

CORRIGENDUM

How organizational politics and subjective social status moderate job insecurity–silence relationships — Corrigendum

SuJin Son¹, Tae Seok Yang² and Junsu Park^{3*} 

¹College of Business, Chosun University, 309 Philmun-daero, Dong-gu, Gwangju 61452, South Korea, ²School of Management and Marketing, Western Illinois University, 1 University Circle, Macomb, IL 61455, USA and ³Department of Social Entrepreneurship and Humanistic Future Studies, SungKyunKwan University, 25-2 Sungkyunkwan-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03063, South Korea

*Corresponding author. E-mail: jsp2208@skku.edu

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2022.54>. Published by Cambridge University Press, 29th June 2022.

The authors would like to add ‘how’ to the first sentence of the abstract in order to clarify the of the article’s statement. Therefore, it should read:

“Drawing upon the conservation of resources theory and self-determination theory, this study examines the subjective social status (SSS) of employees and how it moderates the two-way interaction effect of job insecurity and perceived organizational politics on the types of silence (i.e., acquiescent, and defensive silence [DS])”.

The change has now been updated in the PDF and HTML versions of the article. The author apologises for the omission.

Reference

Son, S., Yang, T., & Park, J. (2022). How organizational politics and subjective social status moderate job insecurity–silence relationships. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 1-21. doi:10.1017/jmo.2022.54

Cite this article: Son S, Yang TS, Park J (2024). How organizational politics and subjective social status moderate job insecurity–silence relationships — Corrigendum. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 30(5), 1622. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2022.66>

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press in association with the Australian and New Zealand Academy of Management.