BULL. AUSTRAL. MATH. SOC. VOL. 29 (1984), 109-117.

# P-ADIC METHODS IN THE STUDY OF TAYLOR COEFFICIENTS OF RATIONAL FUNCTIONS\*

## A. J. VAN DER POORTEN

I sketch the proof of the so-called Hadamard Quotient Theorem: If the Hadamard quotient of two rational functions is a Taylor series with integer coefficients (more generally: with coefficients in some finitely generated subring of a field) then it is a rational function.

#### 1. Introduction

Let K be a field of characteristic zero, and r,s polynomials defined over K with  $s(0) \neq 0$ . A rational function is a quotient r(X)/s(X) and we have a Taylor expansion

$$\frac{r(X)}{s(X)} = \sum_{h>0} a_h X^h .$$

We lose no generality in setting

$$s(X) = 1 - s_1 X - \dots - s_n X^n = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \alpha_i X)^{n(i)}$$

where the  $\alpha_i$  are distinct elements of K. It is then easy to see that

Received 12 September 1983.

<sup>\*</sup> This is a lecture delivered at the Special Meeting of the Australian Mathematical Society "Celebration of the 80th Birthday of Kurt Mahler", Canberra, July 26, 1983.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/84 \$A2.00 + 0.00

we have

$$a_{h+n} = s_1 a_{h+n-1} + \dots + s_n a_h$$
,

so  $(a_h)$  is a so-called recurrence sequence of order n, and, by expanding in partial fractions,

$$a_h = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i(h) \alpha_i^h$$

for polynomials  $A_i$  of degree respectively  $n_i$ -1. These assertions hold for integers  $h \ge \max(0, \deg r - n+1)$ . The various descriptions of  $(a_h)$  are equivalent.

## 2. p-adification

To study the sequence  $(a_h)$  it is convenient to have h a continuous parameter. Cassels [2] shows there are infinitely many rational primes p so that the field  $\mathbb K$  may be embedded in the field  $Q_p$  of p-adic rationals whilst for each  $\alpha=\alpha_1$ .

$$\alpha^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} .$$

equivalently:

$$\operatorname{ord}_p(\alpha^{p-1}-1)\geq 1.$$

Then

$$\log \alpha^{p-1} = \log(1 - (1 - \alpha^{p-1}))$$

is well-defined p-adically, and  $lpha^{t(p-1)}$  is given by the power series

$$\exp(t \log \alpha^{p-1})$$

which converges for t with ord p t > -1 + 1/p - 1. Thus a recurrence sequence  $(a_h)$  p-adifies to yield p-1 p-adic power series:

$$a_{p,r}(t) = a(t(p-1)+r) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i(t(p-1)+r) \alpha_i^r exp(t \log \alpha_i^{p-1}), 0 \le r < p-1.$$

It is now easy to prove the wonderful Skolem-Mahler-Lech-Mahler Theorem: If  $\{h: a_h = 0\}$  is infinite then it is a union of finitely many arithmetic progressions (and finitely many isolated points). Indeed if the set is infinite then for some r the p-adic analytic function  $a_{p,r}$  vanishes infinitely often on the compact set  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Hence  $a_{p,r}$  vanishes identically, so a(h(p-1)+r) = 0 for h in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We proceed similarly for each remaining  $a_{p,r}$ . It is interesting to notice that in the situation just described it follows from a theorem of Ritt that

$$\sin \frac{\pi(z-r)}{p-1} \mid \sum A_i(z) \exp(z \log \alpha_i)$$

in the ring of exponential polynomials. Thus the Lech-Mahler theorem implies that an exponential polynomial with infinitely many integer zeros is sinful.

Some technical remarks. It can be shown by means of specialisation (see [5]) that here and in the sequel there is no loss of generality nor any introduced degeneracy in supposing  $\mathbb K$  to be an algebraic number field of degree d, say, over Q. In that case the embedding into  $Q_p$  is successful for primes p of a set P satisfying

$$\prod_{\substack{p \le x \\ p \in P}} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \ge Cx^{1/d} \quad \text{with} \quad C > 0.$$

A major benefit of p-adification is obtained from the following fact: If  $g(t) = \sum x_h t^h$  converges for  $ord_p t > -s$ , say, and  $\Delta g(i) = :g(i+1)-g(i)$  then

$$\underline{\lim} \, k^{-1} \operatorname{ord}_{p} \Delta^{k} g(0) \geq s + 1/p - 1.$$

Indeed we are given  $\lim_{n \to \infty} h^{-1} \operatorname{ord}_n x_h \geq s$ . But

$$\Delta^{k} f(t) = \sum_{h \geq k} x_{h} \Delta^{k} t^{h} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{ord}_{p} \Delta^{k} t^{h} \Big|_{t=0} \approx k/p-1$$

We use this basic result as follows: it is not terribly difficult to see that  $(a_h)$  is a recurrence sequence if and only if its Hankel-Kronecker determinants

$$K_h a := \left| a_{i+j} \right|_{0 \le i, j \le h}$$

vanish for all sufficiently large h. But from elementary row and column operations one sees that

$$\kappa_h a = \left| \Delta^k a_{\overline{o}(p-1)+r} \right|_{i+j=k(p-1)+r} .$$

So if  $a_{t(p-1)+r}$  is given by p-adic power series converging beyond the p-adic unit disc we can show that  $K_h^a$  is divisible by a high power of p (that is, is of high p-adic order).

#### 3. Hadamard division

If  $\sum a_h x^h$ ,  $\sum b_h x^h$  are rational then their 'child's product'  $\sum (a_h b_h) x^h$  is again rational; for  $(a_h)$ ,  $(b_h)$  are given by generalised power sums whence so is  $(c_h)$ ,  $c_h = a_h b_h$ . The product above is frequently spoken of as the hadamard product of the power series. We wish to consider the hadamard quotient of rational functions. Polya [4] showed that if  $\sum ha_h x^h$  is rational, and the  $a_h$  all are integers (more generally, elements of a finitely generated subring R of K) then  $\sum a_h x^h$  is rational. Ultimately we have the theorem of Polya-Cantor [3]: if f is a polynomial and  $\sum f(h)a_h x^h$  is rational with the  $a_h$  all in R, then  $\sum a_h x^h$  is rational. The integrality condition is necessary:  $\sum (h+1)^{-1} x^{h+1}$  is not rational, but it is the hadamard quotient of  $\sum x^{h+1} = x(1-x)^{-1}$  by  $\sum (h+1)x^{h+1} = x(1-x)^{-2}$ .

We sketch a proof of the:

HADAMARD QUOTIENT THEOREM. Suppose  $\sum c_h x^h$ ,  $\sum b_h x^h$  are Taylor expansions of functions rational over  $\mathbb K$  and that there is a sequence

 $(a_h')$  of elements of R so that  $a_h'b_h=c_h$ ,  $h\geq 0$ . Then there is a rational function  $\sum a_h x^h$  with  $a_h b_h=c_h$ ,  $h\geq 0$ .

Proof. We p-adify and consider quotients

$$a(t(p-1)+r) = c(t(p-1)+r)/b(t(p-1)+r)$$

of p-adic exponential polynomials. If b is of order n then b has at most n zeros in the disc with ord t > -1/n. Hence for each  $r: 0 \le r < p-1$  there is a polynomial  $f_{p,r}$  of degree at most n so that  $f_{p,r}(t(p-1)+r)a(t(p-1)+r)$  converges for t with  $\operatorname{ord}_p t \ge -1/n$ . The same holds for  $f_p(t(p-1)+r)a(t(p-1)+r)$  if  $f_p$  is the lowest common multiple of the  $f_{p,r}$ ; we note that  $f_p$  has degree at most n(p-1).

Consider

$$K_H(f_p a) = \left| f_p(i+j)a(i+j) \right|_{0 \le i, j \le H}$$

We have shown that

$$\frac{\lim}{p} H^{-2} \operatorname{ord}_{p} K_{H}(f_{p}a) \geq (\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{p-1})/p-1$$

so, say with H sufficiently large:

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p} K_{H}(f_{p}a) > \frac{H^{2}}{n(p-1)} .$$

But to obtain this result we use only that

$$\operatorname{ord}_p \Delta^k f_p(\overline{o}(p-1)+r) a(\overline{o}(p-1)+r) \geq k(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{p-1})/p-1$$

with  $k(p-1)+r \leq 2H$ . Thus if we were to have truncated the coefficients of the  $f_p$  modulo

$$M(p;H) = p^{2H}(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{p-1})/(p-1)^2$$

we would obtain the desired inequality for  $\operatorname{ord}_{p} K_{H}(f_{p}a)$ .

However once coefficients are so truncated the  $f_p$  become elements of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  with coefficients not exceeding M(p;H). By the Chinese Remainder Theorem we may construct a polynomial f in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  with coefficients not exceeding

$$M := M(H) = \prod_{p \in P} M(p; H)$$

so that f plays the role of  $f_p$ , each  $p \in P$ . The degree of f is at most

$$\max_{p \in P} n(p-1) .$$

To avoid the somewhat clumsy and naive notion of 'truncation' we can equivalently describe f as being so constructed as to satisfy

$$||f - f_p||_p \le M(p; H)^{-1}$$
,

 $p \in P$ , thereby transforming our appeal to the Chinese Remainder Theorem to an appeal to the approximation theorem; here  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is the valuation of the maximum of the coefficients.

As constructed, the polynomial f has coefficients that are far too large and degree that is uneconomically small. Fortunately the following is plain: if  $f_{\theta}$  be any non-zero polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  then the remarks above, to wit that

ord<sub>p</sub> 
$$K_h(fa) > h^2/n(p-1)$$
,  $H_0 < h \le H$ ,  $p \in P$ 

remain true with f replaced by  $F=f_0f$ . Accordingly we now appeal to the box-principle to choose  $f_0$  so as to obtain F with reasonably small coefficients and degree not too large. To see that we may replace f by F we need only recall that each  $f_p$  might have been replaced by a multiple of itself.

Select  $f_0$  of degree  $N = c_1 H^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log H)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Here and in the immediate sequel  $c_0, c_1, \ldots$  denote positive constants and H is supposed large with respect to the prevailing parameters n and  $p \in P$ . Modulo M there are

some  $M^N$  possibilities to choose  $f_0$ . We want  $F = f_0 f$  to have coefficients no larger in absolute value than  $M^{C_0/N}$ , modulo M of course. Accordingly our 'boxes' each contain polynomials F with coefficients differing modulo M by no more than  $M^{C_0/N}$ . With  $C_0$  appropriately large (not depending on H) there are fewer than  $M^N$  such boxes. Hence our construction succeeds and we have

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p} K_{h}(Fa) > h^{2}/n(p-1) \qquad H_{0} < h \leq H, p \in P$$

with F of degree  $cH^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log H)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  and with coefficients not exceeding  $M^{2o/N}$  in absolute value. A priori  $H_0$  need only be large enough to validate the p-adic inequalities. It certainly suffices to set  $H_0 = H^{\frac{1}{2}}\log H$ .

We now need a suitable archimedean upper bound for the algebraic numbers  $K_h\left(Fa\right)$ .

Technical remark. The correct measure of the size of a sequence of algebraic numbers is provided by Bombieri [1] p. 37 in his discussion of G-functions. Since the  $a_h$  belong to a finitely generated subring R of K the sequence  $(a_h)$  has finite size  $\rho$ , say. For us it is convenient to define

$$\sigma_h(a) = \sum_{v} \max_{j \le h} |a_j|_v$$

with the sum the appropriately normalised valuations v of  ${\mathbb K}$ . Then

$$\sigma(a) = \overline{\lim}_{h\to\infty} h^{-1} \log \sigma_h(a) = \log \rho$$
.

It then follows that

$$\overline{\lim}_{h\to\infty}h^{-2}\log\sigma_h(K(a))=\log\rho.$$

Then with the F chosen as above we obtain

$$\log \sigma_h (K(Fa)) < h^2 \log \rho + c_2 h^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log H)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

But for  $H_0 < h \le H$  and  $p \in P$ 

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p} K_{h}(Fa) > h^{2}/n(p-1) .$$

Hence if  $H_0 = H^{\frac{1}{2}} \log H$  then for  $H_0 < h < H$  we have

$$h^{-2} \log \sigma_h(K(Fa)) < \log \rho + c_3(\log H)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

But by the product formula  $K_h(Fa)$  vanishes if

$$\sum_{p} (\log p) \operatorname{ord}_{p} K_{h}(Fa) > d \log \sigma_{h}(K(Fa)) .$$

Indeed it is this formula that justifies the measure  $\sigma_h$  we have introduced above.

We can now return to the main argument. From the remarks above we see that  $K_h(F\alpha) = 0$  for  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\log H < h < H$  if

$$\sum_{p \in P} \frac{\log p}{n(p-1)} > d \log \rho + dc_3 (\log H)^{-\frac{1}{2}} .$$

We note that though  $c_3$  depends on P it remains bounded if P grows, hence since the sum over  $p \in P$  is unbounded as P grows we can certainly achieve the condition above for a suitably large choice of the set P.

The vanishing of  $K_h(Fa)$  for  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\log H < h < H$  implies readily that there is a recurrence sequence  $(d_h)$  of order at most  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\log H$  so that

$$d_h = F(h)a_h = F(h)c_h/b_h$$

for  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\log H < h < H$ . But the recurrence sequences  $(b_h d_h)$  and  $(F(h)c_h)$  then coincide over a range considerably greater than is their order. Hence they coincide for all h. It follows that F divides bd in the ring of exponential polynomials. By the Polya-Cantor lemma we lose no generality in assuming that b has no polynomial factor; for any such factor must also be a factor of c and so we may suppose it to have been removed. Hence F divides d in the ring of exponential polynomials, for the quotient satisfies the conditions of the Polya-Cantor lemma. Hence, as we wished to show,  $(a_h)$  is indeed a recurrence sequence.

To complete the proof we should deal with the case where some  $\,b_h^{}$  vanish and with the general case where  $\,(a_h^{})\,$  is not defined over an

algebraic number field. These technicalities seem inappropriate to this summary.

## 4. Concluding Remarks

The p-adic methods employed above were either introduced or flowered in the work of Kurt Mahler. It seems especially appropriate to describe a recent application of his ideas on this the occasion of his 80th birthday.

### References

- [1] E. Bombieri, "On G-functions", in H. Halberstam and C. Hooley (eds.)

  \*Recent Progress in Analytic Number Theory (Academic Press, 2,

  1981 , 1-67).
- [2] J.W.S. Cassels, "An embedding theorem for fields", Bull. Austral.

  Math. Soc. 14 (1976), 193-198. Addendum, ibid. 14 (1976),

  479-480.
- [3] D.G. Cantor, "On arithmetic properties of the Taylor series of rational functions II", Pacific J. Math. 41 (1972), 329-334.
- [4] G. Polya, "Arithmetische Eigenschaften der Reihenentwicklungen rationaler Functionen", J. für die reine u. angew Math. 151 (1920), 1-31.
- [5] A.J. van der Poorten and H.P. Schlickewei, "The growth conditions for recurrence sequences", *Invent. Math.*, to appear.

School of Mathematics and Physics,
Macquarie University,
North Ryde,
New South Wales 2113,
Australia.