

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Dear Editor,

### *Supercritical Galton–Watson branching processes: corrections to a paper of Foster and Goettge*

Foster and Goettge (1976) define a rate of growth of a discrete branching process,  $\{Z_n\}$  say, as a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  of constants such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{-1} Z_n$  exists almost surely and has positive probability of being positive and finite. They state that a classical (time homogeneous) Galton–Watson process has a rate of growth if and only if its mean family size  $m$  satisfies  $1 < m < \infty$ , whereas what is really meant is that  $1 < m < \infty$  is a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\{Z_n\}$  to have a single rate of growth which is ‘achieved’ (i.e. the limit is positive and finite) almost surely on the event of non-extinction. Subsequent work of Schuh and Barbour (1977) reveals that it is possible for a process with  $m = \infty$  to have countably infinitely many rates of growth, namely any process which is *irregular* in the sense of their definition.

However, the main purpose of this note is to draw attention to a more fundamental error in the paper of Foster and Goettge (1976), whose aim is to exhibit an example of a Galton–Watson process in varying environment (GWPVE) which has countably infinitely many rates of growth.

The following is a necessary component of their argument. For a given sequence  $\{n_i\}$  of positive integers, let  $A$  be the event that for all  $i$ , no individual in the  $i$ th generation has more than  $n_i$  offspring. Clearly if the sequence  $\{n_i\}$  grows fast enough,  $A$  will have positive probability, in which case conditional on  $A$ , the process will behave as a GWPVE, in which the family size distribution at generation  $i$  will be obtained from the unconditional one by truncating at  $n_i$  and re-normalising.

I claim that the conditional process is indeed a GWPVE, as a few moments’ thought will convince the reader; however, for  $k \leq n_i$  the probability  $p_{i,k}^*$  that a member of the  $i$ th generation will have  $k$  children conditional on  $A$  will be proportional *not* to  $p_{ik}$  (the corresponding unconditional probability) as suggested *but* to  $p_{ik}\pi_{i+1}^k$ , where  $\pi_{i+1}$  is the unconditional probability that, among the descendants of a particular member of the  $(i+1)$ th generation, for all  $j \geq i+1$  no member of the  $j$ th generation has more than  $n_j$  offspring. In all but the most trivial cases  $\pi_{i+1} < 1$  and this demonstrates the error.

It may be possible to patch up Foster and Goettge’s construction, but the aforementioned essentially stronger result of Schuh and Barbour (1977) makes this unnecessary.

## References

- FOSTER, J. H. AND GOETTGE, R. T. (1976) The rates of growth of the Galton–Watson process in varying environment. *J. Appl. Prob.* **13**, 144–147.
- SCHUH, H. J. AND BARBOUR, A. D. (1977) On the asymptotic behaviour of branching processes with infinite mean. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* **9**, 681–723.

University of Sheffield

Yours sincerely,  
D. R. GREY