

D. Fujiwara  
Nagoya Math. J.  
Vol. 124 (1991), 61–97

# THE STATIONARY PHASE METHOD WITH AN ESTIMATE OF THE REMAINDER TERM ON A SPACE OF LARGE DIMENSION

DAISUKE FUJIWARA

*Dedicated to the memory of Professor Kôsaku Yosida*

## § 1. Introduction

In discussing convergence of Feynman path integrals [2], we need a stationary phase method of oscillatory integrals over a space of large dimension. More precisely, we have to know how the remainder term behaves when the dimension of the space goes to  $\infty$  (cf. [2], [3] and [5]). The aim of the present note is to give answer to this question under rather mild assumptions. Application to the Feynman path integrals is discussed in [3] and [5].

Oscillatory integral of a function  $f(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , is defined by the equality

$$\tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(x) dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{-\varepsilon |x|^2} f(x) dx.$$

We consider the following oscillatory integrals

$$I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0) = \prod_{j=1}^L \left( \frac{\nu i}{2\pi t_j} \right)^{d/2} \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}^{d(L-1)}} e^{-i\nu S(x_L, \dots, x_0)} a(x_L, \dots, x_0) \prod_{j=1}^{L-1} dx_j.$$

Here each  $x_j$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, L$ , runs in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\nu > 1$  is a constant and  $t_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ , are positive constants.

Our assumption for the phase function  $S(x_L, \dots, x_0)$  is the following:  
(H.1)  $S(x_L, \dots, x_0)$  is a real valued function of the form

$$S(x_L, x_{L-1}, \dots, x_0) = \sum_{j=1}^L S_j(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1}),$$

where

---

Received October 2, 1990.

\* Research partially supported by Grant in Aid for General Scientific Research 01540108, The Ministry of Education.

$$S_j(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1}) = \frac{1}{2t_j} |x_j - x_{j-1}|^2 + t_j \omega_j(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, L.$$

For any  $m \geq 2$  there exists a constant  $\kappa_m > 0$  independent of  $j$  such that

$$\max_{2 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq m} \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \omega_j(t_j, x, y)| \leq \kappa_m.$$

(Since both  $x$  and  $y$  have  $d$  components, both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are multi-indices with  $d$  components.)

We need a little more notations to write down our assumptions about the amplitude function. If  $T_L = t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_L$  is small enough, the critical point  $(x_{L-1}^*, x_{L-2}^*, \dots, x_1^*)$  of the phase is the unique solution of

$$\partial_{x_j} S_{j+1}(t_{j+1}, x_{j+1}^*, x_j^*) + \partial_{x_j} S_j(t_j, x_j^*, x_{j-1}^*) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, L-1,$$

where  $x_L^* = x_L$  and  $x_0^* = x_0$  (See §2 for the proof). We use the following notation

$$a(\overline{x_L, x_0}) = a(x_L, x_{L-1}^*, \dots, x_1^*, x_0).$$

Similarly, for any pair of integers  $k, m$  with  $k+1 < m$  let  $x_{k+1}^*, \dots, x_{m-1}^*$  be the partial critical point, i.e.,

$$\partial_{x_j} S_{j+1}(t_{j+1}, x_{j+1}^*, x_j^*) + \partial_{x_j} S_j(t_j, x_j^*, x_{j-1}^*) = 0,$$

for  $j = k+1, \dots, m-1$ , where  $x_k^* = x_k$  and  $x_m^* = x_m$ . Then we set

$$a(x_L, \dots, \overline{x_m, x_k}, \dots, x_0) = a(x_L, \dots, x_m, x_{m-1}^*, \dots, x_{k+1}^*, x_k, \dots, x_0).$$

If  $m = k+1$ , we define

$$a(x_L, x_{L-1}, \dots, \overline{x_{k+1}, x_k}, \dots, x_0) = a(x_L, \dots, x_{k+1}, x_k, \dots, x_0).$$

Our assumption for the amplitude function  $a(x_L, \dots, x_0)$  is the following:

(H.2) For any positive integer  $K$  there exist positive constants  $A_K$  and  $X_K$  with the following properties:

(i) If  $|\alpha_j| \leq K$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, L$ , then

$$\sup_{(x_L, \dots, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{L+1}} \left| \left( \prod_{j=0}^L \partial_{x_j}^{\alpha_j} \right) a(x_L, \dots, x_0) \right| \leq A_K X_K^L.$$

(ii) For any sequence of positive integers

$$j_0 = 0 < j_1 - 1 < j_1 < j_2 - 1 < \dots < j_s < L, \quad s = 1, \dots, L-1,$$

we have the estimate

$$|\partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \partial_{x_{j_1-1}}^{\alpha_{j_1-1}} \cdots \partial_{x_{j_s}}^{\alpha_{j_s}} \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} a(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{j_s}}, \overline{x_{j_s-1}}, \overline{x_{j_{s-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{j_1-1}}, \overline{x_0})| \leq A_K X_K^s,$$

as far as  $|\alpha_j| \leq K$ ,  $j = 0, j_1 - 1, j_1, \dots, j_s, L$ .

Our main result is

**THEOREM 1.** *Under the assumptions (H.1) and (H.2) above there exists a positive constant  $\delta$  independent of  $a$  and  $L$  such that if  $T_L = t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_L < \delta$  then*

$$\begin{aligned} I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0) \\ = \left( \frac{\nu i}{2\pi T_L} \right)^{d/2} \exp \{-i\nu S(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_0})\} \det(I + H^{-1}W)^{-1/2}(a(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_0}) + r(x_L, x_0)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $r(x_L, x_0)$  satisfies the estimate: For any  $K \geq 0$  there exist positive constants  $C_K$  and  $M(K)$  such that if  $|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_L| \leq K$ ,

$$|\partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} r(x_L, x_0)| \leq A_{M(K)} \left( \prod_{j=1}^L (1 + C_K X_{M(K)} \nu^{-1} t_j) - 1 \right).$$

Constants  $\delta$ ,  $C_K$  are independent of  $a$ ,  $L$ ,  $\{t_j\}$ ,  $(x_L, x_0)$  and of  $\nu$  but depend on the dimensionality  $d$  of space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\{\kappa_m\}$ .  $M(K)$  depends only on  $K$  and  $d$ .  $H$  is the  $d(L-1) \times d(L-1)$  matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{1}{t_2}, & -\frac{1}{t_2}, & 0, & 0, & \dots & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{t_2}, & \frac{1}{t_2} + \frac{1}{t_3}, & -\frac{1}{t_3}, & 0, & \dots & 0 \\ 0, & -\frac{1}{t_3}, & \frac{1}{t_3} + \frac{1}{t_4}, & -\frac{1}{t_4}, & 0, & \dots & 0 \\ 0, & 0, & -\frac{1}{t_4}, & \frac{1}{t_4} + \frac{1}{t_5}, & \dots & \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $w$  is the Hessian matrix of  $\sum_j t_j \omega_j(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1})$  at the critical point  $(x_{L-1}^*, \dots, x_1^*)$ .

In case  $a = 1$ , we can prove a sharper estimate of the remainder term.

**THEOREM 2.** *We assume that  $a = 1$  and (H.1). If  $T_L < \delta$ , then for any  $K$  there exists a constant  $C'_K$  such that if  $|\alpha_0|$  and  $|\alpha_L| \leq K$ ,*

$$|\partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} r(x_L, x_0)| \leq \prod_{j=1}^L (1 + C'_K \nu^{-1} t_j T_L^2) - 1.$$

The constant  $\delta$  is the same as in Theorem 1.

*Remark.* 1) We can easily see from the proof that the phase function and amplitude function are not necessarily infinitely differentiable. Both Theorems 1 and 2 are still valid with obvious modification if both functions are of class  $C^k$  with sufficiently large  $k$ .

2) In the previous work [4], less sharp result was obtained.

The plan of the paper is as follows: Theorems 1 and 2 will be proved in the case  $d = 1$  in order to avoid excessive complexity of notations. In § 2 we collect basic properties of both the critical point and the critical value of the phase function. In § 3 we prove a key lemma which plays a fundamental role in this paper. This lemma, Lemma 3.1, may be of independent interest. In § 4 Theorem 1 is proved. Theorem 2 is proved in § 5.

**Acknowledgements.** In the author's original manuscript the exponent of  $T_L$  on the right hand side of the estimate in Theorem 2 was 1. The referee kindly pointed out it is in fact 2. The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to the referee.

## § 2. Phase functions

In this section we discuss the followings:

(i) Unique existence of the critical point of  $S$ .

(ii)  $S(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_0})$  is of the form  $\frac{|x_L - x_0|^2}{2T_L} + T_L\omega^*(x_L, x_0)$ .

(iii) Some elementary facts related to the Hessian of  $S$ .

The critical point  $x^* = (x_{L-1}^*, \dots, x_1^*)$  of the phase function is given by the system of equations

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t_2}(x_1^* - x_2^*) + \frac{1}{t_1}(x_1^* - x_0) + t_2\partial_1\omega_2(t_2, x_2^*, x_1^*) + t_1\partial_1\omega_1(t_1, x_1^*, x_0) &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{t_3}(x_2^* - x_3^*) + \frac{1}{t_2}(x_2^* - x_1^*) + t_3\partial_2\omega_3(t_3, x_3^*, x_2^*) + t_2\partial_2\omega_2(t_2, x_2^*, x_1^*) &= 0, \\ \dots & \\ \frac{1}{t_L}(x_{L-1}^* - x_L) + \frac{1}{t_{L-1}}(x_{L-1}^* - x_{L-2}^*) + t_L\partial_{L-1}\omega_L(t_L, x_L, x_{L-1}^*) & \\ + t_{L-1}\partial_{L-1}\omega_{L-1}(t_{L-1}, x_{L-1}^*, x_{L-2}^*) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here and hereafter  $\partial_k$  is the abbreviation of  $\partial_{x_k} = \partial/\partial x_k$ .

The Hessian matrix of  $S$  is equal to  $H(L, 1) + W(L, 1; x)$ , where

$$(2.2) \quad H(L, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{1}{t_2}, & -\frac{1}{t_2}, & 0, & 0, \\ -\frac{1}{t_2}, & \frac{1}{t_2} + \frac{1}{t_3}, & -\frac{1}{t_3}, & 0, & 0, \dots \\ 0, \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0, \dots, & \dots, & -\frac{1}{t_{L-1}}, & \frac{1}{t_{L-1}} + \frac{1}{t_L} & \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad W(L, 1; x) = \begin{pmatrix} t_2 \partial_1^2 \omega_2 + t_1 \partial_1^2 \omega_1, & t_2 \partial_1 \partial_2 \omega_2, & 0, \dots, 0 \\ t_2 \partial_2 \partial_1 \omega_2, & t_3 \partial_2^2 \omega_3 + t_2 \partial_2^2 \omega_2, & t_3 \partial_2 \partial_3 \omega_3, & 0, \dots, 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

**PROPOSITION 2.1.**

$$(2.4) \quad \det H(L, 1) = \frac{T_L}{t_1 t_2 \cdots t_L}.$$

Let  $G(L, 1)$  be the inverse of  $H(L, 1)$ . Then its  $(ij)$  entry is

$$(2.5) \quad g_{ij} = \frac{(t_1 + \cdots + t_i)(t_{j+1} + \cdots + t_L)}{T_L}, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq L-1, \\ \frac{(t_1 + \cdots + t_j)(t_{i+1} + \cdots + t_L)}{T_L}, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq i \leq L-1.$$

We use two norms  $\|x\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq k \leq L-1} |x_k|$  and  $\|x\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} |x_j|$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{L-1}$ . The next proposition is clear.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** For any  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{L-1}$  we have

$$(2.6) \quad \|W(L, 1; x)u\|_1 \leq 4T_L \kappa_2 \|u\|_\infty,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \|G(L, 1)u\|_\infty \leq \frac{T_L}{4} \|u\|_1,$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \|G(L, 1)W(L, 1; x)u\|_\infty \leq T_L^2 \kappa_2 \|u\|_\infty.$$

Unique existence of the critical point of  $S$  is given by

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Assume that*

$$(2.9) \quad 4\kappa_2 T_L^2 < 2^{-1}.$$

*Then the critical point exists uniquely and satisfies the estimate:*

$$(2.10) \quad \|x^* - x^0\|_\infty \leq \frac{T_L}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{L-1} |t_{k+1}\partial_k \omega_{k+1}(t_{k+1}, x_{k+1}^0, x_k^0) + t_k \partial_k \omega_k(t_k, x_k^0, x_{k-1}^0)|,$$

where

$$x_j^0 = \frac{t_{j+1} + \cdots + t_L}{T_L} x_0 + \frac{t_1 + \cdots + t_j}{T_L} x_L, \quad j = 1, \dots, L-1.$$

*Proof.* The critical point  $x^* = (x_{L-1}^*, \dots, x_1^*)$  is the fixed point of the map  $(x_{L-1}, \dots, x_1) = x \rightarrow \Phi(x) = (y_{L-1}, \dots, y_1)$ , where

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} y_j &= - \sum_{k=1}^{L-1} g_{jk} \{ t_{k+1} \partial_k \omega_{k+1}(t_{k+1}, x_{k+1}, x_k) + t_k \partial_k \omega_k(t_k, x_k, x_{k-1}) \} \\ &\quad + g_{j1} \frac{1}{t_1} x_0 + g_{jL-1} \frac{1}{t_L} x_L. \end{aligned}$$

The norm of the differential map  $D\Phi(x) = G(L, 1)W(L, 1; x)$  is less than  $\kappa_2 T_L^2 \leq 1/8$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  because of (2.8) and (2.9). Therefore, the map  $\Phi(x)$  is a contraction map, which guarantees unique existence of the fixed point. Usual construction by iteration of the fixed point gives that

$$(2.12) \quad \|x^* - x^0\|_\infty < 2\|\Phi(x^0) - x^0\|_\infty.$$

We have  $\Phi(x^0) - x^0 = G(L, 1)\Omega(x^0)$ , where  $\Omega(x) = (\Omega_1(x), \dots, \Omega_{L-1}(x))$  and

$$\Omega_j(x) = t_j \partial_j \omega_j(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1}) + t_{j+1} \partial_j \omega_{j+1}(t_{j+1}, x_{j+1}, x_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, L-1.$$

This and (2.7) yield that  $\|\Phi(x^0) - x^0\|_\infty < (T_L/4)\|\Omega\|_1$ . This together with (2.12) proves the estimate (2.10). Proposition 2.3 has been proved.

Let  $y$  and  $z$  be points in  $\mathbb{R}^{L-1}$  such that

$$(2.13) \quad y_j = g_{j1}(t_1^{-1} - t_1 \partial_0 \omega_1(t_1, x_1^*, x_0)), \quad j = 1, \dots, L-1,$$

$$(2.14) \quad z_j = g_{jL-1}(t_L^{-1} - t_{L-1} \partial_{L-1} \omega_L(t_{L-1}, x_L, x_{L-1}^*)), \quad j = 1, \dots, L-1.$$

Then

$$(2.15) \quad \|y\|_\infty, \quad \|z\|_\infty \leq 1 + \kappa_2 T_L^2 < \frac{9}{8}.$$

We consider the critical point as a function of  $(x_L, x_0)$ . Let  $X = \partial_0 x^*$  and  $Y = \partial_L x^*$ ; let  $D_X W(L, 1; x^*)$  and  $D_Y W(L, 1; x^*)$  be derivatives at  $x^*$  of matrix valued function  $W(L, 1; x)$  in the direction  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively.

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *We assume (2.9). Then we have*

$$(2.16) \quad \|\partial_0 x^* - y\|_\infty, \quad \|\partial_{L-1} x^* - z\|_\infty < 4\kappa_2 T_L^2 < \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$(2.17) \quad \|\partial_0 x^*\|_\infty, \quad \|\partial_L x^*\|_\infty < 1 + 4\kappa_2 T_L^2 < \frac{3}{2}.$$

For any integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  there exists a positive constant  $C_{\alpha\beta}$  such that

$$(2.18) \quad \|D_X^\alpha D_Y^\beta W(L, 1; x^*)v\|_1 < C_{\alpha\beta} T_L \|v\|_\infty.$$

And for any  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with  $\alpha + \beta \geq 2$  we have, with some constant  $C_{\alpha\beta}$ ,

$$(2.19) \quad \|\partial_0^\alpha \partial_{L-1}^\beta x^*\|_\infty \leq C_{\alpha\beta} T_L^2.$$

The constants  $C_{\alpha\beta}$  in (2.18) and (2.19) may depend on  $T_L$  but are bounded if  $T_L$  is bounded.

*Proof.* Since  $x^*$  is the fixed point of  $\Phi(x)$ , we have that

$$(2.20) \quad \partial_0 x^* = (D\Phi(x^*))\partial_0 x^* + y.$$

Since the norm of  $D\Phi(x^*)$  is less than  $\kappa_2 T_L^2 < 1/8$ , we have

$$\|\partial_0 x^* - y\|_\infty < 2\kappa_2 T_L^2 \|y\|_\infty \leq 3\kappa_2 T_L^2 < \frac{3}{8}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\|\partial_0 x^*\|_\infty \leq 1 + 4\kappa_2 T_L^2 < \frac{3}{2}.$$

Similarly we can prove estimate for  $\partial_L x^*$ . (2.16) and (2.17) are proved.

Next we prove (2.18). It follows from (2.16) and (2.17) that for each pair of indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  there exist  $\mathbb{R}^{L-1}$  valued functions  $a^{\alpha\beta}(x_L, x_0)$ ,  $b^{\alpha\beta}(x_L, x_0)$  and  $c^{\alpha\beta}(x_L, x_0)$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (D_X^\alpha D_Y^\beta W(L, 1; x^*))_{jk} &= 0, & \text{for } |k - j| > 1, \\ &= t_j a_j^{\alpha\beta}(x_L, x_0), & \text{for } k = j - 1, \\ &= t_j b_j^{\alpha\beta}(x_L, x_0) + t_{j+1} c_j^{\alpha\beta}(x_L, x_0), & \text{for } k = j, \\ &= t_{j+1} a_{j+1}^{\alpha\beta}(x_L, x_0), & \text{for } k = j + 1. \end{aligned}$$

These functions  $a^{\alpha\beta}(x_L, x_0)$ ,  $b^{\alpha\beta}(x_L, x_0)$  and  $c^{\alpha\beta}(x_L, x_0)$  may depend also on  $t_1, \dots, t_L$  but remain uniformly bounded in the space  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{x_L} \times \mathbb{R}_{x_0})$  as far as (2.9) holds. This proves (2.18).

We shall prove the estimate for  $\partial_0 \partial_L x^*$ . It satisfies

$$\partial_L \partial_0 x^* = D\Phi(x^*) \partial_L \partial_0 x^* + G(L, 1) D_Y W(L, 1; x^*) \partial_0 x^* + \partial_L y.$$

Thus using (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_L \partial_0 x^*\|_\infty &\leq 2(\|G(L, 1) D_Y W(L, 1; x^*) \partial_0 x^*\|_\infty + \|\partial_L y\|_\infty) \\ &\leq CT_L^2 \|\partial_0 x^*\|_\infty + CT_L^2 \leq CT_L^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here and hereafter we denote simply by  $C$  various constants which may be different from one occasion to another. Other higher derivatives of  $x^*$  will be estimated similarly. Proposition is proved.

Since  $\partial_0 x^*$  satisfies (2.20) and  $D\Phi(x^*) = G(L, 1) W(L, 1; x^*)$ ,

$$(2.21) \quad \partial_0 x^* = G(L, 1) Z(x_L, x_0) + y,$$

where  $Z(x_L, x_0) = W(L, 1; x^*) \partial_0 x^*$ . Using (2.6), we have

$$(2.22) \quad \|Z\|_1 = \|W(L, 1; x^*) \partial_0 x^*\|_1 \leq 4\kappa_2 T_L \|\partial_0 x^*\|_\infty < 6\kappa_2 T_L.$$

Moreover, the  $j$ -th component of  $Z(x_L, x_0)$  is of the form

$$(2.23) \quad Z_j(x_L, x_0) = t_j \xi_j(x_L, x_0) + t_{j+1} \eta_j(x_L, x_0), \quad j = 1, \dots, L-1,$$

where  $\{\xi_j\}$  and  $\{\eta_j\}$  are functions, which may depend on  $t_1, \dots, t_L$  but bounded in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{x_L} \times \mathbb{R}_{x_0})$ . It follows from this that

$$T_L^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} Z_j(x_L, x_0) \text{ remains bounded in } \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{x_L} \times \mathbb{R}_{x_0}).$$

Next we consider the second derivatives of the critical value  $S(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_0})$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.5.** *We assume (2.9). Then  $S(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_0})$  is of the following form:*

$$(2.24) \quad S(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_0}) = \frac{|x_L - x_0|^2}{2T_L} + T_L \omega^*(x_L, x_0).$$

Here  $\omega^*(x_L, x_0)$  is a function, which may depend also on  $t_1, \dots, t_L$  but remains bounded in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{x_L} \times \mathbb{R}_{x_0})$ , satisfying the estimate

$$(2.25) \quad \max_{2 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq m} \sup_{x_L, x_0} |\partial_{x_0}^\alpha \partial_{x_L}^\beta \omega^*(x_L, x_0)| \leq \kappa_m^*,$$

where  $\kappa_m^*$  is a constant depending only on  $\kappa_j$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq m$ . We can choose

$$(2.26) \quad \kappa_2^* = 10\kappa_2.$$

*Proof.* Since  $x^*$  is the critical point of  $S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0 S(\overline{x_L, x_0}) &= \partial_0 S(x_L, x_{L-1}, \dots, x_1, x_0)|_{x_{L-1}=x_{L-1}^*, \dots, x_1=x_1^*} \\ &= (\partial_0 S_1)(x_1^*, x_0), \end{aligned}$$

where we abbreviated  $S_1(t_1, x_1, x_0)$  simply by  $S_1(x_1, x_0)$ . This implies that

$$(2.27) \quad \partial_0^2 S(\overline{x_L, x_0}) = (\partial_0^2 S_1)(x_1^*, x_0) + (\partial_1 \partial_0 S_1)(x_1^*, x_0) \partial_0 x_1^*.$$

We have from (2.21) and (2.13) that

$$\partial_0 x_1^* - \frac{t_2 + \dots + t_L}{T_L} = t_1 T_L h(x_L, x_0),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h(x_L, x_0) &= -T_L^{-2} t_1 (t_2 + \dots + t_L) \partial_0 \partial_1 \omega_1(t_1, x_1^*, x_0) \\ &\quad + T_L^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} (t_{j+1} + \dots + t_L) Z_j(x_L, x_0) \end{aligned}$$

depends also on  $t_1, \dots, t_L$  but we used abbreviation. Since  $T_L^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} Z_j(x_L, x_0)$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{x_L} \times \mathbb{R}_{x_0})$ ,  $h(x_L, x_0)$  remains bounded in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{x_L} \times \mathbb{R}_{x_0})$  uniformly with respect to  $t_1, \dots, t_L$ . In particular, (2.22) yields that

$$(2.28) \quad |h(x_L, x_0)| \leq T_L^{-1} \|Z\|_1 + \kappa_2 < 7\kappa_2.$$

Hence we have

$$\partial_{x_0}^2 S(\overline{x_L, x_0}) = \frac{1}{T_L} + T_L \psi(x_L, x_0),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x_L, x_0) &= -h(x_L, x_0) + \frac{t_1}{T_L} \partial_0^2 \omega_1(x_1^*, x_0) \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{t_1(t_2 + \dots + t_L)}{T_L^2} + t_1^2 h(x_L, x_0) \right) \partial_0 \partial_1 \omega_1(x_1^*, x_0) \end{aligned}$$

remains bounded in the space  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{x_L} \times \mathbb{R}_{x_0})$ . Moreover by definition  $|\partial_0^2 \omega_1(x_1^*, x_0)| \leq \kappa_2$  and  $|\partial_0 \partial_1 \omega_1(x_1^*, x_0)| \leq \kappa_2$ . And (2.28) and (2.9) imply that  $t_1^2 |h(x_L, x_0)| < 1$ . Therefore

$$|\psi(x_L, x_0)| \leq 10\kappa_2.$$

Similar discussions hold for other derivatives of  $S(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_0})$ . Therefore, we have proved Proposition 2.5.

Finally we discuss Hessian determinant of  $S$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** *Let  $\phi(x, y)$  be a real valued  $C^\infty$ -function of  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $y^*: \mathbb{R}^m \ni x \rightarrow y^*(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $C^\infty$ -map such that*

$$(2.29) \quad \partial_y \phi(x, y^*(x)) = 0 \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

We assume that

$$(2.30) \quad \det \text{Hess}_y \phi(x, y)|_{y=y^*(x)} \neq 0$$

and that the map  $\phi^*: \mathbb{R}^m \ni x \rightarrow \phi(x, y^*(x)) \in \mathbb{R}$  has a critical point  $x^*$ , i.e.,

$$(2.31) \quad \partial_x \phi^*(x^*) = 0.$$

Then  $(x^*, y^*) = (x^*, y^*(x^*))$  is a critical point of  $\phi(x, y)$ . Moreover we have the product formula of Hessian determinant:

$$(2.32) \quad \det \text{Hess}_{(x^*, y^*)} \phi = (\det \text{Hess}_{x^*} \phi^*) (\det \text{Hess}_y \phi(x, y)|_{(x, y)=(x^*, y^*)}).$$

*Proof.* We have, from (2.29),

$$(2.33) \quad \partial_y \phi(x^*, y^*) = 0.$$

On the other hand we have, from (2.31), that

$$\partial_x \phi(x^*, y^*) + \partial_y \phi(x^*, y^*) \partial_x y^*(x^*) = \partial_x \phi^*(x^*) = 0.$$

This and (2.33) gives that  $\partial_x \phi(x^*, y^*) = 0$ . Therefore,  $(x^*, y^*)$  is a critical point of  $\phi$ .

We have

$$\text{Hess}_{(x^*, y^*)} \phi = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x^2 \phi, & \partial_y \partial_x \phi \\ \partial_x \partial_y \phi, & \partial_y^2 \phi \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{(x, y)=(x^*, y^*)}.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess}_x \phi^* &= \partial_x^2 \phi(x^*, y^*) + \partial_y \partial_x \phi(x^*, y^*) \partial_x y^*(x^*) \\ &= \partial_x^2 \phi(x^*, y^*) - \partial_y \partial_x \phi(x^*, y^*) \partial_y^2 \phi(x^*, y^*)^{-1} \partial_x \partial_y \phi(x^*, y^*), \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\partial_y^2 \phi(x, y^*(x)) \partial_x y^*(x) + \partial_x \partial_y \phi(x, y^*(x)) = 0.$$

Therefore the next Lemma proves (2.32). Proposition 2.6 is proved.

**LEMMA 2.7.** *Let  $A$  be a  $(m+n) \times (m+n)$  matrix. We write*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} B, & C \\ {}^t C, & D \end{pmatrix},$$

*where  $B$  is an  $m \times m$  matrix,  $C$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix and  $D$  is an  $n \times n$  regular matrix. Then we have*

$$\det(A) = \det(D) \det(B - CD^{-1} {}^t C).$$

*Proof.* Take the determinant of the following matrix identity:

$$\begin{pmatrix} B, & C \\ {}^t C, & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I, & 0 \\ -D^{-1} {}^t C, & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B - CD^{-1} {}^t C, & C \\ 0, & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $x_1^*$  be the critical point with respect to  $x_1$  of  $S_2(x_2, x_1) + S_1(x_1, x_0)$ . We define a function  $D(S_2 + S_1; x_2, x_1)$  from the hessian determinant at  $x_1^*$  in the following way:

$$(2.34) \quad \det \text{Hess}_{x^*}(S_2 + S_1) = \frac{t_1 + t_2}{t_1 t_2} D(S_2 + S_1; x_2, x_0).$$

Let  $k < m$  be two positive integers. Then we define  $(x_{m-1}^*, \dots, x_{k+1}^*)$  as the partial critical point, i.e.,

$$\partial_j S_{j+1}(x_{j+1}^*, x_j^*) + \partial_j S(x_j^*, x_{j-1}^*) = 0, \quad j = k+1, \dots, m-1.$$

Here  $x_m^* = x_m$  and  $x_k^* = x_k$ . We denote the critical level by  $S_{m,k+1}^*(x_m, x_k)$ , i.e.,

$$(2.35) \quad S_{m,k+1}^*(x_m, x_k) = S_m(t_m, x_m, x_{m-1}^*) + \dots + S_{k+1}(t_{k+1}, x_{k+1}^*, x_k).$$

As a consequence of Proposition 2.5 we can write

$$(2.36) \quad S_{m,k+1}^*(x_m, x_k) = \frac{(x_m - x_k)^2}{2(t_{k+1} + \dots + t_m)} + (t_{k+1} + \dots + t_m) \omega_{m,k+1}^*(x_m, x_k).$$

We define  $D(x_m, x_k)$  by

$$(2.37) \quad \det(\text{Hess}_{(x_{m-1}^*, \dots, x_{k+1}^*)}(S_m + \dots + S_{k+1})) = \frac{t_{k+1} + \dots + t_m}{t_{k+1} \dots t_m} D(x_m, x_k).$$

If  $m = 1$  and  $k = 1$  then we set  $S_{1,1}^*(x_1, x_0) = S_1(t_1, x_1, x_0)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.8.** *We have*

$$(2.38) \quad D(x_L, x_0) = \left( \prod_{k=2}^L D(S_k + S_{k-1,1}^*; x_k, x_0) \right) \Big|_{(x_{L-1}, \dots, x_1) = (x_{L-1}^*, \dots, x_1^*)}.$$

*Proof.* We prove (2.38) by induction on  $L$ . The case  $L = 2$  is clear.

By induction hypothesis the Hessian determinant of  $S_{L-1} + \dots + S_1$  at the critical point  $x^* = (x_{L-2}^*(x_{L-1}, x_0), \dots, x_1^*(x_{L-1}, x_0))$  with respect to  $x_{L-2}, \dots, x_1$  equals

$$\frac{t_1 + \dots + t_{L-1}}{t_1 \cdots t_{L-1}} \left( \prod_{k=2}^{L-1} D(S_k + S_{k-1,1}^*; x_k, x_0) \right) \Big|_{x_j=x_j^*(x_{L-1}, x_0), j=1, \dots, L-2}.$$

So Proposition 2.6 gives that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t_1 + \dots + t_L}{t_1 \cdots t_L} D(x_L, x_0) \\ &= \det \text{Hess}_{x_{L-1}^*}(S_L + S_{L-1,1}^*) \det \text{Hess}_{(x_{L-2}^*, \dots, x_1^*)}(S_{L-1} + \dots + S_1)|_{x_{L-1}=x_{L-1}^*} \\ &= \frac{t_L + \dots + t_1}{t_L(t_{L-1} + \dots + t_1)} D(S_L + S_{L-1,1}^*; x_{L-1}, x_0) \\ & \quad \times \frac{t_1 + \dots + t_{L-1}}{t_1 \cdots t_{L-1}} \left( \prod_{k=2}^{L-1} D(S_k + S_{k-1,1}^*; x_k, x_0) \right) \Big|_{x_j=x_j^*}. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved (2.38) for  $L$  and Proposition is proved.

**PROPOSITION 2.9.** *We have*

$$D(S_2 + S_1; x_2, x_0) = 1 + t_1 t_2 g(x_2, x_0).$$

Here  $g(x_2, x_0)$  remains bounded in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{x_2} \times \mathbb{R}_{x_0})$ .

*Proof.*

$$\text{Hess}_{x_1}(S_2 + S_1) = \frac{t_1 + t_2}{t_1 t_2} \left( 1 + \frac{t_1 t_2}{t_1 + t_2} (t_1 \partial_1^2 w_1 + t_2 \partial_1^2 w_2) \right).$$

This proves Proposition.

**PROPOSITION 2.10.** *Assume that (2.9) holds. We write*

$$\det \text{Hess}_{x^*} S(x_L, x_0) = \frac{T_L}{t_1 t_2 \cdots t_L} D(x_L, x_0).$$

*Then*

$$(2.39) \quad D(x_L, x_0) = 1 + T_L^2 q(x_L, x_0),$$

where  $q(x_L, x_0)$  may depend also on  $t_1, \dots, t_L$  but remains bounded in the space  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  uniformly with respect to  $t_1, \dots, t_L$ .

*Proof.* We have from Proposition 2.8 that

$$D(x_L, x_0) = \left( \prod_{k=2}^L D(S_k + S_{k+1,1}^*; x_k, x_0) \right) \Big|_{(x_{L-1}, \dots, x_1) = (x_{L-1}^*, \dots, x_1^*)}.$$

We can apply the previous proposition. Then we have

$$D(x_L, x_0) = \prod_{k=2}^L (1 + t_k T_{k-1} g_k(x_L, x_0)),$$

where  $g_k(x_L, x_0)$  are bounded in the space  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ . Proposition is proved.

### § 3. Key Lemma

The aim of the section is to prove the following Lemma 3.1, which plays an important role in the proof of the main results. In the present section assumption (H.2) is not needed. Instead we require the following assumption about the amplitude function:

(H.3) For any  $K \geqq 0$  there exists a positive constant  $A_K$  such that

$$\max_{\alpha} \sup_{x_{L-1}, \dots, x_1} |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_{L-1}}^{\alpha_{L-1}} \cdots \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} a(x_L, \dots, x_0)| < A_K,$$

where max is taken with respect to multi-indices  $(\alpha_L, \dots, \alpha_0)$  satisfying  $|\alpha_j| \leqq K$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, L$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *We assume the hypothesis (H.1) for the phase function and hypothesis (H.3) above for the amplitude function. Then there exists a positive constant  $\delta > 0$  such that  $I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0)$  can be written as*

$$I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0) = \left( \frac{\nu i}{2\pi T_L} \right)^{1/2} \exp\{-i\nu S(x_L, x_0)\} b(x_L, x_0),$$

as far as  $T_L = t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_L < \delta$ . For any  $m \geqq 0$  there exist constants  $C_m$  and  $K(m)$  such that if  $|\alpha_0| \leqq m$ ,  $|\alpha_L| \leqq m$ ,

$$|\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} b(x_L, x_0)| \leqq C_m^L \max_{\beta} \sup_{x_{L-1}, \dots, x_1} |\partial_{x_L}^{\beta_L} \partial_{x_{L-1}}^{\beta_{L-1}} \cdots \partial_{x_0}^{\beta_0} a(x_L, \dots, x_0)|,$$

where max is taken with respect to all  $(\beta_L, \dots, \beta_0)$  satisfying  $\beta_0 \leqq \alpha_0$ ,  $\beta_L \leqq \alpha_L$  and  $|\beta_j| \leqq K(m)$ . Here constants  $K(m)$  and  $C_m$  do not depend on  $L$ ,  $\nu$  and  $a$ . We can choose  $K(m) = 4m + 17 + 6$ .

Proof of basic Lemma 3.1 will be given after Lemma 3.6. Before that, we collect preparatory facts. Most of them are well known but we will write them down for the convenience of the reader.

From now on we let  $E = (\nu/2\pi)$  for the sake of brevity of notation.

The following Lemma is found in Kumanogo [6],

LEMMA 3.2 (Kumanogo [6]). *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} J(\mu, a) \psi(x_L) &= \left( \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \right)^L \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}^{2L}} \exp \left\{ - i\mu \sum_{j=1}^L \xi_j (x_{j+1} - x_j) \right\} \\ &\quad \times a(x_{L+1}, \xi_L, \dots, \xi_1, x_1) \psi(x_1) \prod_{j=1}^L d\xi_j dx_j. \end{aligned}$$

*Then there exists a function  $U(a)(x_{L+1}, \xi_1, x_1)$  such that*

$$J(\mu, a) \psi(x_{L+1}) = \left( \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \right) \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp \{- i\mu (x_{L+1} - x_1) \xi_1\} U(a)(x_{L+1}, \xi_1, x_1) \psi(x_1) dx_1 d\xi_1.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_{L+1}} U(a)(x_{L+1}, \xi_1, x_1) &= U \left( \sum_{j=1}^{L+1} \partial_{x_j} a \right) (x_{L+1}, \xi_1, x_1), \\ \partial_{\xi_1} U(a)(x_{L+1}, \xi_1, x_1) &= U \left( \sum_{j=1}^{L+1} \partial_{\xi_j} a \right) (x_{L+1}, \xi_1, x_1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\partial_{x_1} U(a)(x_{L+1}, \xi_1, x_1) = U(\partial_{x_1} a)(x_{L+1}, \xi_1, x_1).$$

Moreover, there exists a constant  $C_0$  independent of  $\mu, L$  and of  $a$  such that

$$\sup |U(a)(x_{L+1}, \xi_1, x_1)| \leq C_0^L \|a\|_3$$

where

$$\|a\|_k = \max_{|\alpha_j|, |\beta_j| \leq k} \sup \left| \left( \prod_{j=1}^L \partial_{x_j}^{\alpha_j} \partial_{\xi_j}^{\beta_j} \right) a(x_{L+1}, \xi_L, x_L, \dots, \xi_1, x_1) \right|.$$

Proof is found in Kumanogo [6]. A simple corollary is

COROLLARY 3.3. *For any  $m \geq 0$  there are constants  $C_m$  and  $K_1(m)$  such that if  $|\alpha_{L+1}|, |\beta_1|, |\alpha_1| \leq m$ ,*

$$\sup |\partial_{x_{L+1}}^{\alpha_{L+1}} \partial_{\xi_1}^{\beta_1} \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} U(a)(x_{L+1}, \xi_1, x_1)| \leq C_m (L+1)^{2m} C_1^{L+1} \|a\|_{K_1(m)}.$$

We can choose  $K_1(m) = 2m + 3$ .

Let  $S(t, x, y) = (1/2t)|x - y|^2 + t\omega(t, x, y)$  and let  $a(x, y)$  be in the space  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_x \times \mathbb{R}_y)$ , then for any  $f(y) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  we set

$$\text{Op}(t, S, a, \nu)f(x) = \left( \frac{E}{t} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu S(t, x, y)} a(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

We assume as in § 1 that

$$\max_{2 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq m} \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \omega(x, y)| \leq \kappa_m.$$

If  $8|t|^2 \kappa_2 < 1$  then  $\text{Op}(t, S, a, \nu)$  defines a bounded linear operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  (cf. [1]). Its adjoint  $\text{Op}(t, S, a, \nu)^*$  is of the form

$$\text{Op}(t, S, a, \nu)^* f(x) = \left( \frac{E}{t} \right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\nu S(t, z, x)} \overline{a(z, x)} f(z) dz.$$

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Assume that  $S(t, x, y)$  is as above. Then there exists a positive constant  $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\{\kappa_m\})$  depending only on dimensionality of the space and  $\{\kappa_m\}_m$  such that if  $|t| \leq \delta_1$  then  $\text{Op}(t, S, 1, \nu)^{-1}$  exists and is of the form*

$$\text{Op}(t, S, 1, \nu)^{-1} = \text{Op}(t, S, 1 + tp, \nu)^*,$$

where  $p(t, x, y)$  satisfies the estimate: For any multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  there exists a positive constant  $C_{\alpha\beta}$  independent of  $t, \nu$  such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta p(t, x, y)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Proof is given in [3].

Let  $S_i(t_i, x, y) = (1/(2t_i))|x - y|^2 + t_i \omega_i(t_i, x, y)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be phase functions and  $a(x, y, z)$  be an amplitude function as in § 1. Then we consider

$$I(\{t_j\}, S_2 + S_1, a, \nu)(x, y) = \left( \frac{E}{t_1} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{t_2} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_1(t_1, x, z) + S_2(t_2, z, y))} a(x, z, y) dz,$$

here  $a(x, z, y) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_x \times \mathbb{R}_z \times \mathbb{R}_y)$ . We employ the notations  $D(S_2 + S_1; x, y)$  of (2.34) in § 2 and denote  $(t_1 t_2)/(t_1 + t_2)$  by  $\tau$ . Applying the stationary phase method [1], we easily obtain

**LEMMA 3.5.** *Assume that  $8(t_1 + t_2)^2 \kappa_2^2 < 1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{E}{t_1} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{t_2} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_1(t_1, x, z) + S_2(t_2, z, y))} a(x, z, y) dz \\ &= \left( \frac{E}{t_1 + t_2} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{2,1}^*} D(S_2 + S_1; x, y)^{-1/2} b(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $b(x, y)$  is of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} b(x, y) = & \left( a(x, z^*, y) + \left( \frac{\tau}{i\nu} \right) D(S_2 + S_1; x, y)^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_z a(x, z, y) \Big|_{z=z^*} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \tau D(S_2 + S_1; x, y)^{-1} r_1(x, y) \right\} + \left( \frac{\tau}{i\nu} \right)^2 D(S_2 + S_1; x, y)^{-2} r_2(x, y) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_z$  is the laplacian with respect to  $z$ . For each  $m \geq 0$  there exist  $K(m)$  and  $C_m$  such that for any  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with  $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq m$

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta r_1(x, y)| + |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta r_2(x, y)| \leq C_m \max \sup |\partial_x^{\alpha'} \partial_y^{\beta'} \partial_z^{\gamma'} a(x, z, y)|,$$

where max is taken for such  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta'$  and  $\gamma'$  as  $\alpha' \leqq \alpha$ ,  $\beta' \leqq \beta$ ,  $\gamma' \leqq K(m)$ .  $K(m)$  can be chosen as  $2m + 4 + 2$ .

*Proof.* We have only to apply stationary phase method (cf. Theorem 4.1 of [1]).

Let  $S_j(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1})$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ , be the phase functions as in Lemma 3.1. We employ the notation  $S_{m, k+1}^*(x_m, x_k)$  of (2.35) in § 2 if  $m > k$ .

**LEMMA 3.6.** *There exists a positive constant  $\delta_2 = \delta_2(\{\kappa_m\})$  such that if  $T_k = t_k + t_{k+1} + \dots + t_1 < \delta_2$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{E}{t_k} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_k(t_k, x_k, x_{k-1})} &= \left( \frac{E}{T_k} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{-E}{T_{k-1}} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_{k, 1}^*(x_k, y_{k-1}) - S_{k-1, 1}^*(x_{k-1}, y_{k-1}))} b_k(x_k, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1}) dy_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the function  $b_k(x_k, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1})$  satisfies the following estimate: For any  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$ , there is a constant  $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  such that

$$|\partial_{x_k}^\alpha \partial_{y_{k-1}}^\beta \partial_{x_{k-1}}^\gamma b(x_k, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1})| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\delta_2$  be so small that  $8\delta_2^2 \kappa_2 < 1$ ,  $8\delta_2^2 \kappa_2^* < 1$ ,  $\delta_2 < \delta_1(\{\kappa_m\})$  and  $\delta_2 < \delta_1(\{\kappa_2^*\})$ . Assume  $T_k < \delta_2$ . Then  $8(T_{k-1} + t_k)^2 \kappa_2 < 1$  and  $8(T_{k-1} + t_k)^2 \kappa_2^* < 1$ . So we apply Lemma 3.5 to the kernel function of the operator

$$\text{Op}(t_k, S_k, 1, \nu) \text{Op}(T_{k-1}, S_{k-1, 1}^*, 1, \nu).$$

We obtain

$$\text{Op}(t_k, S_k, 1, \nu) \text{Op}(T_{k-1}, S_{k-1, 1}^*, 1, \nu) = \text{Op}(T_k, S_{k, 1}^*, 1 + \tau_k p_k, \nu),$$

where  $\tau_k = t_k T_{k-1}(t_k + T_{k-1})^{-1}$  and  $p_k = p_k(x_k, x_0) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ . Since  $T_k < \delta_1(\{\kappa_m\})$ , we can apply Lemma 3.4 to  $\text{Op}(T_{k-1}, S_{k-1, 1}^*, 1, \nu)$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}(t_k, S_k, 1, \nu) &= \text{Op}(T_k, S_{k, 1}^*, 1 + \tau_k p_k, \nu) \text{Op}(T_{k-1}, S_{k-1, 1}^*, 1, \nu)^{-1} \\ &= \text{Op}(T_k, S_{k, 1}^*, 1 + \tau_k p_k, \nu) \text{Op}(T_{k-1}, S_{k-1, 1}^*, 1 + T_{k-1} q_k, \nu)^*. \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{E}{t_k}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_k(t_k, x_k, x_{k-1})} &= \left(\frac{E}{T_k}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{-E}{T_{k-1}}\right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_{k,1}^*(x_k, y_{k-1}) - S_{k-1,1}^*(x_{k-1}, y_{k-1}))} b_k(x_k, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1}) dy_{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$b_k(x_k, y_{k-1}, x_{k-1}) = (1 + \tau_k p_k(x_k, y_{k-1}))(1 + T_{k-1} q_k(x_{k-1}, y_{k-1})).$$

Lemma 3.6 is proved.

We can now prove Lemma 3.1. The proof is a modification of the discussion in [6], [7], [8] and [4]. Let  $\delta$  be so small that  $\delta < \delta_1(\{\kappa_m\})$  and  $\delta < \delta_2(\{\kappa_m\})$  and  $8\delta^2\kappa_2 < 1$ . Assume that  $T_L < \delta$ . Using the function  $I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0)$ , we define integral transform

$$\text{Op}(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)f(x_L) = \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}} I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0)f(x_0)dx_0.$$

Since  $8T_L^2\kappa_2 < 1$ ,  $\text{Op}(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)$  is a bounded operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . (cf. [1]).

Since  $T_L < \delta_2(\{\kappa_m\})$ , we can apply Lemma 3.6 to  $e^{-i\nu S_k(t, x_k, x_{k-1})}$  for any  $k = 2, 3, \dots, L$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)f(x_L) &= \prod_{k=1}^L \left(\frac{E}{t_k}\right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}^L} e^{-i\nu(\sum S_j(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1}))} a(x_L, \dots, x_0)f(x_0) \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} dx_j \\ &= \left(\frac{E}{t_1}\right)^{1/2} \prod_{j=2}^L \left(\frac{E}{T_j}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{-E}{T_{j-1}}\right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}^{2(L-1)+1}} e^{-i\nu\Phi(x_L, y_{L-1}, x_{L-1}, \dots, y_1, x_1, x_0)} \\ &\quad \times a(x_L, \dots, x_0) \prod_{j=2}^L b_j(x_j, y_{j-1}, x_{j-1})f(x_0) dx_0 \prod_{k=1}^{L-1} dx_j dy_j, \end{aligned}$$

where the phase function  $\Phi$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x_L, y_{L-1}, x_{L-1}, \dots, y_1, x_1, x_0) &= \sum_{j=2}^L \{S_{j,1}^*(x_j, y_{j-1}) - S_{j-1,1}^*(x_{j-1}, y_{j-1})\} + S_1(t_1, x_1, x_0) \\ &= S_{L,1}^*(x_L, y_{L-1}) + \sum_{j=2}^{L-1} \{S_{j,1}^*(x_j, y_{j-1}) - S_{j,1}^*(x_j, y_j)\} \\ &\quad + S_1(t_1, x_1, x_0) - S_1(t_1, x_1, y_1). \end{aligned}$$

We next employ Kuranishi's technique. We rewrite

$$S_{j,1}^*(x_j, y_{j-1}) - S_{j,1}^*(x_j, y_j) = \frac{y_{j-1} - y_j}{T_j} \xi_j,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_j &= T_j \int_0^1 \partial_y S_{j,1}^*(x_j, sy_{j-1} + (1-s)y_j) ds \\ &= -x_j + \frac{1}{2}(y_{j-1} + y_j) + T_j \int_0^1 \partial_y \omega_{j,1}^*(x_j, sy_{j-1} + (1-s)y_j) ds.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the jacobian of the correspondence  $x_j \rightarrow \xi_j$  is

$$\frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial x_j} = -I + T_j p(y_j, x_j, y_{j-1}),$$

where

$$p_j(y_j, x_j, y_{j-1}) = \int_0^1 \partial_{x_j} \partial_y \omega_{j,1}^*(x_j, sy_{j-1} + (1-s)y_j) ds$$

satisfies the estimate: For any  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$ , with  $|\alpha|, |\beta|$  and  $|\gamma| < m$ ,

$$|\partial_{y_j}^\alpha \partial_{x_j}^\beta \partial_{y_{j-1}}^\gamma p(y_j, x_j, y_{j-1})| \leq \kappa_{m+2}^*.$$

Since  $8T_j^2 \kappa_2^* < 1$ , we have  $|\partial \xi_j / \partial x_j| < 2^{-1}$ . The correspondence  $\mathbb{R} \ni x_j \rightarrow \xi_j \in \mathbb{R}$  is one to one and onto. We may consider  $x_j$  as a function  $x_j(y_j, \xi_j, y_{j-1})$ .

This diffeomorphism has the following property: Let  $f(y_j, x_j, y_{j-1})$  be an arbitrary function in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ . Then for any multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  there exists a positive constant  $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  such that

$$|\partial_{y_j}^\alpha \partial_{\xi_j}^\beta \partial_{y_{j-1}}^\gamma f(y_j, x_j, \xi_j, y_{j-1}, y_{j-1})| \leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \max \sup_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} |\partial_{y_j}^{\alpha'} \partial_{x_j}^{\beta'} \partial_{y_{j-1}}^{\gamma'} f(y_j, x_j, y_{j-1})|,$$

where maximum is taken with respect to those multi-indices  $\alpha' \leqq \alpha, \beta' \leqq \beta$  and  $\gamma' \leqq \gamma$ .

Let  $\eta_j = T_j^{-1} \xi_j(y_j, x_j, y_{j-1})$ . Then for any  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  there exists a constant  $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  independent of  $\{t_j\}_j$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}&|\partial_{y_j}^\alpha \partial_{\eta_j}^\beta \partial_{y_{j-1}}^\gamma f(y_j, x_j, \eta_j, T_j \eta_j, y_{j-1}, y_{j-1})| \\ &\leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} T_j^{|\beta|} \max \sup_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} |\partial_{y_j}^{\alpha'} \partial_{x_j}^{\beta'} \partial_{y_{j-1}}^{\gamma'} f(y_j, x_j, y_{j-1})|,\end{aligned}$$

where max is taken with respect to multi-indices with  $\alpha' \leqq \alpha, \beta' \leqq \beta$  and  $\gamma' \leqq \gamma$ .

Similarly, we make change of variables from  $x_1$  to  $\eta_1$ .

$$S_1(t_1, x_1, x_0) - S_1(t_1, x_1, y_1) = \eta_1(x_0 - y_1),$$

here

$$\eta_1 = \frac{-1}{t_1} \left( \left( x_1 - \frac{1}{2} (x_0 + y_1) \right) + \int_0^1 \partial_{x_0} \omega_1(t_1, x_1, sx_0 + (1-s)y_1) ds \right).$$

After these change of variables the phase function becomes

$$\tilde{\varPhi} = S_{L,1}^*(x_L, y_{L-1}) + \sum_{j=2}^{L-1} \eta_j (y_{j-1} - y_j) + \eta_1 (x_0 - y_1),$$

where  $y_L = x_L$  and  $y_0 = x_0$ . Therefore we have

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Op}(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)f(y_L) = \left( \frac{E}{T_L} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu S_{L,1}^*(y_L, y_{L-1})} J(f)(y_L, y_{L-1}) dy_{L-1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J(f)(y_L, y_{L-1}) &= \left( \frac{\nu}{2\pi} \right)^{L-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2(L-1)}} \exp \left\{ -i\nu \left( \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} (y_j - y_{j-1}) \eta_j \right) \right\} \\ &\quad \times a_1(y_L, y_{L-1}, \eta_{L-1}, \dots, y_1, \eta_1, y_0) f(y_0) \prod_{j=1}^{L-1} dy_{j-1} d\eta_j \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} a_1(y_L, y_{L-1}, \eta_{L-1}, \dots, y_1, \eta_1, y_0) \\ = a(x_L, \dots, x_0) \prod_{k=2}^L b_k(x_k, y_k, x_{k-1}) \prod_{j=1}^{L-1} \left| \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_j} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We consider  $y_L$  as a parameter and apply Lemma 3.2 to  $J(f)(y_L, y_{L-1})$ . Then we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad J(f)(y_L, y_{L-1}) = \left( \frac{\nu}{2\pi} \right) \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i\nu(y_{L-1} - y_0)\eta} U(a_1)(y_L, y_{L-1}, \eta, y_0) f(y_0) dy_0 d\eta.$$

For any multi-indices  $\alpha_L, \alpha_{L-1}, \alpha_0$  and  $\beta$  with  $|\alpha_L|, |\alpha_{L-1}|, |\alpha_0|, |\beta| \leq m_1$ , we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial_{y_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{y_{L-1}}^{\alpha_{L-1}} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} \partial_{y_0}^{\alpha_0} U(a_1)(y_L, y_{L-1}, \eta, y_0)| \\ & \leq C_1 C_2^{L-1} \max \sup \left| \partial_{y_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{y_{L-1}}^{\alpha_{L-1}} \prod_{k=2}^{L-1} (\partial_{y_{k-1}}^{\alpha'_k} \partial_{\eta_k}^{\beta'_k}) \partial_{\eta_1}^{\beta'_1} \partial_{y_0}^{\alpha'_0} a_1(y_L, y_{L-1}, \eta_{L-1}, \dots, y_0) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are positive constants depending on  $m_1$ , max is taken with respect to multi-indices with  $|\alpha_{L-1}|, |\alpha'_k|, |\beta'_k| \leq K(m_1) \leq 2m_1 + 3$  and sup is taken with respect to  $y_j \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\eta_j \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, L-1$ . Since relationship  $a_1(x_L, \dots, x_0)$  with  $a(x_L, \dots, x_0)$  is given by (3.2), we have

$$(3.4) \quad |\partial_{y_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{y_{L-1}}^{\alpha_{L-1}} \partial_\eta^\beta \partial_{y_0}^{\alpha_0} U(a_1)(y_L, y_{L-1}, \eta, y_0)| \\ \leq C_3 C_3^{L-1} \max_{x_{L-1}, \dots, x_1} \sup_{x_L, x_{L-1}, \dots, x_1} |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha'_L} \partial_{x_{L-1}}^{\alpha'_{L-1}} \dots \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha'_0} a(x_L, x_{L-1}, \dots, x_0)|,$$

where  $C_3$  is another constant and max is taken with respect to multi-indices satisfying  $|\alpha'_L|, |\alpha'_{L-1}|, \dots, |\alpha'_0| \leq 2m_1 + 3$ .

We replace  $J(f)(y_L, y_{L-1})$  in (3.1) by the right hand side of (3.3). Then we have the expression

$$(3.5) \quad \text{Op}(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)f(x_L) = \left( \frac{E}{T_L} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{\nu}{2\pi} \right) \\ \times \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-i\nu(S_{L,1}^{\#}(x_L, y_{L-1}) + (y_{L-1} - y_0)\eta)} U(a_1)(x_L, y_{L-1}, \eta, y_0) f(y_0) dy_0 d\eta dy_{L-1}.$$

Using stationary phase method with respect to  $y_{L-1}$  and  $\eta$ , we can find  $b(x_L, x_0)$  such that

$$\text{Op}(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)f(x_L) = \left( \frac{E}{T_L} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu S_{L,1}^{\#}(x_L, x_0)} b(x_L, x_0) f(x_0) dx_0.$$

This means that

$$(3.6) \quad I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0) = \left( \frac{E}{T_L} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{L,1}^{\#}(x_L, x_0)} b(x_L, x_0).$$

Here  $b$  satisfies the following estimate: For any  $m \geq 0$  there exists a positive constant  $C(m)$  such that if  $|\alpha_L|, |\alpha_0| \leq m$

$$(3.7) \quad |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} b(x_L, x_0)| \leq C(m) \max_{y_{L-1}, \eta} |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha'_L} \partial_{y_{L-1}}^{\alpha'_{L-1}} \partial_\eta^{\beta'} \partial_{y_0}^{\alpha_0} U(a_1)(x_L, y_{L-1}, \eta, y_0)|,$$

where max is taken with respect to multi-indices satisfying  $\alpha'_L \leq \alpha_L$ ,  $\alpha'_0 \leq \alpha_0$  and  $|\alpha'_{L-1}|, |\beta'| < 2m + 10$ . Combining (3.7) with (3.4), we have

$$(3.8) \quad |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} b(x_L, x_0)| \leq C_4 C_5^{L-1} \max_{x_{L-1}, \dots, x_1} \sup_{x_L, x_{L-1}, \dots, x_1} |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha'_L} \partial_{x_{L-1}}^{\alpha'_{L-1}} \dots \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha'_0} a(x_L, x_{L-1}, \dots, x_0)|,$$

where  $C_4, C_5$  are positive constants depending on  $m$  and max is taken with respect to multi-indices satisfying  $|\alpha''_L|, |\alpha''_{L-1}|, \dots, |\alpha''_0| \leq 2(2m + 10) + 3 = 4m + 23$ . This together with (3.6) above proves Lemma 3.1 with  $K(m) = 4m + 23$ .

#### § 4. Proof of Theorem 1

For any  $k > j$ , we denote  $t_k + \dots + t_j$  by  $T(k, j)$ . Let  $\delta$  be as in Lemma 3.1. We have to treat the oscillatory integral

$$(4.1) \quad I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0) = \prod_{j=1}^L \left( \frac{E}{t_j} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_{R^{(L-1)}} \exp \left\{ - i\nu \sum_{j=1}^L S_j(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1}) \right\} a(x_L, \dots, x_0) \prod_{j=1}^{L-1} dx_j,$$

when  $T_L < \delta$ .

First we perform integration over  $x_1$  space. Using stationary phase method, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{E}{t_2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{t_1} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_R e^{-i\nu(S_2(t_2, x_2, x_1) + S_1(t_1, x_1, x_0))} a(x_L, \dots, x_0) dx_1 \\ &= \left( \frac{E}{T(2, 1)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{2,1}^\#(x_2, x_0)} ((S_1 a)(x_L, \dots, x_2, x_0) + (R_1 a)(x_L, \dots, x_2, x_0)). \end{aligned}$$

The amplitude of the main term of the right hand side equals

$$(4.3) \quad (S_1 a)(x_L, \dots, x_2, x_0) = a(x_L, \dots, \overline{x_2, x_0}) D(S_2 + S_1; x_2, x_0)^{-1/2},$$

and  $R_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_2, x_0)$  is the remainder term.

Similarly, integrating  $S_1 a$  over  $x_2$  space and applying the stationary phase method, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{E}{t_3} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{T(2, 1)} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_R e^{-i\nu(S_3(t_3, x_3, x_2) + S_{2,1}^\#(x_2, x_0))} S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_2, x_0) dx_2 \\ &= \left( \frac{E}{T(3, 1)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{3,1}^\#(x_3, x_0)} (S_2 S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_3, x_0) + R_2 S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_3, x_0)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_2 S_1 a$  is the main term and  $R_2 S_1 a$  is the remainder term. We have

$$(4.4) \quad S_2 S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_0) = D(S_3 + S_{2,1}^\#; x_3, x_0)^{-1/2} (S_1 a)(x_L, \dots, x_3, x_2^*, x_1),$$

where  $x_2^*$  is the critical point of  $S_3 + S_{2,1}^\#$  with respect to  $x_2$ .

When we integrate the term including  $S_2 S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_3, x_0)$  over  $x_3$  space, we use the stationary phase method:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{E}{t_4} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{T(3, 1)} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_R e^{-i\nu(S_4(t_4, x_4, x_3) + S_{3,1}^\#(x_3, x_0))} S_2 S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_3, x_0) dx_3 \\ &= \left( \frac{E}{T(4, 1)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{4,1}^\#(x_4, x_0)} (S_3 S_2 S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_4, x_0) + R_3 S_2 S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_4, x_0)). \end{aligned}$$

$S_3 S_2 S_1 a$  is the main term and  $R_3 S_2 S_1 a$  is the remainder.

Repeating this process  $L - 1$  times, finally we obtain, among other terms,

$$\left(\frac{E}{T_L}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{L,1}^\#(x_L, x_0)} S_{L-1} S_{L-2} \cdots S_1(a)(x_L, x_0).$$

Since we have

$$S_{L-1} S_{L-2} \cdots S_1 a(x_L, x_0) = \prod_{k=2}^L D(S_k + S_{k-1,1}^\#; x_k, x_0)^{-1/2} a(x)|_{x_{L-1}^*, \dots, x_1^*},$$

Proposition 2.8 yields that

$$S_{L-1} S_{L-2} \cdots S_1 a(x_L, x_0) = D(x_L, x_0)^{-1/2} a(\overline{x_L, x_0}).$$

Here  $D(x_L, x_0) = (t_1 t_2 \cdots t_L / (t_1 + \cdots + t_L)) \det \text{Hess}_{x^*} (S_L + \cdots + S_1)$  as in § 2. Therefore,

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{E}{T_L}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{L,1}^\#(x_L, x_0)} S_{L-1} S_{L-2} \cdots S_1(a)(x_L, x_0) \\ &= \left(\frac{E}{T_L}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{L,1}^\#(x_L, x_0)} D(x_L, x_0)^{-1/2} a(\overline{x_L, x_0}). \end{aligned}$$

This is nothing but the main term of Theorem 1. The remainder term consists of others.

Now we treat the remainder term. Since  $(R_1 a)(x_L, \dots, x_2, x_0)$  has complicated structure as a function of  $x_2$ , we postpone integration over  $x_2$  space of the term including  $(R_1 a)(x_L, \dots, x_2, x_0)$  until later stage of the proof. We do perform integration over  $x_3$  space beforehand, because the structure of  $R_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_3, x_2, x_0)$  as a function of  $x_3$  is much simpler. The stationary phase method gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{E}{t_4}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{E}{t_3}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{E}{T(2, 1)}\right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_4(t_4, x_4, x_3) + S_3(t_3, x_3, x_2) + S_{2,1}^\#(x_2, x_0))} R_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_2, x_0) dx_3 \\ &= \left(\frac{E}{T(4, 3)}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{E}{T(2, 1)}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu(S_{4,3}^\#(x_4, x_2) + S_{2,1}^\#(x_2, x_0))} \\ & \quad \times (S_3 R_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_4, x_2, x_0) + R_3 R_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_4, x_2, x_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Again  $S_3 R_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_4, x_2, x_0) = (R_1 a)(x_L, \dots, \overline{x_4, x_2}, x_0) D(S_4 + S_3; x_4, x_2)^{-1/2}$  is the main term and  $R_3 R_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_4, x_2, x_0)$  is the remainder.

We skip integration over  $x_3$  space of the term including  $R_2 S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_3, x_1)$ , because this is complicated as a function of  $x_3$ . By virtue of the stationary phase method,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \frac{E}{t_5} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{t_4} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{T(3, 1)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{3,1}^\#(x_3, x_0)} \\
& \quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_5(t_5, x_5, x_4) + S_4(t_4, x_4, x_3))} R_2 S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_4, x_3, x_0) dx_4 \\
& = \left( \frac{E}{T(5, 4)} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{T(3, 1)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu(S_{5,3}^\#(x_5, x_3) + S_{3,1}^\#(x_3, x_0))} \\
& \quad \times (S_4 R_2 S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_5, x_3, x_0) + R_4 R_2 S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_5, x_3, x_0)).
\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$S_4 R_2 S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_5, x_3, x_0) = (R_2 S_1 a)(x_L, \dots, \overline{x_5, x_3}, x_0) D(S_5 + S_4; x_5, x_3)^{-1/2}$$

is the main term and  $R_4 R_2 S_1 a$  is the remainder.

Similarly, we perform integration over  $x_4$  space of the term including  $S_3 R_1 a$ . But we skip integration over  $x_4$  space of the term including  $R_3 R_1 a$ .

We continue this process; the rule is that if  $R_k$  appears we skip integration over  $x_{k+1}$  space. Then, finally, we get the expression

$$(4.6) \quad I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0) = A_0(x_L, x_0) + \sum' A_{j_s j_{s-1} j_{s-2} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0).$$

Here the main term is

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.7) \quad A_0(x_L, x_0) &= \left( \frac{E}{T_L} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{L,1}^\#(x_L, x_0)} S_{L-1} S_{L-2} \dots S_1 a(x_L, x_0) \\
&= \left( \frac{E}{T_L} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{L,1}^\#(x_L, x_0)} D(x_L, x_0)^{-1/2} a(\overline{x_L, x_0}).
\end{aligned}$$

$\sum'$  stands for the summation with respect to sequence of integers  $(j_s, j_{s-1}, \dots, j_1)$  with the property

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= j_0 < j_1 - 1 < j_1 < j_2 - 1 < j_2 < j_3 - 1 < \dots < j_{s-1} < j_s - 1 < j_s \\
&\leq L - 1 < j_{s+1} = L.
\end{aligned}$$

The summand is

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.8) \quad A_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0) &= \prod_{m=1}^s \left( \frac{E}{T(j_m, j_{m-1} + 1)} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \exp\{-i\nu S_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}^\#(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0)\} \\
&\quad \times b_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) \prod_{u=1}^s dx_{j_u}.
\end{aligned}$$

The amplitude function of this is

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} b_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_{j_s}, x_{j_{s-1}}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) \\ = (Q_{L-1} Q_{L-2} \dots Q_1 a)(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\begin{aligned} Q_j &= \text{Id}, & \text{if } j = j_s, j_{s-1}, \dots, j_1; \\ &= R_j, & \text{if } j = j_s - 1, j_{s-1} - 1, \dots, j_1 - 1; \\ &= S_j, & \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$

The phase function is

$$(4.10) \quad S_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}^*(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) = \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} S_{j_k, j_{k-1}+1}^*(x_{j_k}, x_{j_{k-1}}).$$

We can apply Lemma 3.1 to  $A_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}$  and obtain

$$(4.11) \quad A_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0) = \left( \frac{E}{T_L} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{L,1}^*(x_L, x_0)} a_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0).$$

For any  $m \geq 0$  Lemma 3.1 gives positive constants  $C_m$  and  $K(m)$  such that if  $|\alpha_L|$  and  $|\alpha_0| \leq m$

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} a_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0)| \\ & \leq C_m^s \max_{x_{j_u}} \sup_{\alpha_{j_k}} |\partial_{x_L}^{\beta_L} \partial_{x_{j_s}}^{\beta_{j_s}} \dots \partial_{x_{j_1}}^{\beta_{j_1}} \partial_{x_0}^{\beta_0} b_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0)|. \end{aligned}$$

Here max is taken over those indices  $\beta$ 's which satisfy  $\beta_L \leq \alpha_L$ ,  $|\beta_0| \leq m$ ,  $|\beta_{j_k}| \leq K(m)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and sup is taken with respect to  $x_{j_u} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u = 1, \dots, s$ . This implies that

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0) \\ & = \left( \frac{E}{T_L} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{L,1}^*(x_L, x_0)} (D(x_L, x_0)^{-1/2} (a(x_L, x_0) + r(x_L, x_0))), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.14) \quad r(x_L, x_0) = D(x_L, x_0)^{1/2} \sum' a_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0).$$

Therefore, we have only to obtain estimate of  $\sum' a_{j_s \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0)$  for the proof of Theorem 1.

In order to prove the estimate of  $a_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0)$  we can use estimate of  $b_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}$ , because (4.12) holds.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Assume (H.1) for the phase function and (H.2) for the amplitude function. Let  $\delta$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Then for any  $m \geq 0$  there exist a constant  $C_{m,1}$  and an integer  $M(m)$  such that for any  $\alpha_0, \alpha_L, \alpha_{j_k}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq s$ , with  $|\alpha_{j_k}| \leq m$ ,  $|\alpha_L| \leq m$ ,  $|\alpha_0| \leq m$ ,*

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \prod_{k=1}^s \partial_{x_k}^{\alpha_{j_k}} b_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_{j_s}, x_{j_{s-1}}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) \right| \\ & \leq C_{m,1}^s \left( \prod_{r=1}^s \nu^{-1} t_{j_r} \right) \|a\|_{M(m), \{j_u\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|a\|_{M(m), \{j_u\}} = \text{Max sup} \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \prod_{k=1}^s \partial_{x_k}^{\beta_{j_k}} \partial_{x_{j_k-1}}^{\beta_{j_{k-1}}} a(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{j_s}}, \overline{x_{j_{s-1}}}, \overline{x_{j_{s-2}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{j_1}}, \overline{x_0}) \right|,$$

where Max is taken over indices satisfying  $|\beta_{j_k}|, |\beta_{j_{k-1}}| \leq M(m)$  and sup is taken for  $x_{j_{u-1}} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u = 1, \dots, s$ . We can choose  $M(m) = 2m + 4 + 2$ .

We assume Lemma 4.1 for the time being. Then we can prove Theorem 1. In fact, combining (4.7) and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$|\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} a_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0)| \leq C_m^s C_{m',1}^s \left( \prod_{r=1}^s \nu^{-1} t_{j_r} \right) \|a\|_{M(m'), \{j_u\}},$$

where  $m' = K(m)$ . On the other hand (H.2) implies that

$$\|a\|_{M(m'), \{j_u\}} \leq A_{M(m')} X_{M(m')}^s.$$

Therefore, we obtain from (4.14) that

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} r(x_L, x_0)| & \leq \left| \left( \sum' C_m^s C_{m',1}^s X_{M(m')}^s \prod_{r=1}^s (\nu^{-1} t_{j_r}) \right) \right| A_{M(m')} \\ & \leq \left[ \prod_{j=1}^L (1 + C_m C_{m',1} X_{M(m')} \nu^{-1} t_j) - 1 \right] A_{M(m')}. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved our Theorem 1 up to the proof of Lemma 4.1. (Since we can choose  $m' = K(m) = 10m + 10 + 20$ , we choose  $M(m') = 50(m + 1 + 1)$ ).

Lemma 4.1 follows immediately from the next

**LEMMA 4.2.** *We assume (H.1) for the phase function. Let  $a(x_L, x_{L-1}, \dots, x_1, x_0)$  be a function of  $L + 1$  variables satisfying assumption (H.2). Then for any sequence of integers  $0 = k_0 < k_1 - 1 < k_1 < k_2 - 1 < \dots < k_r - 1 < k_r < k_{r+1} = L$  we introduce the function*

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & p_{k_r k_{r-1} \dots k_1}(x_L, x_{L-1}, \dots, x_{k_r+1}, x_{k_r}, x_{k_{r-1}}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \\ & = (Q_{k_r} Q_{k_{r-1}} \dots Q_1 a)(x_L, \dots, x_{k_r+1}, x_{k_r}, x_{k_{r-1}}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_j = \text{Id}$  for  $j = k_r, k_{r-1}, \dots, k_1$ ,  
 $= R_j$  for  $j = k_r - 1, k_{r-1} - 1, \dots, k_1 - 1$ ,  
 $= S_j$  otherwise.

This function enjoys the following estimate: For any  $m \geq 0$ , there exist constants  $C_{m,2}$  and  $M(m)$  such that if  $|\alpha_L|, |\alpha_0|$  and  $|\alpha_{k_j}| \leq m$ , ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ),

$$(4.18) \quad \left| \left( \prod_{j=0}^{r+1} \partial_{x_{k_j}}^{\alpha_{k_j}} \right) p_{k_r k_{r-1} \dots k_1} (\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{k_r}}, \overline{x_{k_{r-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{k_1}}, \overline{x_0}) \right| \\ \leq C_{m,2}^r \prod_{j=1}^r \left( \frac{t_{k_j} T(k_j - 1, k_{j-1} + 1)}{\nu T(k_j, k_{j-1} + 1)} \right) \\ \times \max \sup \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\beta_0} \left( \prod_{j=1}^r \partial_{x_{k_j}}^{\beta_{k_j}} \partial_{x_{k_{j-1}}}^{\beta_{k_{j-1}}} \right) a(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{k_r}}, \overline{x_{k_{r-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{k_1}}, \overline{x_0}) \right|,$$

where max is taken over those indices  $\beta_{k_j} \leq \alpha_{k_j}$ ,  $|\beta_{k_j}| \leq M(m)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, r$  and sup is taken with respect to  $x_{k_{j-1}} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . Moreover, for any integers  $l_1, \dots, l_q$  with  $k_r < l_1 - 1 < l_1 < l_2 - 1 < \dots < l_q \leq L - 1$ , for arbitrary multi-indices  $\alpha_{l_u}, \alpha_{l_{u-1}}$  ( $1 \leq u \leq q$ ) and for multi-indices  $\alpha_{k_j}$  with  $|\alpha_{k_j}| \leq m$  ( $0 \leq j \leq r + 1$ ), we have

$$(4.19) \quad \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \prod_{u=1}^q (\partial_{x_{l_u}}^{\alpha_{l_u}} \partial_{x_{l_{u-1}}}^{\alpha_{l_{u-1}}}) \prod_{j=1}^r (\partial_{x_{k_j}}^{\alpha_{k_j}}) \right. \\ \times p_{k_r k_{r-1} \dots k_1} (\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{l_q}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{l_1-1}}, \overline{x_{k_r}}, \overline{x_{k_{r-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_0}) \Big| \\ \leq C_{m,2}^r \prod_{u=1}^r \left( \frac{t_{k_u} T(k_u - 1, k_{u-1} + 1)}{\nu T(k_u, k_{u-1} + 1)} \right) \\ \times \max \sup \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\beta_0} \prod_{u=1}^q (\partial_{x_{l_u}}^{\alpha_{l_u}} \partial_{x_{l_{u-1}}}^{\alpha_{l_{u-1}}}) \prod_{u=1}^r (\partial_{x_{k_u}}^{\beta_{k_u}} \partial_{x_{k_{u-1}}}^{\beta_{k_{u-1}}}) \right. \\ \times a(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{l_q}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{l_1-1}}, \overline{x_{k_r}}, \dots, \overline{x_{k_1-1}}, \overline{x_0}) \Big|,$$

where max is taken over those indices which satisfy,  $\beta_{k_u} \leq \alpha_{k_u}$ ,  $|\beta_{k_u-1}| \leq M(m)$ , ( $u = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ) and  $\beta_0 \leq \alpha_0$ ; sup is taken with respect to  $x_{k_{u-1}} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u = 1, \dots, r$ . Constants  $C_{m,2}$  and  $M(m)$  depend only on  $m$ . We can choose  $M(m) = 2m + 4 + 2$ .

*Proof.* We prove by induction on  $r$ . The case of  $r = 1$ . We abbreviate  $k_1$  as  $k$ .

If  $k \geq 3$ , then  $p_k(x_L, \dots, x_{k+1}, x_k, x_0) = R_{k-1} S_{k-2}, \dots, S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_k, x_0)$ .

If  $k = 2$ , then  $p_k(x_L, \dots, x_{k+1}, x_k, x_0) = R_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_2, x_0)$ .

We set

$$(4.20) \quad q(x_L, \dots, x_k, x_{k-1}, x_0) = S_{k-2}, \dots, S_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_k, x_{k-1}, x_0), \text{ if } k \geq 3, \\ = a(x_L, \dots, x_2, x_1, x_0), \text{ if } k = 2.$$

Let  $S_{1,1}^*(x_1, x_0) = S_1(t_1, x_1, x_0)$ . Then  $p_k$  is defined by the equality:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \frac{E}{t_k} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{T(k-1, 1)} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_k(t_k, x_k, x_{k-1}) + S_{k-1, 1}^{\#}(x_{k-1}, x_0))} \\
& \quad \times q(x_L, \dots, x_k, x_{k-1}, x_0) dx_{k-1} \\
& = \left( \frac{E}{T(k, 1)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{k, 1}^{\#}(x_k, x_0)} \\
& \quad \times [D(x_k, x_0)^{-1/2} q(x_L, \dots, \overline{x_k, x_0}) + p_k(x_L, \dots, x_{k+1}, x_k, x_0)].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.21) \quad & \left( \frac{E}{t_k} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{T(k-1, 1)} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_k(t_k, x_k, x_{k-1}) + S_{k-1, 1}^{\#}(x_{k-1}, x_0))} \\
& \quad \times q(\overline{x_L, x_k, x_{k-1}, x_0}) dx_{k-1} \\
& = \left( \frac{E}{T(k, 1)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{k, 1}^{\#}(x_k, x_0)} (D(x_k, x_0)^{-1/2} q(\overline{x_L, x_k, x_0}) + p_k(\overline{x_L, x_k, x_0})).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $k < l_1 - 1 < l_1 < l_2 - 1 < \dots < l_q \leq L - 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.22) \quad & \left( \frac{E}{t_k} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{T(k-1, 1)} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{\int}_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_k(t_k, x_k, x_{k-1}) + S_{k-1, 1}^{\#}(x_{k-1}, x_0))} \\
& \quad \times q(\overline{x_L, x_{l_q}, x_{l_q-1}, x_{l_q-2}, \dots, x_{l_1-1}, x_k, x_{k-1}, x_0}) dx_{k-1} \\
& = \left( \frac{E}{T(k, 1)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{k, 1}^{\#}(x_k, x_0)} \\
& \quad \times (D(x_k, x_0)^{-1/2} q(\overline{x_L, x_{l_q}, x_{l_q-1}, x_{l_q-2}, \dots, x_{l_1-1}, x_k, x_0}) \\
& \quad + p_k(\overline{x_L, x_{l_q}, x_{l_q-1}, x_{l_q-2}, \dots, x_{l_1-1}, x_k, x_0})).
\end{aligned}$$

We prove (4.18) for  $r = 1$ . Differentiating both sides of (4.21) with respect to  $x_L$  and applying the stationary phase method Lemma 3.5 to (4.21), we obtain the estimate for  $p_k$ : For any  $m$  there exists  $C_{m, 0}$  such that if  $|\alpha_k|, |\alpha_0| \leq m$  and  $\alpha_L$  is arbitrary,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.23) \quad & |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_k}^{\alpha_k} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} p_k(x_L, x_k, x_0)| \leq C_{m, 0} \frac{t_k T(k-1, 1)}{T(k, 1)\nu} \\
& \quad \times \max_{x_{k-1}} |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_k}^{\beta_k} \partial_{x_{k-1}}^{\beta_{k-1}} \partial_{x_0}^{\beta_0} q(\overline{x_L, x_k, x_{k-1}, x_0})|,
\end{aligned}$$

here max is taken over those indices for which  $\beta_k \leq \alpha_k$ ,  $|\beta_{k-1}| \leq 2m + 4 + 2$  and  $\beta_0 \leq \alpha_0$ . Since (4.20) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.24) \quad & q(x_L, \dots, x_k, x_{k-1}, x_0) = D(x_{k-1}, x_0)^{-1/2} a(x_L, \dots, x_k, \overline{x_{k-1}, x_0}) \quad \text{if } k \geq 3 \\
& = a(x_L, \dots, x_2, x_1, x_0) \quad \text{if } k = 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Leibnitz' rule gives

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_k}^{\alpha_k} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} p_k(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_k}, x_0)| \\ & \leq C_{m,0} C_{m,3} \left( \frac{t_k T(k-1, 1)}{\nu T(k, 1)} \right) \max_{x_{k-1}} |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_k}^{\beta_k} \partial_{x_{k-1}}^{\beta_{k-1}} \partial_{x_0}^{\beta_0} a(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_k}, \overline{x_{k-1}}, x_0)|. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $C_{m,3} = 2^{(2m+4+2)}$ , max is taken for  $\beta_k \leq \alpha_k$ ,  $|\beta_{k-1}| \leq 2m+4+2$  and  $\beta_0 \leq \alpha_0$ . Choose  $M(m)$  and  $C_{m,2}$  so that

$$(4.25) \quad M(m) = 2m+4+2 \quad \text{and} \quad C_{m,2} \geq C_{m,0} C_{m,3}.$$

Then this proves estimate (4.18) for  $r=1$ .

We prove (4.19) for  $r=1$ . Using the stationary phase method to (4.22) and using (4.24) again, we obtain the following estimate: For any  $m \geq 0$  if  $|\alpha_k|, |\alpha_0| \leq m$  and  $\alpha_{l_u}, \alpha_{l_{u-1}}, (u=1, \dots, q)$ ,  $\alpha_L$  are arbitrary multi-indices,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_k}^{\alpha_k} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \prod_{u=1}^q (\partial_{x_{l_u}}^{\alpha_{l_u}} \partial_{x_{l_{u-1}}}^{\alpha_{l_{u-1}}}) p_k(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{l_q}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{l_1}}, \overline{x_k}, x_0) \right| \\ & \leq C_{m,0} \left( \frac{t_k T(k-1, 1)}{\nu T(k, 1)} \right) \max_{x_{k-1}} \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_k}^{\beta_k} \partial_{x_{k-1}}^{\beta_{k-1}} \partial_{x_0}^{\beta_0} \prod_{u=1}^q \partial_{x_{l_u}}^{\alpha_{l_u}} \partial_{x_{l_{u-1}}}^{\alpha_{l_{u-1}}} \right. \\ & \quad \times q(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{l_q}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{l_1}}, \overline{x_k}, x_{k-1}, x_0) \Big| \\ & \leq C_{m,0} C_{m,3} \left( \frac{t_k T(k-1, 1)}{\nu T(k, 1)} \right) \max_{x_{k-1}} \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_k}^{\beta_k} \partial_{x_{k-1}}^{\beta_{k-1}} \partial_{x_0}^{\beta_0} \left( \prod_{u=1}^q \partial_{x_{l_u}}^{\alpha_{l_u}} \partial_{x_{l_{u-1}}}^{\alpha_{l_{u-1}}} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \times a(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{l_q}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{l_1}}, \overline{x_k}, \overline{x_{k-1}}, x_0) \Big|. \end{aligned}$$

Max is taken for  $\beta_k \leq \alpha_k$ ,  $\beta_0 \leq \alpha_0$  and  $|\beta_{k-1}| \leq 2m+4+2$  in the middle term. In the last term max is taken for  $\beta_k \leq \alpha_k$ ,  $\beta'_0 \leq \alpha_0$  and  $|\beta'_{k-1}| \leq 2m+4+2$ . We choose  $M(m)$  and  $C_{m,2}$  as in (4.25). Then (4.19) of the case  $r=1$  is proved.

Now assuming Lemma 4.2 for  $r$ , we prove (4.18) for  $r+1$ .

Let  $k_{r+1}$  be any integer such that  $L > k_{r+1} - 1 > k_r$  and we let

$$\begin{aligned} (4.26) \quad & p_{k_{r+1} \dots k_1}(x_L, \dots, x_{k_{r+1}+1}, x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \\ & = R_{k_{r+1}-1} \dots R_{k_r-1} \dots R_{k_1-1} \dots a(x_L, \dots, x_{k_{r+1}+1}, x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} (4.27) \quad & q(x_L, \dots, x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_{r+1}-1}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \\ & = S_{k_{r+1}-2} \dots S_{k_r+1} p_{k_r \dots k_1}(x_L, \dots, x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_{r+1}-1}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $p_{k_{r+1} \dots k_1}$  is defined by the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.28) \quad & \left( \frac{E}{t_{k_{r+1}}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{T(k_{r+1} - 1, k_r + 1)} \right)^{1/2} \\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_{k_{r+1}}(t_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_{r+1}-1}) + S_{k_{r+1}-1, k_r+1}^*(x_{k_{r+1}-1}, x_{k_r}))} \\
& \times q(x_L, \dots, x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_{r+1}-1}, x_{k_r}, x_{k_{r-1}}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) dx_{k_{r+1}-1} \\
= & \left( \frac{E}{T(k_{r+1}, k_r + 1)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{k_{r+1}, k_r+1}^*(x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_r})} \\
& \times (D(x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_r})^{-1/2} q(x_L, \dots, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, x_{k_r}, x_{k_{r-1}}, \dots, x_0) \\
& + p_{k_{r+1} \dots k_1}(x_L, \dots, x_{k_{r+1}-1}, x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_r}, x_{k_{r-1}}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.29) \quad & \left( \frac{E}{t_{k_{r+1}}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{T(k_{r+1} - 1, k_r + 1)} \right)^{1/2} \\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_{k_{r+1}}(t_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_{r+1}-1}) + S_{k_{r+1}-1, k_r+1}^*(x_{k_{r+1}-1}, x_{k_r}))} \\
& \times q(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, x_{k_{r+1}-1}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) dx_{k_{r+1}-1} \\
= & \left( \frac{E}{T(k_{r+1}, k_r + 1)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{k_{r+1}, k_r+1}^*(x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_r})} \\
& \times (D(x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_r})^{-1/2} q(\overline{x_L}, \overline{\overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}}, \overline{x_{k_r}}, x_{k_{r-1}}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \\
& + p_{k_{r+1} \dots k_1}(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, \overline{x_{k_r}}, x_{k_{r-1}}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0)).
\end{aligned}$$

Apply the stationary phase method Lemma 3.5 to (4.28). Then for any  $m \geq 0$  if  $|\alpha_{k_r}|$  and  $|\alpha_{k_{r+1}}| \leq m$ , we have with the same  $C_{m,0}$  as in (4.23)

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.30) \quad & \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}}}^{\alpha_{k_{r+1}}} \partial_{x_{k_r}}^{\alpha_{k_r}} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \left( \prod_{u=1}^{r-1} \partial_{x_{k_u}}^{\alpha_{k_u}} \right) p_{k_{r+1} \dots k_1}(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \right| \\
& < C_{m,0} \left( \frac{t_{k_{r+1}} T(k_{r+1} - 1, k_r + 1)}{\nu T(k_{r+1}, k_r + 1)} \right) \\
& \times \max_{x_{k_{r+1}-1}} \sup \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}}}^{\beta_{k_{r+1}}} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}-1}}^{\beta_{k_{r+1}-1}} \partial_{x_{k_r}}^{\beta_{k_r}} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \prod_{u=1}^{r-1} \partial_{x_{k_u}}^{\alpha_{k_u}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times q(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, x_{k_{r+1}-1}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

$\max$  is taken for  $\beta_{k_{r+1}} \leq \alpha_{k_{r+1}}$ ,  $\beta_{k_r} \leq \alpha_{k_r}$  and  $|\beta_{k_{r+1}-1}| \leq 2m + 4 + 2$ . Here  $\alpha_0, \alpha_{k_u}$  ( $u = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$ ) and  $\alpha_L$  are arbitrary multi-indices.

On the other hand, by definition (4.27) we have

$$(4.31) \quad q(x_L, \dots, x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_{r+1}-1}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \\ = D(x_{k_{r+1}-1}, x_{k_r})^{-1/2} p_{k_r \dots k_1}(x_L, \dots, x_{k_{r+1}}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}-1}}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0).$$

Therefore,

$$(4.32) \quad q(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, x_{k_{r+1}-1}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \\ = D(x_{k_{r+1}-1}, x_{k_r})^{-1/2} p_{k_r \dots k_1}(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}-1}}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0).$$

And we have

$$(4.33) \quad \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}}}^{\alpha_{k_{r+1}}} \partial_{x_{k_r}}^{\alpha_{k_r}} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \left( \prod_{u=1}^{r-1} \partial_{x_{k_u}}^{\alpha_{k_u}} \right) p_{k_{r+1} \dots k_1}(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \right| \\ \leq C_{m,0} C_{m,3} \left( \frac{t_{k_{r+1}} T(k_{r+1} - 1, k_r + 1)}{\nu T(k_{r+1}, k_r + 1)} \right) \\ \times \max_{x_{k_{r+1}-1}} \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}}}^{\beta_{k_{r+1}}} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}-1}}^{\beta'_{k_{r+1}-1}} \partial_{x_{k_r}}^{\beta'_{k_r}} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \prod_{u=1}^{r-1} \partial_{x_{k_u}}^{\alpha_{k_u}} \right. \\ \left. \times p_{k_r \dots k_1}(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}-1}}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \right|.$$

Here max is taken for multi-indices  $|\beta'_{k_{r+1}-1}| \leq 2m + 4 + 2$ , and  $\beta'_{k_r} \leq \beta_{k_r}$ . Now we restrict to the case  $|\alpha_{k_j}| \leq m$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . We can apply induction hypothesis (4.19) for  $r$  with  $q = 1$ ,  $l_1 = k_{r+1}$ ,  $\alpha_{l_1} = \beta_{k_{r+1}}$  and  $\alpha_{l_1-1} = \beta_{k_{r+1}-1}$  to (4.33) and we get a majorization for the right hand side of (4.33). Consequently, if  $|\alpha_k|, |\alpha_{k_j}|, |\alpha_0| \leq m$ , we have

$$\left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}}}^{\alpha_{k_{r+1}}} \partial_{x_{k_r}}^{\alpha_{k_r}} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \left( \prod_{u=1}^{r-1} \partial_{x_{k_u}}^{\alpha_{k_u}} \right) p_{k_{r+1} \dots k_1}(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}-1}}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \right| \\ \leq C_{m,0} C_{m,3} C_{m,2} \left( \frac{t_{k_{r+1}} T(k_{r+1} - 1, k_r + 1)}{\nu T(k_{r+1}, k_{r-1} + 1)} \right) \prod_{u=1}^r \left( \frac{t_{k_u} T(k_u - 1, k_{u-1} + 1)}{\nu T(k_u, k_{u-1} + 1)} \right) \\ \times \max \sup \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}}}^{\beta_{k_{r+1}}} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}-1}}^{\beta'_{k_{r+1}-1}} \partial_{x_{k_r}}^{\beta'_{k_r}} \partial_{x_0}^{\beta'_0} \prod_{u=1}^r \partial_{x_{k_u}}^{\beta'_{k_u}} \partial_{x_{k_{u-1}}}^{\beta'_{k_{u-1}}} \right. \\ \left. \times a(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}-1}}, x_{k_r}, \dots, \overline{x_{k_1-1}}, x_0) \right|.$$

Here max is taken for  $\beta'_0 \leq \alpha_0$ ,  $\beta_{k_{r+1}} \leq \alpha_{k_{r+1}}$ ,  $|\beta_{k_{r+1}-1}| \leq 2m + 4d + 2$ ,  $\beta'_{k_u} \leq \alpha_{k_u}$ ,  $|\beta'_{k_{u-1}}| \leq 2m + 4 + 2$ , ( $u = 1, \dots, r$ ) and sup is taken with respect to  $x_{k_{u-1}} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u = 1, \dots, r + 1$ . We may choose  $M(m)$  and  $C_{m,2}$  as in (4.25). We have proved (4.18) for  $r + 1$ .

We next prove (4.19) for  $r + 1$ . Let  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_q$  be a sequence of integers with the property  $k_r < k_{r+1} - 1 < k_{r+1} < l_1 - 1 < l_1 < \dots < l_q - 1 < l_q \leq L - 1$ . Then we have, from (4.28),

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.34) \quad & \left( \frac{E}{t_{k_{r+1}}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{T(k_{r+1} - 1, k_r + 1)} \right)^{1/2} \\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_{k_{r+1}}(t_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_{r+1}-1}) + S_{k_{r+1}-1, k_r+1}^*(x_{k_{r+1}-1}, x_{k_r}))} \\
& \times q(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{l_q}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{l_1-1}}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, x_{k_{r+1}-1}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) dx_{k_{r+1}-1} \\
= & \left( \frac{E}{T(k_{r+1}, k_r + 1)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{k_{r+1}, k_r+1}^*(x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_r})} \\
& \times (D(x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_r})^{-1/2} q(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{l_q}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \dots, \\
& \quad \quad \quad \overline{x_{l_1-1}}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, \overline{x_{k_r}}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0)) \\
& + p_{k_{r+1} \dots k_1}(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{l_q}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \overline{x_{l_1-1}}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0).
\end{aligned}$$

We apply the stationary phase method Lemma 3.5 to (4.34). For any  $m \geq 0$  let  $\alpha_{k_r}$  and  $\alpha_{k_{r+1}}$  be two multi-indices with  $|\alpha_{k_r}|, |\alpha_{k_{r+1}}| \leq m$ ; let  $\alpha_{k_u}$  ( $u = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$ ),  $\alpha_L$ ,  $\alpha_0$ , and  $\alpha_{l_u}$  ( $u = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ) be arbitrary multi-indices. Then with the same constant  $C_{m,0}$  as in (4.23) and (4.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.35) \quad & \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \left( \prod_{u=1}^q \partial_{x_{l_u}}^{\alpha_{l_u}} \partial_{x_{l_u-1}}^{\alpha_{l_u-1}} \right) \prod_{u=1}^{r+1} \partial_{x_{k_u}}^{\alpha_{k_u}} \right. \\
& \times p_{k_{r+1} \dots k_1}(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{l_q}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{l_1-1}}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \Big| \\
\leq & C_{m,0} \left( \frac{t_{k_{r+1}} T(k_{r+1} - 1, k_r + 1)}{\nu T(k_{r+1}, k_r + 1)} \right) \\
& \times \max_{x_{k_{r+1}-1}} \sup \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}}}^{\beta_{k_{r+1}}} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}-1}}^{\beta_{k_{r+1}-1}} \partial_{x_{k_r}}^{\beta_{k_r}} \prod_{u=1}^q \partial_{x_{l_u}}^{\alpha_{l_u}} \partial_{x_{l_u-1}}^{\alpha_{l_u-1}} \prod_{u=1}^{r-1} \partial_{x_{k_u}}^{\alpha_{k_u}} \right. \\
& \times q(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{l_q}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{l_1-1}}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, x_{k_{r+1}-1}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \Big|.
\end{aligned}$$

We use the relationship (4.31) between  $q$  and  $p_{k_r \dots k_1}$ . The right hand side of this inequality is majorized by

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.36) \quad & C_{m,0} C_{m,3} \left( \frac{t_{k_{r+1}} T(k_{r+1} - 1, k_r + 1)}{\nu T(k_{r+1}, k_r + 1)} \right) \\
& \times \max_{x_{k_{r+1}-1}} \sup \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}}}^{\beta'_{k_{r+1}}} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}-1}}^{\beta'_{k_{r+1}-1}} \partial_{x_{k_r}}^{\beta'_{k_r}} \prod_{u=1}^q \partial_{x_{l_u}}^{\alpha_{l_u}} \partial_{x_{l_u-1}}^{\alpha_{l_u-1}} \prod_{u=1}^{r-1} \partial_{x_{k_u}}^{\alpha_{k_u}} \right. \\
& \times p_{k_r \dots k_1}(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_{l_q}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{l_1-1}}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}}}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}-1}}, \overline{x_{k_r}}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \Big|.
\end{aligned}$$

Here max is taken for  $\beta_{k_{r+1}} \leq \alpha_{k_{r+1}}$ ,  $|\beta'_{k_{r+1}-1}| \leq 2m + 4 + 2$  and  $\beta'_{k_r} \leq \alpha_{k_r}$ .

Now we assume that  $|\alpha_j| \leq m$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r+1$ , and  $|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_L| \leq m$  as in Lemma 4.2 but that  $\alpha_{l_u}$ ,  $u = 1, \dots, q$ , are arbitrary. Then we use

the induction hypothesis (4.19) for  $r$  where  $q$  is replaced by  $q + 1$  and  $(l_1, \dots, l_q)$  is replaced by  $(k_{r+1}, l_1, \dots, l_q)$  to majorize (4.36). Then we have

$$(4.37) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \left( \prod_{u=1}^q \partial_{x_{l_u}}^{\alpha_{l_u}} \partial_{x_{l_u-1}}^{\alpha_{l_u-1}} \right) \prod_{u=1}^r \partial_{x_{k_u}}^{\alpha_{k_u}} \right. \\ & \times p_{k_{r+1} \dots k_1}(x_L, \overline{x_{l_q}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{l_1-1}}, x_{k_{r+1}}, x_{k_r}, \dots, x_{k_1}, x_0) \Big| \\ & \leq C_{m,0} C_{m,3} C_{m,2} \prod_{u=1}^{r+1} \left( \frac{t_{k_u} T(k_u - 1, k_{u-1} + 1)}{\nu T(k_u, k_{u-1} + 1)} \right) \\ & \times \max \sup \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\beta_0} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}}}^{\beta_{k_{r+1}}} \partial_{x_{k_{r+1}-1}}^{\beta'_{k_{r+1}-1}} \partial_{x_{k_r}}^{\beta''_{k_r}} \partial_{x_{k_r-1}}^{\beta'''_{k_r-1}} \prod_{u=1}^q \partial_{x_{l_u}}^{\alpha_{l_u}} \partial_{x_{l_u-1}}^{\alpha_{l_u-1}} \prod_{u=1}^{r-1} \partial_{x_{k_u}}^{\alpha_{k_u}} \right. \\ & \times a(x_L, \overline{x_{l_q}}, \overline{x_{l_{q-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{l_1-1}}, x_{k_{r+1}}, \overline{x_{k_{r+1}-1}}, x_{k_r}, \dots, \overline{x_{k_1}}, x_0) \Big| \end{aligned}$$

$\max$  is taken for  $\beta_{k_{r+1}} \leqq \alpha_{k_{r+1}}$ ,  $|\beta'_{k_{r+1}-1}| \leqq 2m + 4d + 2$ ,  $\beta''_{k_r} \leqq \alpha_{k_r}$ ,  $|\beta'''_{k_r-1}| < 2m + 4 + 2$ ,  $\beta_0 \leqq \alpha_0$  and  $\sup$  is taken with respect to  $x_{k_{u-1}} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u = 1, 2, \dots, r + 1$ . We can choose  $M(m)$  and  $C_{m,2}$  as in (4.25). Then the above inequality proves (4.19) for  $r + 1$ . We have completed proof of Lemma 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 1 has been completed.

## § 5. Proof of Theorem 2

We can proceed just as in the proof of Theorem 1. And we have

$$(5.1) \quad I(\{j_j\}, S, 1, \nu)(x_L, x_0) = A_0(x_L, x_0) + \sum' A_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0).$$

Here

$$(5.2) \quad A_0(x_L, x_0) = \left( \frac{E}{T_L} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{L,1}^\#(x_L, x_0)} D(x_L, x_0)^{-1/2}$$

is the main term;  $\sum'$  is the same as in § 4 and

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0) &= \prod_{k=1}^{s+1} \left( \frac{E}{T(j_k, j_{k-1} + 1)} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} e^{-i\nu S_{j_s \dots j_1}^\#(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0)} b_{j_s \dots j_1}(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) \prod_{k=1}^s dx_{j_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5.4) \quad S_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}^\#(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) = \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} S_{j_k, j_{k-1}+1}^\#(x_{j_k}, x_{j_{k-1}})$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} b_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) \\ = (Q_{L-1} Q_{L-2}, \dots, Q_1 1)(x_L, x_{j_s}, x_{j_{s-1}}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\begin{aligned} Q_j &= \text{Id} & \text{if } j = j_s, j_{s-1}, \dots, j_1, \\ &= R_j & \text{if } j = j_s - 1, j_{s-1} - 1, \dots, j_1 - 1, \\ &= S_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$

Applying Lemma 3.1 to  $A_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0)$ , we have

$$A_{j_s \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0) = \left( \frac{E}{T_L} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{L,1}^\#(x_L, x_0)} a_{j_s \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0).$$

Thus setting, just as in (4.14),

$$(5.6) \quad r(x_L, x_0) = D(x_L, x_0)^{1/2} (\sum' a_{j_s \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0)),$$

we have

$$(5.7) \quad I(\{t_j\}, S, 1, \nu) = \left( \frac{E}{T_L} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{L,1}^\#(x_L, x_0)} D(x_L, x_0)^{-1/2} (1 + r(x_L, x_0)).$$

We have only to obtain estimate of  $r(x_L, x_0)$  to prove Theorem 2. By virtue of Lemma 3.1, we get estimates of  $a_{j_s \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0)$ : For any  $m \geq 0$  there exists  $C_m$  and  $K(m)$  such that if  $|\alpha_L|, |\alpha_0| \leq m$

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} a_{j_s \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0)| \\ & \leq C_m^s \max \sup \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\beta_0} \prod_{r=1}^s \partial_{x_{j_r}}^{\beta_{j_r}} b_{j_s \dots j_1}(x_L, x_{j_s}, x_{j_{s-1}}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) \right| \end{aligned}$$

max is taken over  $\beta_0 \leq \alpha_0$  and  $|\beta_{j_r}| \leq K(m)$ .

We wish to obtain estimate of  $b_{j_s \dots j_1}$ . Consider  $x_L, \dots, x_{j_{s+1}}$  as parameters and set

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{b}_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, \dots, x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_s}, x_{j_{s-1}}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) \\ & = (Q_{j_s} Q_{j_{s-1}}, \dots, Q_1 1)(x_L, \dots, x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_s}, x_{j_{s-1}}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\begin{aligned} Q_j &= \text{Id} & \text{if } j = j_s, j_{s-1}, \dots, j_1, \\ &= R_j & \text{if } j = j_s - 1, j_{s-1} - 1, \dots, j_1 - 1, \\ &= S_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$

The next Lemma gives estimate of  $\tilde{b}_{j_s \dots j_1}$ .

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $\delta$  be as in Theorem 1 and  $T_L < \delta$ . Then  $\tilde{b}_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}$  is independent of  $x_L, \dots, x_{j_{s+1}}$ . It is of the form*

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{b}_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, \dots, x_{j_s+1}, x_{j_s}, x_{j_{s-1}}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) \\ &= \prod_{r=1}^s \frac{t_{j_r}}{\nu} T(j_r - 1, j_{r-1} + 1)^2 p_{j_r}(x_{j_r}, x_{j_{r-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_{j_r}(x_{j_r}, x_{j_{r-1}})$  satisfies the estimates

$$(5.11) \quad |\partial_{x_{j_r}}^\alpha \partial_{x_{j_{r-1}}}^\beta p_{j_r}(x_{j_r}, x_{j_{r-1}})| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{for any } \alpha \text{ and } \beta.$$

Here constant  $C_{\alpha\beta}$  depends only on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

We assume Lemma 5.1 for the moment and continue proof of Theorem 2. Since  $b_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1} = S_{L-1} S_{L-2} \dots S_{j_s+1} \tilde{b}_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}$ , we can apply Lemma 5.1 to (5.5) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & b_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) \\ &= D(x_L, x_{j_s})^{-1/2} \prod_{r=1}^s \left( \frac{t_{j_r}}{\nu} \right) T(j_r - 1, j_{r-1} + 1)^2 p_{j_r}(x_{j_r}, x_{j_{r-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (5.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} a_{j_s \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0)| &\leq C_m^s \max \sup \left| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \prod_{r=1}^s \partial_{x_{j_r}}^{\beta_{j_r}} D(x_L, x_{j_s})^{-1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \prod_{r=1}^s \left( \frac{t_{j_r}}{\nu} T(j_r - 1, j_{r-1} + 1)^2 p_{j_r}(x_{j_r}, x_{j_{r-1}}) \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any  $m \geq 0$  we can find a constant  $C_{m,1}$  such that

$$|\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} a_{j_s \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0)| \leq C_{m,1}^s \prod_{r=1}^s (\nu^{-1} t_{j_r} T(j_r - 1, j_{r-1} + 1)^2)$$

as far as  $|\alpha_L|$  and  $|\alpha_0| \leq m$ . This and (5.6) imply

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} r(x_L, x_0)| &< \sum'_{(j_s \dots j_1)} C_{m,1}^s \prod_{r=1}^s \nu^{-1} t_{j_r} T(j_r - 1, j_{r-1} + 1)^2 \\ &< \prod_{j=1}^L (1 + C_{m,1} \nu^{-1} t_j T_L^2) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved Theorem 2 upto the proof of Lemma 5.1.

*Proof of Lemma 5.1.* We prove Lemma by induction on  $s$ . The case  $s = 1$ . We abbreviate  $j_1 = j$ . Just as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we let

$$(5.12) \quad \tilde{b}_j(x_L, \dots, x_{j+1}, x_j, x_0) = R_{j-1} S_{j-2} \dots S_1(1).$$

Then this is defined by the equality

$$(5.13) \quad \left( \frac{E}{t_j} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{T(j-1, 1)} \right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_j(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1}) + S_{j-1, 1}^*(x_{j-1}, x_0))} D(x_{j-1}, x_0)^{-1/2} dx_{j-1}$$

$$= \left( \frac{E}{T(i, 1)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{j, 1}^*(x_j, x_0)} (D(x_j, x_0)^{-1/2} + \tilde{b}_j(x_L, \dots, x_{j+1}, x_j, x_0)).$$

This means that  $\tilde{b}_j(x_L, \dots, x_{j+1}, x_j, x_0)$  is independent of  $x_L, \dots, x_{j+1}$ . We can write,  $\tilde{b}_j(x_L, \dots, x_{j+1}, x_j, x_0)$  by  $\tilde{b}_j(x_j, x_0)$ . Furthermore we wish to show that we can write

$$(5.14) \quad \tilde{b}_j(x_j, x_0) = \nu^{-1} t_j T(j-1, 1)^2 p(x_j, x_0).$$

To show (5.14), we need a closer look at the amplitude function of (5.13). By virtue of Proposition 2.10, there exists a function  $q_j(x_{j-1}, x_0) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  such that we have

$$D(x_{j-1}, x_0)^{-1/2} = 1 + T(j-1, 1)^2 q_{j-1}(x_{j-1}, x_0).$$

This means that

$$(5.15) \quad \partial_{x_{j-1}} D(x_{j-1}, x_0)^{-1/2} = T(j-1, 1)^2 \partial_{x_{j-1}} q_{j-1}(x_{j-1}, x_0).$$

We apply Lemma 3.5 to (5.13). For any  $m$  there exists a constant  $C_m$  such that if  $|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_j| \leq m$  we have

$$(5.16) \quad |\partial_{x_j}^{\alpha_j} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \tilde{b}_j(x_j, x_0)| \leq C_m \left( \frac{t_j T(j-1, 1)}{\nu T(j, 1)} \right) \max_{x_{j-1}} \sup_{x_{j-1}} |\partial_{x_{j-1}}^{\beta_{j-1}} \partial_{x_0}^{\beta_0} (D(x_{j-1}, x_0)^{-1/2})|$$

$$\leq C_m \left( \frac{t_j T(j-1, 1)^3}{\nu T(j, 1)} \right) \max_{x_{j-1}} \sup_{x_{j-1}} |\partial_{x_{j-1}}^{\beta_{j-1}} \partial_{x_0}^{\beta_0} q_{j-1}(x_{j-1}, x_0)|.$$

Here max is taken over  $\beta_j$  and  $\beta_0$  with  $1 \leq |\beta_{j-1}| \leq 2m + 4 + 2$ ,  $|\beta_0| \leq m$ . This proves (5.14). Lemma 5.1 for  $s = 1$  is true.

Assuming Lemma 5.1 for  $s$ , we prove it for  $s + 1$ . By induction hypothesis the function  $\tilde{b}_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, \dots, x_{j_s+1}, x_{j_s}, x_{j_{s-1}}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0)$  does not depend on  $x_L, \dots, x_{j_s+1}$ . So we may denote it by

$$\tilde{b}_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_{j_s}, x_{j_{s-1}}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0).$$

Let  $j_{s+1}$  be an arbitrary integer such that  $j_s + 1 < j_{s+1} < L$ . Then we have by definition (5.9).

$$(5.17) \quad \tilde{b}_{j_{s+1} j_s \dots j_1} = R_{j_{s+1}-1} S_{j_{s+1}-2} \dots S_{j_s+1} \tilde{b}_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}.$$

We see that

$$(5.18) \quad S_{j_{s+1}-2} \cdots S_{j_s+1} \tilde{b}_{j_s j_{s-1} \cdots j_1}(1)(x_L, \dots, x_{j_{s+1}-1}, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) \\ = D(x_{j_{s+1}-1}, x_{j_s})^{-1/2} \tilde{b}_{j_s j_{s-1} \cdots j_1}(x_{j_s}, x_{j_{s-1}}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0).$$

Here we set  $D(x_{j_{s+1}-1}, x_{j_s}) = 1$  if  $j_{s+1} - 1 = j_s + 1$ . Thus (5.17) and (5.18) imply that the function

$$\tilde{b}_{j_{s+1} j_s \cdots j_1}(x_L, \dots, x_{j_{s+1}-1}, x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0)$$

is defined by the equality:

$$(5.19) \quad \left( \frac{E}{t_{j_{s+1}}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{T(j_{s+1} - 1, j_s + 1)} \right)^{1/2} \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_{j_{s+1}}(t_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_{s+1}-1}) + S_{j_{s+1}-1, j_s+1}^{\#}(x_{j_{s+1}-1}, x_{j_s}))} \\ \times D(x_{j_{s+1}-1}, x_{j_s})^{-1/2} \tilde{b}_{j_s j_{s-1} \cdots j_1}(x_{j_s}, x_{j_{s-1}}, \dots, x_0) dx_{j_{s+1}-1} \\ = \left( \frac{E}{T(j_{s+1}, j_s + 1)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{j_{s+1}, j_s+1}^{\#}(x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_s})} \\ \times (D(x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_s})^{-1/2} \tilde{b}_{j_s j_{s-1} \cdots j_1}(x_{j_s}, x_{j_{s-1}}, \dots, x_0) \\ + \tilde{b}_{j_{s+1} j_s \cdots j_1}(x_L, \dots, x_{j_{s+1}-1}, x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0)).$$

The left hand side of this equals

$$\tilde{b}_{j_s j_{s-1} \cdots j_1}(x_{j_s}, x_{j_{s-1}}, \dots, x_0) \left( \frac{E}{t_{j_{s+1}}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{E}{T(j_{s+1} - 1, j_s + 1)} \right)^{1/2} \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\nu(S_{j_{s+1}}(t_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_{s+1}-1}) + S_{j_{s+1}-1, j_s+1}^{\#}(x_{j_{s+1}-1}, x_{j_s}))} D(x_{j_{s+1}-1}, x_{j_s})^{-1/2} dx_{j_{s+1}-1}.$$

The last integral was treated earlier in (5.13). Using discussions there, we can prove that (5.19) equals

$$\left( \frac{E}{T(j_{s+1}, j_s + 1)} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{j_{s+1}, j_s+1}^{\#}(x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_s})} \tilde{b}_{j_s \cdots j_1}(x_{j_s}, \dots, x_0) \\ \times (D(x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_s})^{-1/2} + \nu^{-1} t_{j_{s+1}} T(j_{s+1} - 1, j_s + 1)^2 p_{j_{s+1}}(x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_s}))$$

with some  $p_{j_{s+1}}(x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_s}) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ . It follows from this that

$$(5.20) \quad \tilde{b}_{j_{s+1} j_s \cdots j_1}(x_L, \dots, x_{j_{s+1}-1}, x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) \\ = \left( \frac{t_{j_{s+1}} T(j_{s+1} - 1, j_s + 1)^2}{\nu} \right) p_{j_{s+1}}(x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_s}) \tilde{b}_{j_s \cdots j_1}(x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0).$$

We can use induction hypothesis for  $\tilde{b}_{j_s \cdots j_1}(x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0)$ , i.e., replace  $\tilde{b}_{j_s \cdots j_1}(x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0)$  in (5.20) by the right hand side of (5.10). Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{b}_{j_{s+1} \dots j_1}(x_L, \dots, x_{j_{s+1}+1}, x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) \\
&= \left( \frac{t_{j_{s+1}} T(j_{s+1} - 1, j_s + 1)^2}{\nu} \right) \prod_{r=1}^s \left( \frac{t_{j_r}}{\nu} T(j_r - 1, j_{r-1} + 1)^2 \right) \\
&\quad \times p_{j_{s+1}}(x_{j_{s+1}}, x_{j_s}) \prod_{r=1}^s p_{j_r}(x_{j_r}, x_{j_{r-1}}).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (5.10) for  $s + 1$ . Lemma 5.1 has been proved.

We have completed proof of Theorem 2.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Asada, K.-Fujiwara, D., On some oscillatory integral transformations in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , *Japan. J. Math.*, **4** (1978), 299–361.
- [2] Feynman, R. P., Space time approach to non relativistic quantum mechanics, *Rev. Modern Phys.*, **20** (1948), 367–387.
- [3] Fujiwara, D., Remarks on convergence of some Feynman path integrals, *Duke Math. J.*, **47** (1980), 559–600.
- [4] ———, A remark on Taniguchi-Kumanogo theorem for product of Fourier integral operators, Pseudo-differential operators, Proc. Oberwolfach 1986, Lecture notes in Math. No. **1256**, Springer (1987), 135–153.
- [5] ———, The Feynman path integral as an improper integral over the Sobolev space, Proc. of “Journee equations aux derivees partielles, Sain Jean de Monts 1990”, Societe Math de France (1990), XIV 1–15.
- [6] Kumanogo, H., Pseudo differential operators, MIT Press, (1982).
- [7] Kumanogo, H.-Taniguchi, K., Fourier integral operators of multiphase and the fundamental solution for a hyperbolic system, *Funkci. Ekvac.*, **22** (1979), pp. 161–196.
- [8] Taniguchi, K., Multi-products of Fourier integral operators and the fundamental solution for a hyperbolic system with involutive characteristics, *Osaka J. Math.*, **21** (1984), 169–124.

*Department of Mathematics  
Tokyo Institute of Technology  
2-12-1 Oh-okayama, Meguro-ku  
Tokyo 152  
Japan*