ON FINITE POLARIZED PARTITION RELATIONS

V. Chvátal

(received April 11, 1969)

1. Theorems. Call an $m \times n$ array an $m \times n$; k array if its mn entries come from a set of k elements. An $m \times n$; 1 array has mn like entries. We write

$$(1) \qquad (m, n; k) \longrightarrow (p, q; 1)$$

if every $m \times n$; k array contains a $p \times q$; 1 sub-array. The negation of (1) is written

$$(m, n; k) \longrightarrow (p, q; 1)$$

and means that there is an $m \times n$; k array containing no $p \times q$; 1 subarray. Relations (1) are called "polarized partition relations among cardinal numbers" by P. Erdős and R. Rado [2]. In this note we prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 1.
$$\underline{\underline{H}}$$
 $n\binom{m/k}{p} > (q-1)\binom{m}{p}$ $\underline{\underline{then}}$ $(m, n; k) \longrightarrow (p, q; 1)$.

THEOREM 2.
$$(k^2 + k + 1, k^2 + k + 1; k) \longrightarrow (2, 2; 1)$$
.

THEOREM 3. If $k \ge 2$ then

$$\{\{(p-1) | \frac{kt-1}{t-1}\}, \{t^p(q-1) | k^q\}; k\} \longrightarrow (p, q; 1)$$

for every real $t \ge 1$, where $\{s\}$ denotes the least integer > s.

C. Frasnay [3] proved that

$$(1 + pk^{1 + pk}, 1 + pk^{1 + pk}; k) \longrightarrow (p, p; 1).$$

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 12, no. 3, 1969

We improve this in

THEOREM 4.
$$(pk^p, pk^p; k) \longrightarrow (p, p; 1)$$
.

THEOREM 5.
$$\underline{\underline{H}} \binom{m}{p} \binom{n}{q} < k^{pq-1}$$
 then $(m, n; k) \xrightarrow{} (p, q; 1)$.

In particular

$$([(p!)^{1/p} k^{(p^2-1)/2p}], [(p!)^{1/p} k^{(p^2-1)/2p}];k) \longrightarrow (p, p; 1).$$

P. Erdos and R. Rado [2, p. 485] proved

$$(kp - k + 1, k^{kp - k + 1} (q - 1) + 1; k) \longrightarrow (p, q; 1).$$

We improve this in

THEOREM 6.
$$(kp - k + 1, k(\frac{kp - k + 1}{p}) (q - 1) + 1; k) \longrightarrow (p, q; 1),$$

$$(kp - k + 1, k(\frac{kp - k + 1}{p}) (q - 1); k) \longrightarrow (p, q; 1).$$

Theorem 6 has been proved independently by Scott Niven.

THEOREM 7.
$$(4p - 3, {2p - 1 \choose p} + 2; 2) \longrightarrow (p, 2; 1).$$

2. <u>Proofs.</u> We first prove two lemmas. K. Zarankiewicz [5] asked for the least positive integer k(m, n; p, q) such that every choice of k entries of an $m \times n$ array contains a $p \times q$ sub-array. For a detailed survey of this problem, see [4].

LEMMA 1. If
$$n\binom{N/n}{p} > (q-1)\binom{m}{p}$$
 then $k(m, n; p, q) \leq N$.

<u>Proof.</u> Let A = (a_{ij}) be an $m \times n$ array and S be a choice of N of the mn entries of A. Then $c_j = \sum\limits_{i,j} 1$ is the number of $a_{ij} \in S$

elements of S which come from column j of A, so

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} = N \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^{n} {c_{j} \choose p} \ge n {N/n \choose p} > (q-1) {m \choose p}.$$

j=1 P
$$\text{LEMMA 2.} \quad \underline{\text{If}} \quad k \geq 2, \ p \geq 3 \quad \underline{\text{and}} \quad t = \left(p/(p-1) \right)^{1/p} \quad \underline{\text{then}}$$

$$t^p k^p > \frac{kt-1}{t-1} .$$

 $\underline{\text{Proof.}}$ When k = 2, p = 3,4,5, the inequality is easily verified. In other cases we have

$$t^{p}k^{p-1} - 1 = \frac{p}{p-1}k^{p-1} - 1 \ge p^{2}$$
,

so

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{t^{p_{k}p-1}-1}\right)^{p^{2}} < e < \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right)^{p} = t^{p^{2}},$$

or

$$1 + \frac{1}{t^{p_{k}p-1} - 1} < t,$$

and the desired inequality follows.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let A be an m × n; k array with entries from the set $\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$, and, for $j=1,2,\ldots,k$, let r_j denote the number of entries equal to j. Then $r_1+r_2+\ldots+r_k=mn$, so $\max(r_1,\ldots,r_k)\geq mn/k$, say $r_j\geq mn/k$. Now, $(r_j/n)\geq (m/k)$, so by the hypothesis

$$n\binom{r_j/n}{p} > (q-1)\binom{m}{p}$$
.

By Lemma 1, the r entries of A (all equal to j) contain a $p \times q$ sub-array. This sub-array is a $p \times q$; 1 sub-array of A .

Proof of Theorem 2. Let A be a $(k^2+k+1)\times(k^2+k+1)$; k array, $n_k=n=k^2+k+1$, p=q=2. We have

$$n {m/k \choose p} = (k^2 + k + 1) {(k^2 + k + 1)/k \choose 2} > (k^2 + k + 1) {k+1 \choose 2} = (k^2 + k + 1) {b \choose 2} = (p-1) {b \choose q}$$

so the hypothesis of Theorem 1 is satisfied. By Theorem 1, A contains a 2×2 ; 1 sub-array.

<u>Proof of Theorem 3</u>. Let t be a real ≥ 1 and let p, q be positive integers. Let A be an $m \times n$; k array where $m = \{(p-1)(kt-1)/(t-1)\}, n = \{t^p(q-1)k^p\}.$ We have $m - k(p-1) \geq (m-(p-1))/t, k \geq 2$, so

$$n \cdot \frac{m}{k} (\frac{m}{k} - 1) \dots (\frac{m}{k} - p + 1) > t^{p} (q - 1) k^{p} \frac{m}{kt} \cdot \frac{m-1}{kt} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{m-p+1}{kt} =$$

$$= (q - 1) m (m - 1) \dots (m - p + 1)$$

or $n\binom{m/k}{p} > (q-1)\binom{m}{p}$. By Theorem 1, A contains a $p \times q$; 1 subarray which is the desired result.

<u>Proof of Theorem 4.</u> Let A be a pk^p × pk^p; k array. We have to show that A contains a p×p; 1 sub-array. This is trivial when p = 1 or k = 1. When p = 2, k \geq 2, the conclusion follows by Theorem 2 as $2k^2 \geq k^2 + k + 1$. When p = 3, k \geq 2, we have

$$(\{(p-1)(kt-1)/(t-1)\}, \{t^p(p-1)k^p\}; k) \longrightarrow (p,p; 1)$$

by Theorem 3. Set $t = (p/(p-1))^{1/p}$. Then by Lemma 2, $t^p k^p > (kt-1)/(t-1)$ or $pk^p = t^p (p-1)k^p > (p-1)(kt-1)/(t-1)$. Therefore A contains a $p \times p$; 1 sub-array and the proof is complete.

<u>Proof of Theorem 5.</u> We shall use a method developed by P. Erdős [1]. Consider $m \times n$; k arrays with entries from the set $\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$. There are exactly k^{mn} of them. We shall show that at most $\binom{m}{p}\binom{n}{q}k^{mn-pq+1}$ of these arrays contain a $p \times q$; 1 sub-array.

Given any $m \times n$; k array A there are $\binom{m}{p}\binom{n}{q}$ possibilities of choosing its $p \times q$ sub-array. Moreover, this sub-array is a $p \times q$; 1 sub-array in exactly $k^{mn-pq+1}$ cases since there are k possibilities of choosing its entries as well as k possibilities of choosing each of the remaining mn-pq entries of A. Now, by the hypothesis,

$$\binom{m}{p}\binom{n}{q} k^{mn-pq+1} < k^{mn}$$
,

so there exists an $m \times n$; k array containing no $p \times q$; 1 sub-array, which is the desired result. In particular, if $m \le (p!)^{1/p} k^{(p^2-1)/2p}$ then $\binom{m}{p} < \frac{m^p}{p!} \le k^{(p^2-1)/2}$ or $\binom{m}{p} \binom{m}{p} < k^{p^2-1}$ so there exists an $m \times m$; k array containing no $p \times p$; 1 sub-array.

Proof of Theorem 6. Let A be a $(kp - k + 1) \times (k\binom{kp-k+1}{p})(q-1)+1)$; k array. Given any column of A there exists (by the pigeon-hole principle) a p-tuple of rows such that their intersection with the column forms a $p \times 1$; 1 array. There are exactly $\binom{kp-k+1}{p}$ possibilities of choosing such a p-tuple; hence, by the pigeon-hole principle again, k(q-1)+1 of the choices must be the same. Finally, q of these k(q-1)+1 choices must correspond to the same element of $\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$. In other words, A contains a $p \times q$; 1 sub-array.

To prove the second part, we observe that there exists a set $\,\mathbb{G}\,$ of $\,kp-k+1\times 1;\,k\,$ arrays with entries from the set $\,\{1,2,\ldots,k\}\,$ provided that

- (i) if $A \in G$, then A contains exactly one $p \times 1$; 1 sub-array;
- (ii) a has exactly $k \binom{kp-k+1}{p}$ elements;
- (iii) a $(kp k + 1) \times k {kp-k+1 \choose p}$; k array whose columns are all elements of G contains no $p \times 2$; 1 sub-array.

Hence, there exists a $(kp - k + 1) \times k(q - 1)\binom{kp - k + 1}{p}$; k array containing no $p \times q$; 1 sub-array, an array whose columns are elements of G, each of them being used exactly (q - 1)-times.

<u>Proof of Theorem 7.</u> Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a $(4p-3) \times (({2p-1 \choose p}+2); 2$ array with elements from the set $\{1,2\}$. Evidently, there is a set

$$\begin{split} & S \subset \left\{1,2,\ldots,4p-3\right\}, \ \left|S\right| = 2p-1, \ \text{such that } a_{i1} = a_{k1} \ \text{whenever} \\ & i,k \in S, \ \text{say } a_{i1} = a_{k1} = 1. \ \text{Now, given the } j\text{-th column of } A, \\ & \text{there exist a set } T = T(j) \subset S, \ \left|T\right| = p \ \text{and an element } r = r(j) \ \text{of} \\ & \left\{1,2\right\} \ \text{such that } a_{ij} = r(j) \ \text{whenever } i \in T(j). \ \text{If } r(j) = 2 \ \text{for every} \\ & j = 2,3,\ldots,\binom{2p-1}{p} + 2 \ \text{then there are integers } j_1,j_2 \ \text{such that} \\ & 2 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \binom{2p-1}{p} + 2, \ T(j_1) = T(j_2) = T \ \text{and } A \ \text{contains a } p \times 2; 1 \\ & \text{sub-array } (a_{ij}), \ i \in T, \ j \in \left\{j_1,j_2\right\}. \ \text{If there exists an integer} \\ & j_0, \ 2 \leq j_0 \leq \binom{2p-1}{p} + 2 \ \text{such that } r(j_0) = 1 \ \text{then } A \ \text{contains a } p \times 2; 1 \\ & \text{sub-array } (a_{ij}), \ i \in T(j_0), \ j \in \left\{1,j_0\right\}. \end{split}$$

Acknowledgement. The author is indebted to Professor W.O.J. Moser and to Professor J.G. Anderson for their kind help in writing this article.

REFERENCES

- P. Erdős, Some remarks on the theory of graphs. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1947) 292-299.
- 2. P. Erdős and R. Rado, A partition calculus in set theory. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 62 (1956) 427-489.
- C. Frasnay, Partages d'ensembles de parties et de produits d'ensembles. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 258 (1964) 1373-1376.
- 4. R.K. Guy, A problem of Zarankiewicz, Theory of graphs (edited by P. Erdős and G. Katona, Akademiai Kiado, Budapest 1968) 119-150.
- 5. K. Zarankiewicz, Problem P101. Colloq. Math. 2 (1951) 301.

University of New Brunswick Fredericton

University of Waterloo