T. Kawasaki
Nagoya Math. J.
Vol. 84 (1981), 135-157

THE INDEX OF ELLIPTIC OPERATORS OVER V-MANIFOLDS

TETSURO KAWASAKI

Introduction

Let M be a compact smooth manifold and let G be a finite group acting smoothly on M. Let E and F be smooth G-equivariant complex vector bundles over M and let $P: \mathscr{C}^\infty(M; E) \to \mathscr{C}^\infty(M; F)$ be a G-invariant elliptic pseudo-differential operator. Then the kernel and the cokernel of the operator P are finite-dimensional representations of G. The difference of the characters of these representations is an element of the representation ring $R(G)$ of G and is called the G-index of the operator P.

$$\text{(1)} \qquad \operatorname{ind} P = \operatorname{char}[\text{kernel } P] - \operatorname{char}[\text{cokernel } P]\,.$$

It is well-known that the G-index $\operatorname{ind} P \in R(G)$ depends only on the homotopy class of the elliptic operator and, as Atiyah and Singer showed in [2], $\operatorname{ind} P$ is determined by the stable equivalence class $[\sigma(P)] \in K_G(\tau M)$ of the principal symbol $\sigma(P)$ viewed as the difference bundle over the tangent bundle τM. The Atiyah-Singer index theorem asserts that the value $(\operatorname{ind} P)(g)$ is expressed by the evaluation of a certain characteristic class over the tangent bundle $\tau(M^g)$ of the fixed point set M^g.

$$\text{(2)} \qquad (\operatorname{ind} P)(g) = (-1)^{\dim M^g} \langle \operatorname{ch}^g[\sigma(P)]\mathscr{I}^g(M),\ [\tau(M^g)]\rangle\,.$$

Here $\operatorname{ch}^g[\sigma(P)]$ is a class in the compactly supported cohomology group $H_c^*(\tau(M^g); C)$ expressed in the characteristic classes of the complex eigenvector bundles by the action of g on the stable vector bundle $[\sigma(P)|_{\tau(M^g)}]$. $\mathscr{I}^g(M)$ is a class in $H^*(M^g; C)$ expressed in the characteristic classes of the real and complex eigenvector bundles by the action of g on the real vector bundle $\tau M|_{M^g}$. We call these classes over the fixed point set as the residual characteristic classes.

Next we consider the index of the operator $P^G: \mathscr{C}^\infty(M; E)^G \to \mathscr{C}^\infty(M; F)^G$

Received December 17, 1979.

between G-invariant sections. By the orthonormality of irreducible characters, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ind} P^G &= \dim[\text{kernel } P^G] - \dim[\text{cokernel } P^G] \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}(\operatorname{ind} P)(g) \qquad (3) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}(-1)^{\dim M^g}\langle \operatorname{ch}^g[\sigma(P)]\mathscr{I}^g(M),\ [\tau(M^g)]\rangle\,. \end{aligned}$$

The operator P^G can be viewed as an operator over the orbit space $G\backslash M$ in the following sense. The invariant section $s\colon M\to E$ is determined uniquely by the induced section $\bar{s}\colon G\backslash M — G\backslash E$ over the orbit space. So we may consider the invariant sections $\mathscr{C}^\infty(M;E)^G$ as the sections over the orbit space $X = G\backslash M$. The operator P^G operates on these sections and its index $\operatorname{ind} P^G$ depends only on the G-equivariant homotopy class of the principal symbol $[\sigma(P)]$, which is considered to be a section over the orbit space $G\backslash\tau M$. Thus we consider P^G as an operator over $X = G\backslash M$.

We remark that the evaluation in (3) admits a purely local expression over X. Choose G-invariant metrics and connections on manifolds M and M^g, on bundles τM, $\tau(M^g)$ and $\nu(M^g)$ (the normal bundle of M^g in M) and on a stable bundle $\sigma(P)$. Then the evaluations of residual characteristic classes are given by the integrations of the corresponding characteristic forms. For each $x\in M$, we choose a small neighbourhood U_x so that the isotropy subgroup G_x acts on U_x and, for $g\in G$, $U_x\cap gU_x\neq\emptyset$ implies $g\in G_x$. Then the orbit space $G_x\backslash U_x$ is naturally identified with an open subset in X. A family $\{G_x\backslash U_x\}_{x\in M}$ defines an open covering of X. Choose a partition of unity $1=\sum\phi_x$ subordinate to this coverinig. Then we can rewrite (3) in the following form

$$\operatorname{ind} P^G = \sum_{x\in M}\frac{1}{|G_x|}\sum_{g\in G_x}(-1)^{\dim U_x^g}\int_{\tau(U_x^g)}\phi_x\operatorname{ch}^g[\sigma(P)|_{U_x}]\mathscr{I}^g(U_x)\,. \qquad (4)$$

The orbit space $G\backslash M$ is a typical example of V-manifold, and the above formula (4) can be given an interpretation which still makes sense for general V-manifolds.

The purpose of the present paper is to give an index theorem for elliptic operators over V-manifolds which generalize the formula (4).

Let X be a compact *V-manifold*. (For the precise definitions of V-manifolds and V-bundles, see Kawasaki [6]). For each $x\in X$, there is a neighbourhood U_x and an identification $U_x = G_x\backslash\tilde{U}_x$, where $\tilde{U}_x$ is a

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

neighbourhood of the origin in an effective real representation space of a finite group G_x. For each $y \in U_x$, choose small U_y so that $U_y \subset U_x$, then there is an open embedding $\phi: \tilde{U}_y \to \tilde{U}_x$ that covers the inclusion $U_y \subset U_x$. The choice of such ϕ is unique up to the action of G_x on $\tilde{U}_x$. Each ϕ determines an injective group homomorphism $\lambda_\phi: G_y \to G_x$ that makes ϕ be λ_ϕ-equivariant.

To express our theorem in cohomological terms, we have to assign to each V-manifold X a certain global geometric object over which the residual characteristic classes should be evaluated. If we look at (4), such an object must be a collection of all $\tilde{U}_x^g$'s. Each $\tilde{U}_x^g$ admits the action of the centralizer $Z_{G_x}(g)$ of g in G_x. If g and g' are conjugate in G_x, then U_x^g and $U_x^{g'}$ are diffeomorphic by the action of some element h in G_x $(g' = hgh^{-1})$. So we consider one element g for each conjugacy class (g) in G_x. For each point $x \in X$, let $(1), (h_x^1), \cdots, (h_x^{\rho_x})$ be all the conjugacy classes in G_x. Then we have a natural bijection

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(y, (h_y^j)) \mid y \in U_x,\ j = 1, 2, \cdots, \rho_y\} \\ &\cong \coprod_{i=1}^{\rho_x} Z_{G_x}(h_x^i)\backslash \tilde{U}_x^{h_x^i} . \end{aligned}$$

So we define globally:

$$\Sigma X = \{(x, (h_x^i)) \mid x \in X,\ G_x \neq \{1\},\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, \rho_x\} .$$

Then ΣX has a natural V-manifold structure whose local coordinate coverings are $\tilde{U}_x^h \to Z_{G_x}(h)\backslash \tilde{U}_x^h$ $(h \neq 1)$. The action of $Z_{G_x}(h)$ on $\tilde{U}_x^h$ is not effective. The order of the trivially acting subgroup is called the *multiplicity* of ΣX in X at $(x, (h))$. In general, ΣX has many connected components of varying dimensions. Let $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \cdots, \Sigma_c$ be the connected components of ΣX. Since the multiplicity is locally constant on ΣX, we may assign the multiplicity m_i to each connected component Σ_i.

On each local coordinate $\tilde{U}_x^h$ over ΣX, we have the normal bundle $\nu(\tilde{U}_x^h)$ in $\tilde{U}_x$ and the tangent bundle $\tau(\tilde{U}_x^h)$. On the normal bundle $\nu(\tilde{U}_x^h)$, we have the action of h. Then we have the eigenspace decomposition of $\nu(\tilde{U}_x^h)$

$$\nu(\tilde{U}_x^h) = \bigoplus_{0<\theta\leq\pi} \nu_h^\theta ,$$

$$\begin{cases} hv = e^{i\theta}v & \text{if } v \in \nu_h^\theta \ (0 < \theta < \pi) , \\ hv = -v & \text{if } v \in \nu_h^\pi . \end{cases}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The collection of these $Z_{G_x}(h)$-equivariant bundles ν_h^θ $(0<\theta\leqq\pi)$ and $\tau(\tilde{U}_x^h)$ form a real or complex vector V-bundles over ΣX. By choosing invariant connections, we have a collection of residual characteristic forms

$$\mathscr{I}^h(\tilde{U}_x)\in\Omega^*(\tilde{U}_x^h)\otimes_{\boldsymbol{R}}\boldsymbol{C}\,.$$

These forms define characteristic classes

$$\mathscr{I}^\Sigma(X)\in H^*(\Sigma X;\boldsymbol{C})\,,\quad\text{and}\quad\mathscr{I}(X)\in H^*(X;\boldsymbol{Q})\quad(h=1)\,.$$

By a *V-bundle* E over a V-manifold X, we mean a family $\{(G_x^E,\tilde{E}_x\to\tilde{U}_x)\}$ of equivariant fibre bundles with surjective homomorphisms $G_x^E\to G_x$ and their attaching bundle maps $\{\Phi\}:\tilde{E}_y\to\tilde{E}_x$ for each inclusive pair $U_y\subset U_x$. We call V-bundle E to be *proper* if, for each $x\in X$, $G_x^E=G_x$. The attaching bundle maps $\{\Phi\}$ define a unique induced open embedding $\bar{\Phi}:G_x^E\backslash\tilde{E}_y\to G_x^E\backslash\tilde{E}_x$ of the orbit spaces of total spaces. These induced maps define the total space $E=\bigcup(G_x^E\backslash\tilde{E}_x)$ and the projection $E\to X$. E itself admit a structure of V-manifold.

Let $E\to X$ be a proper V-bundle. A section $s:X\to E$ is called a C^∞ *V-section* if, for each U_x, $s|U_x:U_x\to E_x=G_x\backslash\tilde{E}_x$ is covered by a G_x-invariant C^∞ section $\tilde{s}_x:\tilde{U}_x\to\tilde{E}_x$. For a vector V-bundle E, we denote the set of all C^∞ V-sections by $\mathscr{C}_V^\infty(X;E)$, which forms a vector space. On a vector V-bundle E, we can always construct a invariant linear connection, that is, a family of invariant connections on $(G_x^E,\tilde{E}_x\to\tilde{U}_x)$ which are compatible with attaching bundle maps. Then the characteristic forms define a C^∞ V-section of the exterior power of the cotangent vector V-bundle, which represent a cohomology class on X.

Let E and F be proper complex vector V-bundles over X. A linear map $P:\mathscr{C}_V^\infty(X;E)\to\mathscr{C}_V^\infty(X;F)$ is called a *(pseudo-) differential operator* if locally it is covered by invariant (pseudo-) differential operators

$$\tilde{P}_x:\mathscr{C}_c^\infty(\tilde{U}_x;\tilde{E}_x)\text{——}\mathscr{C}^\infty(\tilde{U}_x;\tilde{F}_x)$$

(modulo smoothing operators), which are compatible with attaching maps. We call P to be *elliptic* if each $\tilde{P}_x$ is elliptic. For an elliptic pseudo-differential operator $P:\mathscr{C}_V^\infty(X;E)\to\mathscr{C}_V^\infty(X;F)$, we have the *V-index* defined by:

$$(5)\qquad \operatorname{ind}_V P=\dim\,[\text{kernel}\,P]-\dim\,[\text{cokernel}\,P]\,.$$

This index generalize $\operatorname{ind}P^G$ in (3) and (4).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Like G-equivariant case, the V-index depends only on the homotopy class of elliptic operators. The principal symbol $\sigma(P)$ of the operator P is a well-defined C^∞ V-section of the V-bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(E, F)$ over the total space $\tau_V^* X$ of the cotangent vector V-bundle. For P elliptic, the principal symbol $\sigma(P)$ defines a compactly supported difference V-bundle and the index $\mathrm{ind}_V P$ is determined by its stable equivalence class $[\sigma(P)]$. The stable equivalence classes of compactly supported proper difference vector V-bundles over $\tau_V^* X \cong \tau_V X$ form a group $K_V(\tau_V^* X) \cong K_V(\tau_V X)$. ($\tau_V X$ denotes the total space of the tangent vector V-bundle). Then V-index defines a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{ind}_V : K_V(\tau_V X) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{Z} . \tag{6}$$

An element $u \in K_V(\tau_V X)$ is represented by proper complex vector V-bundles E and F over $\tau_V X$ and an isomorphism $\sigma: E \to F$ over $\tau_V X - X$. Then, choosing a suitable invariant connections, we have the residual Chern characters

$$\mathrm{ch}^h(E) - \mathrm{ch}^h(F) \in \Omega^*(\tau(\tilde{U}_x^h)) \otimes_{\boldsymbol{R}} \boldsymbol{C} ,$$

and globally we have the classes

$$\mathrm{ch}^\Sigma(u) \in H_c^*(\tau_V(\Sigma X); \boldsymbol{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{ch}(u) \in H_c^*(\tau_V X; \boldsymbol{Q}) \quad (h = 1) .$$

In this framework, we can state our theorem

THEOREM. *Let X be a compact V-manifold. Then, for $u \in K_V(\tau_V X)$, we have*:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ind}_V(u) &= (-1)^{\dim X} \langle \mathrm{ch}(u) \mathscr{I}(X), [\tau_V X] \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{c} \frac{(-1)^{\dim \Sigma_i}}{m_i} \langle \mathrm{ch}^\Sigma(u) \mathscr{I}^\Sigma(X), [\tau_V \Sigma_i] \rangle . \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

As a special case of this theorem, we get the following results:

I) (Kawasaki [6]) Let X be a compact oriented V-manifold of dimension $4k$. As a topological space, X is an oriented rational homology manifold. The signature $\mathrm{Sign}(X)$ of X is defined by the signature of the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on the middle dimensional cohomology group $H^{2k}(X; \boldsymbol{Q})$ given by the cup product. Using de Rham cohomology, we can represent $\mathrm{Sign}(X)$ as the V-index of the signature operator $D_+ : \Omega_V^+(X) \to \Omega_V^-(X)$ over V-manifold X. Then we have:

$$\operatorname{Sign}(X) = \langle L(X), [X]\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{c} \frac{1}{m_i} \langle L^{\Sigma}(X), [\Sigma_i]\rangle .$$

The classes $L(X)$ and $L^{\Sigma}(X)$ are defined locally by the residual L-class $L^h(\tilde{U}_x)$, as we have defined $\mathscr{I}(X)$ and $\mathscr{I}^{\Sigma}(X)$.

II) (Kawasaki [7]) Let X be a compact complex V-manifold and let $E \to X$ be a holomorphic vector V-bundle. Then X admits a natural structure of an analytic space and the local holomorphic V-sections of E define a coherent analytic sheaf $\mathcal{O}_V(E)$ over X. The arithmetic genus $\chi(X; E)$ is defined by:

$$\chi(X; E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim X} (-1)^i \dim_C H^i(X; \mathcal{O}_V(E)) .$$

Then $\chi(X; E)$ is represented by the V-index of the Dolbeault complex over the V-manifold X with coefficients in E. We can apply our theorem and we have:

$$\chi(X; E) = \langle \mathscr{T}(X; E), [X]\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{c} \frac{1}{m_i} \langle \mathscr{T}^{\Sigma}(X; E), [\Sigma_i]\rangle .$$

The classes $\mathscr{T}(X; E)$ and $\mathscr{T}^{\Sigma}(X; E)$ are defined locally by the residual Todd class with coefficients in E.

The proof that we adopt here is completely different from those in the above two reports [6] and [7]. As we have remarked in [6], every V-manifold X is presented as the orbit space of a smooth G-manifold $\tilde{X}$ with only finite isotropy subgroups and with the trivial principal orbit type. We may choose such $(G, \tilde{X})$ with G compact and connected. Let P be an elliptic operator over X. Then we can lift the principal symbol $\sigma(P)$ considered as a difference V-bundle over $\tau_V X$ to a G-equivariant difference bundle over $\tau_G \tilde{X}$, the space of tangent vectors orthogonal to the orbits of G. The lifted symbol determines up to homotopy a transversally elliptic operator $\tilde{P}$ over $\tilde{X}$ relative to G. Then the V-index $\operatorname{ind}_V P$ is equal to the evaluation $(\operatorname{ind}^G \tilde{P})(1_G)$ of the distributional index $\operatorname{ind}^G \tilde{P}$ by the unit function over G.

For the distributional index of transversally elliptic operators, we refer to Atiyah [1]. We use two main results of [1]. One result is an expression of $\operatorname{ind}^T P$, for a transversally elliptic operator P over a manifold M relative to a toral action with only finite isotropy subgroups. The value $(\operatorname{ind}^T P)(1_T)$ is written by the evaluation of the equivariant residual characteristic classes over the orbit spaces $T\backslash \tau_T M^h$ $(h \in T, M^h \neq \phi)$ (including

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$h=1$). By a direct translation, this formula gives the formula (7) in our theorem, when the V-manifold X has the form $X = T\backslash M$. Another result is a reduction formula $(\operatorname{ind}^G P)(1_G) = (\operatorname{ind}^T([\bar\partial] \otimes P))(1_T)$, for a compact connected Lie group G, where T is a maximal torus of G and $[\bar\partial]$ denotes the Dolbeault complex over the flag manifold G/T.

Combining these two results, we get an expression of the V-index using the evaluation of characteristic classes over an auxiliary V-manifold $T\backslash\tilde{X}$ and its singularities. This new V-manifold $T\backslash\tilde{X}$ is a fibration (with singularities) over X with generic fibre G/T. We apply the Gysin homomorphism (the integration over the fibre) to these characteristic classes. Then we get classes over the V-manifold X and its singularities. To deduce (7), we need a formula on the equivariant residual Todd classes over the flag manifold G/T. This formula is a generalization of the following result in Borel-Hirzebruch [5].

Let G be a compact connected Lie group and let T be a maximal torus of G. We fix a G-invariant complex structure on the flag manifold G/T. Consider the fibration $\pi: BT \to BG$ of classifying spaces with fibre G/T. Its bundle along the fibre is a complex vector bundle over BT. We denote by $\mathscr{T}_G(G/T)$ the Todd class of this bundle. (This class is the G-equivariant Todd class of the complex G-manifold G/T). Then Borel and Hirzebruch proved the following:

THEOREM (Borel-Hirzebruch [5]). *Let $\pi_!: H^{**}(BT; \boldsymbol{R}) \to H^{**}(BG; \boldsymbol{R})$ be the Gysin homomorphism* (*the integration over the fibre*). *Then we have*:

$$\pi_! \mathscr{T}_G(G/T) = 1 \in H^{**}(BG; \boldsymbol{R}) = H_G^{**}(pt; \boldsymbol{R}) , \tag{8}$$

where H_G^{**} denotes the completed equivariant cohomology group for G-spaces.

Let $h \in T$ be an element. The action of h on G/T is holomorphic. So the fixed point set $(G/T)^h$ is a complex submanifold (non-connected) with the holomorphic action of the centralizer $Z_G(h)$. The tangent bundle τ_h and the normal bundle ν_h are the $Z_G(h)$-equivariant complex vector bundles. Let $\nu_h = \bigoplus \nu_h^\theta$ be the eigenspace decomposition by the action of h. Then we define the equivariant residual Todd class by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{T}_G^h(G/T) &= \mathscr{T}_{Z_G(h)} \prod_{0<\theta<2\pi} \mathscr{T}^\theta_{Z_G(h)}(\nu_h^\theta) \\
&= \mathscr{T}(EZ_G(h) \times_{Z_G(h)} \tau_h) \prod_{0<\theta<2\pi} \mathscr{T}^\theta(EZ_G(h) \times_{Z_G(h)} \nu_h^\theta) \\
&\in H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}((G/T)^h; \boldsymbol{C}) = H^{**}(EZ_G(h) \times_{Z_G(h)} (G/T)^h; \boldsymbol{C}) .
\end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The base space $EZ_G(h) \times_{Z_G(h)} (G/T)^h$ is a fibration over $BZ_G(h)$ with the fibre $(G/T)^h$. Then we have the Gysin homomorphism $\pi_!: H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}((G/T)^h; \boldsymbol{C}) \to H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}(pt; \boldsymbol{C}) = H^{**}(BZ_G(h; \boldsymbol{C})$.

THEOREM. *The Gysin homomorphism of the equivariant residual Todd class is given by*:

$$\pi_! \mathscr{T}^h_G(G/T) = 1 \in H^{**}(BZ_G(h); \boldsymbol{C}) = H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}(pt; \boldsymbol{C}) . \tag{9}$$

If we put $h = 1$, we recover (8). The proof of this formula is straightforward. The same technique as in Borel-Hirzebruch [4] is applicable. We can express $\pi_! \mathscr{T}^h_G(G/T) \in H^{**}(BZ_G(h); \boldsymbol{C}) \subset H^{**}(BT; \boldsymbol{C})$ in the power series in the roots of the Lie group G. Then we deduce our formula from the Weyl's relation on the roots of G.

§ 1. Distributional index and V-index

In this section we summarize the results in Atiyah [1] that we need and we shall show the relation between the distributional index of transversally elliptic operators and the V-index of elliptic operators over V-manifolds.

Let G be a compact Lie group and let M be a compact smooth G-manifold without boundary. We choose a G-invariant Riemannian metric on M and we identify the cotangent bundle τ^*M and the tangent bundle τM. We define a subset $\tau_G M$ in τM as the set of all the tangent vectors that are orthogonal to the orbits of G.

Let E and F be G-equivariant smooth complex vector bundles over M and let $P: \mathscr{C}^\infty(M; E) \to \mathscr{C}^\infty(M; F)$ be a G-invariant pseudo-differential operator of order m. By choosing invariant metrics and invariant connections on E and F, we have the space of Sobolev sections $\mathscr{H}^s(M; E)$ and $\mathscr{H}^s(M; F)$ $(s \in \boldsymbol{R})$. Then the operator P extends uniquely to a bounded operator $P: \mathscr{H}^s(M; E) \to \mathscr{H}^{s-m}(M; F)$. Also we have the adjoint operator $P^*: \mathscr{H}^s(M; F) \to \mathscr{H}^{s-m}(M; E)$. The null spaces $\mathscr{N}^s(P)$ and $\mathscr{N}^s(P^*)$ are closed subspaces and admit the structure of Hilbert spaces. We may consider $\mathscr{N}^s(P)$ and $\mathscr{N}^s(P^*)$ as unitary representations of G. We denote by $\hat{G}$ the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible representations of G. For $\alpha \in \hat{G}$, we denote the α-components by $\mathscr{N}^s_\alpha(P)$ and $\mathscr{N}^s_\alpha(P^*)$.

We call a G-invariant pseudo-differential operator $P: \mathscr{C}^\infty(M; E) \to \mathscr{C}^\infty(M; F)$ to be *transversally elliptic* relative to G if the principal symbol $\sigma(P)$ is invertible over $\tau_G M - M$. Then we have:

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

THEOREM (Atiyah [1]). *Let* $P: \mathscr{C}^\infty(M; E) \to \mathscr{C}^\infty(M; F)$ *be a transversally elliptic operator. Then for each* $\alpha \in \hat{G}$, $\mathscr{N}^s_\alpha(P)$ *is finite dimensional and does not depend on s. Furthermore the formal sum*

$$\operatorname{char} \mathscr{N}(P) = \sum_{\alpha \in \hat{G}} \operatorname{char} \mathscr{N}^s_\alpha(P)$$

converges in $\mathscr{H}^{-n-\varepsilon}(G)$ ($n = \dim M$) *for any* $\varepsilon > 0$.

Now we can define the distributional index:

DEFINITION. Let $P: \mathscr{C}^\infty(M; E) \to \mathscr{C}^\infty(M; F)$ be a transversally elliptic operator relative to G. Then the *distributional index* $\operatorname{ind}^G(P)$ is defined by:

$$\operatorname{ind}^G(P) = \operatorname{char} \mathscr{N}(P) - \operatorname{char} \mathscr{N}(P^*) \in \mathscr{D}'(G)^{\mathrm{inv}}$$

Here we denote by $\mathscr{D}'(G)^{\mathrm{inv}}$ the distributions on G invariant under the inner automorphisms of G.

The distributional index has the following properties:

THEOREM (Atiyah [1]). *The distributional index of a transversally elliptic operator P depends only on the homotopy class of the restriction of the principal symbol* $\sigma(P)$ *to* $\tau_G M - M$

$$\sigma(P)|_{\tau_G M - M} \in \operatorname{Iso}(\pi^* E, \pi^* F)|_{\tau_G M - M} .$$

COROLLARY. *The distributional index defines a* $R(G)$*-module homomorphism*

$$\operatorname{ind}^G: K_G(\tau_G M) \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}'(G)^{\mathrm{inv}} .$$

For each $\alpha \in \hat{G}$, the transversally elliptic operator P defines a G-invariant Fredholm operator

$$P_\alpha: \mathscr{H}^s_\alpha(M; E) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{s-m}_\alpha(M; F) .$$

So we may consider $\operatorname{ind}^G(P) = \sum_\alpha \operatorname{ind}(P_\alpha)$. Then by the orthonormality of irreducible characters, we have:

$$(\operatorname{ind}^G P)(1_G) = \operatorname{index}[P^G: \mathscr{C}^\infty(M; E)^G \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}^\infty(M; F)^G] .$$

Now we assume that the action of G on M is of trivial principal orbit type and with only finite isotropy subgroups. Then, by definition, the above number is the V-index of the elliptic operator $P^G: \mathscr{C}^\infty_V(G\backslash M; G\backslash E) \to \mathscr{C}^\infty_V(G\backslash M; G\backslash F)$ over the V-manifold $G\backslash M$. Each G-equivariant bundle

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$E \to M$ defines a proper V-bundle $G\backslash E \to G\backslash M$, and vice versa. The V-manifold $G\backslash\tau_G M$ is exactly the total space $\tau_V(G\backslash M)$ of the tangent V-bundle. Then we have the canonical isomorphism $K_G(\tau_G M) \cong K_V(\tau_V(G\backslash M))$ and the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_G(\tau_G M) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ind}^G} & \mathscr{D}'(G)^{\mathrm{inv}} \\ \| \wr & & \downarrow \int_G \\ K_V(\tau_V(G\backslash M)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ind}_V} & \boldsymbol{Z} \subset \boldsymbol{C}\,. \end{array}$$

Conversely, given a V-manifold X, we choose a Riemannian metric on X. Then the total space $O(n)(\tau_V X)$ of the associated tangential orthonormal frame V-bundle is a smooth manifold. The right action of $O(n)$ is of trivial principal orbit type and with only finite isotropy subgroups. Its orbit space is canonically identified with the original V-manifold X. If we choose an injective homomorphism of $O(n)$ into a compact connected Lie group G, then the total space $\tilde{X} = O(n)(\tau_V X) \times_{O(n)} G$ of the associated tangential G-principal V-bundle is a smooth manifold with a right G-action and its orbit space is again a V-manifold X. So we recover the original situation and we also have an identification $K_V(\tau_V X) \cong K_G(\tau_G \tilde{X})$. Thus we reduce the computations of V-index into those of distributional index.

For the computations of distributional index, we write down some of the results in Atiyah [1]. Let G be a compact connected Lie group and let T be its maximal torus. We choose and fix a G-invariant complex structure on the flag manifold G/T. Then we have the Dolbeault complex on G/T and we consider its symbol $[\bar\partial]$ as an element of $K_G(\tau(G/T))$. Let M be a smooth G-manifold with only finite isotropy subgroups. We have a G-equivariant diffeomorphism $G \times_T M \cong G/T \times M$ by sending $(g, x) \in G \times_T M$ to (gT, gx). Then we have the equivalences of vector bundles

$$G \times_T \tau_T M \cong \tau_G(G \times_T M) \cong \tau_G(G/T \times M) \cong \tau(G/T) \times \tau_G M\,.$$

The first equivalence comes from the $(G \times T)$-equivariant bundle map $G \times \tau_T M = \tau_{G\times T}(G \times M)$, where $G \times T$ acts on $G \times M$ by $(g, h)(g', x) = (gg'h^{-1}, hx)$. The third equivalence comes from the natural identification $\tau(G/T \times M) \cong \tau(G/T) \times \tau M$. Then we define a homomorphism $r\colon K_G(\tau_G M) \to K_T(\tau_T M)$ by:

$$r\colon K_G(\tau_G M) \xrightarrow{[\bar\partial]\times} K_G(\tau(G/T) \times \tau_G M) \cong K_G(G \times_T \tau_T M) \cong K_T(\tau_T M)\,.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

By this homomorphism, we can compute ind^G through ind^T.

THEOREM (Atiyah [1]). *Let M be a compact smooth G-manifold without boundary (with only finite isotropy subgroups)**). *Then the following diagram commutes*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_G(\tau_G M) & \xrightarrow{r} & K_T(\tau_T M) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{ind}^G & & \downarrow \mathrm{ind}^T \\ \mathscr{D}'(G)^{\mathrm{inv}} & \xleftarrow{i_*} & \mathscr{D}'(T) \end{array},$$

where $i_*: \mathscr{D}'(T) \to \mathscr{D}'(G)^{\mathrm{inv}}$ is the dual of the restriction $i^*: \mathscr{C}^\infty(G)^{\mathrm{inv}} \to \mathscr{C}^\infty(T)$.

Especially, for $u \in K_G(\tau_G M)$, we have:

$$(10) \qquad (\mathrm{ind}^G u)(1_G) = (\mathrm{ind}^T ru)(1_T) .$$

Another result that we need is the following:

THEOREM (Atiyah [1]). *Let M be a compact smooth T-manifold without boundary, with only finite isotropy subgroups. Then for $u \in K_T(\tau_T M)$, we have*:

$$(11) \qquad (\mathrm{ind}^T u)(1_T) = \sum_{\substack{h \in T,\, M^h \neq \phi \\ M_i^h \subset M^h}} \frac{(-1)^{\dim (T\backslash M_i^h)}}{m_T(M_i^h)} \{\mathrm{ch}_T^h(u)\mathscr{I}_T^h(M)\}[T\backslash \tau_T M_i^h] ,$$

where M_i^h moves over the connected components of M^h and for each M_i^h, we define the multiplicity $m_T(M_i^h)$ by:

$$m_T(M_i^h) = \textit{the order of } \{g \in T \mid gx = x, \textit{ for any } x \in M_i^h\}^{**)} .$$

We review the definitions of $\mathrm{ch}_T^h(u)$ and $\mathscr{I}_T^h(u)$. Let $i_h: \tau_T M^h \to \tau_T M$ be the inclusion, then $i_h^* u \in K_T(\tau_T M^h)$ admits the eigenspace decomposition $i_h^* u = \oplus_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} u_h^\theta$, where $u_h^\theta \in K_T(\tau_T M^h)$ is the stable eigenvector bundle of eigenvalue $e^{i\theta}$. Then we have an element $\mathrm{ch}_T(u_h^\theta) \in H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \boldsymbol{Q}) \cong H_c^*(T\backslash \tau_T M^h; \boldsymbol{Q})$ (the subscript c denotes the cohomology with compact support). We define $\mathrm{ch}_T^h(u) \in H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \boldsymbol{C}) \cong H_c^*(T\backslash \tau_T M^h; \boldsymbol{C})$ by:

$$\mathrm{ch}_T^h(u) = \sum_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} e^{i\theta} \mathrm{ch}_T(u_h^\theta) .$$

*) In Atiyah [1], this theorem is proved without any restriction on isotropy subgroups.

**) The definition of the multiplicity $m(h)$ in Atiyah [1] is incorrect. It depends on the whole group T and the connected component M_i^h in M^h.

Let $\tau_T M|_{M^h} = \tau_T M^h \oplus \nu_{h,T} = \tau_T M^h \oplus (\oplus_{0<\theta\leqq\pi} \nu^\theta_{h,T})$ be the eigenspace decomposition. $\nu^\pi_{h,T}$ is the real eigenvector bundle of eigenvalue -1 and $\nu^\theta_{h,T}$ $(0<\theta<\pi)$ is a complex vector bundle on which the action of h is the multiplication by the scalar $e^{i\theta}$. We denote formally the equivariant Pontrjagin classes of $\tau_T M^h$ and $\nu^\pi_{h,T}$ by $p_T(\tau_T M^h) = \prod (1 + x_j^2) \in H_T^*(M^h; \boldsymbol{Q})$ and $p_T(\nu^\pi_{h,T}) = \prod (1 + y_j^2) \in H_T^*(M^h; \boldsymbol{Q})$ respectively, and the equivariant Chern classes of $\nu^\theta_{h,T}$ by $c_T(\nu^\theta_{h,T}) = \prod (1 + z_j) \in H_T^*(M^h; \boldsymbol{Q})$. Then we define $\mathscr{I}^h_T(M) \in H_T^*(M^h; \boldsymbol{C}) \cong H^*(T\backslash M^h; \boldsymbol{C})$ by:

$$\mathscr{I}^h_T(M) = \det_{\boldsymbol{R}}(1 - h|_{\nu_{h,T}})^{-1} \mathscr{R}_T(\nu^\pi_{h,T}) \Big\{ \prod_{0<\theta<\pi} \mathscr{S}^\theta_T(\nu^\theta_{h,T}) \Big\} \mathscr{I}_T(M^h) ,$$

where

$$\mathscr{I}_T(M^h) = \mathscr{T}_T(\tau_T M^h \otimes_{\boldsymbol{R}} \boldsymbol{C}) = \prod_j \left(\frac{x_j}{1 - e^{-x_j}} \, \frac{-x_j}{1 - e^{x_j}} \right) ,$$

$$\mathscr{R}_T(\nu^\pi_{h,T}) = \prod_j \left(\frac{2}{1 + e^{y_j}} \, \frac{2}{1 + e^{-y_j}} \right) ,$$

$$\mathscr{S}^\theta_T(\nu^\theta_{h,T}) = \prod_j \left(\frac{1 - e^{i\theta}}{1 - e^{z_j + i\theta}} \, \frac{1 - e^{-i\theta}}{1 - e^{-z_j - i\theta}} \right) .$$

Consider the orbit space $X = T\backslash M$ as a V-manifold. By definition, we can see:

$$X \coprod \Big(\coprod_i \Sigma_i \Big) = T\backslash M \coprod \Big(\coprod_{\substack{h \in T, M^h \neq \emptyset \\ M^h_i \subset M^h}} T\backslash M^h_i \Big) ,$$

$$\tau_V X \coprod \Big(\coprod_i \tau_V \Sigma_i \Big) = T\backslash \tau_T M \coprod \Big(\coprod_{h,i} T\backslash \tau_T M^h_i \Big) .$$

So we may identify $H^*_{T,c}(\tau_T M; \boldsymbol{Q})$ with $H^*_c(\tau_V X; \boldsymbol{Q})$ and $H^*_{T,c}(\tau_T M^h_i; \boldsymbol{C})$ with $H^*_c(\tau_V \Sigma_i; \boldsymbol{C})$. Then, for $u \in K_V(\tau_V X) \cong K_T(\tau_T M)$, we can interpret:

$$\mathrm{ch}\,(u)\mathscr{I}(X) + \mathrm{ch}^\Sigma\,(u)\mathscr{I}^\Sigma(X) = \mathrm{ch}_T\,(u)\mathscr{I}_T(M) + \sum_h \mathrm{ch}^h_T\,(u)\mathscr{I}^h_T(M) .$$

Thus we have shown that the Atiyah's formula (11) is equivalent to our formula (7), if the V-manifold X is obtained as the orbit space of a toral action.

Now we consider a general V-manifold X. We may assume that X is the orbit space of a G-manifold M. G acts on M with only finite isotropy subgroups and of trivial principal orbit type. Then, for a real or complex G-equivariant vector bundle E, we may identify the G-equivariant characteristic class of E with the characteristic class of the V-bundle $G\backslash E \to X$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(defined by the same polynomial in Pontrjagin classes or Chern classes). We shall rewrite the formula (7) in the word of equivariant characteristic classes.

By the compactness of M and the smoothness of the G-action, the number of orbit types of G-manifold M is finite. Also, all the isotropy subgroups are finite, so the number of conjugacy classes of elements of G with non-empty fixed point set is finite. Let $(1), (h_1), \cdots, (h_\rho)$ be such conjugacy classes. Each fixed point set M^h admits the action of the centralizer $Z_G(h)$. Then the action of h on $\tau M|_{M^h}$ defines the decomposition into eigenvector bundles

$$\tau M|_{M^h} = \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h \oplus \nu_{h,G} = \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{0<\theta\leqq\pi} \nu^\theta_{h,G} \right).$$

Since $Z_G(h)$ commutes with h, each summand is $Z_G(h)$-equivariant. Then we define $\mathscr{I}^h_G(M) \in H^*_{Z_G(h)}(M^h; \boldsymbol{C})$ by;

$$\mathscr{I}^h_G(M) = \det_{\boldsymbol{R}} (1 - h|_{\nu_{h,G}})^{-1} \mathscr{R}_{Z_G(h)}(\nu^\pi_{h,G}) \left\{ \prod_{0<\theta<\pi} \mathscr{S}^\theta_{Z_G(h)}(\nu^\theta_{h,G}) \right\} \mathscr{I}_{Z_G(h)}(M^h).$$

We remark that $\nu_{h,G}$ and the normal bundle of M^h in M differ in dimension equal to $\dim G - \dim Z_G(h)$. For $u \in K_V(\tau_V X) \cong K_G(\tau_G M)$, we have $i^*_h u \in K_{Z_G(h)}(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h)$ and the eigenspace decomposition $i^*_h u = \oplus_{0\leqq\theta<2\pi} u^\theta_h$. Then we define:

$$\mathrm{ch}^h_G(u) = \sum_{0\leqq\theta<2\pi} e^{i\theta} \mathrm{ch}_{Z_G(h)}(u^\theta_h) \in H^*_{Z_G(h),c}(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \boldsymbol{C}).$$

Let $\Sigma X = \coprod \Sigma_i$ be the singularity V-manifold. Then we have canonical identifications

$$\coprod \Sigma_i = \coprod_{j=1}^{\rho} Z_G(h_j)\backslash M^{h_j}, \qquad \coprod \tau_V \Sigma_i = \coprod_{j=1}^{\rho} Z_G(h_j)\backslash \tau_{Z_G(h_j)} M^{h_j}.$$

Let $Z_G(h)\backslash M^h = \coprod Z_G(h)\backslash M^h_i$ be the decomposition into connected components. Each M^h_i is $Z_G(h)$-invariant but not connected in general. We define the multiplicity $m_G(M^h_i)$ by:

$$m_G(M^h_i) = \text{the order of } \{g \in Z_G(h) \mid gx = x, \text{ for any } x \in M^h_i\}.$$

Now we can rewrite the formula (7) into:

$$(12) \qquad (\mathrm{ind}^G u)(1_G) = \sum_{\substack{(h)\in(G) \\ M^h_i \subset M^h}} \frac{(-1)^{\dim (Z_G(h)\backslash M^h_i)}}{m_G(M^h_i)} \{\mathrm{ch}^h_G(u) \mathscr{I}^h_G(M)\}[Z_G(h)\backslash \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h_i],$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where we denote by (G) the set of conjugacy classes of G. We shall deduce this formula from (11) and a computation in the equivariant Chern classes on the flag manifold G/T.

§ 2. Gysin homomorphisms (integrations over the fibre)

Let G be a compact connected Lie group and let M be a compact G-manifold without boundary. We assume that G acts on M with only finite isotropy subgroups. Let T be a maximal torus of G. We choose and fix a G-invariant complex structure on the flag manifold G/T. Then, by (10) and (11), we have, for $u \in K_G(\tau_G M)$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{ind}^G u)(1_G) &= (\mathrm{ind}^T ru)(1_T) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{h\in T \\ M_i^h \subset M^h}} \frac{(-1)^{\dim(T\backslash M_i^h)}}{m_T(M_i^h)} \{\mathrm{ch}_T^h(ru)\mathscr{I}_T^h(M)\}[T\backslash\tau_T M_i^h] .\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Here $M_i^h \subset M^h$ denotes a connected component. In the sequel we omit i's since all the arguments are parallel.

To deduce (12), we need to reform (13) into the evaluation over $[Z_G(h)\backslash\tau_{Z_G(h)}M^h]$'s. We use the Gysin homomorphisms. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ET \times_T M^h & \xrightarrow{\pi} & EZ_G(h) \times_{Z_G(h)} M^h \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T\backslash M^h & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Z_G(h)\backslash M^h . \end{array}$$

The vertical maps induce the identifications $H^*(T\backslash M^h; \boldsymbol{Q}) \cong H_T^*(M^h; \boldsymbol{Q})$ and $H^*(Z_G(h)\backslash M^h; \boldsymbol{Q}) \cong H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; \boldsymbol{Q})$. The upper π is a fibration with fibre $Z_G(X)/T$. We orient $Z_G(h)/T$ by the induced complex structure from G/T. We denote the orientation sheaf on M^h by $o(M^h)$. Then we have the Gysin homomorphism $\pi_!: H_T^*(M^h; o(M^h) \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}) \to H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; o(M^h) \otimes \boldsymbol{Q})$. We may reconstruct $\pi_!$ by using the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the map $\pi: T\backslash M^h — Z_K(h)\backslash M$. Then we have the following proposition:

PROPOSITION. *The Gysin homomorphism* $\pi_!$:

$$H_T^*(M^h; o(M^h) \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}) \longrightarrow H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; o(M^h) \otimes \boldsymbol{Q})$$

is a $H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; \boldsymbol{Q})$*-module homomorphism. For* $x \in H_T^*(M^h; o(M^h) \otimes \boldsymbol{Q})$, *we have the following formula*:

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$\frac{1}{m_T(M^h)}\langle x, [T\backslash M^h]\rangle = \frac{1}{m_G(M^h)}\langle \pi_! x, [Z_G(h)\backslash M^h]\rangle .$$

Also we have the Thom isomorphisms

$$\psi_T : H_T^*(M^h; o(M^h)\otimes \boldsymbol{Q}) \longrightarrow H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \boldsymbol{Q})$$

and

$$\psi_{Z_G(h)} : H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; o(M^h)\otimes \boldsymbol{Q}) \longrightarrow H_{Z_G(h),c}^*(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \boldsymbol{Q}) .$$

Then we define $\tau\pi_! = \psi_{Z_G(h)}\circ \pi_! \circ (\psi_T)^{-1} : H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \boldsymbol{Q}) \to H_{Z_G(h),c}^*(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \boldsymbol{Q})$. It is also a $H_{Z_G(h)}^*(M^h; \boldsymbol{Q})$-homomorphism. Looking carefully at the orientations of $T\backslash\tau_T M^h$ and $Z_G(h)\backslash\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h$, we have, for $y\in H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \boldsymbol{Q})$:

$$\frac{1}{m_T(M^h)}\langle y, [T\backslash\tau_T M^h]\rangle = \frac{(-1)^{m_h}}{m_T(M^h)}\langle \tau\pi_! y, [Z_G(h)\backslash\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h]\rangle ,$$
$$(m_h = \tfrac{1}{2}\dim_{\boldsymbol{R}}(Z_G(h)/T) = \dim_{\boldsymbol{C}}(Z_G(h)/T)) .$$

We apply $\tau\pi_!$ to $\{\mathrm{ch}_T^h(ru)\mathscr{I}_T^h(M)\}$ in (13). Then we get:

(14)
$$(\mathrm{ind}^G u)(1_G) = \sum_{h\in T}\frac{\varepsilon_G(M^h)}{m_G(M^h)}(-1)^{m_h}\tau\pi_!\{\mathrm{ch}_T^h(ru)\mathscr{I}_T^h(M)\}[Z_G(h)/\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h] ,$$
$$(\varepsilon_G(M^h) = (-1)^{\dim(Z_G(h)\backslash M^h)}) .$$

We compute each term $(-1)^{m_h}\tau\pi_!\{\mathrm{ch}_T^h(ru)\mathscr{I}_T^h(M)\}$ independently. First we consider $\mathscr{I}_T^h(M)\in H_T^*(M^h; \boldsymbol{C})$. We have isomorphisms:

$$H_T^*(M^h; \boldsymbol{C}) \cong H_{Z_G(h)}^*(Z_G(h)\times_T M^h; \boldsymbol{C}) \cong H_{Z_G(h)}^*(Z_G(h)/T\times M^h) .$$

Recall the definition:

$$\mathscr{I}_T^h(M) = \det_{\boldsymbol{R}}(1 - h|_{\nu_{h,T}})^{-1}\mathscr{R}_T(\nu_{h,T}^\pi)\Big\{\prod_{0<\theta<\pi}\mathscr{S}_T^\theta(\nu_{h,T}^\theta)\Big\}\mathscr{I}_T(M^h) .$$

$\nu_{h,T}$ is a $Z_G(h)$-equivariant bundle and decomposes equivariantly into:

$$\nu_{h,T} = \nu_{h,G}\oplus\tau_0(G/Z_G(h)) ,$$

where $\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))$ denotes the tangent space of $G/Z_G(h)$ at the identity coset. (We denote by the same symbol the vector space and the trivial vector bundle). So, if we lift the T-equivariant bundle $\nu_{h,T}$ to a $Z_G(h)$-equivariant bundle over $Z_G(h)\times_T M^h \cong Z_G(h)/T\times M^h$, we may consider it as the pull-back of a $Z_G(h)$-equivariant bundle $\nu_{h,T}$ over M^h. Since $Z_G(h)/T$ is a complex submanifold of G/T, $\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))$ is a complex vector space with a linear action of h. h does not have any non-zero fixed

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

vector on $\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))$. Let $\oplus_{0<\theta<2\pi}\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h))$ be the eigenspace decomposition. We define:

$$\mathscr{I}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0 = \det_R(1 - h|_{\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))})^{-1}\Big\{\prod_{0<\theta<2\pi}\mathscr{S}^\theta_{Z_G(h)}(\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h)))\Big\} \in H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}(pt; C) .$$

Then we have:

$$\det_R(1 - h|_{\nu_{h,T}})^{-1}\mathscr{R}_T(\nu^\pi_{h,T})\Big\{\prod_{0<\theta<\pi}\mathscr{S}^\theta_T(\nu^\theta_{h,T})\Big\}$$
$$= \mathscr{I}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0 \times \det(1 - h|_{\nu_{h,G}})^{-1}\mathscr{R}_{Z_G(h)}(\nu^\pi_{h,G})\Big\{\prod_{0<\theta<\pi}\mathscr{S}^\theta_{Z_G(h)}(\nu^\theta_{h,G})\Big\} ,$$

where the first factor is in $H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}(pt; C)$ and the second factor is in $H^*_{Z_G(h)}(M^h; C)$. Also we have a T-equivariant decomposition:

$$\tau_T M^h = \tau_{Z_G(h)}M^h \oplus \tau_0(Z_G(h)/T) .$$

If we lift $\tau_T M^h$ over $Z_G(h)/T \times M^h$, then $\tau_{Z_G(h)}M^h$ is a $Z_G(h)$-equivariant bundle over M^h and $\tau_0(Z_G(h)/T)$ is the tangent bundle of $Z_G(h)/T$. Hence we have:

$$\mathscr{I}_T(M^h) = \mathscr{I}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T) \times \mathscr{I}_{Z_G(h)}(M^h) .$$

As a whole, we have:

$$\mathscr{I}_T^h(M) = \mathscr{I}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T) \times \mathscr{I}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0 \times \mathscr{I}_G^h(M) \in H^*_{Z_G(h)}(Z_C(h)/T \times M^h; C) ,$$

where the first factor is in $H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T; Q)$, the second factor is in $H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}(pt; C)$ and the third factor is in $H^*_{Z_G(h)}(M^h; C)$.

Next we compute $\mathrm{ch}_T^h(ru) \in H^*_{T,c}(\tau_T M^h; C)$. By definition, we have ru $[\bar\partial] \times u \in K_G(\tau(G/T) \times \tau_G M) \cong K_T(\tau_T M)$. So

$$i_h^* ru = [\bar\partial_{G/T}|_{Z_G(h)/T}] \times i_h^* u \in K_{Z_G(h)}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T) \times \tau_{Z_G(h)}M^h) .$$

Since $Z_G(h)/T$ is a complex submanifold of G/T, we have $[\bar\partial_{G/T}|_{Z_G(h)/T}] = [\bar\partial_{Z_G(h)/T}]\lambda_{-1}(\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))) \in K_{Z_G(h)}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T))$. Hence we have:

$$i_h^* ru = [\bar\partial_{Z_G(h)/T}] \times \lambda_{-1}(\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))) \times i_h^* u ,$$

where the first factor is in $K_{Z_G(h)}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T))$, the second factor is in $R(Z_G(h))$ and the third factor is in $K_{Z_G(h)}(\tau_{Z_G(h)}M^h)$. Consider the eigenspace decomposition by the action of h. The action is trivial on $Z_G(h)/T$. So we have:

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$\sum e^{i\theta}(i_h^* ru)^\theta = [\bar\partial_{Z_G(h)/T}] \times (\sum e^{i\theta}\lambda_{-1}(\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h)))) \times (\sum e^{i\theta}(i_h^* u)^\theta)\ .$$

Applying the Chern character on both sides, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ch}_T^h(ru) &= \mathrm{ch}_{Z_G(h)}[\bar\partial_{Z_G(h)/T}] \times \mathrm{ch}_G^h(\lambda_{-1}(\tau_0(G/Z_G(h)))) \times \mathrm{ch}_G^h(u)\\ &\in H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \boldsymbol{C}) \cong H^*_{Z_G(h),c}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T) \times \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \boldsymbol{C})\ ,\end{aligned}$$

where the first factor is in $H^{**}_{Z_G(h),c}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T); \boldsymbol{C})$, the second factor is in $H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}(pt; \boldsymbol{C})$ and the third factor is in $H^*_{Z_G(h),c}(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \boldsymbol{C})$. Combining this with the computation on $\mathscr{I}_T^h(M)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ch}_T^h(ru)\mathscr{I}_T^h(M) &= \mathrm{ch}_{Z_G(h)}[\bar\partial_{Z_G(h)/T}]\mathscr{I}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T)\\ &\quad\times \mathrm{ch}_G^h(\lambda_{-1}(\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))))\mathscr{I}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0\\ &\quad\times \mathrm{ch}_G^h(u)\mathscr{I}_G^h(M)\\ &\quad\in H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \boldsymbol{C}) \cong H^*_{Z_G(h),c}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T) \times \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \boldsymbol{C})\ ,\end{aligned}$$

where the first factor is in $H^{**}_{Z_G(h),c}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T; \boldsymbol{Q})$, the second factor is in $H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}(pt; \boldsymbol{C})$ and the third factor is in $H^*_{Z_G(h),c}(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \boldsymbol{C})$. We have also:

$$\mathrm{ch}_{Z_G(h)}[\bar\partial_{Z_G(h)/T}]\mathscr{I}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T) = (-1)^{m_h}\psi(\mathscr{T}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T))\ ,$$

$(\psi: H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T; \boldsymbol{Q}) \longrightarrow H^{**}_{Z_G(h),c}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T); \boldsymbol{Q})$, Thom isomorphism) ,

$$\mathrm{ch}_G^h(\lambda_{-1}(\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))))\mathscr{I}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0 = \mathscr{T}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0\ ,$$

(the residual Todd class restricted at the identity component) .

By the identification $H_{T,c}^*(\tau_T M^h; \boldsymbol{C}) \cong H^*_{Z_G(h),c}(\tau(Z_G(h)/T) \times \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \boldsymbol{C})$, $\tau\pi_!$ is given by the composite:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau\pi_!: H^*_{Z_G(h),c}&(\tau(Z_G(h)/T) \times \tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \boldsymbol{C})\\ &\xrightarrow{\psi^{-1}} H^*_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T \times M^h; o(M^h) \otimes \boldsymbol{C})\\ &\xrightarrow{\pi_!} H^*_{Z_G(h)}(M^h; o(M^h) \otimes \boldsymbol{C})\\ &\xrightarrow{\psi} H^*_{Z_G(h),c}(\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h; \boldsymbol{C})\ .\end{aligned}$$

Then we can see:

$$\begin{aligned}&(-1)^{m_h}\tau\pi_!\{\mathrm{ch}_T^h(ru)\mathscr{I}_T^h(M)\}\\ &\qquad= \pi_!\{\mathscr{T}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T)\mathscr{T}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0\}\,\mathrm{ch}_G^h(u)\mathscr{I}_G^h(M)\ ,\\ &(\pi_!: H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T; \boldsymbol{C}) \longrightarrow H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}(pt; \boldsymbol{C}))\ .\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved:

$$\begin{aligned}(15)\qquad (\mathrm{ind}^G u)(1_G) = \sum_{\substack{h\in T\\ M^h\neq\emptyset}} \frac{\varepsilon_G(M^h)}{m_G(M^h)}&\{\pi_!\{\mathscr{T}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T)\mathscr{T}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0\}\\ &\times \mathrm{ch}_G^h(u)\mathscr{I}_G^h(M)\}[Z_G(h)\backslash\tau_{Z_G(h)} M^h]\ .\end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We compare this formula with the final form (12). In (12), the summation moves over the conjugacy classes (h) in G such that $M^h \neq \emptyset$, but in (15), the summation moves over all the elements in T such that $M^h \neq \emptyset$. We recall that every conjugacy class (h) in G meets T by finite (non-zero) times. So in (15), we sum up first the terms corresponding to the elements that belong to the same conjugacy class in G.

Let h and h' be elements in T conjugate in G. Choose $g \in G$ such that $ghg^{-1} = h'$. We denote by ϕ_g the action of g on M and by ι_g the inner automorphism induced by g. Then $\phi_g\colon M \to M$ is ι_g-equivariant and maps M^h onto $M^{h'}$. It induces bundle equivalences $\tau_h\phi_g\colon \tau_{Z_G(h)}M^h \to \tau_{Z_G(h')}M^{h'}$ and $\nu_h\phi_g\colon \nu_{h,G} \to \nu_{h',G}$. These equivalences are $[\iota_g\colon Z_G(h) \to Z_G(h')]$-equivariant. This shows $\phi_g^* \mathscr{I}_G^{h'}(M) = \mathscr{I}_G^h(M)$ and $(\tau_h\phi_g)^* \operatorname{ch}_G^{h'}(u) = \operatorname{ch}_G^h(u)$. Hence we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(\tau_h\phi_g)^*\{\pi_!\{\mathscr{T}_{Z_G(h')}(Z_G(h')/T)\mathscr{T}_G^{h'}(G/Z_G(h'))_0\} \operatorname{ch}_G^{h'}(u)\mathscr{I}_G^{h'}(M)\} \\ = \{\iota_g^*\pi_!\{\mathscr{T}_{Z_G(h')}(Z_G(h')/T)\mathscr{T}_G^{h'}(G/Z_G(h'))_0\}\} \operatorname{ch}_G^h(u)\mathscr{I}_G^h(M)\,.\end{aligned}$$

For each conjugacy class (h) in G, we put $(h) \cap T = \{h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{w(h)}\}$. For each j, we choose $g_j \in G$ such that $h_j = g_j h g_j^{-1}$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(\operatorname{ind}^G u)(1_G) = \sum_{\substack{(h)\in(G)\\ M^h\neq\emptyset}} \frac{\varepsilon_G(M^h)}{m_G(M^h)} \Big\{\Big\{\sum_{j=1}^{w(h)} \iota_{g_j}^*\pi_!\{\mathscr{T}_{Z_G(h_j)}(Z_G(h_j)/T) \\ \times \mathscr{T}_G^{h_j}(G/Z_G(h_j))_0\}\Big\} \operatorname{ch}_G^h(u)\mathscr{I}_G^h(M)\Big\}[Z_G(h)\backslash\tau_{Z_G(h)}M^h]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Now we consider the class

$$\sum_{j=1}^{w(h)} \iota_{g_j}^*\pi_!\{\mathscr{T}_{Z_G(h_j)}(Z_G(h_j)/T)\mathscr{T}_G^{h_j}(G/Z_G(h_j))_0\} \in H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}(pt; \boldsymbol{C})\,.$$

The action of h on $\tau_0(G/Z_G(h))$ has no fixed non-zero vector. By an elementary consideration, we have:

$$(G/T)^h = \coprod_{j=1}^{w(h)} g_j^{-1}Z_G(h_j)/T\,.$$

Recall the definition of $\mathscr{T}_G^h(G/T) \in H_{Z_G(h)}^{**}((G/T)^h; \boldsymbol{C})$. We can see that $\mathscr{T}_{Z_G(h)}(Z_G(h)/T)\mathscr{T}_G^h(G/Z_G(h))_0$ is the restriction of $\mathscr{T}_G^h(G/T)$ onto the component $Z_G(h)/T$. The holomorphic action of g_j on G/T defines a map $\psi_{g_j}\colon g_j^{-1}Z_G(h_j)/T \to Z_G(h_j)/T$. It is ι_{g_j}-equivariant. Hence we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\iota_{g_j}^*\pi_!\{\mathscr{T}_{Z_G(h_j)}(Z_G(h_j)/T)\mathscr{T}_G^{h_j}(G/Z_G(h_j))_0\} \\ &\quad = \pi_!\{\psi_{g_j}^*\mathscr{T}_{Z_G(h_j)}(Z_G(h_i)/T)\mathscr{T}_G^{h_j}(G/Z_G(h_j))_0\} \\ &\quad = \pi_!(\mathscr{T}_G^h(G/T)|_{g_j^{-1}Z_G(h_j)/T})\,.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved:

$$(\mathrm{ind}^G u)(1_G)$$

(17) $$= \sum_{\substack{(h)\in(G)\\ M^h\neq\emptyset}} \frac{\varepsilon_G(M^h)}{m_G(M^h)} \{\pi_!(\mathscr{T}^h_G(G/T))\, \mathrm{ch}^h_G(u)\mathscr{I}^h_G(M)\}[Z_G(h)\backslash\tau_{Z_G(h)}M^h]\,,$$

$$(\pi_!: H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}((G/T)^h; C) \longrightarrow H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}(pt; C))\,.$$

To complete the proof it will suffice to show:

$$\pi_!(\mathscr{T}^h_G(G/T)) = 1 \in H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}(pt; C)\,.$$

This will be done in the next section.

§ 3. Equivariant residual Todd classes over flag manifolds

Let G be a compact connected Lie group and let T be a maximal torus of G. Choose and fix a G-invariant complex structure on the flag manifold G/T. Let $h \in T$ be an element. Then the fixed point set $(G/T)^h$ is a complex submanifold (closed but not connected in general). It admits the holomorphic action of the centralizer $Z_G(h)$. Let $E(=EG) \to E/G(=BG)$ be the universal G-principal bundle. Then we have an associated bundle: $E\times_{Z_G(h)}(G/T)^h \to E/Z_G(h)$ $(=BZ_G(h))$. Over its total space $E\times_{Z_G(h)}(G/T)^h$, we have vector bundles

$$\tau((G/T)^h)_{Z_G(h)} = E\times_{Z_G(h)}\tau((G/T)^h)\,,$$
$$\nu^\theta((G/T)^h)_{Z_G(h)} = E\times_{Z_G(h)}\nu^\theta((G/T)^h) \qquad (0<\theta<2\pi)\,,$$

(ν^θ denotes the eigenvector bundle by the action of h). Then we define:

$$\mathscr{T}^h_G(G/T) = \mathscr{T}(\tau((G/T)^h)_{Z_G(h)}) \prod_{0<\theta<2\pi} \mathscr{T}^\theta(\nu^\theta((G/T)^h)_{Z_G(h)})$$
$$\in H^{**}(E\times_{Z_G(h)}(G/T)^h; C) = H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}((G/T)^h; C)\,.$$

$\pi: E\times_{Z_G(h)}(G/T)^h \to E/Z_G(h)$ defines the Gysin homomorphism

$$\pi_!: H^{**}(E\times_{Z_G(h)}(G/T)^h; C) \longrightarrow H^{**}(E/Z_G(h); C)\,.$$

The purpose of this section is to prove the following formula

(18) $$\pi_!\mathscr{T}^h_G(G/T) = 1 \in H^{**}(E/Z_G(h); C) = H^{**}_{Z_G(h)}(pt; C)\,.$$

This is the last formula in the previous section.

Let $Z_G(h)_0 \subset Z_G(h)$ denote the identity component. Then the projection $E/Z_G(h)_0 \to E/Z_G(h)$ is a finite regular covering. The induced map

$H^{**}(E/Z_G(h); C) \to H^{**}(E/Z_G(h)_0; C)$ is injective. So we may reduce the structure group $Z_G(h)$ to $Z_G(h)_0$. We denote by π' the projection

$$\pi' : E \times_{Z_G(h)_0} (G/T)^h \longrightarrow E/Z_G(h)_0 .$$

Then it will suffice to show:

$$\pi'_! \mathscr{T}^h_G(G/T) = 1 \in H^{**}(E/Z_G(h)_0; C) .$$

Let $W(G) = N_G(T)/T$ and $W(Z_G(h)_0) = N_{Z_G(h)_0}(T)/T$ be the Weyl group of G and $Z_G(h)_0$ respectively. For each right coset $[w_j]$ in $W(G)/W(Z_G(h)_0)$, choose one representative $g_j \in N_G(T)$. Then, as a $Z_G(h)_0$-manifold, $(G/T)^h$ decomposes into a disjoint union

$$(G/T)^h = \coprod_{[w_j] \in W(G)/W(Z_G(h)_0)} (Z_G(h)_0 g_j^{-1})/T .$$

Put $h_j = g_j h g_j^{-1}$, then the holomorphic action of g_j maps $(Z_G(h)_0 g_j^{-1})/T$ onto $Z_G(h_j)_0/T$. This map is $[\iota_{g_j} : Z_G(h)_0 \to Z_G(h_j)_0]$-equivariant. Over each component $(Z_G(h)_0 g_j^{-1})/T$ in $(G/T)^h$, we may translate everything onto $Z_G(h_j)_0/T$ by the action of g_j. Then the bundles

$$E \times_{Z_G(h)_0} \tau((G/T)^h) \quad \text{and} \quad E \times_{Z_G(h)_0} \nu^\theta((G/T)^h)$$

are translated to:

$$E \times_{Z_G(h_j)_0} \tau(Z_G(h_j)_0/T) \cong E \times_T \tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T) ,$$
$$E \times_{Z_G(h_j)_0} \nu^\theta(Z_G(h_j)_0/T) \cong E \times_T \tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0) .$$

Then we have:

$$\pi'_! \{\mathscr{T}^h_G(G/T)|_{(Z_G(h)_0 g_j^{-1})/T}\}$$
$$= \iota^*_{g_j}(\pi_j)_! \left\{\mathscr{T}_T(\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T)) \prod_{0<\theta<2\pi} \mathscr{T}^\theta_T(\tau^\theta_0(G/Z_G(h_j)_0))\right\} ,$$

$$(\pi_j : E/T \longrightarrow E/Z_G(h_j)_0,\ \iota_{g_j} : E/Z_G(h)_0 \longrightarrow E/Z_G(h_j)_0) .$$

We can describe these classes in terms of the roots of G. Let $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_m$ be the positive roots of G, corresponding to the invariant complex structure on G/T (see Borel-Hirzegruch [4]). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{a}_m$ be the root space decomposition. That is: $\mathfrak{g} = \tau_0(G)$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \tau_0(T)$. T acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by the conjugacy. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{a}_m$ is the irreducible decomposition of this T-action. $\mathfrak{h}$ is the trivial summand. a_k $(k = 1, 2, \cdots, m)$ is a linear functional on $\mathfrak{h}$ such that, on $\mathfrak{a}_k \cong C$, the action of T is given by:

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$hz = e^{2\pi i a_k(H)}z\,,$$
$$(h \in T,\ z \in \mathfrak{a}_k \cong \boldsymbol{C},\ H \in \mathfrak{h} \text{ such that } \exp H = h)\,.$$

For the fixed $h \in T$, we choose $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\exp H = h$ and we put $H_j = w_j H = \operatorname{Ad}(g_j)H$. Then the T-invariant subspaces $\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T)$ and $\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0)$ in $\tau_0(G/T) = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{a}_m$ are given by:

$$\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T) = \bigoplus_{\substack{k;\ a_k(H_j) \equiv 0 \\ \bmod \boldsymbol{Z}}} \mathfrak{a}_k\,,$$
$$\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0) = \bigoplus_{\substack{k;\ a_k(H_j) \equiv \theta/2\pi \\ \bmod \boldsymbol{Z}}} \mathfrak{a}_k\,.$$

By Borel-Hirzebruch [4], we may identify $H^{**}(BT; \boldsymbol{R}) = H^{**}(E/T; \boldsymbol{R})$ with the completion of the symmetric tensor algebra $S^{**}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$. We denote by $[a_k] \in H^2(E/T; \boldsymbol{R})$ the corresponding class to $a_k \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then the equivariant total Chern classes are written by:

$$c_T(\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T)) = \prod_{k;\ a_k(H_j) \equiv 0} (1 + [a_k]) \in H^{**}(E/T; \boldsymbol{R})\,,$$
$$c_T(\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0)) = \prod_{k;\ a_k(H_j) \equiv \theta/2\pi} (1 + [a_k]) \in H^{**}(E/T; \boldsymbol{R})\,.$$

Hence we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathscr{T}_T(\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T)) \prod_{0<\theta<2\pi} \mathscr{T}_T^\theta(\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0)) \\
&= \prod_{k;\ a_k(H_j) \equiv 0} \frac{[a_k]}{1 - e^{-[a_k]}} \prod_{0<\theta<2\pi} \prod_{k;\ a_k(H_j) \equiv \theta/2\pi} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-[a_k] - i\theta}} \\
&= \Big\{\prod_{k;\ a_k(H_j) \equiv 0} [a_k]\Big\}\Big\{\prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - e^{-[a_k] - 2\pi i a_k(H_j)}}\Big\}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

By Borel-Hirzebruch [5], we can compute the Gysin homomorphism $(\pi_j)_!$. We remark that $\{a_k \mid a_k(H_j) \equiv 0 \bmod \boldsymbol{Z}\}$ are the positive roots of $Z_G(h_j)_0$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\{\prod_{k;\ a_k(H_j) \equiv 0} [a_k]\Big\}(\pi_j)_!\Big\{\mathscr{T}_T(\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T)) \prod_{0<\theta<2\pi} \mathscr{T}_T^\theta(\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0))\Big\} \\
&= \sum_{w \in W(Z_G(h_j)_0)} \operatorname{sgn}(w) \Big\{\prod_{k;\ a_k(H_j) \equiv 0} [wa_k]\Big\}\Big\{\prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - e^{-[wa_k] - 2\pi i a_k(H_j)}}\Big\}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

For $w \in W(Z_G(h_j)_0)$, we have:

$$\operatorname{sgn}(w)\Big\{\prod_{k;\ a_k(H_j) \equiv 0} [wa_k]\Big\} = \prod_{k;\ a_k(H_j) \equiv 0} [a_k]\,,$$
$$wa_k(H_j) = a_k(w^{-1}H_j) = a_k(H_j) \qquad (k = 1, 2, \cdots, m)\,.$$

Hence we have:

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$(\pi_j)_! \left\{ \mathscr{T}_T(\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T)) \prod_{0<\theta<2\pi} \mathscr{T}_T^\theta(\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_i)_0)) \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{w\in W(Z_G(h_j)_0)} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - e^{-[wa_k]-2\pi i wa_k(H_j)}} .$$

The conjugation $\iota_{g_j}: E/Z_G(h)_0 \to E/Z_G(h_j)_0$ is covered by the map $\iota_{g_j}: E/T \to E/T$. So, in cohomology, $\iota_{g_j}^*$ is given by the action of the element $w_j^{-1} \in W(G)$. Then we have:

$$\pi'_! \mathscr{T}_G^h(G/T) = \sum_j \iota_{g_j}^*(\pi_j)_! \left\{ \mathscr{T}_T(\tau_0(Z_G(h_j)_0/T)) \prod_\theta \mathscr{T}_T^\theta(\tau_0^\theta(G/Z_G(h_j)_0)) \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{[w_j]\in W(G)/W(Z_G(h)_0) \\ w\in W(Z_G(h_j)_0)}} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - e^{-[w_j^{-1}wa_k]-2\pi i wa_k(H_j)}} .$$

Here, $wa_k(H_j) = wa_k(w_jH) = w_j^{-1}wa_k(H)$ and in summation $w_j^{-1}w$ move just all over $W(G)$. Hence:

$$\pi'_! \mathscr{T}_G^h(G/T) = \sum_{w\in W(G)} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - e^{-[wa_k]-2\pi i wa_k(H)}} .$$

Recall the Weyl's relation that was used in Borel-Hirzebruch [4]. That is, as a function in $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, we have:

$$\sum_{w\in W(G)} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - e^{-wa_k(X)}} \equiv 1 .$$

Replace X by $X + 2\pi i H$ and we get:

$$\sum_{w\in W(G)} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - e^{-wa_k(X)-2\pi i wa_k(H)}} \equiv 1 .$$

The formal power series expansion of this expression gives a relation in $S^{**}(\mathfrak{h}^*) \otimes \boldsymbol{C} = H^{**}(E/T; \boldsymbol{C})$. This shows:

$$\pi_! \mathscr{T}_G^h(G/T) = 1 \in H^{**}(E/Z_G(h); \boldsymbol{C}) \subset H^{**}(E/T; \boldsymbol{C}) .$$

REFERENCES

[1] M. F. Atiyah, Elliptic operators and compact groups, Lecture Notes in Math., **401**, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

[2] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, The index of elliptic operators, I, Ann. of Math., **87** (1968), 484–530.

[3] ——, The index of elliptic operators, III, Ann. of Math., **87** (1968), 546–604.

[4] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces, I, Amer. J. Math., **80** (1958), 458–538.

[5] ——, Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces, II, Amer. J. Math., **81** (1959), 315–382.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019589 Published online by Cambridge University Press

[6] T. Kawasaki, The signature theorem for V-manifolds, Topology, **17** (1978), 75–83.
[7] ——, The Riemann-Roch theorem for complex V-manifolds, Osaka J. Math., **16** (1979), 151–159.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Gakushuin University
Mejiro, Tokyo, 171 Japan