

\mathfrak{F} -INJECTORS OF LOCALLY SOLUBLE *FC*-GROUPS

by M. J. TOMKINSON

(Received 19 June, 1968)

1. Introduction. A group G is said to be an *FC-group* if each element of G has only a finite number of conjugates in G . We are concerned with the class \mathfrak{G} of periodic locally soluble *FC*-groups. Clearly subgroups and factor groups of \mathfrak{G} -groups are also \mathfrak{G} -groups.

Every finite soluble group is a \mathfrak{G} -group, and we consider here the generalization of a concept from the theory of finite soluble groups.

In [1], B. Fischer, B. Hartley and W. Gaschütz defined a *Fitting class* to be a class \mathfrak{C} of finite soluble groups satisfying the following conditions:

(i) If $G \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $N \triangleleft G$, then $N \in \mathfrak{C}$; and

(ii) if N_1 and N_2 are normal \mathfrak{C} -subgroups of G such that $G = N_1 N_2$, then G is also an \mathfrak{C} -group.

If \mathfrak{X} is any class of groups, then an \mathfrak{X} -injector of the group G is defined to be an \mathfrak{X} -subgroup X of G such that, for each subnormal subgroup S of G , $X \cap S$ is a maximal \mathfrak{X} -subgroup of S .

The following result was proved in [1]:

THEOREM 1.1. *If \mathfrak{C} is a Fitting class, then a finite soluble group G possesses \mathfrak{C} -injectors and any two such subgroups are conjugate in G .*

We shall extend this result to the class \mathfrak{G} .

We define a *Fitting class* of \mathfrak{G} -groups to be a subclass \mathfrak{F} of \mathfrak{G} satisfying the following conditions:

(i) If $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $N \triangleleft G$, then $N \in \mathfrak{F}$; and

(ii) if N_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) are normal \mathfrak{F} -subgroups of the \mathfrak{G} -group G such that $G = \text{gp}\{N_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, then G is also an \mathfrak{F} -group.

With the usual notations for closure operations, these two conditions may be written

$$(i) s_n \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F} \quad \text{and} \quad (ii) N\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{F}.$$

These conditions ensure that every \mathfrak{G} -group G has a unique maximal normal \mathfrak{F} -subgroup, called the \mathfrak{F} -radical of G .

An automorphism ϕ of a group G is said to be *locally inner* if, for each finite set of elements $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$, there is an element $x \in G$ (depending on the set g_1, \dots, g_n) such that

$$g_i \phi = x^{-1} g_i x \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

If there is a locally inner automorphism of G mapping a subgroup H onto a subgroup K , then H and K are said to be *locally conjugate* in G . In a number of results for *FC*-groups which are generalizations of results for finite groups it will be seen that local conjugacy replaces conjugacy. This holds, for example, in the case of Sylow subgroups [2], Carter subgroups [5]

and covering \mathfrak{F} -subgroups [6]. We shall have a further illustration in the present paper where we establish the following result:

If \mathfrak{F} is a Fitting class of \mathfrak{G} -groups, then a \mathfrak{G} -group G possesses \mathfrak{F} -injectors and any two such subgroups are locally conjugate in G .

A. P. Dicman proved that every finite set of elements of a periodic FC-group G is contained in a finite normal subgroup of G (see [4], pp. 154–155). To construct the \mathfrak{F} -injectors of G from the \mathfrak{F} -injectors of the finite normal subgroups of G , we also use the theory of projection sets due to A. G. Kuroš ([4], pp. 167–169). We refer to the outline of this theory given in Section 3 of [6].

In Section 4 we consider what conditions are necessary for the \mathfrak{F} -injectors of G to be conjugate in G .

2. Fitting classes of \mathfrak{G} -groups. In this section we characterize the Fitting classes of \mathfrak{G} -groups in terms of the Fitting classes of finite soluble groups. The first result is an immediate consequence of the definitions.

THEOREM 2.1. *If \mathfrak{F} is a Fitting class of \mathfrak{G} -groups, then the class of finite \mathfrak{F} -groups is a Fitting class of finite soluble groups.*

THEOREM 2.2. *If \mathfrak{C} is a Fitting class of finite soluble groups, then $L\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{G}$ is a Fitting class of \mathfrak{G} -groups.*

Proof. (i) Let H be a normal subgroup of the $L\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{G}$ -group G and let h_1, \dots, h_n be a finite set of elements of H . There is an \mathfrak{C} -subgroup E of G containing h_1, \dots, h_n . $H \cap E$ is a normal subgroup of E and so is an \mathfrak{C} -subgroup of H containing h_1, \dots, h_n . Thus $H \in L\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{G}$ and $L\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{G}$ is s_n -closed.

(ii) Let G be a \mathfrak{G} -group generated by the normal $L\mathfrak{C}$ -subgroups N_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) and let g_1, \dots, g_n be a finite set of elements of G . There is a finite normal subgroup N of G containing g_1, \dots, g_n , and we may choose $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \Lambda$ such that $N \leq N_{\lambda_1} N_{\lambda_2} \dots N_{\lambda_r} = L$, say. It is now sufficient to prove that $N_0 L\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{G} = L\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{G}$, for then $L \in L\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{G}$ and so, by (i), N is an \mathfrak{C} -subgroup of G containing g_1, \dots, g_n .

Accordingly let H and K be normal $L\mathfrak{C}$ -subgroups of the \mathfrak{G} -group G such that $HK = G$. Let g_1, \dots, g_n be a finite set of elements of G and, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, write $g_i = h_i k_i$, where $h_i \in H$ and $k_i \in K$. There are normal \mathfrak{C} -subgroups E_1 and E_2 of G contained in H and K and containing h_1, \dots, h_n and k_1, \dots, k_n respectively. It follows that

$$g_1, \dots, g_n \in E_1 E_2 \in N_0 \mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{C}.$$

Thus $G \in L\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{G}$, as required.

It follows from these two results that the Fitting classes of \mathfrak{G} -groups are precisely the classes $L\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{G}$, where \mathfrak{C} is a Fitting class of finite soluble groups.

COROLLARY 2.3. *If \mathfrak{F} is a Fitting class of \mathfrak{G} -groups, then $L\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{F}$.*

3. \mathfrak{F} -injectors of \mathfrak{G} -groups.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let \mathfrak{F} be a Fitting class of \mathfrak{G} -groups. If V is an \mathfrak{F} -injector of the \mathfrak{G} -group G and $H \triangleleft G$, then $V \cap H$ is an \mathfrak{F} -injector of H .*

Proof. $V \cap H$ is a normal subgroup of V and so is an \mathfrak{F} -subgroup.

If S is a subnormal subgroup of H , then S is also subnormal in G , and so $V \cap S$ is a maximal \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of S .

THEOREM 3.2. *Let \mathfrak{F} be a Fitting class of \mathfrak{G} -groups. Then the \mathfrak{G} -group G possesses \mathfrak{F} -injectors.*

Proof. Let $\{N_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the set of all finite normal subgroups of G . Since the class of finite \mathfrak{F} -groups is a Fitting class of finite soluble groups (Theorem 2.1), each N_λ possesses \mathfrak{F} -injectors (Theorem 1.1). For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let \mathcal{A}_λ denote the set of \mathfrak{F} -injectors of N_λ ; then each \mathcal{A}_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) is finite and non-empty. The sets \mathcal{A}_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) may be partially ordered by defining $\mathcal{A}_\lambda < \mathcal{A}_\mu$ if and only if $N_\lambda \leq N_\mu$.

If $\mathcal{A}_\lambda < \mathcal{A}_\mu$ and V_μ is an \mathfrak{F} -injector of N_μ , then, by Lemma 3.1, $V_\mu \cap N_\lambda$ is an \mathfrak{F} -injector of N_λ . Thus we may define a projection $\pi_{\mu\lambda}$ from \mathcal{A}_μ into \mathcal{A}_λ by

$$V_\mu \pi_{\mu\lambda} = V_\mu \cap N_\lambda.$$

Clearly $\pi_{\lambda\lambda}$ is the identity mapping on \mathcal{A}_λ , and if $\mathcal{A}_\lambda < \mathcal{A}_\mu < \mathcal{A}_\nu$, then

$$V_\nu \pi_{\nu\mu} \pi_{\mu\lambda} = V_\nu \cap N_\mu \cap N_\lambda = V_\nu \cap N_\lambda = V_\nu \pi_{\nu\lambda},$$

and so $\pi_{\nu\mu} \pi_{\mu\lambda} = \pi_{\nu\lambda}$.

It follows that there is a complete projection set, i.e. a set $\mathcal{P} = \{V_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ such that, whenever $N_\lambda \leq N_\mu$, $V_\mu \cap N_\lambda = V_\lambda$.

We define $V = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$ and show that V is an \mathfrak{F} -injector of G . If x_1, \dots, x_n is a finite set of elements of V , then there is a finite normal subgroup N_λ of G containing x_1, \dots, x_n . But it is clear from the properties of the complete projection set \mathcal{P} that $V \cap N_\lambda = V_\lambda$, and so x_1, \dots, x_n are contained in the \mathfrak{F} -subgroup V_λ of V . Thus V is an $L\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{G}$ -group; hence, by Corollary 2.3, V is an \mathfrak{F} -group.

Now let S be a subnormal subgroup of G and let W be an \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of S containing $V \cap S$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $W \cap N_\lambda$ is an \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of $S \cap N_\lambda$ containing $V \cap S \cap N_\lambda = V_\lambda \cap S$. Since $S \cap N_\lambda$ is subnormal in N_λ , $V_\lambda \cap S$ is a maximal \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of $S \cap N_\lambda$ and so $W \cap N_\lambda = V_\lambda \cap S$. Therefore

$$W = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (W \cap N_\lambda) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (V_\lambda \cap S) = V \cap S;$$

hence $V \cap S$ is a maximal \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of S .

THEOREM 3.3. *Let \mathfrak{F} be a Fitting class of \mathfrak{G} -groups. Then any two \mathfrak{F} -injectors of the \mathfrak{G} -group G are locally conjugate in G .*

Proof. Let V_1 and V_2 be two \mathfrak{F} -injectors of G and again let $\{N_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the set of all finite normal subgroups of G . $V_1 \cap N_\lambda$ and $V_2 \cap N_\lambda$ are \mathfrak{F} -injectors of N_λ (Lemma 3.1) and so are conjugate in N_λ (Theorem 1.1). For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let \mathcal{A}_λ be the set of automorphisms of

N_λ which are induced by inner automorphisms of G and which map $V_1 \cap N_\lambda$ onto $V_2 \cap N_\lambda$. Then each \mathcal{A}_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) is finite and non-empty.

If $N_\lambda \leq N_\mu$ and $\phi_\mu \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$, then the automorphism induced in N_λ by ϕ_μ is a member of \mathcal{A}_λ . It follows from a result due to S. E. Stonehewer ([5], Lemma 2.2) that G has a locally inner automorphism ϕ which induces in each N_λ an automorphism in the set \mathcal{A}_λ . In particular,

$$(V_1 \cap N_\lambda)\phi = V_2 \cap N_\lambda$$

and so

$$V_1\phi = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (V_1 \cap N_\lambda)\phi = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (V_2 \cap N_\lambda) = V_2;$$

i.e. V_1 and V_2 are locally conjugate in G .

It was proved in [1] that, if V is an \mathfrak{C} -injector of G and H is a subgroup of G containing V , then V is also an \mathfrak{C} -injector of H . This result is easily extended to the class \mathfrak{G} .

THEOREM 3.4. *Let \mathfrak{F} be a Fitting class of \mathfrak{G} -groups and let V be an \mathfrak{F} -injector of the \mathfrak{G} -group G . If $V \leq H \leq G$, then V is an \mathfrak{F} -injector of H .*

Proof. Let $\{N_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the set of all finite normal subgroups of G . By Lemma 3.1, $V \cap N_\lambda$ is an \mathfrak{F} -injector of N_λ . Since the class of finite \mathfrak{F} -groups is a Fitting class of finite soluble groups and $V \cap N_\lambda \leq H \cap N_\lambda \leq N_\lambda$, $V \cap N_\lambda$ is an \mathfrak{F} -injector of $H \cap N_\lambda$. It now follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 that $V = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (V \cap N_\lambda)$ is an \mathfrak{F} -injector of $H = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (H \cap N_\lambda)$.

A subgroup A of a group G is said to be *pronormal* in G if, for each $x \in G$, A and A^x are conjugate in $\text{gp}\{A, A^x\}$.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let \mathfrak{F} be a Fitting class of G -groups. If V is an \mathfrak{F} -injector of the \mathfrak{G} -group G , then V is pronormal in G .*

Proof. If $x \in G$, then V^x is an \mathfrak{F} -injector of G and so, by Theorem 3.4, V and V^x are \mathfrak{F} -injectors of $H = \text{gp}\{V, V^x\}$. Therefore there is a locally inner automorphism ϕ of H such that $V\phi = V^x$ (Theorem 3.3). But $H \leq \text{gp}\{V, x\}$, and so V has finite index in H . It follows that $V\phi = V^h$ for some $h \in H$, so that V and V^x are conjugate in H .

4. Conjugacy of \mathfrak{F} -injectors. If A is a subgroup of the group G , then the *local conjugacy class* containing A is the set of all subgroups of G which are locally conjugate to A , and is denoted by $\text{Lcl}(A)$. Similarly the conjugacy class containing A is denoted by $\text{Cl}(A)$.

We showed in [7] that, with suitable conditions on A , $\text{Lcl}(A) = \text{Cl}(A)$ if and only if $\text{Cl}(A)$ is finite. The main restriction on A was that, for any set $\{H_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of normal subgroups of G , $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (AH_\lambda) = A(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H_\lambda)$. This condition is not satisfied by the \mathfrak{F} -injectors, and so we prove a result similar to that in [7] with different conditions on the subgroup A . The proof of this result is essentially the same as that given by M. I. Kargapolov [3] for the case in which A is a Sylow p -subgroup, but, as Kargapolov's result is not available in translation, it seems worth giving the details in full.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let A be a subgroup of the periodic FC-group G satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) *A is pronormal in G ; and*
- (ii) *for each finite normal subgroup N of G and for each $x \in G$, $A \cap N$ and $A^x \cap N$ are conjugate in N .*

Then $Lcl(A) = Cl(A)$ if and only if $Cl(A)$ is finite.

Proof. It has already been shown in [7] that, for any subgroup A with $Cl(A)$ finite, $Lcl(A) = Cl(A)$. It remains to prove that if $Cl(A)$ is infinite, then $Lcl(A) \neq Cl(A)$.

We shall define inductively the following ascending chains of subgroups:

$$1 = N_0 < N_1 < \dots < N_i < \dots, \tag{1}$$

where each N_i is a finite normal subgroup of G ,

$$1 = \bar{A}_0 < \bar{A}_1 < \dots < \bar{A}_i < \dots, \tag{2}$$

$$1 = A_0^* < A_1^* < \dots < A_i^* < \dots, \tag{3}$$

where, for each integer $i > 0$, \bar{A}_i and A_i^* are subgroups of G which are conjugate to $N_i \cap A$ and which satisfy the condition

$$|\bar{A}_i : \bar{A}_i \cap A_i^*| = n_i,$$

where

$$1 = n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_i < \dots. \tag{4}$$

By Lemma 2.2 of [5], $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \bar{A}_i$ and $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i^*$ are locally conjugate to $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} (N_i \cap A)$ and so are

contained in subgroups \bar{A} and A^* , respectively, which are locally conjugate to A .

$N_i \cap A^*$ is conjugate to $N_i \cap A$ and contains A_i^* . Therefore $N_i \cap A^* = A_i^*$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}_i \cap (\bar{A} \cap A^*) &= \bar{A}_i \cap A^* \\ &= \bar{A}_i \cap N_i \cap A^* \\ &= \bar{A}_i \cap A_i^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|\bar{A} : \bar{A} \cap A^*| \geq |\bar{A}_i : \bar{A}_i \cap A_i^*| = n_i, \quad \text{for all } i.$$

Thus $|\bar{A} : \bar{A} \cap A^*|$ is infinite and so \bar{A} and A^* cannot both be conjugate to A ([7], Lemma 2.3). Therefore $Lcl(A) \neq Cl(A)$.

To construct the chains (1), (2) and (3), we assume that we have constructed the first k terms of each chain and show that subgroups N_{k+1} , \bar{A}_{k+1} and A_{k+1}^* may be defined such that N_{k+1} is a finite normal subgroup of G containing N_k , $\bar{A}_{k+1} > \bar{A}_k$, $A_{k+1}^* > A_k^*$, \bar{A}_{k+1} and A_{k+1}^* are conjugate to $A \cap N_{k+1}$ and $|\bar{A}_{k+1} : \bar{A}_{k+1} \cap A_{k+1}^*| > n_k$.

Let $\{N_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the set of all finite normal subgroups of G containing N_k . If \bar{A} and A^* are subgroups locally conjugate to A and containing \bar{A}_k and A_k^* respectively, then, as above, $N_k \cap \bar{A} = \bar{A}_k$ and $N_k \cap A^* = A_k^*$

Thus, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$\bar{A}_k \cap (\bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*) = \bar{A}_k \cap A_k^*.$$

Therefore

$$|\bar{A} \cap N_\lambda : \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*| \geq |\bar{A}_k : \bar{A}_k \cap A_k^*| = n_k,$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for all \bar{A}, A^* satisfying

$$\bar{A} \geq \bar{A}_k, A^* \geq A_k^*, \bar{A} \text{ and } A^* \text{ are members of } \text{Lcl}(A). \tag{5}$$

Suppose, if possible, that

$$|\bar{A} \cap N_\lambda : \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*| = n_k, \tag{6}$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for all \bar{A} and A^* satisfying (5).

Now

$$\text{gp}\{\bar{A}_k, \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*\} \leq \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda$$

and so

$$|\text{gp}\{\bar{A}_k, \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*\} : \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*| \leq n_k.$$

But clearly

$$|\text{gp}\{\bar{A}_k, \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*\} : \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*| \geq |\bar{A}_k : \bar{A}_k \cap A_k^*| = n_k.$$

Therefore

$$|\text{gp}\{\bar{A}_k, \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*\} : \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*| = |\bar{A} \cap N_\lambda : \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*|$$

and so

$$\text{gp}\{\bar{A}_k, \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*\} = \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda, \tag{7}$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for all \bar{A}, A^* satisfying (5).

By Lemma 2.4 of [7],

$$|A^* \cap N_\lambda : \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*| = |\bar{A} \cap N_\lambda : \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*| = n_k$$

and so we may prove, corresponding to (7), that

$$\text{gp}\{A_k^*, \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*\} = A^* \cap N_\lambda, \tag{8}$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for all \bar{A}, A^* satisfying (5).

It now follows from (7) and (8) that

$$\begin{aligned} N_k \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda &= N_k(\bar{A} \cap N_\lambda) \\ &= N_k(\bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*) \\ &= N_k(A^* \cap N_\lambda) \\ &= N_k A^* \cap N_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for all \bar{A}, A^* satisfying (5).

Thus

$$N_k \bar{A} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (N_k \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (N_k A^* \cap N_\lambda) = N_k A^*, \tag{9}$$

for all \bar{A} and A^* satisfying (5).

Let $x \in G$; then, by (ii), $N_k \cap \bar{A}^x$ is conjugate to $N_k \cap \bar{A}$ in N_k , and so there is an element $n \in N_k$ such that

$$\bar{A}^{xn} \geq N_k \cap \bar{A} = A_k.$$

It follows from (9) that

$$N_k \bar{A}^{xn} = N_k \bar{A},$$

i.e. that

$$N_k \bar{A}^x = N_k \bar{A}.$$

Thus $N_k A \triangleleft G$.

For each $x \in G$, $A^x \leq N_k A$ and hence, by (i), A^x is conjugate to A in $N_k A$. But $|N_k A : A|$ is finite, so that A has only a finite number of conjugates in $N_k A$. Thus A has only a finite number of conjugates in G , contrary to our initial hypothesis.

We have shown that our assumption (6) leads to a contradiction, and so there must be a normal subgroup N_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) and two subgroups \bar{A} and A^* satisfying (5) such that

$$|\bar{A} \cap N_\lambda : \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda \cap A^*| > n_k.$$

If we define

$$N_{k+1} = N_\lambda, \quad \bar{A}_{k+1} = \bar{A} \cap N_\lambda, \quad A_{k+1}^* = A^* \cap N_\lambda, \quad n_{k+1} = |\bar{A}_{k+1} : \bar{A}_{k+1} \cap A_{k+1}^*|,$$

then we have defined the $(k + 1)$ th terms of the series (1), (2) and (3). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let \mathfrak{F} be a Fitting class of \mathfrak{G} -groups. Then the \mathfrak{F} -injectors of the \mathfrak{G} -group G are conjugate in G if and only if there is only a finite number of them.*

REFERENCES

1. B. Fischer, B. Hartley and W. Gaschütz, Injektoren der endlichen auflösbaren Gruppen, *Math. Z.* **102** (1967), 337–339.
2. P. A. Gol’berg, Sylow Π -subgroups of locally normal groups, *Mat. Sbornik* **19** (1946), 451–458 (Russian with English summary).
3. M. I. Kargapolov, On the conjugacy of Sylow p -subgroups of a locally normal group, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **12** (4) (1957), 297–300 (Russian).
4. A. G. Kuroš, *The theory of groups*, Vol. II (New York, 1960).
5. S. E. Stonehewer, Locally soluble FC -groups, *Arch. der Math.* **16** (1965), 158–177.
6. M. J. Tomkinson, Formations of locally soluble FC -groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*; to appear.
7. M. J. Tomkinson, Local conjugacy classes, *Math. Z.* **108** (1969), 202–212.

UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW