2 and then verify that f is well-defined. That is, if x and y are generators of covering submodules and $0 \neq xr = ys$ for $r, s \in R$, then one must show that $f(x)r = f(y)s$. Suppose $r = a_1\theta^{l_1}$, $s = a_2\theta^{l_2}$ and $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}$. (A similar argument works for $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}$.) Thus we have $x_1a_1\theta^{l_1} = y_1a_2\theta^{l_2}$ and $a_1\theta^{l_1} = a_2\theta^{l_2}$. Thus $l_1 = l_2$, and so $a_2 = a_1 + r\theta^{m-l_1}$ for some $r \in R$. Thus $xr = ys$ implies $x\theta^{l_1} = y\theta^{l_1}$. Consequently, to show that f is well-defined, it suffices to show that $x\theta^l = y\theta^l$ implies $f(x)\theta^l = f(y)\theta^l$, where x and y are generators of covering submodules.

For convenience in manipulating functions in N we give the next result.

LEMMA 3.3. *If $f \in N$, then for any j , $1 \leq j \leq m-1$, $f(\alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}) = f(\alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_j\theta^j) + \sigma_{j+1}\theta^{j+1} + \dots + \sigma_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}$ and $f(\alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}) = f(\alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_j\theta^j) + \sigma'_{j+1}\theta^{j+1} + \dots + \sigma'_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}$, where $\sigma_{j+1}, \dots, \sigma_{m-1}, \sigma'_{j+1}, \dots, \sigma'_{m-1} \in R^2$.*

PROOF. We note that $f(\alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1})\theta = f(\alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-2}\theta^{m-2})\theta$ implies $f(\alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}) = f(\alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-2}\theta^{m-2}) + \sigma_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}$ for some $\sigma_{m-1} \in R^2$. The result now follows by induction. The second equality follows similarly.

Some additional notation will now be introduced. Let x be a generator of a covering submodule. We denote by $m_{\theta^k} f(x)$ the multiplier of θ^k in $f(x)$. If $x = (\alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})$ and $j+1 \geq k$, then from the above lemma, $f(x) = f(\alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_j \theta^j) + \sigma_{j+1} \theta^{j+1} + \dots + \sigma_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}$ and so $m_{\theta^k} f(x) = m_{\theta^k} f(\alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_j \theta^j) + \sigma_{j+1} \theta^{j+1-k} + \dots + \sigma_{m-1} \theta^{m-1-k}$.

As at the beginning of this section, let $I \subseteq (A_k^2 : R^2)$. We consider two cases, F finite and F infinite.

First, suppose F is finite, and let $f \in (A_k^2 : R^2)$. Since F is finite, there are only a finite number of connected components, namely \mathcal{E}_β where $\beta \in \widehat{F}$, \mathcal{E}_β determined by M_β . We show how to find a function in I which agrees with f on a single component and is zero off this component. Then by adding we get $f \in I$. We work first with the component $\mathcal{E}_\#$. We know the generators of the covering submodules for this component have the form $(\alpha_1 \theta + \alpha_2 \theta^2 + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in F$.

For the fixed k above (determined by $I \subseteq (A_k^2 : R^2)$) we partition these generators of the covering submodules of $\mathcal{E}_\#$ into sets determined by the $(k-1)$ -tuples $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1} \in F$, where we take $k \geq 2$. (The case $k = 1$ will be handled separately.) That is, given $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$, in one set we have all generators $(\beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})$ where $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$. Define $p_{k-1} : R^2 \rightarrow R^2$ by $p_{k-1}(\beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}) = (\beta_k \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})$ if

$$(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}), \quad p_{k-1}(\beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}) \neq (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$, extend using the homogeneous property, and define $p_{k-1}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ if $x \notin \mathcal{E}_\#$. We show that p_{k-1} is well-defined. Let $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}$, $\bar{\beta} = \beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}$ and suppose $(\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}}) \theta^l = (\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}}) \theta^l$. This means $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-l-1}) = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-l-1})$. If $l \leq m-k-1$, then $m-l-1 \geq k$ and so $(\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}})$ and $(\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}})$ are in the same set of the partition, thus $p_{k-1}(\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}}) \theta^l = (\alpha_k \theta^{k+l} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1-l} \theta^{m-1+l} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1+l}) = p_{k-1}(\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}}) \theta^l$. If $l > m-k-1$, then $l \geq m-k$ and so $p_{k-1}(\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}}) \theta^l = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = p_{k-1}(\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}}) \theta^l$. Thus $p_{k-1} \in M_R(R^2)$. Also, since $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \theta^k & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in I$, $\hat{f} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \theta^k & 0 \end{bmatrix} p_{k-1} \in I$.

Define $h : R^2 \rightarrow R^2$ by $h(\alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}) = (\alpha_k \theta^k + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})$, extend, and define $h(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ if $x \notin \mathcal{E}_\#$. As above one shows that h is well-defined, i.e., $h \in M_R(R^2)$. Thus for each $g \in M_R(R^2)$, $\hat{q} = g(\hat{f} + h) -$

$gh \in I$. For $x \notin \mathcal{E}_\#$ we have $\hat{q}(x) = \binom{0}{0}$, because $p_{k-1}(x) = \binom{0}{0}$ if $x \notin \mathcal{E}_\#$. Further, $\hat{q}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = g(\hat{f}(\frac{1}{\beta}) + h(\frac{1}{\beta})) - gh(\frac{1}{\beta})$. If $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}) \neq (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$, then $\hat{f}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = \binom{0}{0}$ and in this case $\hat{q}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = \binom{0}{0}$. Thus we focus on $(\frac{1}{\beta})$ where $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$. Here, $\hat{q}(\frac{1}{\beta}) = g(\binom{0}{\theta^k} + \binom{\beta_k \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}}{0}) - g(\binom{1}{0})(\beta_k \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})$. We wish to define g so that \hat{q} agrees with f on all generators $(\binom{1}{\beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}})$ with $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$. First define $g(\mathcal{E}_\#) = \{\binom{0}{0}\}$. Then define

$$\begin{aligned} &g\left(\binom{\beta_0 + \beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}}{1}\right) \\ &= \dots = g\left(\binom{\beta_0 + \beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-k-1} \theta^{m-k-1}}{1}\right) \\ &= m_{\theta^k} f\left(\binom{1}{\alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \theta^{k-1} + \beta_0 \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-k-1} \theta^{m-1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We show that g is well-defined. Let $\beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-k-1} \theta^{m-k-1}$ and $\gamma = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \theta + \dots + \gamma_{m-k-1} \theta^{m-k-1}$, and suppose $\binom{\beta}{1} \theta^l = \binom{\gamma}{1} \theta^l$. Then

$$(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-l-1}) = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m-l-1}).$$

If $l \leq k$, then $m - l - 1 \geq m - k - 1$ and

$$g\left(\binom{\beta}{1}\right) = m_{\theta^k} f\left(\binom{1}{\alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \theta^{k-1} + \beta_0 \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-k-1} \theta^{m-1}}\right) = g\left(\binom{\gamma}{1}\right).$$

If $l \geq k + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\binom{\beta}{1}\right) &= m_{\theta^k} \left[f\left(\binom{1}{\alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \theta^{k-1} + \beta_0 \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-l-1} \theta^{m+k-l-1}}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \rho_l \theta^{m-l} + \dots + \rho_{k+1} \theta^{m-k-1}, \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_{k+1}, \dots, \rho_l \in R^2$. A similar expression holds for $g(\frac{\gamma}{1})$. But then $g(\frac{\beta}{1}) \theta^l = g(\frac{\gamma}{1}) \theta^l$ as desired.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{q}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) &= g\left(\begin{matrix} \beta_k\theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \\ \theta^k \end{matrix}\right) - g\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix}\right)(\beta_k\theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}) \\ &= g\left(\begin{matrix} \beta_k + \dots + \beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1-k} \\ 1 \end{matrix}\right)\theta^k \\ &= m_{\theta^k}f\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}\theta^{k-1} + \beta_k\theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \end{matrix}\right)\theta^k \\ &= f\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore \hat{q} agrees with f on those generators $\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ \beta_1\theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \end{matrix}\right)$ with $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-1}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$, and is zero on all other generators of covering submodules. Since there are $|F|^{k-1}$ such functions, by adding we obtain a function $q_{\#}$ which agrees with f on $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$ and is 0 off $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$.

For $k = 1$ the situation is somewhat easier. There is no need to partition the generators of the covering modules of $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$. For this case we use $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \theta & 0 \end{bmatrix}e_{\#}$ and the h defined above, where e_{μ} is the idempotent determined by \mathcal{E}_{μ} , i.e., $e_{\mu}(x) = x$ if $x \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu}$ and $e_{\mu}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ if $x \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mu}$, $\mu \in \hat{F}$. Thus for each $g \in M_R(R^2)$, $\hat{q} = g\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \theta & 0 \end{bmatrix}e_{\#} + h\right) - gh \in I$. For $x \notin \mathcal{E}_{\#}$, $\hat{q}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Further, $\hat{q}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) = g\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} + \left(\frac{\beta}{\theta}\right)\right) - g\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix}\right)\bar{\beta} = g\left(\begin{matrix} \beta_1\theta + \beta_2\theta^2 + \dots + \beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \\ \theta \end{matrix}\right) - g\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix}\right)\bar{\beta}$. Define $g(\mathcal{E}_{\#}) = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix}\right) &= g\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-2}\theta^{m-2} \\ 1 \end{matrix}\right) \\ &= m_{\theta}f\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ \alpha_0\theta + \alpha_1\theta^2 + \dots + \alpha_{m-2}\theta^{m-1} \end{matrix}\right). \end{aligned}$$

As above one verifies that $g \in M_R(R^2)$ and that \hat{q} agrees with f on $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$.

In a similar manner one constructs q_{α} , $\alpha \in F$, which agrees with f on \mathcal{E}_{α} and is 0 off \mathcal{E}_{α} . Then $f = \sum_{\beta \in \hat{F}} q_{\beta} \in I$, and so the proof of Theorem 2.4 is complete when F is finite.

Alternatively, one could use the following approach in the finite case. For $\alpha \in F$, define $p_{\alpha}\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha + \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix}\right) = \left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \end{matrix}\right)$ and $p_{\alpha}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $x \notin \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$. For each $g' \in N$, $q' = [g'\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \theta^k & 0 \end{bmatrix} + h\right) - g'h]p_{\alpha} \in I$. For $x \notin \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$, $q'(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $q'\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha + \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix}\right) = g'\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_k\theta^k + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \\ \theta^k \end{matrix}\right) -$

$g'(\binom{1}{0})(\alpha_k \theta^k + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})$. Define $g'(\mathcal{E}_\#) = \{(\binom{0}{0})\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g' \left(\begin{matrix} \beta_0 + \beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right) \\ = \dots = g' \left(\begin{matrix} \beta_0 + \beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-k-1} \theta^{m-k-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right) \\ = m_{\theta^k} f \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \theta^{k-1} + \beta_0 \theta^k + \dots + \beta_{m-k-1} \theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have partitioned the generators $(\begin{matrix} \alpha + \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix})$ of the covering submodules in \mathcal{E}_α by using the k -tuples $(\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$. One shows that g' is well-defined and continuing obtains a function which agrees with f on \mathcal{E}_α and is zero off \mathcal{E}_α .

Suppose now F is infinite, and let $\delta_k: F^k \rightarrow F$ be a bijection. We again start with $\mathcal{E}_\#$, where as above we let $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha_1 \theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}$. Define $h': R^2 \rightarrow R^2$ by $h'(\binom{1}{\bar{\alpha}}) = (\delta_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \theta^k + \alpha_{k+1} \theta^{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})$ and $h'(x) = (\binom{0}{0})$, $x \notin \mathcal{E}_\#$. As above one shows that $h' \in M_R(R^2)$. Thus for each $g \in N$, $t_\# = g(e_\# + h') - gh' \in I$. For $x \notin \mathcal{E}_\#$, $t_\#(x) = (\binom{0}{0})$. For $x = (\binom{1}{\bar{\alpha}})$, $t_\#(x) = g((\binom{0}{\theta^k}) + (\delta_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \theta^k + \alpha_{k+1} \theta^{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} \theta^{m-1})) - gh'(x)$. Define $g(\mathcal{E}_\#) = \{(\binom{0}{0})\}$ and $g(\begin{matrix} \beta_0 + \beta_1 \theta + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix}) = \dots = g(\begin{matrix} \beta_0 + \dots + \beta_{m-1-k} \theta^{m-1-k} \\ 1 \end{matrix}) = m_{\theta^k} f(\begin{matrix} \mu_1 \theta + \mu_2 \theta^2 + \dots + \mu_k \theta^k + \beta_1 \theta^{k+1} + \dots + \beta_{m-k-1} \theta^{m-1} \\ 1 \end{matrix})$, where $\delta_k(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) = \beta_0$.

If $\gamma = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \theta + \dots + \gamma_{m-1-k} \theta^{m-1-k} + \dots + \gamma_{m-1} \theta^{m-1}$ and $(\binom{\gamma}{1}) \theta^l = (\binom{\beta}{1}) \theta^l$, then $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m-l-1}) = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-l-1})$. If $l \leq k$, then $m-l-1 \geq m-k-1$ and so $g(\binom{\gamma}{1}) \theta^l = g(\binom{\beta}{1}) \theta^l$. If $l \geq k+1$, then $g(\binom{\beta}{1}) = m_{\theta^k} f(\begin{matrix} \mu_1 \theta + \dots + \mu_k \theta^k + \beta_1 \theta^{k+1} + \dots + \beta_{m-l-1} \theta^{m-l-1+k} \\ 1 \end{matrix}) + \sigma_1 \theta^{m-l} + \dots + \sigma_{k+1} \theta^{m-k-1}$, where $\sigma_{k+1}, \dots, \sigma_l \in R^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} g \left(\begin{matrix} \gamma \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right) &= m_{\theta^k} f \left(\begin{matrix} \nu_1 \theta + \dots + \nu_k \theta^k + \gamma_1 \theta^{k+1} + \dots + \gamma_{m-l-1} \theta^{m-l-1+k} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right) \\ &\quad + \sigma'_l \theta^{m-l} + \dots + \sigma'_{k+1} \theta^{m-k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma'_{k+1}, \dots, \sigma'_l \in R^2$ and $\delta_k(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k) = \gamma_0$. Since $\gamma_0 = \beta_0$, $(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ and $g(\binom{\beta}{1}) \theta^l = g(\binom{\gamma}{1}) \theta^l$. Hence $g \in N$.

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_{\#} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}} \right) &= g \left(\frac{\delta_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)\theta^k + \alpha_{k+1}\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\theta^k} \right) - gh' \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}} \right) \\
 &= g \left(\frac{\delta_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) + \alpha_{k+1}\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1-k}}{1} \right) \theta^k - 0 \\
 &= m_{\theta^k} f \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_k\theta^k + \alpha_{k+1}\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}} \right) \theta^k \\
 &= f \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $t_{\#}$ agrees with f on $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$ and is zero off $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$.

We next show that there is a function $\hat{t}_{\#}$ in I which agrees with f off $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$ and is zero on $\mathcal{E}_{\#}$. This will imply that $f = t_{\#} + \hat{t}_{\#} \in I$. To this end let $\delta_{k+1}: F^{k+1} \rightarrow F$ be a bijection, let $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}$ and define $h'': R^2 \rightarrow R^2$ by $h''(\mathcal{E}_{\#}) = \{(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})\}$ while $h''(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}) = (\begin{smallmatrix} \delta_{k+1}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k)\theta^k + \alpha_{k+1}\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1} \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})$. One finds that $h'' \in N$. Let $\hat{E}_{\#} = [\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^k \end{smallmatrix}]$ (id. $-e_{\#}$). Then $\hat{E}_{\#}(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}) = (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \theta^k \end{smallmatrix})$ and $\hat{E}_{\#}(\mathcal{E}_{\#}) = \{(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})\}$. Since $\hat{E}_{\#} \in I$, for each $g \in N$, $\hat{t}_{\#} = g(\hat{E}_{\#} + h'') - gh''$ is in I . For $x \in \mathcal{E}_{\#}$, $\hat{t}_{\#}(x) = (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})$ and for

$$\begin{aligned}
 x = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \hat{t}_{\#} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} &= g \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \theta^k \end{pmatrix} + h'' \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) - gh'' \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= g \left(\frac{\delta_{k+1}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k) + \alpha_{k+1}\theta + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1-k}}{1} \right) \theta^k \\
 &\quad - g \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (\delta_{k+1}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k)\theta^k + \alpha_{k+1}\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Again we define $g(\mathcal{E}_{\#}) = \{(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 g \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} &= g \left(\frac{\gamma_0 + \gamma_1\theta + \dots + \gamma_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{1} \right) \\
 &= \dots = g \left(\frac{\gamma_0 + \gamma_1\theta + \dots + \gamma_{m-1-k}\theta^{m-1-k}}{1} \right) \\
 &= m_{\theta^k} f \left(\frac{c_0 + c_1\theta + \dots + c_k\theta^k + \gamma_1\theta^{k+1} + \dots + \gamma_{m-1-k}\theta^{m-1}}{1} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{k+1}(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_k) = \gamma_0$. As above, $g \in N$ and $\hat{t}_\#(\alpha) = f(\alpha)$. Thus $f = t_\# + \hat{t}_\# \in I$, and the proof of Theorem 2.4 is complete.

4. Applications

In this final section we apply the above characterization of the ideals of N to determine the radicals $J_\nu(N)$ of N and the quotient structures $N/J_\nu(N)$, $\nu = 0, 1, 2$.

From Theorem 2.1 and [7, Theorem 5.20], $J_\nu(N) = J_\nu(M_{R_1}(R_1^2)) \oplus \dots \oplus J_\nu(M_{R_i}(R_i^2))$. If R_i is a PID, then $J_0(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) = \{0\}$. If R_i is a PID, not a field, then $J_1(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) = J_2(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) = M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$, and if R_i is a field, then $J_1(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) = J_2(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) = \{0\}$. If R_i is a special PIR, then from the previous section we know that $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$ has a unique maximal ideal $(A_1^2:R_i^2) = (\{(0)\}:A_{m-1}^2)$. Moreover, A_{m-1}^2 is a type 2, $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)$ -module, for if $(\begin{smallmatrix} x\theta^{m-1} \\ y\theta^{m-1} \end{smallmatrix}) \in A_{m-1}^2$ then x and y are units in R (or zero), and so if $x \neq 0$ (say) then $\begin{bmatrix} rx & -1 & 0 \\ sx & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}(\begin{smallmatrix} x\theta^{m-1} \\ y\theta^{m-1} \end{smallmatrix}) = (\begin{smallmatrix} r\theta^{m-1} \\ s\theta^{m-1} \end{smallmatrix})$ for an arbitrary $(\begin{smallmatrix} r\theta^{m-1} \\ s\theta^{m-1} \end{smallmatrix})$ in A_{m-1}^2 . Therefore $J_2(N) \neq N$, so we have $J_0(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) \subseteq J_1(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) \subseteq J_2(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) \subseteq (A_1^2:R_i^2)$. On the other hand it is straightforward to verify that $(A_1^2:R_i^2)$ is a nil ideal, so by [7, Theorem 5.37], $J_0(M_{R_i}(R_i^2)) \supseteq (A_1^2:R_i^2)$. This proves the following result.

THEOREM 4.1.. *If R is a special PIR with $J(R) = \langle \theta \rangle$, then $J_\nu(M_R(R^2)) = (\langle \theta \rangle^2:R^2)$, $\nu = 0, 1, 2$.*

Since $N/J_\nu(N) \cong M_{R_1}(R_1^2)/J_\nu(M_{R_1}(R_1^2)) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{R_i}(R_i^2)/J_\nu(M_{R_i}(R_i^2))$, it remains to determine $M_{R_i}(R_i^2)/J_\nu(M_{R_i}(R_i^2))$ when R_i is a special PIR. This characterization is provided in the following result.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let R be a special PIR with $J(R) = \langle \theta \rangle$ and index of nilpotency m . Then $M_R(R^2)/J_\nu(M_R(R^2)) \cong M_{R/J(R)}(R/J(R))^2$, $\nu = 0, 1, 2$.*

PROOF. We know that every element of $(R/J(R))^2$ has a unique representative $(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha+J(R) \\ \beta+J(R) \end{smallmatrix})$, where $\alpha, \beta \in F$. We define $\psi: M_R(R^2) \rightarrow M_{R/J(R)}(R/J(R))^2$ as follows: for $f \in M_R(R^2)$, $\psi(f)(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha+J(R) \\ \beta+J(R) \end{smallmatrix}) = f(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{smallmatrix}) + J(R)^2$. If $(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha+J(R) \\ \beta+J(R) \end{smallmatrix}) = (\begin{smallmatrix} \gamma+J(R) \\ \delta+J(R) \end{smallmatrix})$, then $\alpha = \gamma$ and $\beta = \delta$, so $\psi(f)$ is well-defined. Furthermore

$\psi(f) \in M_{R/J(R)}(R/J(R))^2$, since $\psi(f)[(\frac{\alpha+J(R)}{\beta+J(R)})(\gamma+J(R))] = f(\frac{\alpha\gamma}{\beta\gamma})+J(R)^2 = f(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})\gamma + J(R)^2 = \psi(f)(\frac{\alpha+J(R)}{\beta+J(R)})(\gamma + J(R))$.

It is clear that $\psi(f + g) = \psi(f) + \psi(g)$. Further, $\psi(fg)(\frac{\alpha+J(R)}{\beta+J(R)}) = fg(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})+J(R)^2$, while $(\psi(f)\psi(g))(\frac{\alpha+J(R)}{\beta+J(R)}) = \psi(f)(g(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})+J(R)^2)$. If $g(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}) = (\frac{\alpha_0+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\beta_0+\beta_1\theta+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}})$, then $\psi(f)(g(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}) + J(R)^2) = f(\frac{\alpha_0}{\beta_0}) + J(R)^2$. But, as in Lemma 3.3, one finds $f(\frac{\alpha_0+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\beta_0+\beta_1\theta+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}) = f(\frac{\alpha_0}{\beta_0}) + \sigma\theta$, $\sigma \in R^2$, so $f(\frac{\alpha_0}{\beta_0}) + J(R)^2 = f(\frac{\alpha_0+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\beta_0+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}) + J(R)^2 = fg(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}) + J(R)^2$, i.e., $\psi(fg) = \psi(f)\psi(g)$.

We complete the proof by showing that ψ is onto and $\text{Ker } \psi = J_\nu(M_R(R^2))$. To show that ψ is onto, let $g \in M_{R/J(R)}(R/J(R))^2$. For $(\frac{\alpha_0+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\beta_0+\beta_1\theta+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}) \equiv (\frac{\alpha}{\beta})$ define $f: R^2 \rightarrow R^2$ by $f(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}) = (\frac{\alpha'_0}{\beta'_0})$ where $g(\frac{\alpha_0+J(R)}{\beta_0+J(R)}) = (\frac{\alpha'_0+J(R)}{\beta'_0+J(R)})$. If $(\frac{\alpha_0+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\beta_0+\beta_1\theta+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}})\theta^l = (\frac{\delta_0+\delta_1\theta+\dots+\delta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\varepsilon_0+\varepsilon_1\theta+\dots+\varepsilon_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}})\theta^l$, then $f(\frac{\alpha_0+\alpha_1\theta+\dots+\alpha_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\beta_0+\beta_1\theta+\dots+\beta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}})\theta^l = f(\frac{\delta_0+\delta_1\theta+\dots+\delta_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}}{\varepsilon_0+\varepsilon_1\theta+\dots+\varepsilon_{m-1}\theta^{m-1}})\theta^l$, so one finds that $f \in M_R(R^2)$. Moreover, $\psi(f)(\frac{\alpha_0+J(R)}{\beta_0+J(R)}) = f(\frac{\alpha_0}{\beta_0}) + J(R)^2 = (\frac{\alpha'_0}{\beta'_0}) + J(R)^2 = g(\frac{\alpha_0+J(R)}{\beta_0+J(R)})$, and hence $\psi(f) = g$.

Finally, $\text{Ker } \psi = \{f \in M_R(R^2) \mid f(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}) \in J(R)^2, \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in F\} = \{f \in M_R(R^2) \mid f(\frac{x}{y}) \in J(R)^2 \text{ for all } x, y \in R\} = (J(R)^2: R^2) = ((\theta)^2: R^2) = J_\nu(M_R(R^2))$.

Acknowledgement

This paper was written while the second author was visiting the Department of Mathematics at Texas A&M University in 1989–1990. He wishes to express his gratitude for financial assistance by the CSIR of South Africa and for the hospitality bestowed upon him by Texas A&M University.

References

[1] T. W. Hungerford, 'On the structure of principal ideal rings', *Pacific J. Math.* **25** (1968), 543–547.

- [2] H. Karzel, C. J. Maxson and G. F. Pilz, 'Kernels of covered groups', *Res. Math.* **9** (1986), 70–81.
- [3] B. R. McDonald, *Finite Rings with Identity*, (Dekker, N.Y., 1974).
- [4] C. J. Maxson, 'Near-rings associated with generalized translation structures', *J. of Geometry* **24** (1985), 175–193.
- [5] C. J. Maxson and A. P. J. van der Walt, 'Centralizer near-rings over free ring modules,' *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **50** (1991), 279–296.
- [6] J. D. P. Meldrum, *Near Rings and Their Links With Groups*, Res. Notes in Math. 134 (North-Holland, London, 1986).
- [7] G. F. Pilz, *Near-rings, 2nd Edition*, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983).
- [8] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, Volume I, (Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1958).

Texas A& M University
College Station, TX 77843
U.S.A.

University of Stellenbosch
7600 Stellenbosch
South Africa