

# THE BOREL STRUCTURE OF ITERATES OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

by PAUL D. HUMKE\* and M. LACZKOVICH\*

(Received 11th October 1988)

## 0. Notation

Let  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$  be the Banach space of continuous functions defined on  $[0, 1]$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of functions  $f \in \mathcal{C}[0, 1]$  mapping  $[0, 1]$  into itself. If  $f \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $f^k$  will denote the  $k$ th iterate of  $f$  and we put  $\mathcal{C}^k = \{f^k: f \in \mathcal{C}\}$ . The set of increasing ( $\equiv$  nondecreasing) and decreasing ( $\equiv$  nonincreasing) functions in  $\mathcal{C}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ , respectively. If a function  $f$  is defined on an interval  $I$ , we let  $C(f)$  denote the set of points at which  $f$  is locally constant, i.e.

$$C(f) = \{x \in I: \text{there is a } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } f \text{ is constant on } (x - \delta, x + \delta) \cap I\}.$$

We let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of positive integers and  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  denote the Baire space of sequences of positive integers.

## 1. Increasing iterates

In this section we prove that the sets  $\mathcal{C}^k$  and  $\mathcal{C}^k \cap \mathcal{I}$  are analytic and non-Borel subsets of  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$  for every  $k \geq 2$ . The fact that  $\mathcal{C}^k$  is analytic follows directly from the continuity of the mapping  $f \mapsto f^k$  ( $f \in \mathcal{C}$ ). As  $\mathcal{I}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ , the set  $\mathcal{C}^k \cap \mathcal{I}$  is also analytic. The goal of the next series of lemmas is to show that for each  $k \geq 2$  and for each Borel subset  $B \subset \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  there is a continuous map  $F: \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  such that  $F^{-1}(\mathcal{C}^k) = B$ . From this it easily follows that neither of the sets  $\mathcal{C}^k$  nor  $\mathcal{C}^k \cap \mathcal{I}$  is Borel. Indeed suppose  $\mathcal{C}^k$  or  $\mathcal{C}^k \cap \mathcal{I}$  is Borel and is of Borel class  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . We can choose a Borel set  $B \subset \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  of class higher than  $\alpha$  and construct a map  $F$  as above. Since  $F$  is continuous and maps into  $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $F^{-1}(\mathcal{C}^k) = F^{-1}(\mathcal{C}^k \cap \mathcal{I}) = B$  is of class  $\alpha$  which is contrary to the choice of  $B$ .

In order to construct this mapping,  $F$ , we introduce the following subclasses of  $\mathcal{I}$ . For any choice of numbers  $0 < a < b < c < 1$  we let  $\mathcal{N}_{abc}$  denote the set of functions  $f \in \mathcal{I}$  satisfying the following conditions.

1.  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(1) = 1$ .

\*The work of both authors was supported in part by the Fulbright foundation.

2.  $f(a)=b$  and  $f(b)=c$ .
3.  $f$  is linear on each of the intervals  $[0, a]$  and  $[b, 1]$ .

Our initial aim is to characterize the functions belonging to  $\mathcal{N}_{abc} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$  in terms of the set  $C(f)$ . Throughout the remainder of this section we consider the numbers  $a, b$ , and  $c$  fixed and simply use  $\mathcal{N}$  to denote  $\mathcal{N}_{abc}$ .

We begin with the following simple lemma whose proof is omitted.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  be increasing and continuous functions defined on the closed interval  $[x, y]$  such that  $C(h_1)=C(h_2)$ . Then there is a strictly increasing continuous function  $j$  defined on  $[h_1(x), h_1(y)]$  such that  $h_2=j \circ h_1$ .*

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C}^k, k \geq 2$ . Then there exists a  $g \in \mathcal{F}$  and points  $a=x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k=b$  such that  $f=g^k, g(0)=0$  and  $g(1)=1, g(x_i)=x_{i+1}, i=0, 1, \dots, k-1$  and  $g$  is strictly increasing on each of the intervals  $[0, x_{k-1}]$  and  $[b, 1]$ .*

**Proof.** As  $f \in \mathcal{C}^k$ , there is a  $g \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $f=g^k$ . Since  $f$  has no fixed point in  $(0, 1)$ , neither does  $g$ . Consequently, either  $g(x) < x$  holds for every  $x \in (0, 1)$  or  $g(x) > x$  holds for every  $x \in (0, 1)$ . The former entails that  $f(x)=g^k(x) \leq g^{k-1}(x) \leq \dots \leq g(x) < x$  for every  $x \in (0, 1)$  which is not the case. Then  $g(x) > x$  for each  $x \in (0, 1)$  and this fact implies that  $g(1)=1$ ; as  $f(0)=0$  we also deduce that  $g(0)=0$ . Also, as  $f(x) < 1$  for  $x < 1$  it follows that  $g(x) < 1$  for  $x < 1$ . We have

$$x < g(x) < \dots < g^k(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in (0, 1). \tag{2.1}$$

Define  $x_i = g^i(a), i=0, 1, \dots, k$ . By (2.1) and the fact that  $f(a)=b$  we have  $a=x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k=b$ . Now,  $g^{k-1}(0)=0$  and  $g^{k-1}(a)=x_{k-1}$  so that  $[0, x_{k-1}] \subset g^{k-1}([0, a])$ . But  $f(x)=g^k(x)=g(g^{k-1}(x))$  and  $f$  is injective on  $[0, a]$ . Hence,  $g$  is injective on  $[0, x_{k-1}]$  and as  $g(0)=0, g$  is strictly increasing there. Similarly, as  $f=g^k$  is injective on  $[b, 1], g$  is strictly increasing on  $[b, 1]$ . What remains is to prove that  $g$  is increasing on  $[x_{k-1}, b]$ . As  $g^{k-1}(a)=x_{k-1}$  and  $g^{k-1}(x_1)=b$  it follows that  $g^{k-1}([a, x_1])=[x_{k-1}, b]$ . But then the result follows by noting that  $g^{k-1}$  is strictly increasing on  $[a, x_1]$  and  $f=g(g^{k-1})$  is increasing on  $[a, x_1]$ .

**Lemma 3.** *For every  $f \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $k \geq 2, f \in \mathcal{C}^k$  if and only if there are points  $a=x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k=b$  and a function  $\phi$  defined on  $[x_0, x_{k-1}]$  such that for each  $i=1, 2, \dots, k-1, \phi$  is an increasing homeomorphism mapping  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  onto  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$  satisfying*

$$\phi(C(f|_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]})) = C(f|_{[x_i, x_{i+1}]}). \tag{3.1}$$

**Proof.** If  $f \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$  then there are points  $a=x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k=b$  and a function  $g \in \mathcal{C}$  which satisfy the conclusion of Lemma 2. Let  $\phi = g|_{[x_0, x_{k-1}]}$ . It follows directly

from Lemma 2 that for each  $i=1,2,\dots,k-1$ ,  $\phi$  is an increasing homeomorphism mapping  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  onto  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ . As  $g$  is strictly increasing on each of the intervals  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$   $i=1,2,\dots,k-1$  and on  $[b, 1]$  we have

$$\phi(C(f|[x_{i-1}, x_i])) = \phi(C(g \circ f|[x_{i-1}, x_i])) = \phi(C(f \circ \phi|[x_{i-1}, x_i])) = C(f|[x_i, x_{i+1}]).$$

This completes the proof of the necessity and we now turn to the sufficiency proof.

Suppose that the numbers  $x_i, i=0,1,\dots,k$  and the function  $\phi$  are given and satisfy the conditions of the lemma. We prove that  $\phi$  can be extended to a continuous function  $g$  defined on  $[0, 1]$  such that  $f = g^k$ . First note that  $f(x_{i-1}) < f(x_i)$  ( $i=1,\dots,k$ ). Indeed, if  $f(x_{i-1}) = f(x_i)$  then  $C(f|[x_{i-1}, x_i]) = [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ . This implies, by (3.1) that  $f$  is constant on the entire interval  $[a, b]$ . This, of course, contradicts the fact that  $f(a) = b < c = f(b)$ .

Next, we extend the sequence  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  by defining  $x_n = f(x_{n-k})$  for  $n > k$  and  $x_n = f^{-1}(x_{n+k})$  for  $n < 0$ . Since  $f$  is strictly increasing on each of the intervals  $[0, a]$  and  $[b, 1]$ , and  $x_k < x_{k+1} < \dots < x_{2k-1}$  (our prior remark) it is easy to verify that  $x_n < x_{n+1}$  for every integer  $n$ . If  $v = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  then  $f(v) = v$  and as  $v > 0, v = 1$ . Similarly,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} x_n = 0$ .

We inductively define a function  $\phi_n$  on the interval  $[x_{n-1}, x_n]$  such that

$A_n$ .  $\phi_n$  is increasing and continuous on  $[x_{n-1}, x_n]$ .

$B_n$ .  $\phi_n$  maps  $[x_{n-1}, x_n]$  onto  $[x_n, x_{n+1}]$ .

$C_n$ . If  $n \neq k$ , then  $\phi_n$  is strictly increasing.

We begin by defining  $\phi_n = \phi|[x_{n-1}, x_n]$  for  $n=1,2,\dots,k-1$ . By hypothesis,  $A_n, B_n$ , and  $C_n$  are true for these  $n$ . Next we define  $\phi_k = f \circ \phi_1^{-1} \circ \phi_2^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{k-1}^{-1}$  and note that  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  are satisfied. Suppose now that  $n \geq 0$  and that for each  $i=1,2,\dots,n+k, \phi_i$  has been defined and satisfies  $A_i, B_i$ , and  $C_i$ . We prove that

$$C(\phi_{n+k} \circ \phi_{n+k-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{n+2}) = C(f|[x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}]). \tag{3.2}$$

There are two cases. First suppose that  $n \leq k-2$ . Then, as the functions  $\phi_{k+1}, \phi_{k+2}, \dots, \phi_{n+k}$  are strictly increasing (property  $C_i$ ), the left hand side of (3.2) reduces to  $C(\phi_k \circ \phi_{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{n+2})$ . Using (3.1) and the definition of  $\phi_k$  it is easy to check that  $C(\phi_k \circ \phi_{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{n+2}) = C(f|[x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}])$ . If  $n > k-2$  then all of the functions extant in (3.2) are strictly increasing so that both sides of (3.2) are empty. We apply Lemma 1 with  $h_1 = \phi_{n+k} \circ \phi_{n+k-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{n+2}$ ,  $h_2 = f|[x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}]$ . Thus we obtain a strictly increasing continuous function,  $\phi_{n+k+1}$ , defined on  $h_1([x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}]) = [x_{n+k}, x_{n+k+1}]$  such that

$$\phi_{n+k+1} \circ \phi_{n+k} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{n+2} = f|[x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}]. \tag{3.3}$$

Again, conditions  $A_{n+k+1}, B_{n+k+1}$ , and  $C_{n+k+1}$  are satisfied. Hence  $\phi_n$  has been defined for every  $n > 0$  and we now turn to the case when  $n \leq 0$ .

Suppose  $n \leq 0$  and that for each  $i > n, \phi_i$  has been defined and satisfies the conditions  $A_i, B_i$ , and  $C_i$ . We put

$$\phi_n = \phi_{n+1}^{-1} \circ \phi_{n+2}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{n+k-1}^{-1} \circ (f|_{[x_{n-1}, x_n]}). \tag{3.4}$$

As in the previous cases,  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$ , and  $C_n$  are transparent. In this way,  $\phi_n$  has been defined for every integer  $n$  and we define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_n(x) & \text{if } x \in [x_{n-1}, x_n], n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ and } 1 & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

It follows from the conditions  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  that  $g$  is increasing and continuous on  $[0, 1]$ . Now, if  $x \in (0, 1)$  then there is an integer  $n$  such that  $x \in [x_{n-1}, x_n]$ . If  $n \leq 0$  then  $f(x) = g^k(x)$  by (3.4); if  $n \geq 2$  then  $f(x) = g^k(x)$  by (3.3). Then sole remaining case is that when  $n = 1$  and the fact that  $f(x) = g^k(x)$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$  follows from the definition of  $\phi_k$ . The proof of Lemma 3 is completed by noting that 0 and 1 are fixed points of both  $g$  and  $f$ .

A family of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{I_\gamma; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ , is said to be *discrete* if there is a family of pairwise disjoint open sets  $\{U_\gamma; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  such that  $\bar{I}_\gamma \subseteq U_\gamma$  ( $\bar{A} \equiv A$  closure) for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . A family of pairwise disjoint intervals will be considered ordered according to the usual ordering of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\beta$  be an infinite countable ordinal,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $k \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $\{I_\alpha; \alpha < \beta k\}$  is a discrete set of open intervals contained in  $(a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon)$  such that  $I_\alpha < I_\gamma$  for  $\alpha < \gamma < \beta k$ . Then there are points  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k = b$  and a homeomorphism  $\phi: [x_0, x_{k-1}] \rightarrow [x_1, x_k]$  such that  $I_{\beta i + \alpha} \subset [x_i, x_{i+1}]$  ( $i = 0, \dots, k-1, \alpha < \beta$ ),  $\phi$  maps  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  onto  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$  and  $I_{\beta(i-1) + \alpha}$  onto  $I_{\beta i + \alpha}$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$  and each  $\alpha < \beta$ .*

**Proof.** For every  $\alpha < \beta k$  let  $I_\alpha = (u_\alpha, v_\alpha)$  and define  $w_i = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta i} v_\alpha$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . As  $\{I_\alpha; \alpha < \beta k\}$  is discrete,  $w_i < u_{\beta i}$  for  $i \leq k-1$  and  $w_k \leq b - \varepsilon$ . Let  $x_0 = a$ ,  $x_i = (w_i + u_{\beta i})/2$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ ) and  $x_k = b$ . Then define  $\phi(x_{k-1}) = b$ ;  $\phi(x_i) = x_{i+1}$ ,  $\phi(u_{\beta i + \alpha}) = u_{\beta(i+1) + \alpha}$  and  $\phi(v_{\beta i + \alpha}) = v_{\beta(i+1) + \alpha}$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-2$  and  $\alpha < \beta$ . As  $\phi$  is strictly increasing on its domain and  $\{I_\alpha; \alpha < \beta k\}$  is discrete,  $\phi$  can be extended to a strictly increasing continuous function defined on the closure of its domain. We further extend  $\phi$  to the entire interval  $[x_0, x_{k-1}]$  by defining the extension to be linear on each component of the complement of this closure. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

Let  $E \subseteq [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  and  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ . We denote the vertical and horizontal sections of  $E$  by  $E_x = \{y: (x, y) \in E\}$  and  $E^y = \{x: (x, y) \in E\}$ . Now let  $\{J_\gamma; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  be a discrete family of open intervals in  $[a, b]$  and let  $K = [a, b] \setminus \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} J_\gamma$ . Then each portion of  $K$  has positive Lebesgue measure. Let  $\{I_\gamma; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  be a family of subintervals of  $[0, 1]$  with rational endpoints, and define  $G = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (J_\gamma \times I_\gamma)$ . We define a map  $T: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[a, b]$  as follows ( $\lambda \equiv$  Lebesgue measure).

$$T(y)(x) = \frac{\lambda([a, x] \setminus G^y)}{\lambda([a, b] \setminus G^y)}, \quad y \in [0, 1] \text{ and } x \in [a, b]. \tag{5.1}$$

**Lemma 5.** *The map  $T$  defined above has the following properties.*

1.  $T(y)$  is increasing and continuous for every  $y \in [0, 1]$ .
2.  $T(y)(a) = 0$  and  $T(y)(b) = 1$  for every  $y \in [0, 1]$ .
3.  $C(T(y)) = G^y$  for every  $y \in [0, 1]$ .
4.  $T$ , as a map from  $[0, 1]$  into  $\mathcal{C}[a, b]$ , is continuous at each irrational  $y \in [0, 1]$ .

**Proof.** Statements 1 and 2 are obvious and 3 follows from the fact that every portion of  $[a, b] \setminus \bigcup_{y \in \Gamma} J_y$  has positive Lebesgue measure. To prove 4, first note that  $\Gamma$  is countable. Let  $y_0 \in [0, 1]$  be irrational, and let  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n\} \subset \Gamma$  be an arbitrary finite set of indices. As the endpoints of the  $I_\gamma$  are rational, there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $y \in (y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta)$  then  $y \in I_{\gamma_j}$  if and only if  $y_0 \in I_{\gamma_j}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The continuity of  $T$  at  $y_0$  easily follows from this observation.

**Lemma 6.** *If  $B \subset [0, 1]$  is Borel, then there is a set  $M \subseteq [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  consisting of a countable union of vertical line segments with rational endpoints and a countable ordinal  $\beta$  such that:*

1. If  $y \in B$ , then  $M^y$  is well ordered with ordertype less than  $\beta$ ;
2. if  $y \notin B$ , then  $M^y$  is not well ordered, but every decreasing sequence in  $M^y$  converges to the same real number.

**Proof.** For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  we denote the restriction of  $\sigma$  to its first  $i$  coordinates by  $\sigma \upharpoonright i$ . The desired set  $M$  is a Lusin sieve for  $\mathbb{R} \setminus B$  and the special characteristics of  $M$  are derived from the fact that  $B$  is Borel. Specifically, there is a set of closed intervals with rational endpoints,  $\{I_\tau : \tau \in \mathbb{N}^i, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\mathbb{R} \setminus B = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I_{\sigma \upharpoonright i}$ .
- (ii) If  $n > m$  and  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , then  $I_{\sigma \upharpoonright n} \subset I_{\sigma \upharpoonright m}$ .
- (iii) For every  $y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus B$  there is a unique  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\{y\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I_{\sigma \upharpoonright i}$ .

We form a Lusin sieve for  $\mathbb{R} \setminus B$  by assigning to each finite sequence of natural numbers  $\tau = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_i)$  the binary fraction  $x_\tau = 1 - 2^{-n_1} - \dots - 2^{-n_1 - \dots - n_i}$  and the closed interval  $I_\tau$ . The set  $M$  is defined as  $M = \cup(\{x_\tau\} \times I_\tau)$  where the union is taken over all finite sequences of natural numbers. It follows directly from the definition of the sieve that  $M^y$  is well ordered if and only if  $y \in B$ . The fact that there is a countable ordinal  $\beta$  bounding the ordinals of the sections  $M^y, y \in B$  is the substance of Corollary 5a of Section 39, VIII in [1]. Finally, suppose  $y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus B$ . Then there is a unique sequence  $\sigma = (n_1, n_2, \dots)$  such that  $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I_{\sigma \upharpoonright i}$ . We prove that every decreasing sequence in  $M^y$  converges to the point  $1 - x$  where  $x = 2^{-n_1} + 2^{-n_1 - n_2} + \dots$

Suppose  $\{x_{\tau_i}\}$  is an increasing sequence of binary fractions such that  $1 - x_{\tau_i} \in M^y$  for every  $i$ . For each  $j$  we denote the  $j$ th coordinate of  $\tau_i$  by  $\tau_i(j)$ . It is easily verified that for each fixed  $j$ , the sequence  $\{\tau_i(j) : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is eventually decreasing and hence, is eventually stationary at a natural number which we denote by  $\tau(j)$ . If  $\tau = (\tau(1), \tau(2), \dots)$

then  $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I_{\tau^i}$  and as  $\sigma$  is unique,  $\sigma = \tau$ . Hence,  $\{x_{\tau^i}; i=1, 2, \dots\}$  converges to  $x = 2^{-n_1} + 2^{-n_1 - n_2} + \dots$

**Lemma 7.** *For every Borel set  $B \subset \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and every  $k \geq 2$  there is a continuous function  $F: \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  such that  $F(y) \in \mathcal{C}^k$  if and only if  $y \in B$ .*

**Proof.** For convenience, we identify the space  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with the irrational numbers in  $[0, 1]$  (see [1], Section 3). We fix three numbers  $p, q$ , and  $r$  such that  $a < p < q < r < b$ . Let  $K$  denote a nowhere dense perfect subset of  $[a, p]$ . As the set of bounded intervals contiguous to  $K$  has order type  $\eta$  (dense, unbordered, countable) there is a 1-1 order preserving mapping,  $H$ , from the binary fractions onto this set of intervals. If  $x_{\tau}$  is a binary fraction, we let  $J_{\tau}$  denote the open interval concentric with  $H(x_{\tau})$  but of half the length. Next we apply Lemma 6 for the Borel set  $B$  and obtain the set

$$M = \bigcup_{\tau} (\{x_{\tau}\} \times I_{\tau})$$

and the countable ordinal  $\beta$  satisfying 1. and 2. of Lemma 6. We define

$$G_1 = \bigcup (J_{\tau} \times I_{\tau})$$

where the union is taken over all finite sequences of natural numbers. Let  $\{L_{\alpha}; \alpha < \beta\omega k\}$  be a discrete set of open subintervals of  $[q, r]$  of order type  $\beta\omega k$ . Then we define

$$G_2 = \bigcup_{\alpha > \beta\omega k} (L_{\alpha} \times [0, 1])$$

and

$$G = G_1 \cup G_2.$$

Now we define the map  $T$  by (5.1). We define  $F: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[0, 1]$  by  $F(y)(x) = (c - b)T(y)(x) + b$  for  $y \in [0, 1]$  and  $x \in [a, b]$ . We then set  $F(y)(0) = 0, F(y)(1) = 1$  and complete the definition by insisting  $F(y)$  be linear on each of the intervals  $[0, a]$  and  $[b, 1]$ . It is evident from this definition that  $F(y) \in \mathcal{N}$  for every  $y \in [0, 1]$  and it follows directly from Lemma 5 that  $F$  is continuous at each irrational  $y$ . Finally, for each  $y, C(F(y)) = G^y = G_1^y \cup G_2^y$ . The set of components for  $G_2^y$  is precisely  $\{L_{\alpha}; \alpha < \beta\omega k\}$  and has order type  $\beta\omega k$ . The nature of the components of  $G_1^y$  depends on whether  $y \in B$  or not and we consider these cases separately.

**Case 1.**  $y \notin B$ .

It follows from Lemma 6 that the components of  $G_1^y$  contain a decreasing sequence of intervals converging, say, to  $x^*$ , and that every decreasing sequence of components converges to  $x^*$ . If  $F(y) \in \mathcal{C}^k$ , then there are points  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k = b$  satisfying the

conditions of Lemma 3. As  $a \leq x^* < b$  there is a unique  $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$  such that  $x^* \in [x_n, x_{n+1})$ . But then the components of  $C(F(y) | [x_n, x_{n+1}))$  are not well ordered and for each  $i \neq n$  the components of  $C(F(y) | [x_i, x_{i+1}))$  are well ordered. Such a situation bodes ill for the homeomorphism guaranteed by Lemma 3. This contradiction entails that if  $y \notin B$  then  $F(y) \notin C^k$ .

**Case 2.**  $y \in B$ .

In this case, Lemma 6 yields that the components of  $G_1^y$  are well ordered and of order type  $\alpha < \beta$ . As  $\alpha + \beta\omega k = \beta\omega k$ , the order type of  $G_1^y \cup G_2^y$  is  $\beta\omega k$ . Further, as each set of components  $G_1^y$  and  $G_2^y$  is discrete and the two sets are separated by the interval  $(p, q)$ , the entire collection of components of  $G^y$  is discrete. But then, Lemma 4 establishes the existence of the requisite points  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k = b$  and the increasing homeomorphism  $\phi: [x_0, x_{k-1}] \rightarrow [x_1, x_k]$  which guarantee, via Lemma 3, that  $F(y) \in \mathcal{C}^k$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 7.

As we saw in the introduction to this section Lemma 7 can now be used to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 8.** *Each of the sets  $\mathcal{C}^k$  and  $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$  is analytic and non-Borel in  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$*

**Remark 9.** As we saw at the beginning of this section, Lemma 7 actually proves the slightly stronger result that  $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$  is analytic and non-Borel in  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$

Although this completes the proof of the main result of Section 1, there are some additional facts which we will need in the subsequent sections.

**Proposition 10.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{N}_{abc}$ , then for each  $i = 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $f^i \in \mathcal{N}_{A_i b C_i}$  where  $A_i = a^i/b^{i-1}$  and  $C_i = 1 - (1-c)^i/(1-b)^{i-1}$ .*

**Proof.** For each  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  the facts that  $f^i$  is increasing,  $f^i(0) = 0$ , and  $f^i(1) = 1$  follow directly from the hypothesis that  $f \in \mathcal{N}_{abc}$ . Further, as  $f$  is linear and increasing on  $[0, a]$  and  $[b, 1]$  it follows that  $f^i$  is linear on  $[0, A_i]$  and  $[b, 1]$ . An easy computation shows that  $f^i(A_i) = b$  and  $f^i(b) = C_i$ .

**Proposition 11.** *Suppose  $B \subset \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is Borel,  $F$  is as in Lemma 7, and  $y \notin B$ . Then  $F^i(y) \in \mathcal{C}^j$  if and only if  $j$  divides  $i$ .*

**Proof.** The sufficiency is obvious; for the necessity we again rely on the structure of the intervals of local constancy. As  $y \notin B$ , every decreasing sequence of components of  $C(F(y))$  converges to the same real number. As  $F(y) \in \mathcal{N}_{abc}$ ,  $F(y)(a) = b$ ,  $F(y)(b) = c$ , and  $F(y)$  is linear on each of the intervals  $[0, a]$  and  $[b, 1]$ . From these it follows that any decreasing sequence of components of  $C(F^i(y))$  converges to one of exactly  $i$  points, one

in each of the intervals  $[a, b)$ ,  $[A_2, a)$ , and  $[A_n, A_{n-1})$   $n=2, 3, \dots, i$ . From Proposition 10 we know that  $F^i(y) \in \mathcal{N}_{A_i b C_i}$ . If  $F^i(y) \in \mathcal{C}^j$  then these  $i$  points must be equally distributed among the  $j$  intervals guaranteed by Lemma 3. This completes the proof of Proposition 11.

**2. Decreasing iterates of odd exponent**

In this section we show that if  $k \geq 3$  is odd and  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the set of decreasing functions in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then the set  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$  is analytic and non-Borel. The fact that  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$  is analytic is obvious as  $\mathcal{D}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ . Our method is to prove that for every odd  $k \geq 3$  and every Borel set  $B \subset \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  there exists a continuous map  $W: \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that if  $y \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  then  $W(y) \in \mathcal{C}^k$  if and only if  $y \in B$ . As we saw in Section 1, the existence of such a map proves that  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$  is non-Borel. We shall define  $W$  as  $\Phi \circ F$ , where  $\Phi$  maps a certain subclass of  $\mathcal{C}$  (containing  $\mathcal{N}$ ) into  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $F$  is the map found in Lemma 7.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the set of functions  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $f(1) = 1$  and  $f(x) < 1$  for  $x \in [0, 1)$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  we define

$$\Phi(f)(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{2}f(2x), & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \frac{1}{2}f(2 - 2x), & x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 12.** *The map  $\Phi$  defined above has the following properties:*

- (i)  $\Phi(f) \in \mathcal{C}$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ .
- (ii)  $\Phi(f) \in \mathcal{D}$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{M}$ .
- (iii)  $\Phi(f) \circ \Phi(g) = 1 - \Phi(f \circ g)$  for every  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ .
- (iv)  $\Phi(\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C}^k) \subset \mathcal{C}^k$  for every odd  $k$ .
- (v) If  $k$  is odd, then  $f^2 \in \mathcal{C}^k$  whenever  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\Phi(f) \in \mathcal{C}^k$ .

**Proof.** Property (i) follows from the fact that  $f(1) = 1$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  and (ii) is obvious from the definition of  $\Phi$ . An easy computation gives (iii). To prove (iv) let  $k$  be odd and  $f \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$ . From Lemma 2 we deduce that  $f = g^k$  where  $g \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $g(1) = 1$  and  $g$  is strictly increasing on  $[b, 1]$ . These imply that  $g \in \mathcal{M}$ . It now follows easily from (iii) and the fact that  $k$  is odd that  $\Phi(f) = \Phi(g^k) = (\Phi(g))^k \in \mathcal{C}^k$ .

To prove (v) suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\Phi(f) = g^k$  where  $g \in \mathcal{C}$ . It follows from the definitions of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\Phi$  that  $\Phi(f)$  has a unique fixed point at  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  and that  $\Phi(f)$  attains the value of  $\frac{1}{2}$  only at  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore  $g$  has the same two properties. Consequently, either  $g(x) < \frac{1}{2}$  for every  $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  or  $g(x) > \frac{1}{2}$  for every  $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ . The former is impossible since  $\Phi(f) = g^k$  and  $\Phi(f)(x) > \frac{1}{2}$  on  $[0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Hence  $g(x) > \frac{1}{2}$  on  $[0, \frac{1}{2})$  and the same argument shows that  $g(x) < \frac{1}{2}$  on  $(\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . This, together with the definition of  $\Phi$ , implies that there are functions  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\Phi(g_1)|_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]} = g|_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]}$  and  $\Phi(g_2)|_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]} = g|_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}$ . Then for  $x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ ,  $g(x) = \Phi(g_2)(x) \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and hence,  $g^2(x) = \Phi(g_1) \circ \Phi(g_2)(x) \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . This implies that

$$g^{2k}(x) = (\Phi(g_1) \circ \Phi(g_2))^k(x) \text{ for } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \tag{12.1}$$

By (iii),  $1 - \Phi(f^2) = (\Phi(f))^2 = g^{2k}$ . On the other hand, (iii) implies that whenever  $m$  is even and  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have

$$\Phi(f_1) \circ \Phi(f_2) \circ \dots \circ \Phi(f_m) = 1 - \Phi(f_1 \circ f_2 \circ \dots \circ f_m).$$

Hence

$$(\Phi(g_1) \circ \Phi(g_2))^k = 1 - \Phi((g_1 \circ g_2)^k).$$

By (12.1), we have

$$\Phi(f^2) \Big|_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]} = \Phi((g_1 \circ g_2)^k) \Big|_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}. \tag{12.2}$$

But, if  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\Phi(f_1) \Big|_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]} = \Phi(f_2) \Big|_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}$  then  $f_1 = f_2$ . Hence, it follows from (12.2) that  $f^2 = (g_1 \circ g_2)^k \in \mathcal{C}^k$ .

**Lemma 13.** *For every Borel set  $B \subset \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and odd  $k \geq 3$ , there is a continuous map  $W: \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that  $W(y) \in \mathcal{C}^k$  if and only if  $y \in B$ .*

**Proof.** We put  $W = \Phi \circ F$ , where  $\Phi$  is the mapping described above and  $F$  is the function defined for the Borel set  $B$  in Lemma 7. If  $y \in B$  then, by Lemma 7,  $F(y) \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$  and hence  $W(y) = \Phi(F(y)) \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$  by (ii) and (iv) of Lemma 12. On the other hand, if  $y \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $W(y) = \Phi(F(y)) \in \mathcal{C}^k$  then, by (v) of Lemma 12,  $(F(y))^2 \in \mathcal{C}^k$ . But 2 does not divide  $k$  and hence Proposition 11 implies that  $y \in B$ .

As we saw at the beginning of this section, Lemma 13 establishes the following result.

**Theorem 14.** *If  $k \geq 3$  is odd, then  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$  is analytic and non-Borel in  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ .*

### 3. Decreasing iterates of even exponent

Our goal in this section is to prove the following characterization of the class  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$ ,  $k$  even.

**Theorem 15.** *For each even  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}^k = \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}^2$ . Moreover, if  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $k$  is even then  $f \in \mathcal{C}^k$  if and only if  $C(f)$  contains the range of  $f$ .*

From this we can immediately infer that for even  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$  is Borel and indeed, is  $F_\sigma$ .

**Corollary 16.** *For every even  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$  is an  $F_\sigma$  subset of  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ .*

**Proof.** It is easy to see that if  $p < q < r < s$  then the set of functions  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $f$

is constant on  $[p, s] \cap [0, 1]$  and the range of  $f$  is contained in  $[q, r]$  is closed in  $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$ . By Theorem 15,  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$  is the union of all such sets where  $p, q, r$ , and  $s$  are rational.

We turn now to the proof of Theorem 15 which is accomplished via a series of results.

**Theorem 17.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $C(f)$  contains the range of  $f$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{C}^k$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots$*

**Proof.** The range of  $f$  is a closed interval while  $C(f)$  is relatively open in  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $I$  denote the component of  $C(f)$  containing the range of  $f$  and set  $u \equiv f|I$ . If  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $f$  is constant on  $I$  and the conclusion follows as  $f = f^k$ . Therefore we may assume  $I \neq [0, 1]$ , and we first assume  $I = (a, b)$  where  $0 < a < b < 1$ . If  $m = \min \{f(x) : x \in [0, 1]\}$  and  $M = \max \{f(x) : x \in [0, 1]\}$  then the hypothesis implies  $a < m \leq u \leq M < b$ .

Let  $k \geq 2$  be fixed, and choose points  $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  such that  $0 = x_1 < a < x_2 < \dots < x_k < m$ . We define the function  $g$  to be the increasing linear map from  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  onto  $[x_i, x_{i+1}], i = 2, 3, \dots, k-1$ . Then  $g^{k-2}$  maps  $[0, x_2]$  onto  $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$ . Let

$$c = g^{k-2}(a) \in (x_{k-1}, x_k).$$

Define  $g$  to be linear and increasing on each of the intervals  $[x_{k-1}, c]$  and  $[c, x_k]$ , mapping them respectively onto  $[x_k, m]$  and onto  $[m, f(0)]$ . Next define

$$g(x) = f\left(\frac{a}{m-x_k}(x-x_k)\right) \text{ if } x \in [x_k, m], \text{ and}$$

$$g(x) = u \text{ if } x \in [m, u].$$

At this point  $g$  has been defined on  $[0, u]$  and it is easy to check that  $g$  is continuous here using the fact that  $g(m) = f(a) = u$ . The definition of  $g$  on  $[u, 1]$  is analogous but using  $M$  and  $b$  in place of  $m$  and  $a$  respectively.

We prove that  $f = g^k$ . Since  $g^{k-1}$  maps  $[0, a]$  linearly onto  $[x_k, m]$ , we have, for  $x \in [0, a]$ ,

$$g^{k-1}(x) = \frac{m-x_k}{a}x + x_k.$$

Therefore, by the definition of  $g$  in  $[x_k, m]$  we deduce that  $g^k(x) = f(x)$  for  $x \in [0, a]$ . Further, since  $g^{k-1}$  maps  $[a, x_2]$  into  $[m, f(0)] \subset [m, M]$  and  $g([m, M]) = \{u\}$ , we have  $g^k(x) = u = f(x)$  whenever  $x \in [a, x_2]$ . Since  $g([x_{k-1}, x_k]) = [x_k, f(0)]$  and

$$g([x_k, f(0)]) = g([x_k, m]) \cup g([m, f(0)]) \subset [m, M] \cup g([m, M]) = [m, M] \cup \{u\} = [m, M],$$

we have  $g^3([x_{k-1}, x_k]) = \{u\}$ . Therefore, if  $3 \leq i \leq k$  then

$$g^k([x_{i-1}, x_i]) = g^i([x_{k-1}, x_k]) = g^{i-3}(\{u\}) = \{u\}.$$

Since  $f(x) = u$  for  $x \in [x_2, x_k]$ , this proves that  $f(x) = g^k(x)$  on  $[x_2, x_k]$ . If  $x \in [x_k, u]$ , then  $g(x) \in [m, M]$  so that  $g^2(x) = g^k(x) = u = f(x)$ . The same argument applies if  $x \in [u, 1]$  and, as such, the proof that  $f \in \mathcal{C}^k$  is complete.

Next consider the case  $I = (a, 1]$ . Then for each  $k$ , the function  $g$  is defined as above on the interval  $[0, u]$  but is defined to be the constant  $u$  on  $[u, 1]$ . The proof that  $f(x) = g^k(x)$  for  $x \in [0, u]$  is exactly as that given above while the fact that  $g^k(x) = f(x)$  for  $x \in [u, 1]$  now follows from the fact that  $f(x) = g(x) = u$  on  $[u, 1]$ .

The case  $I = [0, b)$  is analogous and this completes the proof of Theorem 17.

**Lemma 18.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}^2$  and let  $u$  denote the (unique) fixed point of  $f$ . Then  $f(x) = u$  holds whenever  $x \in [f(1), f(0)]$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f^{-1}(\{u\}) = [\alpha, \beta]$ ; we must prove that  $\alpha \leq f(1)$  and  $\beta \geq f(0)$ . Suppose this is not true and assume, for example, that  $f(1) < \alpha$ . Let  $f = g^2$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $u$  is the sole fixed point of  $f$ ,  $u$  is also the only fixed point of  $g$ . As  $f = g^2$  is decreasing, this implies that  $g(x) > x$  for  $x < u$  and  $g(x) < x$  for  $x > u$ . Set  $g(1) = w$ .

As a first case, suppose  $w > \beta$ . Then  $g(w) = f(1) \leq u$  and  $g(1) = w > \beta \geq u$ . Hence there is a  $y \in [w, 1]$  such that  $g(y) = u$ . Then  $f(y) = g^2(y) = g(u) = u$  which is impossible as  $y \geq w > \beta$ .

Next, suppose  $w < \alpha$ . Then  $g(g(w)) = f(w) > u$  and  $g(w) = f(1) \leq u$ . Hence, there is a  $y \in [w, g(w)]$  with  $g(y) = u$ . Again,  $f(y) = g(u) = u$  which is impossible since  $y \leq g(w) = f(1) < \alpha$ .

Therefore, we may suppose  $w \in [\alpha, \beta]$  and hence that  $f(w) = u$ . Now,  $f^2(1) = g^4(1) = g^3(w) = g(f(w)) = g(u) = u$  which again is impossible as  $f(1) < \alpha$ . This final contradiction completes the proof of Lemma 18.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 15.

**Proof of Theorem 15.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}$ . If  $C(f)$  contains the range of  $f$  then, by Theorem 17,  $f \in D \cap C^k$  for every  $k$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}^k$  with  $k$  even then, obviously,  $f \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{C}^2$  so that, by Lemma 18,  $f$  is constant on the interval  $[f(1), f(0)]$ . To complete the proof of Theorem 15 we must show that there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $f$  is constant on the interval  $[f(1) - \epsilon, f(0) + \epsilon] \cap [0, 1]$ . As in Lemma 18 we let  $u$  denote the only fixed point of  $f$ , let  $[\alpha, \beta] = f^{-1}(\{u\})$ , and let  $g \in \mathcal{C}$  be such that  $g^2 = f$ . We must show that

- (i) either  $\beta = 1$  or  $f(0) < \beta$  and
- (ii) either  $\alpha = 0$  or  $f(1) > \alpha$ .

Suppose, for example, that (i) is false, that is,  $f(0) = \beta < 1$ . We prove that this implies that  $g$  is not constant on  $[u, f(0)]$ .

First we show  $f(0) > u$ . Indeed, if  $u = f(0) = \beta$ , then  $f(z) = u$  for every  $z \in [0, u]$ . Hence either  $g \equiv u$  in  $[0, u]$  or  $g([0, u])$  contains a one sided neighbourhood of  $u$  on which  $g \equiv u$ . In each of these cases,  $g \equiv u$  in a one sided neighbourhood of  $u$ . If this is a right

neighbourhood then  $f \equiv u$  in that neighbourhood which is impossible since  $f(0) = u = \beta$ . We conclude that  $g$  is not constant in  $[u, 1]$  so that  $g([u, 1])$  contains a one sided neighbourhood of  $u$  and  $g < u$  in this neighbourhood. Thus, there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $g(z) < u$  for  $z \in (u, u + \delta)$  which again is a contradiction. Hence,  $f(0) = \beta > u$ .

Suppose  $g$  is constant on  $[u, f(0)]$ . Then  $g \equiv u$  on  $[u, f(0)]$ . Further, since  $f(0) = \beta$ ,  $g^2(z) = f(z) < u$  for  $z \in (f(0), 1]$ . But this entails that  $g$  is not constant on  $(f(0), 1]$  else this constant would be  $g(f(0)) = u$  which would imply that  $g^2(z) = u$  on  $(f(0), 1]$ . Thus,  $g((f(0), 1])$  contains a one sided neighbourhood of  $u$  and  $g < u$  on this neighbourhood. Since  $g \equiv u$  on  $[u, f(0)]$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $g(z) < u$  for  $z \in (u - \delta, u)$ . Then there is an  $\eta > 0$  such that  $f(z) = g^2(z) < u$  for  $z \in (u - \eta, u)$  which is not the case. Hence  $g$  cannot be constant on  $[u, f(0)]$  as we claimed.

Now, set  $v = g(0)$  so that  $g(v) = f(0)$ . We consider three cases.

**Case 1.**  $v > f(0)$ .

In this case  $f(v) < u$ ,  $g(f(0)) = f(v) < u$ , and  $g(v) = f(0) \geq u$ . Hence, there is a  $y \in (f(0), v]$  with  $g(y) = u$ . But then  $f(y) = u$  which is impossible as  $y > f(0) = \beta$ .

**Case 2.**  $f(1) \leq v \leq f(0)$ .

Here we have  $f(v) = u$  and  $f(g(0)) = g(f(0)) = u$ . As  $g(v) = f(0)$ , it follows that  $g([v, f(0)]) \supset [u, f(0)]$ . But  $f \equiv u$  on  $[v, f(0)]$  and hence  $g$  is constant on  $[u, f(0)]$  which, as we saw above, is impossible.

**Case 3.**  $v < f(1)$ .

In this case,  $g(v) = f(0) \geq u$  and  $g(0) = v < u$  so that there is a  $y \in [0, v]$  such that  $g(y) = u$ . Then  $f(y) = u$  and hence, as  $y \leq v < f(1)$ ,  $f(v) = u$ . Therefore  $f \equiv u$  on  $[v, f(0)]$ . But  $g([v, f(0)]) \supset [u, f(0)]$  and we again conclude that  $g$  is constant on  $[u, f(0)]$ . This final contradiction completes the proof.

#### REFERENCE

1. K. KURATOWSKI, *Topology I* (Academic Press, 1966).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
ST. OLAF COLLEGE  
NORTHFIELD, MN, U.S.A.

DEPARTMENT OF ANALYSIS  
EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY  
BUDAPEST, HUNGARY