



Umbilical Submanifolds of $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$

Bruno Mendonça and Ruy Tojeiro

Abstract. We give a complete classification of umbilical submanifolds of arbitrary dimension and codimension of $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$, extending the classification of umbilical surfaces in $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ by Souam and Toubiana as well as the local description of umbilical hypersurfaces in $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ by Van der Veken and Vrancken. We prove that, besides small spheres in a slice, up to isometries of the ambient space they come in a two-parameter family of rotational submanifolds whose substantial codimension is either one or two and whose profile is a curve in a totally geodesic $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ or $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, respectively, the former case arising in a one-parameter family. All of them are diffeomorphic to a sphere, except for a single element that is diffeomorphic to Euclidean space. We obtain explicit parametrizations of all such submanifolds. We also study more general classes of submanifolds of $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $H^n \times \mathbb{R}$. In particular, we give a complete description of all submanifolds in those product spaces for which the tangent component of a unit vector field spanning the factor \mathbb{R} is an eigenvector of all shape operators. We show that surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $H^n \times \mathbb{R}$ having this property are rotational surfaces, and use this fact to improve some recent results by Alencar, do Carmo, and Tribuzy. We also obtain a Dajczer-type reduction of codimension theorem for submanifolds of $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $H^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

1 Introduction

Roughly speaking, a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold is *totally umbilical*, or simply *umbilical*, if it is equally curved in all tangent directions. More precisely, an isometric immersion $f: M^m \rightarrow \tilde{M}^n$ between Riemannian manifolds is umbilical if there exists a normal vector field ζ along f such that its second fundamental form $\alpha_f: TM \times TM \rightarrow N^f M$ with values in the normal bundle satisfies

$$\alpha_f(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle \zeta \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in TM.$$

Umbilical submanifolds are the simplest submanifolds after the totally geodesic ones (for which the second fundamental form vanishes identically), and knowledge of them sheds light on the geometry of the ambient space.

Apart from space forms, however, there are few Riemannian manifolds for which umbilical submanifolds are classified. Recently, this was accomplished for all three-dimensional Thurston geometries of non-constant curvature as well as for the Berger spheres in [14]. The richest case turned out to be that of the product spaces $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $H^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. For these manifolds, it was shown that, up to isometries of the ambient space, umbilical nontotally geodesic surfaces come in a one-parameter family of rotational surfaces, whose profile curves have been completely determined in terms of solutions of a certain ODE.

Received by the editors July 31, 2012.

Published electronically February 21, 2013.

R. T. partially supported by CNPq grant 311800/2009-2 and FAPESP grant 2011/21362-2.

AMS subject classification: 53B25, 53C40.

Keywords: umbilical submanifolds, product spaces $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $H^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

A local description of umbilical hypersurfaces of $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ of any dimension n was given in [17] and [5], respectively. Again, the nontotally geodesic ones are rotational hypersurfaces over curves in totally geodesic products $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, respectively. On the other hand, it was shown in [15] that a Riemannian product $M \times \mathbb{R}$ admits nontrivial totally umbilical hypersurfaces if and only if the Riemannian manifold M has locally the structure of a warped product, and in this case a local description of all such hypersurfaces was provided.

In this paper we give a complete classification of umbilical submanifolds of arbitrary dimension and codimension of $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$. To state our result, for a given integer $m \geq 2$ let $\Phi: S^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+2} \setminus \{0\}$ be the conformal diffeomorphism given by $\Phi(x, t) = e^t x$. Choose a closed half-line $\ell := \{\bar{x}\} \times [0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+2} = \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\bar{x} \neq 0$. Let $M_{r,h}^m$ be the image by Φ^{-1} of the m -dimensional sphere $S_{r,h}^m$ in \mathbb{R}^{m+2} of radius r centered on ℓ that lies in the affine hyperplane through (\bar{x}, h) orthogonal to ℓ , with the origin removed if $h = 0$ and $r = d := |\bar{x}|$. Then we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *The submanifold $M_{r,h}^m$ is a complete umbilical submanifold of $S^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ for every $r > 0$ and $h \geq 0$. Moreover, it has the following properties:*

- (i) *it is diffeomorphic to S^m if $(r, h) \neq (d, 0)$ and to \mathbb{R}^m if $(r, h) = (d, 0)$;*
- (ii) *it lies in a totally geodesic hypersurface $S^m \times \mathbb{R} \subset S^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $h = 0$;*
- (iii) *$M_{r,0}^m$ is homologous to zero in $S^m \times \mathbb{R}$ if $r < d$ and inhomologous to zero if $r > d$;*
- (iv) *it is a rotational submanifold whose profile is a curve in a totally geodesic submanifold $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ (respectively, $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$) if $h \neq 0$ (respectively, $h = 0$);*
- (v) *$M_{r,h}^m$ is not congruent to $M_{r',h'}^m$, if $(r, h) \neq (r', h')$.*

Conversely, any umbilical nontotally geodesic submanifold of $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with dimension $m \geq 2$ is, up to an isometry of the ambient space, an open subset of one of the following:

- (a) *a small sphere in $S^n \times \{0\}$;*
- (b) *$M_{r,0}^m$ for some $r > 0$ if $n = m$;*
- (c) *$M_{r,h}^m$ for some $r > 0$ and $h \geq 0$ if $n = m + 1$;*
- (d) *$M_{r,h}^m$ in a totally geodesic $S^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ for some $r > 0$ and $h \geq 0$ if $n > m + 1$.*

Moreover, we provide explicit parametrizations of all submanifolds $M_{r,h}^m$, $r > 0$, $h \geq 0$ (see Proposition 6.1) in terms of elementary functions. The precise meaning of $M_{r,h}^m$ being *rotational* is explained in Section 4.

In the process of proving Theorem 1.1, we have been led to study more general classes of submanifolds with interest on their own.

Let \mathbb{Q}_ϵ^n denote either S^n , \mathbb{R}^n , or \mathbb{H}^n , according to whether $\epsilon = 1$, $\epsilon = 0$, or $\epsilon = -1$, respectively. Given an isometric immersion $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, let $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ be a unit vector field tangent to the second factor. Thus, for $\epsilon = 0$ we just choose a unit constant vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Then, a tangent vector field T on M^m and a normal vector field η along f are defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = f_* T + \eta.$$

We denote by \mathcal{A} the class of isometric immersions $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with the property that T is an eigenvector of all shape operators of f . Our next result is a

complete description of all isometric immersions in class \mathcal{A} . First note that trivial examples are products $N^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, where N^{m-1} is a submanifold of \mathbb{Q}_ϵ^n , which correspond to the case in which the normal vector field η in (1.1) vanishes identically. We call these examples *vertical cylinders*. More interesting ones are constructed as follows. We consider the case $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, the case $\epsilon = 0$ being similar.

Let $g: N^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$ be an isometric immersion. Assume that there exists an orthonormal set of parallel normal vector fields ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k along g . This assumption is satisfied, for instance, if g has flat normal bundle. Thus, the vector subbundle E with rank k of the normal bundle $N^{\mathcal{S}}N$ of g spanned by ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k is parallel and flat. Let $j: \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $i: \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{n+2}$ denote the canonical inclusions, and let $\tilde{j} = i \circ j$. Here \mathbb{E}^{n+2} denotes either Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+2} if $\epsilon = 1$ or Lorentzian space \mathbb{L}^{n+2} if $\epsilon = -1$. Set $\tilde{\xi}_i = \tilde{j}_* \xi_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\tilde{\xi}_0 = \tilde{g}' := \tilde{j} \circ g'$, and $\tilde{\xi}_{k+1} = i_* \partial/\partial t$. Then the vector subbundle \tilde{E} of $N^{\mathcal{S}}N$ whose fiber $\tilde{E}(x)$ at $x \in N^{m-1}$ is spanned by $\tilde{\xi}_0, \dots, \tilde{\xi}_{k+1}$ is also parallel and flat, and we may define a vector bundle isometry $\phi: N^{m-1} \times \mathbb{E}^{k+2} \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ by

$$\phi_x(y) := \phi(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} y_i \tilde{\xi}_i, \quad \text{for } y = (y_0, \dots, y_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{E}^{k+2}.$$

Now let

$$f: M^m := N^{m-1} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$$

be given by

$$(1.2) \quad \tilde{f}(x, s) := (i \circ f)(x, s) = \phi_x(\gamma(s)) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \gamma_i(s) \tilde{\xi}_i(x),$$

where $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{E}^{k+2}$, $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_k, \gamma_{k+1})$, is a smooth regular curve such that $\epsilon\gamma_0^2 + \gamma_1^2 + \dots + \gamma_k^2 = \epsilon$ and γ_{k+1} has nonvanishing derivative. Notice that vertical cylinders correspond to the case in which γ parametrizes $\{\tilde{p}\} \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{E}^{k+2}$.

Theorem 1.2 *The map f defines, at regular points, an immersion in class \mathcal{A} . Conversely, any isometric immersion $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $m \geq 2$, in class \mathcal{A} is locally given in this way.*

A necessary and sufficient condition for a point $(x, s) \in M^m = N^{m-1} \times I$ to be regular for f is given in Proposition 3.2(ii).

The map \tilde{f} is a *partial tube over \tilde{g} with type fiber γ* in the sense of [6] (see also [7]). Geometrically, $\tilde{f}(M)$ is generated by parallel transporting the curve γ in a product submanifold $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R}$ of a fixed normal space of \tilde{g} with respect to its normal connection.

Theorem 1.2 extends to submanifolds with arbitrary codimension the main result of [16], where the case of hypersurfaces was studied. That the preceding construction coincides with the one in [16, Theorem 1] in the hypersurface case was already observed in Remarks 7(ii) in that paper. Some important classes of hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, that are included in class \mathcal{A} are hypersurfaces with constant

sectional curvature [13], rotational hypersurfaces [10], and constant angle hypersurfaces (see, e.g., [16]; see also Corollary 1.4 and the comments preceding it).

Let $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, be an isometric immersion, and set $\tilde{f} = i \circ f$, where $i: \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{n+2}$ is the canonical inclusion. It was shown in [13] that if $m = n$, then f is in class \mathcal{A} if and only if the vector field T in (1.1) is nowhere vanishing, and \tilde{f} has flat normal bundle. For submanifolds of higher codimension we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3 *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the vector field T in (1.1) is nowhere vanishing, and \tilde{f} has flat normal bundle;*
- (ii) *f has flat normal bundle and is in class \mathcal{A} ;*
- (iii) *\tilde{f} is locally given as in (1.2) in terms of an isometric immersion $g: N^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$ with flat normal bundle and a smooth regular curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{E}^{k+2}$, $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_k, \gamma_{k+1})$, with γ'_{k+1} nowhere vanishing.*

Observe that the vector field T vanishes at some point if and only if $f(M^m)$ is tangent to the slice $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \{t\}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ through that point. If T vanishes on an open connected subset $U \subset M^m$ then $f(U)$ is contained in some slice.

Notice that a surface in class \mathcal{A} automatically has a flat normal bundle. Hence, by Corollary 1.3, a surface in $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ is in class \mathcal{A} if and only if it has a flat normal bundle as a surface in the underlying flat space \mathbb{E}^{n+2} (and is nowhere tangent to a slice). By Theorem 1.2, any such surface is locally given by (1.2) in terms of a unit-speed curve $g: J \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$ and a smooth regular curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{E}^{k+2}$, $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_k, \gamma_{k+1})$, with γ'_{k+1} nowhere vanishing. Clearly, in this case the existence of an orthonormal set of parallel normal vector fields ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k along g is automatic for any $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

In the case of a hypersurface $f: M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, the vector field η in (1.1) can be written as $\eta = \rho N$, where N is a unit normal vector field along f . Then f is called a *constant angle hypersurface* if the function ρ is constant on M^n . One possible way to generalize this notion to submanifolds of higher codimension is to require the vector field η to be parallel in the normal connection. It turns out that submanifolds with this property also belong to class \mathcal{A} , and this leads to the following classification, extending [16, Corollary 2].

Corollary 1.4 *Let $f: M^m := N^{m-1} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be given by (1.2) with $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R}$ a geodesic of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R}$. Then f defines, at regular points, an immersion for which the vector field η in (1.1) is parallel in the normal connection. Conversely, any isometric immersion $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $m \geq 2$, such that T is nowhere vanishing and η is parallel in the normal connection, is locally given in this way.*

Another important subclass of class \mathcal{A} is that of *rotational submanifolds* in $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with curves in totally geodesic submanifolds $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^\ell \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ as profiles (see Section 4). We obtain the following characterization of independent interest. By a *geodesic circle* in \mathbb{Q}_ϵ^n we mean a curve whose curvature vector is parallel in the normal connection.

Corollary 1.5 *Let $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, be an isometric immersion such that the vector field T defined by (1.1) is nowhere vanishing. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *f is a rotational submanifold whose profile is a curve in a totally geodesic submanifold $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^{n-m+1} \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$;*
- (ii) *f is given as in (1.2) in terms of an umbilical isometric immersion $g: N^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$ (a geodesic circle, if $m = 2$);*
- (iii) *there exists a normal vector field ζ along f such that*

$$(1.3) \quad \alpha_f(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle \zeta \quad \text{for all } X \in TM \quad \text{and } Y \in \{T\}^\perp,$$

and ζ is parallel in the normal connection along $\{T\}^\perp$ if $m = 2$.

Moreover, for $\epsilon = 1$ the preceding assertions are equivalent to f being given as in (1.2) in terms of a totally geodesic isometric immersion $g: N^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$. This is also the case if $\epsilon = -1$ and f is of hyperbolic type in (i) and g an equidistant hypersurface in (ii).

Notice that in the hypersurface case, i.e., for $n = m$, the second fundamental form satisfies (1.3) if and only if f has at most two principal curvatures, and if it has exactly two, then one of them is simple with T as an eigenvector.

A key step in the classification of umbilical submanifolds in $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is the following result on reduction of codimension of isometric immersions into $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$. That an isometric immersion $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ reduces codimension to ℓ , or has substantial codimension ℓ , means that $f(M^m)$ is contained in a totally geodesic submanifold $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^{m+\ell-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$. We denote by ∇^\perp the normal connection of f and by $N_1(x)$ the first normal space of f at x , i.e., the subspace of $N_x^f M$ spanned by its second fundamental form.

Lemma 1.6 *Let $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, be an isometric immersion. Let η be the normal vector field defined by (1.1). Assume that $L := N_1 + \text{span}\{\eta\}$ is a subbundle of $N^f M$ with rank $\ell < n + 1 - m$ and that $\nabla^\perp N_1 \subset L$. Then f reduces codimension to ℓ .*

Lemma 1.6 should be compared with its well-known counterpart for submanifolds of space forms (see, e.g., [11]), in which case the corresponding condition for a submanifold to reduce codimension is that its first normal spaces form a parallel subbundle of the normal bundle. A necessary and sufficient condition for parallelism of the first normal bundle of a submanifold of a space form in terms of its normal curvature tensor R^\perp and mean curvature vector field H was obtained by Dajczer [8] (see also [9, Chapter 4]). The proof of Dajczer’s theorem can easily be adapted to yield the following result for submanifolds of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.7 *Let $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, be an isometric immersion. Let η be the normal vector field defined by (1.1). Assume that $L := N_1 + \text{span}\{\eta\}$ is a subbundle of $N^f M$ of rank $\ell < n + 1 - m$. Then $\nabla^\perp N_1 \subset L$ if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

- (i) $\nabla^\perp R^\perp|_{L^\perp} = 0$;
- (ii) $\nabla^\perp H \in L$.

As an application of Theorem 1.7, in Subsection 5.1 we give a simple proof of [3, Theorem 1] on surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$. By using this result together with Corollary 1.5 we prove the following corollary.

Corollary 1.8 *Any surface $f: M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, in class \mathcal{A} with parallel mean curvature vector is a rotational surface in a totally geodesic submanifold $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^m \times \mathbb{R}$, $m \leq 4$, over a curve in a totally geodesic submanifold $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^s \times \mathbb{R}$, $s \leq 3$.*

In the case $n = 2$, the preceding corollary is a special case of [16, Theorem 3], in which hypersurfaces $f: M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ in class \mathcal{A} with constant mean curvature and arbitrary dimension n were classified. It was pointed out in Remarks 7(i) of that paper that for $n = 2$ they are all rotational surfaces. Recently we learned that this was independently proved in [4, Theorem 1].

In [2], the authors introduced the real quadratic form

$$Q(X, Y) = 2\langle \alpha(X, Y), H \rangle - \epsilon \langle X, T \rangle \langle Y, T \rangle$$

on a surface $f: M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, as a generalization to higher codimensions of the Abresch–Rosenberg real quadratic form defined in [1]. Extending the result in [1] for constant mean curvature surfaces, they proved that the $(2, 0)$ -part $Q^{(2,0)}$ of Q is holomorphic for surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector field. This means that if (u, v) are isothermal coordinates on M^2 , then the complex function

$$Q(Z, Z) = 2\langle \alpha(Z, Z), H \rangle - \epsilon \langle Z, T \rangle^2$$

is holomorphic, where $Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial v})$ and the metric on M^2 is extended to a \mathbb{C} -bilinear map.

The same authors observed in [3] that surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector that are not contained in a slice of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and for which $Q^{(2,0)}$ vanishes identically belong to class \mathcal{A} . They also proved that a surface $f: M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$ with parallel mean curvature vector field has vanishing $Q^{(2,0)}$ if either M^2 is homeomorphic to a sphere or if $\epsilon = -1$, M^2 has Gaussian curvature $K \geq 0$ and K is not identically zero. By means of Corollary 1.8, we obtain the following improvement of part 4 of [3, Theorems 2 and 3].

Corollary 1.9 *Let $f: M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, be a surface with parallel mean curvature vector. Suppose $f(M^2)$ is not contained in a slice of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and either*

- (i) M^2 is homeomorphic to a sphere, or
- (ii) $\epsilon = -1$, M^2 is complete with curvature $K \geq 0$ and K is not identically zero.

Then f is a rotational surface (of spherical type in case (i)) in a totally geodesic submanifold $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^m \times \mathbb{R}$, $m \leq 4$, over a curve in a totally geodesic submanifold $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^s \times \mathbb{R}$, $s \leq 3$.

We observe that, if $f(M^2)$ is contained in a slice $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \{t\}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, then by [18, Theorem 4] either f is a minimal surface of an umbilical hypersurface of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \{t\}$ or it is a surface with constant mean curvature in a three-dimensional umbilical or totally geodesic submanifold of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \{t\}$. Moreover, if M^2 is homeomorphic to a sphere, then by Hopf’s Theorem it must be a totally umbilical 2-sphere of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \{t\}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we recall the basic equations of an isometric immersion into $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$. In Section 3 we study submanifolds in class \mathcal{A} and prove Theorem 1.2 as well as Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4. Section 4 is devoted to rotational submanifolds. In particular, Corollary 1.5 is proved. In Section 5 we prove Lemma 1.6 and Theorem 1.7 on reduction of codimension of isometric immersions into $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Then we apply the latter to give a simple proof of [3, Theorem 1] on reduction of codimension of surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$. We conclude this section with the proof of Corollary 1.8. Finally, in the last section we prove Theorem 1.1 on the classification of umbilical submanifolds of $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall the fundamental equations of an isometric immersion

$$f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Using that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is a parallel vector field in $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, we obtain by differentiating (1.1) that

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_X T = A_\eta^f X$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha_f(X, T) = -\nabla_X^\perp \eta$$

for all $X \in TM$. Here and in the sequel A_η^f stands for the shape operator of f in the direction η , given by

$$\langle A_\eta^f X, Y \rangle = \langle \alpha_f(X, Y), \eta \rangle \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in TM.$$

Notice that the vector field T is a gradient vector field. Namely, if $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\tilde{f} = i \circ f$, where $i: \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{n+2}$ denotes the canonical inclusion, then T is the gradient of the height function $h = \langle \tilde{f}, i_* \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rangle$. If $\epsilon = 0$, then T is the gradient of $h = \langle f, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rangle$.

The Gauss, Codazzi, and Ricci equations for f are, respectively (see, e.g., [12]),

$$(2.3) \quad R(X, Y)W = \epsilon(X \wedge Y - \langle Y, T \rangle X \wedge T + \langle X, T \rangle Y \wedge T)W + A_{\alpha(Y, W)}^f X - A_{\alpha(X, W)}^f Y,$$

$$(2.4) \quad (\nabla_X^\perp \alpha)(Y, W) - (\nabla_Y^\perp \alpha)(X, W) = \epsilon(\langle X, W \rangle \langle Y, T \rangle - \langle Y, W \rangle \langle X, T \rangle) \eta$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad R^\perp(X, Y)\zeta = \alpha(X, A_\zeta^f Y) - \alpha(A_\zeta^f X, Y).$$

Equation (2.4) can also be written as

$$(2.6) \quad (\nabla_Y A^f)(X, \zeta) - (\nabla_X A^f)(Y, \zeta) = \epsilon \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle (X \wedge Y)T,$$

where $(X \wedge Y)T = \langle Y, T \rangle X - \langle X, T \rangle Y$.

Although this will not be used in the sequel, it is worth mentioning that equations (2.1)–(2.5) completely determine an isometric immersion $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ up to isometries of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ (see [12, Corollary 3]).

We now relate the second fundamental forms and normal connections of f and \tilde{f} . First notice that $\hat{\nu} = \pi \circ i$ is a unit normal vector field to the inclusion $i: \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{n+2}$, $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, where $\pi: \mathbb{E}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ is the projection, and

$$\tilde{\nabla}_Z \hat{\nu} = \pi_* i_* Z = i_* Z - \left\langle i_* Z, i_* \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right\rangle i_* \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = i_* \left(Z - \left\langle Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right\rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right),$$

for every $Z \in T(\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R})$, where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the derivative in \mathbb{E}^{n+2} . Hence

$$(2.7) \quad A_{\hat{\nu}}^i Z = -Z + \left\langle Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right\rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

The normal spaces of f and \tilde{f} are related by

$$N^{\tilde{f}}M = i_* N^fM \oplus \text{span}\{\nu\},$$

where $\nu = \hat{\nu} \circ f = \pi \circ \tilde{f}$. Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Given $\xi \in N^fM$, we obtain from (2.7) that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X i_* \xi = i_* \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi + \alpha_i(f_* X, \xi) = -\tilde{f}_* A_\xi^f X + i_* \nabla_X^\perp \xi + \epsilon \langle X, T \rangle \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \nu,$$

hence

$$(2.8) \quad A_{i_* \xi}^{\tilde{f}} = A_\xi^f$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X^\perp i_* \xi = i_* \nabla_X^\perp \xi + \epsilon \langle X, T \rangle \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \nu$$

for every $\xi \in N^fM$, where $\tilde{\nabla}^\perp$ is the normal connection of \tilde{f} . On the other hand,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \nu = \tilde{\nabla}_X \hat{\nu} \circ f = \tilde{\nabla}_{f_* X} \hat{\nu} = \tilde{f}_*(X - \langle X, T \rangle T) - \langle X, T \rangle i_* \eta,$$

hence $A_\nu^{\tilde{f}} X = -X + \langle X, T \rangle T$, or equivalently,

$$(2.10) \quad A_\nu^{\tilde{f}} T = -\|\eta\|^2 T \quad \text{and} \quad A_\nu^{\tilde{f}} X = -X \quad \text{for } X \in \{T\}^\perp,$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X^\perp \nu = -\langle X, T \rangle i_* \eta.$$

3 Class \mathcal{A}

In this section we study submanifolds in class \mathcal{A} . In particular, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and of Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4. We start with the following observation.

Proposition 3.1 *Assume that the vector field T in (1.1) is nowhere vanishing. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) T is an eigenvector of A_ζ^f for all $\zeta \in N^f M$;
- (ii) η is parallel along $\{T\}^\perp$;
- (iii) $A_\nu^{\tilde{f}}$ commutes with A_ζ^f for all $\zeta \in N^f M$.

Proof The equivalence between (i) and (ii) follows from (2.2), whereas (2.10) implies the equivalence between (i) and (iii). ■

Before going into the proof of Theorem 1.2, we write down in the next proposition the differential, the normal space, and the second fundamental form of an immersion

$$\tilde{f} = i \circ f: M^m := N^{m-1} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{E}^{n+2}, \quad \epsilon \in \{-1, 1\},$$

which is given by (1.2) in terms of an isometric immersion $g: N^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$ and a smooth regular curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{E}^{k+2}$, $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_k, \gamma_{k+1})$, with $\epsilon\gamma_0^2 + \gamma_1^2 + \dots + \gamma_k^2 = \epsilon$. The case $\epsilon = 0$ is similar. We use the notations before the statement of Theorem 1.2. Given $x \in N^{m-1}$, $X \in T_x N$ and $s \in I$, we denote by $X^{\mathcal{J}c}$ the unique vector in $T_{(x,s)}M$ such that $\pi_{1*}X^{\mathcal{J}c} = X$ and $\pi_{2*}X^{\mathcal{J}c} = 0$, where $\pi_1: M^m \rightarrow N^{m-1}$ and $\pi_2: M^m \rightarrow I$ are the canonical projections.

Proposition 3.2 *The following holds:*

- (i) *The differential of \tilde{f} is given by*

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{f}_*(x, s)X^{\mathcal{J}c} = \tilde{g}_*(x)(\gamma_0(s)I - \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i(s)A_{\xi_i}^g(x))X, \quad \text{for every } X \in T_x N,$$

where I is the identity endomorphism of $T_x N$, and

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{f}_*(x, s)\frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \phi_x(\gamma'(s)).$$

- (ii) *The map \tilde{f} (and hence f) is an immersion at (x, s) if and only if*

$$P_s(x) := \gamma_0(s)I - \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i(s)A_{\xi_i}^g(x) = -A_{\phi_x(\tilde{\gamma}(s))}^{\tilde{g}} = -A_{\phi_x(\gamma(s))}^g,$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}(s) = (\gamma_0(s), \dots, \gamma_k(s), 0)$, is an invertible endomorphism of $T_x N$.

(iii) If \tilde{f} is an immersion at (x, s) , then

$$N_{(x,s)}^{\tilde{f}}M = \tilde{j}_*E(x)^\perp \oplus \phi_x(\gamma'(s)^\perp) \subset N_x^{\tilde{g}}N,$$

where $E(x)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $E(x)$ in N_x^gN , and

$$(3.3) \quad N_{(x,s)}^{\tilde{f}}M = i_*N_{(x,s)}^fM \oplus \text{span}\{(\pi \circ \tilde{f})(x, s)\} = i_*N_{(x,s)}^fM \oplus \text{span}\{\phi_x(\tilde{\gamma}(s))\}.$$

(iv) If \tilde{f} is an immersion at (x, s) , then

$$(3.4) \quad A_\xi^{\tilde{f}}(x, s)X^{\mathcal{H}} = (P_s(x)^{-1}A_\xi^{\tilde{g}}(x)X)^{\mathcal{H}}$$

for all $\xi \in N_{(x,s)}^{\tilde{f}}M$ and $X \in T_xN$,

$$(3.5) \quad A_\xi^{\tilde{f}}(x, s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = 0, \quad \text{if } \xi \in \tilde{j}_*E(x)^\perp,$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad A_{\phi_x(\zeta)}^{\tilde{f}}(x, s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \frac{\langle \gamma''(s), \zeta \rangle}{\langle \gamma'(s), \gamma'(s) \rangle} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad \text{if } \zeta \in \mathbb{E}^{k+2}, \quad \langle \zeta, \gamma'(s) \rangle = 0.$$

Proof Given a smooth curve $\beta: J \rightarrow N^{m-1}$ with $0 \in J$, $\beta(0) = x$, and $\beta'(0) = X$, for each $s \in I$ let $\beta_s: J \rightarrow M^m$ be given by $\beta_s(t) = (\beta(t), s)$. Then $\beta_s(0) = (x, s)$ and $\beta'_s(0) = X^{\mathcal{H}}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_*(x, s)X^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \tilde{f}(\beta_s(t)) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \gamma_i(s) \tilde{\xi}_i(\beta(t)) \\ &= \tilde{g}_*(x) \left(\gamma_0(s)I - \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i(s) A_{\xi_i}^{\tilde{g}}(x) \right) X, \end{aligned}$$

and (3.1) follows from the fact that $A_{\xi_i}^{\tilde{g}} = A_{\xi_i}^g$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$.

The proof of (3.2) is straightforward, and the assertions in (ii) and (iii) follow immediately from (i). To prove (3.4), given $\xi \in N_{(x,s)}^{\tilde{f}}M$ and $X \in T_xN$, let $\beta: J \rightarrow N^{m-1}$ and $\beta_s: J \rightarrow M^m$ be as in the beginning of the proof. Then, using (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{f}_*(x, s)A_\xi^{\tilde{f}}(x, s)X^{\mathcal{H}} &= (\tilde{\nabla}_{X^{\mathcal{H}}}\xi)^T = \left(\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \xi(\beta_s(t)) \right)^T = -\tilde{g}_*(x)A_\xi^{\tilde{g}}(x)X \\ &= -\tilde{g}_*(x)P_s(x)P_s(x)^{-1}A_\xi^{\tilde{g}}(x)X = -\tilde{f}_*(x, s)(P_s(x)^{-1}A_\xi^{\tilde{g}}(x)X)^{\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned}$$

and (3.4) follows. Here, putting T as a superscript of a vector means taking its tangent component.

Formula (3.5) is clear. As for (3.6), given $\zeta \in \mathbb{E}^{k+2}$ with $\langle \zeta, \gamma'(s) \rangle = 0$, extend ζ to a parallel normal vector field along γ , so that

$$\zeta'(s) = \frac{\langle \zeta'(s), \gamma'(s) \rangle}{\langle \gamma'(s), \gamma'(s) \rangle} \gamma'(s) = -\frac{\langle \gamma''(s), \zeta(s) \rangle}{\langle \gamma'(s), \gamma'(s) \rangle} \gamma'(s).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{f}_*(x, s) A_{\phi_x(\zeta)}^{\tilde{f}}(x, s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} &= \left(\tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \phi_x(\zeta) \right)^T = \left(\phi_x(\zeta'(s)) \right)^T \\ &= -\frac{\langle \gamma''(s), \zeta(s) \rangle}{\langle \gamma'(s), \gamma'(s) \rangle} \left(\phi_x(\gamma'(s)) \right)^T \\ &= -\tilde{f}_*(x, s) \frac{\langle \gamma''(s), \zeta(s) \rangle}{\langle \gamma'(s), \gamma'(s) \rangle} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.2) in the last equality. This gives (3.6) and completes the proof. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2 It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that $\langle X^{\mathcal{J}^c}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle = 0$ for any $X \in TN$, with respect to the metric induced by f . On the other hand, we also have from (3.1) that $\langle X^{\mathcal{J}^c}, T \rangle = \langle \tilde{f}_* X^{\mathcal{J}^c}, i_* \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rangle = 0$ for any $X \in TN$. Hence T is in the direction of $\partial/\partial s$. We have

$$\langle T, \partial/\partial s \rangle = \langle \tilde{f}_* T, \tilde{f}_* \partial/\partial s \rangle = \langle i_* \partial/\partial t, \phi_x(\gamma'(s)) \rangle = \gamma'_{k+1}(s),$$

hence

$$T = \frac{\langle T, \partial/\partial s \rangle}{\langle \partial/\partial s, \partial/\partial s \rangle} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \frac{\gamma'_{k+1}(s)}{\|\gamma'(s)\|^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}.$$

In particular, T is nowhere vanishing by the assumption that $\gamma'_{k+1}(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$. That f belongs to class \mathcal{A} now follows from (2.8), (3.5), and (3.6).

Let us prove the converse. Since $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ belongs to class \mathcal{A} , the vector field T is nowhere vanishing, and using (2.1) and the fact that T is a gradient vector field, we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \langle \nabla_T T, X \rangle = \langle \nabla_X T, T \rangle = \langle A_\eta^f T, X \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any } X \in \{T\}^\perp.$$

Hence, the one-dimensional distribution spanned by T is totally geodesic. Moreover, since T is a gradient, then the orthogonal distribution $\{T\}^\perp$ is integrable. Therefore, there exists locally a diffeomorphism $\psi: N^{m-1} \times I \rightarrow M^m$, where I is an open interval containing 0, such that $\psi(x, \cdot): I \rightarrow M^m$ are integral curves of T for any $x \in N^{m-1}$ and $\psi(\cdot, s): N^{m-1} \rightarrow M^m$ are leaves of $\{T\}^\perp$ for any $s \in I$. Denoting by E_1 and E_2 the distributions given by tangent spaces to the leaves of the product foliation of $N^{m-1} \times I$, we have that E_1 and E_2 are mutually orthogonal and E_2 is totally geodesic with respect to the metric induced by ψ . Set $\tilde{f} = i \circ f \circ \psi$. Then

$$(3.8) \quad \left\langle \tilde{f}_* X, i_* \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right\rangle = \langle \psi_* X, T \rangle = 0$$

for every $X \in E_1$. Moreover, $\alpha_{\tilde{f}}(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}) = 0$ for every $X \in E_1$, in view of (2.10) and the fact that f belongs to class \mathcal{A} . Hence, using that E_2 is totally geodesic we obtain that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \tilde{f}_* X = \tilde{f}_* \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} X + \alpha_{\tilde{f}}\left(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) = \tilde{f}_* \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} X \in \tilde{f}_* E_1$$

for all $X \in E_1$, hence $\tilde{f}_* E_1$ is constant in \mathbb{E}^{n+2} along the leaves of E_2 . In view of (3.8) we can assume that $\tilde{g} := \tilde{f}(\cdot, 0)$ satisfies $\tilde{g}(N^{m-1}) \subset \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \{0\}$. Set $\hat{f} = \pi \circ \tilde{f}$ and $h = \langle \tilde{f}, i_* \partial / \partial t \rangle$, so that

$$\tilde{f} = \hat{f} + h i_* \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

Using (3.8) we obtain

$$\langle \tilde{f}_* X, \tilde{f} \rangle = \langle \hat{f}_* X, \hat{f} \rangle = 0$$

for all $X \in E_1$, since $\langle \hat{f}, \hat{f} \rangle = \epsilon$. Therefore, we have

$$\tilde{f}(x, s) \in (\tilde{f}_*(x, s)E_1(x, s))^\perp = (\tilde{f}_*(x, 0)E_1(x, 0))^\perp = (\tilde{g}_*(x)T_x N)^\perp,$$

where in the first equality we have used that $\tilde{f}_* E_1$ is constant in \mathbb{E}^{n+2} along E_2 . Hence, for fixed $s \in I$, we have that $\xi_s(x) := \tilde{f}(x, s)$ defines a normal vector field along \tilde{g} . Moreover,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi_s \in \tilde{f}_*(x, s)E_1(x, s) = \tilde{g}_*(x)T_x N,$$

thus ξ_s is parallel along \tilde{g} in the normal connection. It follows that

$$x \in N \mapsto W(x) := \text{span}\{\tilde{f}(x, s) : s \in I\}$$

is a parallel flat subbundle of $N^{\tilde{g}}N$. Moreover, since $\langle \hat{f}(x, s), \hat{f}(x, s) \rangle = \epsilon$, for any fixed $x \in N$ the image of $s \in I \mapsto \tilde{f}(x, s)$ is contained in a cylinder

$$\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R} = W(x) \cap (\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^{n-m+1} \times \mathbb{R}) \subset N_x^{\tilde{g}}N,$$

where $k + 2$ is the rank of W .

Let $g: N^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$ be defined by $\tilde{g} = j \circ g$, and let $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k\}$ be an orthonormal set of parallel normal vector fields along g such that $\tilde{\xi}_i = i_* \xi_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\tilde{\xi}_0 = \tilde{g}$, and $\tilde{\xi}_{k+1} = i_* \partial / \partial t$ form an orthonormal frame of W . Note that, for any $X \in E_1$, we have

$$X \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{\xi}_i \rangle = \langle \tilde{f}_* X, \tilde{\xi}_i \rangle + \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\xi}_i \rangle = 0,$$

for \tilde{f} is a normal vector field, $\tilde{f}_*(x, s)X \in \tilde{g}_*(x)T_x N$ and $\tilde{\xi}_i$ is parallel in the normal connection of \tilde{g} . Then we can write

$$\tilde{f}(x, s) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \gamma_i \tilde{\xi}_i, \quad \text{with } \gamma_i = \gamma_i(s).$$

Moreover, from $\langle \hat{f}, \hat{f} \rangle = \epsilon$ we obtain that $\epsilon \gamma_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i^2 = \epsilon$. ■

Proof of Corollary 1.3 It follows from the Ricci equation (2.5) that f has a flat normal bundle if and only if all shape operators $A_\zeta^f, \zeta \in N^fM$, are simultaneously diagonalizable, whereas \tilde{f} has flat normal bundle if and only if this holds for all shape operators $A_\xi^{\tilde{f}}, \xi \in N^{\tilde{f}}M$. The equivalence between (i) and (ii) then follows from (2.8) and Proposition 3.1.

By Theorem 1.2, an isometric immersion $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is in class \mathcal{A} if and only if it is locally given as in (1.2) in terms of an isometric immersion $g: N^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$ and a regular curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+2}, \gamma = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{k+1})$, with $\gamma'_{k+1} \neq 0$. Since the vector subbundle \tilde{E} of $N^{\tilde{g}}N$ spanned by $\tilde{\xi}_0, \dots, \tilde{\xi}_{k+1}$ is flat, it follows from the Ricci equation for \tilde{g} that $A_\xi^{\tilde{g}}$ commutes with any shape operator of \tilde{g} for all $\xi \in \tilde{E}$. Then we obtain from (2.8) and (3.4) that all shape operators of f commute if and only if the same holds for the shape operators of g . By the Ricci equation, we conclude that f has flat normal bundle if and only if the same holds for g . Hence (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. ■

Proof of Corollary 1.4 Let $f: M^m := N^{m-1} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be given by (1.2) with $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R}$ a geodesic of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R}$. By Theorem 1.2, the immersion f belongs to class \mathcal{A} , hence η is parallel along $\{T\}^\perp$ by Proposition 3.1. On the other hand, the fact that $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R}$ is a geodesic of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R}$ implies that $\alpha_f(T, T) = 0$ by (3.6). Thus η is parallel in the normal connection of f by (2.2).

Conversely, let $f: M^m := N^{m-1} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be an isometric immersion with the property that the vector field η is parallel in the normal connection. We obtain from (2.2) that f belongs to class \mathcal{A} and that $\alpha_f(T, T) = 0$. By Theorem 1.2, it is locally given by (1.2) in terms of an isometric immersion $g: N^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$ and a smooth regular curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R}$. That γ is a geodesic follows from $\alpha_f(T, T) = 0$ and equation (3.6). ■

4 Rotational Submanifolds in $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$

In this section we define rotational submanifolds in $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with curves as profiles, extending the definition in [10] for the hypersurface case. Then we prove Corollary 1.5.

Let (x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) be the standard coordinates on \mathbb{E}^{n+2} with respect to which the flat metric is written as

$$ds^2 = \epsilon dx_0^2 + dx_2^2 + \dots + dx_{n+1}^2.$$

Regard \mathbb{E}^{n+1} as

$$\mathbb{E}^{n+1} = \{ (x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{E}^{n+2} : x_{n+1} = 0 \}$$

and

$$\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n = \{ (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} : \epsilon x_0^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = \epsilon \} \quad (x_0 > 0 \text{ if } \epsilon = -1).$$

Let P^{n-m+3} be a subspace of \mathbb{E}^{n+2} of dimension $n - m + 3$ containing the e_0 and the e_{n+1} directions, where $\{e_0, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$ is the canonical basis. Then

$$(\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}) \cap P^{n-m+3} = \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^{n-m+1} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Denote by \mathcal{J} the group of isometries of \mathbb{E}^{n+2} that fix pointwise a subspace $P^{n-m+2} \subset P^{n-m+3}$ also containing the e_{n+1} direction. Consider a curve γ in $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^{n-m+1} \times \mathbb{R} \subset P^{n-m+3}$ that lies in one of the two half-spaces of P^{n-m+3} determined by P^{n-m+2} .

Definition 4.1 An m -dimensional rotational submanifold in $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with profile curve γ and axis P^{n-m+2} is the orbit of γ under the action of \mathcal{J} .

We will always assume that P^{n-m+3} is spanned by e_0, e_m, \dots, e_{n+1} . In the case $\epsilon = 1$, we also assume that P^{n-m+2} is spanned by e_m, \dots, e_{n+1} . Writing the curve γ as

$$\gamma(s) = \gamma_0(s)e_0 + \sum_{i=m}^n \gamma_{i-m+1}(s)e_i + h(s)e_{n+1},$$

with $\sum_{i=0}^{n-m+1} \gamma_i^2 = 1$, the rotational submanifold in $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with profile curve γ and axis P^{n-m+2} can be parametrized by

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{f}(s, t) = (\gamma_0(s)\varphi_1(t), \dots, \gamma_0(s)\varphi_m(t), \gamma_1(s), \dots, \gamma_{n-m+1}(s), h(s)),$$

where $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{m-1})$ and $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ parametrizes $S^{m-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$.

For $\epsilon = -1$, one has three distinct possibilities, according as P^{n-m+2} is Lorentzian, Riemannian, or degenerate, respectively, and the rotational submanifold is called accordingly of *spherical*, *hyperbolic* or *parabolic* type. In the first case, we can assume that P^{n-m+2} is spanned by $e_0, e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{n+1}$ and that

$$(4.2) \quad \gamma(s) = \gamma_0(s)e_0 + \sum_{i=m}^n \gamma_{i-m+1}(s)e_i + h(s)e_{n+1},$$

with $-\gamma_0^2(s) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-m+1} \gamma_i^2 = -1$. Then the submanifold can be parametrized by

$$\tilde{f}(s, t) = (\gamma_0(s), \gamma_1(s)\varphi_1(t), \dots, \gamma_1(s)\varphi_m(t), \gamma_2(s), \dots, \gamma_{n-m+1}(s), h(s)),$$

where again $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{m-1})$ and $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ parametrizes $S^{m-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$.

In the second case, we can assume that P^{n-m+2} is spanned by e_m, \dots, e_{n+1} . Then, with the curve γ also given as in (4.2), a parametrization is

$$\tilde{f}(s, t) = (\gamma_0(s)\varphi_1(t), \dots, \gamma_0(s)\varphi_m(t), \gamma_1(s), \dots, \gamma_{n-m+1}(s), h(s)),$$

where $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{m-1})$ and $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ parametrizes $\mathbb{H}^{m-1} \subset \mathbb{L}^m$.

Finally, when P^{n-m+2} is degenerate, we choose a pseudo-orthonormal basis

$$\hat{e}_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-e_0 + e_n), \quad \hat{e}_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_0 + e_n), \quad \hat{e}_j = e_j,$$

for $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1, n+1\}$, and assume that P^{n-m+2} is spanned by $\hat{e}_m, \dots, \hat{e}_{n+1}$. Notice that $\langle \hat{e}_0, \hat{e}_0 \rangle = 0 = \langle \hat{e}_n, \hat{e}_n \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{e}_0, \hat{e}_n \rangle = 1$. Then we can parametrize γ by

$$\gamma(s) = \gamma_0(s)\hat{e}_0 + \sum_{i=m}^n \gamma_{i-m+1}(s)\hat{e}_i + h(s)\hat{e}_{n+1},$$

with $2\gamma_0(s)\gamma_{n-m+1}(s) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} \gamma_i^2(s) = -1$, and a parametrization of the rotational submanifold is

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{f}(s, t) = \left(\gamma_0, \gamma_0 t_1, \dots, \gamma_0 t_{m-1}, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-m}, \gamma_{n-m+1} - \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} t_i^2, h \right),$$

where $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{m-1})$ parametrizes \mathbb{R}^{m-1} , $\gamma_i = \gamma_i(s)$, $0 \leq i \leq n - m + 1$, and $h = h(s)$.

Proof of Corollary 1.5 We can write (4.1) as

$$\tilde{f}(s, t) = \gamma_0(s)\widehat{g}(t) + \sum_{i=m}^n \gamma_{i-m+1}(s)e_i + h(s)e_{n+1},$$

where $\widehat{g}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(t)e_i$ for $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{m-1})$. This shows that for $\epsilon = 1$ a rotational submanifold is given as in (1.2) in terms of a totally geodesic isometric immersion $\widehat{g}: \mathbb{S}^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$. The case of a rotational submanifold of hyperbolic type in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is similar. In particular, this proves that (i) implies (ii) in these cases.

Equation (4.3) can be written as

$$(4.4) \quad \tilde{f}(s, t) = \gamma_0(s)\widehat{g} + \sum_{i=m}^n \gamma_{i-m+1}(s)\widehat{e}_i + h(s)\widehat{e}_{n+1},$$

where

$$\widehat{g}(t) = \widehat{e}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} t_i \widehat{e}_i - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} t_i^2 \right) \widehat{e}_n.$$

Notice that \widehat{g} defines an isometric immersion of \mathbb{R}^{m-1} into \mathbb{L}^{n+2} (in fact into the light-cone \mathbb{V}^{n+1} , for $\langle \widehat{g}, \widehat{g} \rangle = 0$), and that $\widehat{g}, \widehat{e}_m, \dots, \widehat{e}_n, \widehat{e}_{n+1}$ is a pseudo-orthonormal basis of $N^{\widehat{g}}\mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, with $\langle \widehat{g}, \widehat{g} \rangle = 0 = \langle \widehat{e}_n, \widehat{e}_n \rangle$, $\langle \widehat{g}, \widehat{e}_n \rangle = 1$ and $\widehat{e}_m, \dots, \widehat{e}_{n-1}, \widehat{e}_{n+1}$ an orthonormal basis of $\text{span}\{\widehat{g}, \widehat{e}_n\}^\perp$. For any fixed $s_0 \in I$, let $g: \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ be given by $g = \gamma(s_0)\widehat{g} + \nu$, where $\nu = \sum_{i=m}^n \gamma_{i-m+1}(s_0)\widehat{e}_i$. Then g defines an umbilical immersion with the same normal space in \mathbb{L}^{n+2} as \widehat{g} at every $t \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, i.e.,

$$\text{span}\{\widehat{g}, \widehat{e}_m, \dots, \widehat{e}_n, \widehat{e}_{n+1}\} = \text{span}\{g, \widetilde{\xi}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\xi}_{n-m+1}, \widehat{e}_{n+1}\},$$

where $\widetilde{\xi}_i = i_* \xi_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n - m + 1$, for a parallel orthonormal frame $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-m+1}$ of $N^g\mathbb{R}^{m-1}$. Hence we can also write (4.4) as

$$\tilde{f}(s, t) = \widetilde{\gamma}_0(s)g + \sum_{i=1}^{n-m+1} \widetilde{\gamma}_i(s)\widetilde{\xi}_i + h(s)\widehat{e}_{n+1},$$

where $\widetilde{\gamma}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{n-m+3}$ is a regular curve satisfying $-\widetilde{\gamma}_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-m+1} \widetilde{\gamma}_i^2 = -1$. Thus condition (ii) holds for f . The case of a spherical rotational submanifold is similar and easier.

Now suppose that f is given as in (1.2) in terms of an umbilical isometric immersion $g: N^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$ (a geodesic circle if $m = 2$). Suppose first that $\epsilon = 1$. We can assume that the affine hull of $g(N^{m-1})$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is $v + W$, where W is the subspace spanned by $\{e_0, \dots, e_{m-1}\}$ and $v \in W^\perp$, hence $g = a\widehat{g} + v$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and \widehat{g} is the composition $\widehat{g} = i \circ \widetilde{g}$ of a homothety $\widetilde{g}: N^{m-1} \rightarrow S^{m-1}$ with the canonical inclusion i of S^{m-1} into $W = \mathbb{R}^m$ as the unit sphere centered at the origin. Then g and \widehat{g} have the same normal spaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} at every point of N^{m-1} , that is,

$$\text{span}\{\widehat{g}, \widehat{e}_m, \dots, \widehat{e}_n, \widehat{e}_{n+1}\} = \text{span}\{\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{\xi}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\xi}_{n-m+1}, \widehat{e}_{n+1}\},$$

where $\widetilde{\xi}_i = i_*\xi_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n - m + 1$, for a parallel orthonormal frame $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-m+1}$ of $N^g S^{m-1}$. Hence f can also be parametrized by

$$\widetilde{f}(s, t) = \widetilde{\gamma}_0 \widehat{g} + \sum_{i=m}^n \widetilde{\gamma}_{i-m+1}(s) \widehat{e}_i + h(s) \widehat{e}_{n+1},$$

where $\widetilde{\gamma}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{n-m+3}$ is a smooth regular curve satisfying $\sum_{i=0}^{n-m+1} \widetilde{\gamma}_i^2 = 1$. Thus f is a rotational submanifold with $\widetilde{\gamma}$ as profile.

If $\epsilon = -1$, we argue for the parabolic case, the others being similar and easier. We can assume that $N^{m-1} = \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ and that the affine hull of $g(\mathbb{R}^{m-1})$ in \mathbb{L}^{n+1} is $v + W$, where W is the subspace spanned by $\{\widehat{e}_1, \dots, \widehat{e}_{m-1}, \widehat{e}_n\}$ and $v \in W^\perp$. Then $g = \widehat{g} + v$, where

$$\widehat{g}(t) = \widehat{e}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} t_i \widehat{e}_i - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} t_i^2 \right) \widehat{e}_n$$

for $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{m-1})$. As before, by using the fact that g and \widehat{g} have the same normal spaces in \mathbb{L}^{n+1} for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, we conclude that f is a rotational submanifold parametrized as in (4.4).

The second fundamental form of f being given by (1.3) is equivalent to the restriction of each shape operator A_ξ^f to $\{T\}^\perp$ being a multiple of the identity tensor. By (2.8) and (2.10), this is equivalent to the same property being satisfied by all shape operators of f . In particular, the immersion f is in class \mathcal{A} , hence it is locally given as in (1.2) in terms of an isometric immersion $g: N^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$ and a regular curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^k \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+2}$. It follows from (3.4) that, for each $x \in N^{m-1}$ and $s \in I$, the operator $P_s^{-1}(x)A_\xi^g(x)$, with $P_s(x) = -A_{\phi_x(\gamma(s))}^g$, is a multiple of the identity endomorphism I of $T_x N$ for any

$$\xi \in N_{(x,s)}^{\widetilde{f}} M = \widetilde{j}_* E(x)^\perp \oplus \phi_x(\gamma'(s)^\perp) \subset N_x^{\widetilde{g}} N.$$

Hence $A_\xi^g(x)$ is a multiple of $P_s(x)$ for any $\xi \in N_{(x,s)}^{\widetilde{f}} M$. It follows that, for each $s \in I$, there exists a hyperplane W_s in $N_{(x,s)}^{\widetilde{f}} M \subset N_x^{\widetilde{g}} N$ such that $A_\xi^g(x) = 0$ for any $\xi \in W_s$. If the family of subspaces $s \mapsto W_s$ is not constant, since $A_\xi^g = -I$, then the subspace spanned by all W_s , $s \in I$, must be a hyperplane $W \subset N_x^{\widetilde{g}} N$ with $A_\xi^g(x) = 0$ for any $\xi \in W$. Therefore, the map $\xi \in N_x^{\widetilde{g}} N \mapsto A_\xi^g(x)$ has rank one, and hence its image is spanned by I . Thus \widetilde{g} , and hence g , is umbilical.

Assume now that all W_s coincide with a fixed subspace $W \subset N_x^{\tilde{g}}N$. Then W cannot contain $\tilde{\xi}_{k+1} = i_*\partial/\partial t$, otherwise $\partial/\partial t$ would be normal to f , contradicting the fact that the vector field T in (1.1) is nowhere vanishing. Then $A_{\tilde{\xi}}^{\tilde{g}}(x) = 0$ for any ξ in the hyperplane of $N_x^{\tilde{g}}N$ spanned by W and $i_*\partial/\partial t$, and we conclude as before that g is umbilical.

Conversely, if f is locally given as in (1.2) in terms of an umbilical isometric immersion $g: N^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$, then formulas (2.8) and (3.4)–(3.6) imply that the restriction of each shape operator $A_{\tilde{\xi}}^f$ to $\{T\}^\perp$ is a multiple of the identity tensor, hence the second fundamental form of f is as in (1.3).

To conclude the proof that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent, it remains to show that for $m = 2$ the additional assumption that the vector field ζ in (1.3) be parallel along $\{T\}^\perp$ is equivalent to the unit-speed curve $g: J := N^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$ being a geodesic circle.

Write $\zeta = \alpha_f(X, X)$, where X is a unit vector field orthogonal to T . Let $\tilde{f} = i \circ f$. In view of (2.10) we have

$$\alpha_{\tilde{f}}(X, X) = i_*\alpha_f(X, X) - \nu,$$

hence using (2.9) and (2.11) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_X \alpha_{\tilde{f}}(X, X) &= -\tilde{f}_* A_{\alpha_{\tilde{f}}(X, X)}^{\tilde{f}} X + \tilde{\nabla}_X^\perp \alpha_{\tilde{f}}(X, X) \\ &= -\tilde{f}_* A_{\alpha_{\tilde{f}}(X, X)}^{\tilde{f}} X + i_* \nabla_X^\perp \alpha_f(X, X). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\nabla_X^\perp \alpha_f(X, X) = 0$ if and only if

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X \alpha_{\tilde{f}}(X, X) = -\tilde{f}_* A_{\alpha_{\tilde{f}}(X, X)}^{\tilde{f}} X.$$

It follows from (3.4) that at the point (t, s) we have

$$(4.6) \quad \alpha_{\tilde{f}}(X, X) = \frac{\tilde{g}''(t)}{\langle \tilde{g}''(t), \phi_t(\tilde{\gamma}(s)) \rangle},$$

where $\tilde{g} = \tilde{j} \circ g$. From (3.1) we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad X = \frac{1}{\langle \tilde{g}''(t), \phi_t(\tilde{\gamma}(s)) \rangle} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H},$$

where $\frac{d}{dt}$ is a unit vector field along J . Hence

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X \alpha_{\tilde{f}}(X, X) = -\frac{\langle \tilde{g}'''(t), \phi_t(\tilde{\gamma}(s)) \rangle}{\langle \tilde{g}''(t), \phi_t(\tilde{\gamma}(s)) \rangle^3} \tilde{g}''(t) + \frac{1}{\langle \tilde{g}''(t), \phi_t(\tilde{\gamma}(s)) \rangle^2} \tilde{g}'''(t).$$

On the other hand, equations (3.1), (4.6), and (4.7) yield

$$(4.9) \quad \tilde{f}_* A_{\alpha_{\tilde{f}}(X, X)}^{\tilde{f}} X = \frac{\langle \tilde{g}''(t), \tilde{g}''(t) \rangle}{\langle \tilde{g}''(t), \phi_t(\tilde{\gamma}(s)) \rangle^2} \tilde{g}'(t).$$

It follows from (4.8) and (4.9) that (4.5) holds if and only if

$$\tilde{g}'''(t) + \langle \tilde{g}''(t), \tilde{g}''(t) \rangle \tilde{g}'(t) = -\frac{\langle \tilde{g}'''(t), \phi_t(\tilde{\gamma}(s)) \rangle}{\langle \tilde{g}''(t), \phi_t(\tilde{\gamma}(s)) \rangle} \tilde{g}''(t).$$

Using that $\langle \tilde{g}(t), \tilde{g}(t) \rangle = \epsilon$ and $\langle \tilde{g}'(t), \tilde{g}'(t) \rangle = 1$ yields

$$\langle \tilde{g}'(t), \tilde{g}(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \tilde{g}''(t), \tilde{g}(t) \rangle = -1, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \tilde{g}'''(t), \tilde{g}(t) \rangle = 0.$$

Then, taking the inner product of both sides of the preceding equation with $\tilde{g}(t)$ implies that $\langle \tilde{g}'''(t), \phi_t(\tilde{\gamma}(s)) \rangle = 0$, and hence

$$\tilde{g}'''(t) = -\langle \tilde{g}''(t), \tilde{g}''(t) \rangle \tilde{g}'(t),$$

which is equivalent to g being a geodesic circle. ■

5 Reduction of Codimension

In this section we prove Lemma 1.6 and Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Lemma 1.6 We have from (2.2) that $\nabla_X^\perp \eta \in N_1 \subset L$ for every $X \in TM$. Since $\nabla^\perp N_1 \subset L$ by assumption, it follows that L is a parallel subbundle of $N^f M$. Let $\tilde{f} = i \circ f$, where $i: \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{n+2}$ is the inclusion, and let L^\perp denote the orthogonal complement of L in $N^f M$. Given $\xi \in L^\perp = N_1^\perp \cap \{\eta\}^\perp$, from (2.9) and the fact that L is a parallel subbundle of $N^f M$ we obtain

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X^\perp i_* \xi = i_* \nabla_X^\perp \xi \in i_* L^\perp,$$

hence $i_* L^\perp$ is a parallel subbundle of $N^{\tilde{f}} M$. On the other hand, it follows from (2.8) that $i_* L^\perp \subset \tilde{N}_1^\perp$, where $\tilde{N}_1(x)$ is the first normal space of \tilde{f} at $x \in M^m$. We obtain from the Weingarten formula for \tilde{f} that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X i_* \xi = -\tilde{f}_* A_{i_* \xi}^{\tilde{f}} X + \tilde{\nabla}_X^\perp i_* \xi = \tilde{\nabla}_X^\perp i_* \xi \in i_* L^\perp,$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the derivative of \mathbb{E}^{n+2} . Hence $i_* L^\perp$ is a constant subspace of \mathbb{E}^{n+2} , which is orthogonal to $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Denote by K the orthogonal complement of $i_* L^\perp$ in \mathbb{E}^{n+2} . Then for any fixed $x_0 \in M^m$ we have

$$\tilde{f}(M^m) \subset \tilde{f}(x_0) + K.$$

But since K contains $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $\nu(x_0)$, it also contains the position vector $\tilde{f}(x_0)$. Thus $\tilde{f}(x_0) + K = K$. We conclude that $\tilde{f}(M) \subset (\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}) \cap K = \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^{m+\ell-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.7 Assume that $\nabla^\perp N_1 \subset L$. Then condition (ii) is trivially satisfied. To prove (i), first notice that for $\xi \in N_1^\perp$ the Ricci equation gives

$$R^\perp(X, Y)\xi = \alpha(X, A_\xi Y) - \alpha(A_\xi X, Y) = 0.$$

Given $\xi \in L^\perp$, we have that $\xi \in N_1^\perp$ and that $\nabla_Z^\perp \xi \in N_1^\perp$ by our assumption, hence

$$\begin{aligned} &(\nabla_Z R^\perp)(X, Y, \xi) \\ &= \nabla_Z R^\perp(X, Y)\xi - R^\perp(\nabla_Z X, Y)\xi - R^\perp(X, \nabla_Z Y)\xi - R^\perp(X, Y)\nabla_Z^\perp \xi \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the converse, let $\xi \in L^\perp$. Since $R^\perp(X, Y)\xi = 0$ for all $X, Y \in TM$, we obtain from (i) that

$$R^\perp(X, Y)\nabla_Z^\perp \xi = 0$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in TM$. Using the Ricci equation again, we obtain that

$$[A_{\nabla_Z^\perp \xi}, A_{\nabla_W^\perp \xi}] = 0$$

for all $Z, W \in TM$. Hence, at any $x \in M$ there exists an orthonormal basis Z_1, \dots, Z_n of $T_x M$ that diagonalizes simultaneously all shape operators $A_{\nabla_Z^\perp \xi}, Z \in TM$. We will show that

$$\langle \nabla_{Z_k}^\perp \xi, \alpha(Z_i, Z_j) \rangle = 0$$

for all $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, which implies that $\nabla_X^\perp \xi \in N_1^\perp$ for all $X \in TM$.

From the choice of the basis Z_1, \dots, Z_n , we have

$$\langle \alpha(Z_i, Z_j), \nabla_{Z_k}^\perp \xi \rangle = \langle A_{\nabla_{Z_k}^\perp \xi} Z_i, Z_j \rangle = 0$$

if $i \neq j$. It follows from the Codazzi equation (2.6) and the fact that $\xi \in L^\perp \subset \{\eta\}^\perp$ that

$$A_{\nabla_{Z_i}^\perp \xi} Z_k = A_{\nabla_{Z_k}^\perp \xi} Z_i,$$

hence the eigenvalue λ_{ki} of $A_{\nabla_{Z_k}^\perp \xi}$ corresponding to Z_i vanishes unless $k = i$. Therefore,

$$\langle \alpha(Z_i, Z_i), \nabla_{Z_k}^\perp \xi \rangle = \langle A_{\nabla_{Z_k}^\perp \xi} Z_i, Z_i \rangle = 0, \quad \text{if } i \neq k.$$

Finally, the assumption $\nabla^\perp H \in L$ and the above imply that

$$\langle \alpha(Z_i, Z_i), \nabla_{Z_i}^\perp \xi \rangle = n \langle H, \nabla_{Z_i}^\perp \xi \rangle = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

5.1 Alencar–do Carmo–Tribuzy Theorem

In this subsection we apply Theorem 1.7 to give a simple proof of the following theorem due to Alencar, do Carmo, and Tribuzy [3].

Theorem 5.1 *Let $f: M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $n \geq 5$, be a surface with nonzero parallel mean curvature vector. Then, one of the following possibilities holds:*

- (i) *f is a minimal surface of a umbilical hypersurface of a slice $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \{t\}$.*
- (ii) *f is a surface with constant mean curvature in a three-dimensional umbilical or totally geodesic submanifold of a slice $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \{t\}$.*
- (iii) *$f(M^2)$ lies in a totally geodesic submanifold $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^m \times \mathbb{R}$, $m \leq 4$, of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof Since the mean curvature vector H is parallel and nonzero, the function $\mu := \|H\|^2$ on M^2 is a nonzero constant. Suppose first that $A_H = \mu I$ everywhere on M^2 . We claim that the vector field T vanishes identically. Assuming otherwise, there exists an open subset U , where $T \neq 0$. Choose a unit vector field X on U orthogonal to T . Then

$$(5.1) \quad \langle H, \alpha(X, T) \rangle = \mu \langle X, T \rangle = 0.$$

By the Codazzi equation (2.4) we have

$$\langle (\nabla_T^\perp \alpha)(X, X) - (\nabla_X^\perp \alpha)(T, X), H \rangle = -\epsilon \|T\|^2 \langle \eta, H \rangle.$$

It follows easily from (5.1) and the fact that μ is constant on M^2 that the left-hand-side of the preceding equation is zero. Thus $\langle \eta, H \rangle$ vanishes on U , and hence

$$0 = T \langle \eta, H \rangle = \langle \nabla_T^\perp \eta, H \rangle = -\langle \alpha(T, T), H \rangle = -\mu \|T\|^2,$$

where we have used (2.2) in the third equality. This is a contradiction and proves the claim.

Therefore, if $A_H = \mu I$ everywhere on M^2 then $f(M^2)$ is contained in a slice $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \{t\}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, and either of possibilities (i) or (ii) holds by [18, Theorem 4].

Assume now that $A_H \neq \mu I$ on an open subset V of M^2 . Since H is parallel, it follows from the Ricci equation that $[A_H, A_\zeta] = 0$ for any $x \in M^2$ and every normal vector $\zeta \in N_x M$. Then the fact that A_H has distinct eigenvalues on V implies that the eigenvectors of A_H are also eigenvectors of A_ζ for any $\zeta \in N_x M$, $x \in V$. Hence all shape operators are simultaneously diagonalizable at any $x \in V$, which implies that f has flat normal bundle on V by the Ricci equation (2.5). In particular, the first normal spaces N_1 of f have dimension at most two at any $x \in V$. Let $W \subset V$ be an open subset, where $L = \dim N_1 + \text{span}\{\eta\}$ has constant dimension $\ell \leq 3$. It follows from Lemma 1.6 and Theorem 1.7 that $f(W)$ lies in a totally geodesic submanifold $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^{2+\ell-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$. By analyticity of f (see [3, Remark 1]), we conclude that $f(M^2) \subset \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^{2+\ell-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. ■

Proof of Corollary 1.8 Let X be a unit vector field orthogonal to T . By Corollary 1.5, in order to prove that f is a rotational surface it suffices to show that $\nabla_X^\perp \alpha_f(X, X) = 0$. We follow essentially the proof of [3, Proposition 2]. Since the mean curvature vector field

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_f(X, X) + \|T\|^{-2}\alpha_f(T, T))$$

is parallel in the normal connection, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X^\perp \alpha_f(X, X) &= -\nabla_X^\perp (\|T\|^{-2}\alpha_f(T, T)) \\ &= -X(\|T\|^{-2})\alpha_f(T, T) - \|T\|^{-2}\nabla_X^\perp \alpha_f(T, T). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since f is in class \mathcal{A} , we have from (3.7) that

$$\langle \nabla_T T, X \rangle = 0 = \langle \nabla_X T, T \rangle.$$

In particular, $X(\|T\|^{-2}) = 0$. Moreover, using the Codazzi equation (2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X^\perp \alpha_f(T, T) &= (\nabla_X^\perp \alpha_f)(T, T) + 2\alpha(\nabla_X T, T) = (\nabla_X^\perp \alpha_f)(T, T) = (\nabla_T^\perp \alpha_f)(X, T) \\ &= \nabla_T^\perp \alpha_f(X, T) - \alpha_f(\nabla_T X, T) - \alpha_f(X, \nabla_T T) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

That $f(M^2)$ is contained in a totally geodesic submanifold $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^m \times \mathbb{R}$, $m \leq 4$, and hence the fact that its profile curve lies in a totally geodesic submanifold $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^s \times \mathbb{R}$, $s \leq 3$, follows from Theorem 5.1. ■

6 Umbilical Submanifolds of $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Since $\Phi: \mathbb{S}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+2} \setminus \{0\}$ given by $\Phi(x, t) = e^t x$ is a conformal diffeomorphism, it follows that $M_{r,h}^m = \Phi^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_{r,h}^m)$ is an umbilical submanifold of $\mathbb{S}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}$, for a conformal diffeomorphism preserves umbilical submanifolds. Assertion (i) and the completeness of $M_{r,h}^m$ are clear, for $M_{r,h}^m = \Phi^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_{r,h}^m)$ if

$$(r, h) \neq (d, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad M_{d,0} = \Phi^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_{d,0}^m \setminus \{0\}).$$

It is easily seen that the totally geodesic hypersurfaces $\mathbb{S}^m \times \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathbb{S}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ are the images by Φ^{-1} of the hyperplanes through the origin in \mathbb{R}^{m+2} . Since $\mathbb{S}_{r,h}^m$ lies in such a hyperplane if and only if $h = 0$, the assertion in (ii) follows. Assertion (iii) follows from the fact that $\mathbb{S}_{r,0}^m$ is homologous to zero in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} if $r < d$ and inhomologous to zero in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} if $r > d$.

We now prove (iv). Since orthogonal transformations of \mathbb{R}^{m+2} correspond under the diffeomorphism Φ to isometries of $\mathbb{S}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ fixing pointwise the factor \mathbb{R} , and homotheties of \mathbb{R}^{m+2} correspond to translations along \mathbb{R} , we can assume that $\bar{x} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. Let

$$\mathcal{J} = \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{R} : (p - 1)^2 \leq q < p^2\}.$$

For each $(p, q) \in \mathcal{J}$, set $J_{p,q} = (-\sqrt{p - \sqrt{q}}, \sqrt{p - \sqrt{q}})$ and define $h_{p,q}: \bar{J}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h_{p,q}(s) = \sqrt{p - s^2 + \sqrt{(p - s^2)^2 - q}}.$$

Let $Y_{p,q}: S^{m-1} \times \bar{J}_{p,q} \rightarrow S^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $Z_{p,q}: S^{m-1} \times \bar{J}_{p,q} \rightarrow S^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$Y_{p,q}(x, s) = \left(sx, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(h_{p,q}(s) + \frac{1-p}{h_{p,q}(s)} \right), \frac{\sqrt{q - (p-1)^2}}{\sqrt{2} h_{p,q}(s)}, \log h_{p,q}(s) \right),$$

and, for $q \neq 0$,

$$Z_{p,q}(x, s) = \left(sx, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(\frac{1-p}{\sqrt{q}} h_{p,q}(s) + \frac{\sqrt{q}}{h_{p,q}(s)} \right), \frac{\sqrt{q - (p-1)^2}}{\sqrt{2q}} h_{p,q}(s), \log \frac{\sqrt{q}}{h_{p,q}(s)} \right).$$

Notice that $Z_{p,q} = \Psi \circ Y_{p,q}$, where $\Psi: S^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ is the isometry defined by $\Psi(x, s) = (Ax, -s + \log \sqrt{q})$, with $A \in O(m)$ given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} I_{m-2} & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \begin{pmatrix} 1-p & \sqrt{q - (1-p)^2} \\ \sqrt{q - (1-p)^2} & -(1-p) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\psi: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow (0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ be the homeomorphism given by

$$\psi(p, q) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (\sqrt{p^2 - q}, \sqrt{q - (p-1)^2}).$$

Then (iv) is a consequence of the following fact.

Lemma 6.1 For $(r, h) = \psi(p, q)$ we have

$$M_{r,h}^m = \begin{cases} Y_{p,q}(S^{m-1} \times \bar{J}_{p,q}) \cup Z_{p,q}(S^{m-1} \times \bar{J}_{p,q}), & \text{if } (r, h) \neq (d, 0), \\ Y_{1,0}(S^{m-1} \times (-1, 1)) & \text{if } (r, h) = (d, 0). \end{cases}$$

Proof We argue for $(r, h) \neq (d, 0)$, the case $(r, h) = (d, 0)$ (i.e., $(p, q) = (1, 0)$) being similar and easier. A straightforward computation shows that

$$(\Phi \circ Y_{p,q})(x, s) = \left(sh_{p,q}(s)x, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (h_{p,q}^2(s) - p), 0 \right) + (\bar{x}, h)$$

and

$$(\Phi \circ Z_{p,q})(x, s) = \left(s\bar{h}_{p,q}(s)x, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (\bar{h}_{p,q}^2(s) - p), 0 \right) + (\bar{x}, h),$$

where $\bar{h}_{p,q}(s) = \sqrt{q}/h_{p,q}(s)$. Let $\beta: \bar{J}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\tilde{\beta}: \bar{J}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be given by

$$\beta(s) = \left(sh_{p,q}(s), \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (h_{p,q}^2(s) - p) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\beta}(s) = \left(s\bar{h}_{p,q}(s), \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (\bar{h}_{p,q}^2(s) - p) \right),$$

respectively. Then, the statement follows from the fact that $\beta(\bar{J}_{p,q}) \cup \tilde{\beta}(\bar{J}_{p,q})$ is the circle of radius $r = \sqrt{(p^2 - q)}/2$ centered at the origin. ■

We now prove the converse. Let $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $m \geq 2$, be an umbilical isometric immersion. If the vector field T in (1.1) vanishes identically, then $f(M^m)$ is contained in a slice of $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, and this gives the first possibility in the statement. Now assume that T does not vanish at some point, and hence on some open subset $U \subset M^m$. It suffices to prove that there exist open subsets $\tilde{U} \subset U$ and $V \subset \mathbb{S}^m$, $(p, q) \in \mathcal{J}$ and an interval $I \subset I_{p,q}$ such that, up to an isometry of $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, we have $f(\tilde{U}) \subset Y_{p,q}(V \times I)$. For this implies that $f(\tilde{U}) \subset M^m_{r,h}$ with $(r, h) = \psi(p, q)$, and thus $(\Phi \circ f)(\tilde{U}) \subset \Phi(M^m_{r,h}) = \mathbb{S}^m_{r,h}$. Since $\Phi \circ f$ is an umbilical immersion into $\mathbb{R}^{n+2} \setminus \{0\}$, it follows that $(\Phi \circ f)(M^m) \subset \mathbb{S}^m_{r,h}$, and hence $f(M^m) \subset M^m_{r,h}$.

From Codazzi equation (2.4) and $\alpha_f(X, Y) = n\langle X, Y \rangle H$ for all $X, Y \in TM$, where H is the mean curvature vector of f , we obtain

$$(6.1) \quad n\nabla_X^\perp H = -\langle X, T \rangle \eta$$

for every $X \in TM$. If H and η are linearly dependent on U , it follows from Lemma 1.6 that f has substantial codimension one on U . Otherwise, there exists an open subset $\tilde{U} \subset U$ such that H and η are linearly independent on \tilde{U} , in which case Lemma 1.6 implies that f has substantial codimension two on \tilde{U} .

On the other hand, since f is umbilical and its mean curvature vector H is parallel in the normal connection along $\{T\}^\perp$ by (6.1), Corollary 1.5(iii) is satisfied. Thus f is a rotational submanifold.

Summing up, $f|_{\tilde{U}}$ is a rotational submanifold of substantial codimension at most two over a curve in a totally geodesic submanifold $\mathbb{S}^s \times \mathbb{R}$, $s \leq 2$. Hence, we can assume that $n = m + 1$ and $s = 2$. Equivalently, in view of the last assertion in Corollary 1.5 for $\epsilon = 1$, we obtain that $f|_{\tilde{U}}$ is given by (1.2) in terms of a totally geodesic isometric immersion $g: V \subset \mathbb{S}^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ and a regular curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$, with $\gamma_0^2 + \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 = 1$.

With notations as in Proposition 3.2, we have by (3.3) and the umbilicity of f that $A_{\phi_x(\zeta)}^{\tilde{f}}$ is a multiple of the identity tensor for every $\zeta \in \gamma'(s)^\perp \cap \tilde{\gamma}(s)^\perp$. Using that $P_s(x) = \gamma_0(s)I$, it follows from (2.8), (3.4), and (3.6) that

$$-\frac{\langle \tilde{g}, \phi_x(\zeta) \rangle}{\gamma_0(s)} = \frac{\langle \gamma''(s), \zeta \rangle}{\langle \gamma'(s), \gamma'(s) \rangle} \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in \gamma'(s)^\perp \cap \tilde{\gamma}(s)^\perp,$$

or equivalently,

$$\langle \gamma_0(s)\gamma''(s) + \varphi(s)e_0, \zeta \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in \gamma'(s)^\perp \cap \tilde{\gamma}(s)^\perp,$$

since $\tilde{g} = \phi_x(e_0)$. Here $\varphi(s) = \langle \gamma'(s), \gamma'(s) \rangle$. Hence, there exist smooth functions $y = y(s)$ and $z = z(s)$ such that

$$(6.2) \quad \gamma_0\gamma'' + \varphi e_0 = y\gamma' + z\tilde{\gamma}.$$

We write the preceding equation as

$$(6.3) \quad \gamma_0\tilde{\gamma}'' + \gamma_0\gamma_3''e_3 + \varphi e_0 = y\tilde{\gamma}' + y\gamma_3'e_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}.$$

Notice that

$$(6.4) \quad \langle \bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma} \rangle = 1, \quad \langle \bar{\gamma}', \bar{\gamma} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \bar{\gamma}', \bar{\gamma}' \rangle = \varphi - (\gamma_3')^2 = -\langle \bar{\gamma}'', \bar{\gamma} \rangle,$$

and $\langle \bar{\gamma}'', \bar{\gamma}' \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi' - 2\gamma_3'\gamma_3'')$.

On the other hand, taking the inner product of both sides of (6.3) with e_3 yields

$$(6.5) \quad \gamma_0\gamma_3'' = y\gamma_3'.$$

Using (6.4) and (6.5), we obtain by taking the inner product of both sides of (6.3) with $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\bar{\gamma}'$, respectively, that

$$(6.6) \quad z = \gamma_0(\gamma_3')^2 \quad \text{and} \quad y = \frac{\gamma_0\varphi' + 2\varphi\gamma_0'}{2\varphi}.$$

Hence (6.2) becomes

$$(6.7) \quad 2\varphi\gamma_0\gamma_3'' + 2\varphi^2e_0 - (\gamma_0\varphi' + 2\varphi\gamma_0')\gamma_3' - 2\varphi\gamma_0(\gamma_3')^2\bar{\gamma} = 0.$$

Taking the inner product of both sides of (6.7) with e_3 yields

$$\gamma_3'' = \left(\frac{\varphi'}{2\varphi} + \frac{\gamma_0'}{\gamma_0} \right) \gamma_3',$$

which easily implies that

$$(6.8) \quad \gamma_3' = c\gamma_0\sqrt{\varphi} \quad \text{for some } c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We now show that γ_0 can not be constant on I . Assume otherwise, say, that $\gamma_0 = a \in \mathbb{R}$. We may also suppose that γ is parametrized by arc-length, *i.e.*, $\varphi = 1$. Then $\gamma_3' = ac$ by (6.8), thus $z = a^3c^2$ and $y = 0$ by (6.6). Replacing into (6.7), the e_0 -component gives $c^2a^4 = 1$, whereas for $1 \leq i \leq 2$ the e_i -component then yields $\gamma_i'' = (1/a)\gamma_i$. We obtain that $\gamma_i = a_i \exp(s/a) + b_i \exp(-s/a)$ for some $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$. Replacing into $1 = \gamma_0^2 + \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 = a^2 + \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2$ implies that $a_i = 0 = b_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$, *i.e.*, $\gamma_1 = 0 = \gamma_2$, and that $a = \pm 1$. Therefore $f|_{\bar{U}}$ is totally geodesic, contradicting our assumption.

Hence, there must exist an open interval $J \subset I$ such that $\gamma_0'(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in J$, thus we can reparametrize γ on J so that $\gamma_0(s) = s$ for all $s \in J$. Then the e_0 -component of (6.7) gives

$$s\varphi' + 2(c^2s^4 - 1)\varphi^2 + 2\varphi = 0.$$

This is easily seen to be equivalent to $\varphi^{-1}(s) = c^2s^4 + c_2s^2 + 1$ for some $c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence the right-hand side of the preceding equation is nowhere vanishing, and we can write $b\varphi^{-1}(s) = s^4 + as^2 + b$ for $a = c_2/c^2$ and $b = 1/c^2$, or equivalently,

$$(6.9) \quad \varphi(s) = \frac{p^2 - q}{(s^2 - p)^2 - q}, \quad p^2 > q,$$

for $p = -\frac{a}{2}$ and $q = \frac{a^2}{4} - b$. Equation (6.8) becomes

$$(6.10) \quad \gamma_3'(s) = \frac{s}{\sqrt{(s^2 - p)^2 - q}}.$$

Taking the inner product of both sides of (6.7) with e_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2$, and using (6.9) and (6.10) yields

$$s((s^2 - p)^2 - q)\gamma_i'' + (s^4 - p^2 + q)\gamma_i' - s^3\gamma_i = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2.$$

Lemma 6.2 Let $\gamma_i: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$, be linearly independent solutions of the ODE

$$(6.11) \quad s((s^2 - p)^2 - q)\gamma_i'' + (s^4 - p^2 + q)\gamma_i' - s^3\gamma_i = 0, \quad p^2 > q,$$

on an open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, where $(s^2 - p)^2 - q > 0$. Assume that $s^2 + \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 = 1$ for all $s \in I$. Then $(p, q) \in \mathcal{J}$, $I \subset J_{p,q}$ and there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(6.12) \quad \sqrt{2}(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s)) = \left(h(s) + \frac{1-p}{h(s)} \right) (\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \pm \frac{\sqrt{q - (1-p)^2}}{h(s)} (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta),$$

where $h(s) = \sqrt{p - s^2 + \sqrt{(p - s^2)^2 - q}}$.

Proof Let F be a primitive of $\delta: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\delta(s) = \frac{s}{\sqrt{(s^2 - p)^2 - q}}.$$

Then it is easily checked that the functions

$$\rho_+ := \exp \circ F \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_- := \exp \circ (-F)$$

form a basis of the space of solutions of (6.11) on I . Thus, there exist $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$, such that

$$\gamma_i = a_i\rho_+ + b_i\rho_-, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2.$$

Replacing into $s^2 + \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 = 1$ gives

$$(6.13) \quad s^2 + A \exp(2F(s)) + B + C \exp(-2F(s)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } s \in I,$$

where $A = a_1^2 + a_2^2$, $B = 2(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2) - 1$ and $C = b_1^2 + b_2^2$.

Assume that any one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) $q < 0$;
- (ii) $q > 0$ and $p \leq 0$;

(iii) $q > 0, p > 0$ and I is not contained in $J_{p,q}$.

Then, up to a constant,

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{2} \log(s^2 - p + \sqrt{(s^2 - p)^2 - q}),$$

hence (6.13) gives

$$A(u + \sqrt{u^2 - q}) + C(u + \sqrt{u^2 - q})^{-1} = -u + E,$$

where $u = s^2 - p$ and $E = -B - p$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2(2A + 1)(C - Aq) &= -(2A + 1)^2q, & (C - Aq)E &= -(2A + 1)Eq \\ \text{and } (C - Aq)^2 &= -E^2q. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2A + 1 > 0$, the first and the third of the preceding equations give $q = 0$ if $E = 0$, whereas the same conclusion follows from the first and second equations if $E \neq 0$. This is a contradiction and shows that either $q = 0$ or $q > 0, p > 0$, and $I \subset J_{p,q}$.

Let us consider first the case $q = 0$. Suppose either that $p < 0$ or that $p > 0$ and I is not contained in $J_{p,0} = (-\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{p})$. Then $F(s) = \frac{1}{2} \log(s^2 - p)$ and (6.13) gives $Au + Cu^{-1} = -u + E$, which implies that $C = 0, E = 0$ and $A = -1$, a contradiction.

Thus $p > 0$ and $I \subset J_{p,0}$, in which case $F(s) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(p - s^2)$ and (6.13) now yields $-Au^{-1} - Cu = -u + E$. This implies that $A = 0, E = 0$, and $C = 1$, hence $(a_1, a_2) = (0, 0), p = -B = 1$, and there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(b_1, b_2) = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$. Therefore $\gamma_1 = \cos \theta \sqrt{1 - s^2}, \gamma_2 = \sin \theta \sqrt{1 - s^2}$, and hence the statement is true in this case.

Now suppose that $q > 0, p > 0$ and $I \subset J_{p,q}$. Then

$$F(s) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(\sqrt{(p - s^2) - q} - s^2 + p) = -\log h(s),$$

where $h(s)$ is as in the statement. We obtain from (6.13) that

$$A(\sqrt{u^2 - q} - u)^{-1} + C(\sqrt{u^2 - q} - u) = -u + E,$$

with $u = s^2 - p$ and A, C, E as before. This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} 2(2C - 1)(A - qC) &= -q(2C - 1)^2, \\ (A - qC)E &= -q(2C - 1)E, \\ (A - qC)^2 &= -qE^2, \end{aligned}$$

and hence to

$$E = 0, \quad A = \frac{q}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad C = \frac{1}{2}.$$

This gives

$$(6.14) \quad a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1-p), \quad a_1^2 + a_2^2 = \frac{q}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad b_1^2 + b_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

By the last equation in (6.14), there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(b_1, b_2) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$. Set $u := (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ and $v := (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta)$. Then the first equation can be written as

$$(6.15) \quad \langle (a_1, a_2), u \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1-p).$$

Using this and the second equation we obtain

$$\frac{q}{2} = a_1^2 + a_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}(p-1)^2 + \langle (a_1, a_2), v \rangle^2,$$

hence

$$\langle (a_1, a_2), v \rangle^2 = \frac{1}{2}(q - (p-1)^2).$$

In particular, this shows that $q \geq (p-1)^2$, thus $(p, q) \in \mathcal{J}$. Moreover, together with (6.15) it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left((1-p) \cos \theta \mp \sqrt{q - (1-p)^2} \sin \theta \right), \\ a_2 &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left((1-p) \cos \theta \pm \sqrt{q - (1-p)^2} \sin \theta \right), \end{aligned}$$

and (6.12) follows. ■

We obtain from Lemma 6.2 and (6.10) that $\gamma(s)$ is given by

$$\left(s, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(h(s) + \frac{1-p}{h(s)} \right) (\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \frac{\sqrt{q - (1-p)^2}}{h(s)} (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta), H \pm \log h(s) \right),$$

$H \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f|_{\bar{U}}$ can be parametrized by $Y: \mathbb{S}^{m-1} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$Y(X, s) = (sX, \gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s), \gamma_3(s)).$$

Let A be the linear isometry of $\mathbb{R}^{m+2} \supset \mathbb{S}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$Ae_m = \cos \theta e_m + \sin \theta e_{m+1}, \quad Ae_{m+1} = \mp \sin \theta e_m + \cos \theta e_{m+1},$$

$Ae_i = e_i$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $Ae_{m+2} = \pm e_{m+2}$. Then $A^{-1}Y(X, s) - he_{m+2} = Y_{p,q}(X, s)$.

It remains to prove assertion (v) in the direct statement. This is equivalent to showing that $Y_{p,q}$ and $Y_{p',q'}$ do not parametrize congruent submanifolds for distinct pairs (p, q) and (p', q') in \mathcal{J} . After reparametrizing the curve $\gamma = \gamma_{p,q}$ by arc-length, the metric induced by $Y_{p,q}$ is a warped product metric $ds^2 + \rho^2(s)d\sigma$ on $I \times S^{m-1}$, where $d\sigma$ is the standard metric on S^{m-1} and the warping function $\rho = \rho_{p,q}$ is the inverse of the arc-length function

$$S_{p,q}(t) = \int_0^t \|\gamma'_{p,q}(\tau)\| d\tau = \int_0^t \sqrt{\varphi_{p,q}(\tau)} d\tau,$$

with $\varphi_{p,q}$ given by (6.9). If $Y_{p,q}$ and $Y_{p',q'}$ parametrize congruent submanifolds, then the induced metrics, and hence the corresponding warping functions, must coincide. It follows that $\varphi_{p,q} = \varphi_{p',q'}$, which easily implies that $(p, q) = (p', q')$. ■

Acknowledgment A first draft of Lemma 1.6 was derived in a conversation of the second author with M. Dajczer. We thank him for allowing us to include it here.

References

- [1] U. Abresch and H. Rosenberg, *A Hopf differential for constant mean curvature surfaces in $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* . Acta Math. **193**(2004), no. 2, 141–174. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02392562>
- [2] H. Alencar, M. do Carmo, and R. Tribuzy, *A theorem of Hopf and the Cauchy- Riemann inequality*. Comm. Anal. Geom. **15**(2007), no. 2, 283–298.
- [3] ———, *A Hopf theorem for ambient spaces of dimensions higher than three*. J. Diff. Geom. **84**(2010), no. 1, 1–17.
- [4] ———, *Surfaces of $M_k^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ invariant under a one-parameter group of isometries*. Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, to appear. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10231-012-0288-4>
- [5] G. Calvaruso, D. Kowalczyk, and J. Van der Veken, *On extrinsically symmetric hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$* . Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **82**(2010), no. 3, 390–400. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0004972710001760>
- [6] S. Carter and A. West, *Partial tubes about immersed manifolds*. Geom. Dedicata **54**(1995), no. 2, 145–169. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01263529>
- [7] S. Carter and U. Dursun, *Partial tubes and Chen submanifolds*. J. Geom. **63**(1998), no. 1–2, 30–38. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01221236>
- [8] M. Dajczer, *Reduction of codimension of regular isometric immersions*. Math.Z. **179**(1982), no. 2, 263–286. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01214317>
- [9] M. Dajczer et al., *Submanifolds and isometric immersions*. Mathematics Lecture Series, 13, Publish or Perish Inc., Houston, TX, 1990.
- [10] F. Dillen, J. Fastenakels, and J. Van der Veken, *Rotation hypersurfaces in $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$* . Note Mat. **29**(2009), no. 1, 41–54.
- [11] J. Erbacher, *Reduction of the codimension of an isometric immersion*. J. Differential Geometry **5**(1971), 333–340.
- [12] J. H. Lira, R. Tojeiro, and F. Vitória, *A Bonnet theorem for isometric immersions into products of space forms*. Arch. Math. **95**(2010), no. 5, 469–479. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00013-010-0183-4>
- [13] F. Manfio and R. Tojeiro, *Hypersurfaces with constant sectional curvature of $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$* . Illinois J. Math. **55**(2011), no. 1, 397–415.
- [14] R. Souam and E. Toubiana, *Totally umbilic surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds*. Comment. Math. Helv. **84**(2009), no. 3, 673–704. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4171/CMH/177>
- [15] R. Souam and J. Van der Veken, *Totally umbilical hypersurfaces of manifolds admitting a unit Killing field*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364**(2012), no. 7, 3609–3626. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-2012-05472-9>
- [16] R. Tojeiro, *On a class of hypersurfaces in $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$* . Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **41**(2010), no. 2, 199–209. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00574-010-0009-9>

- [17] J. Van der Veken and L. Vrancken, *Parallel and semi-parallel hypersurfaces of $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$* . Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **39**(2008), no. 3, 355–370. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00574-008-0010-8>
- [18] S. T. Yau, *Submanifolds with constant mean curvature I*. Amer. J. Math. **96**(1974), 346–366. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2373638>

Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Rodovia Celso Garcia Cid km 380, 86051-980, Londrina, Brazil
e-mail: brunomrs@uel.br

Universidade Federal de São Carlos, Rodovia Washington Luiz km 235, 13565-905, São Carlos, Brazil
e-mail: tojeiro@dm.ufscar.br