

EXTREMAL PROPERTIES OF HERMITIAN MATRICES

M. MARCUS AND J. L. MCGREGOR

1. Introduction. In (1) Fan showed that if A is a Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ then, for $k \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \max \sum_{j=1}^k (Ax_j, x_j) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_{n-j+1}, \\ \min \sum_{j=1}^k (Ax_j, x_j) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j, \end{aligned}$$

where x_1, \dots, x_k run over all sets of k orthonormal (o.n.) vectors in unitary n -space V .

It is the purpose of this paper to extend this result to the compound of a non-negative Hermitian (n.n.h.) matrix and investigate some of the consequences of this extension.

In the sequel $\text{tr}(L)$ will denote the trace of the matrix L and the Euclidean norm of L will be designated by $\|L\| = (\text{tr}(L^*L))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ where L^* is the conjugate transpose of L . $F(L)$ is the convex image of the unit sphere $\|x\| = 1$ in the complex plane under the mapping $x \rightarrow (Lx, x)$.

For $1 \leq r \leq n$ let $V^{(r)}$ denote the r th compound space of V . A vector $z \in V^{(r)}$ will be designated by

$$z = x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_r, \quad x_i \in V,$$

where the indicated product is the usual Grassmann notation for the exterior product (2). The inner product in $V^{(r)}$ is defined by

$$(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_r, y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge y_r) = \det\{(x_i, y_j)\}_{i,j=1,\dots,r}.$$

If A is a linear transformation on V to V then the induced compound of A on $V^{(r)}$ to $V^{(r)}$ is denoted by $C_r(A)$ and is defined by

$$C_r(A)x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_r = Ax_1 \wedge \dots \wedge Ax_r.$$

We list some of the essential properties of $C_r(A)$ that will subsequently be used (6).

- (i) $C_r(AB) = C_r(A)C_r(B)$.
- (ii) If A is non-singular, normal, Hermitian, unitary, non-negative, then $C_r(A)$ has the corresponding property.

Received July 25, 1955; in revised form April 14, 1956.

(iii) The eigenvalues of $C_r(A)$ are all possible $\binom{n}{r}$ products of r of the eigenvalues of A .

To state subsequent results more compactly we introduce some notation. The set of $\binom{k}{r}$ distinct choices of integers satisfying $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r \leq k$ will be denoted by Q_{kr} and a typical sequence in Q_{kr} will be denoted by ω . If x_1, \dots, x_k is a choice of k vectors in V then a typical product

$$x_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{i_r} \in V^{(r)}$$

will be denoted by x_ω . $E_r(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ will denote the r th elementary symmetric function of the numbers a_1, \dots, a_k :

$$E_r(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \sum_{\omega \in Q_{kr}} \prod_{j=1}^r a_{i_j}$$

2. Results on Hermitian matrices. The basic result is contained in

THEOREM 1. *Let $1 \leq r \leq k \leq n$ and let A be an n -square positive definite Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\omega \in Q_{kr}} (C_r(A) x_\omega, x_\omega) &= E_r(\alpha_n, \dots, \alpha_{n-k+1}), \\ \min_{\omega \in Q_{kr}} (C_r(A) x_\omega, x_\omega) &= E_r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \end{aligned}$$

where both max and min are taken over all sets of k o.n. vectors x_1, \dots, x_k in V .

Proof. Set

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{\omega \in Q_{kr}} (C_r(A) x_\omega, x_\omega).$$

First it is clear that a set of maximizing (minimizing) o.n. vectors exist. This is easily seen using a standard continuity argument. If $k = n$ then

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{tr } C_r(A) = E_r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

and the result thus follows trivially whenever the number of vectors is equal to the dimension of the space. Now for $k < n$ let y_1, \dots, y_k be a minimizing set for g . The following argument is the same if y_1, \dots, y_k is a maximizing set. Consider the linear subspace L of V spanned by y_1, \dots, y_k . Let P be the orthogonal projection onto L . Consider the mapping PA on L to L . Clearly if x and y belong to L then

$$\begin{aligned} (PAx, y) &= (Ax, Py) = (Ax, y) = (x, Ay) \\ &= (Px, Ay) = (x, PAy), \end{aligned}$$

so that PA is positive definite Hermitian on L to L . Let u_1, \dots, u_k be o.n. eigenvectors of PA in L . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(y_1, \dots, y_k) &= \sum_{\omega \in Q_{kr}} (C_r(A) y_\omega, y_\omega) \\
 &= \sum \det\{(Ay_{i_s}, y_{i_t})\}_{s, t=1, \dots, r} \\
 &= \sum \det\{(PAy_{i_s}, y_{i_t})\} \\
 &= \sum (C_r(PA) y_\omega, y_\omega) = \text{tr } C_r(PA) \\
 &= \sum (C_r(PA) u_\omega, u_\omega) = \sum (C_r(A) u_\omega, u_\omega) \\
 &= g(u_1, \dots, u_k).
 \end{aligned}$$

At this point we prove a lemma reducing this situation to the case $k = n$.

LEMMA 1. *L is an invariant subspace of A.*

Proof. If L is not invariant under A we lose no generality in assuming that $Au_1 \notin L$. Then there exists a unit vector v in the orthogonal complement of L such that

$$\rho = (Au_1, v) \neq 0.$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned}
 u'_1 &= \frac{u_1 - t \rho v}{\sqrt{1 + t^2 |\rho|^2}} \\
 u'_j &= u_j, \qquad \qquad \qquad j = 2, \dots, k,
 \end{aligned}$$

where t is a real number. It is easy to check that u'_1, \dots, u'_k is an o.n. set. Since $g(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ is a minimum for g it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} g(u'_1, \dots, u'_k) = 0 \qquad \text{for } t = 0.$$

Using the multilinearity of the Grassmann product we compute that for $t = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{d}{dt} (C_r(A) \frac{u_1 - t \rho v}{\sqrt{1 + t^2 |\rho|^2}} \wedge u_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{i_r}, \frac{u_1 - t \rho v}{\sqrt{1 + t^2 |\rho|^2}} \wedge u_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{i_r}) \\
 &= -\rho (C_r(A) v \wedge u_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{i_r}, u_1 \wedge u_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{i_r}) \\
 &\quad - \bar{\rho} (C_r(A) u_1 \wedge u_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{i_r}, v \wedge u_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{i_r}) \\
 &= -2|\rho|^2 \prod_{j=2}^r (Au_{i_j}, u_{i_j}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that if $s, t \geq 2$ and $s \neq t$ then

$$(Au_{i_s}, u_{i_t}) = (PA u_{i_s}, u_{i_t}) = 0,$$

since u_1, \dots, u_k is an o.n. set of eigenvectors of PA on L to L . Furthermore it is clear that

$$\prod_{j=2}^r (Au_{i_j}, u_{i_j}) > 0,$$

and hence at $t = 0$

$$\frac{d}{dt} g(u'_1, \dots, u'_k) \neq 0$$

and the proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

The proof of Theorem 1 is now easily completed. Since L is invariant under A , let B be the restriction of A to L . Then B is a positive definite Hermitian transformation on a k dimensional subspace onto itself and the eigenvalues of B are some k of the eigenvalues of A , say $\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_k}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g(y_1, \dots, y_k) &= \sum_{\omega \in Q_{kr}} (C_r(B) y_\omega, y_\omega) \\ &= \text{tr } C_r(B) = E_r(\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_k}) \\ &\geq E_r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_k) \geq E_r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$$

for any o.n. vectors x_1, \dots, x_k and equality is attained by choosing a set of o.n. eigenvectors of A corresponding to $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$.

Remark. Theorem 1 is true for A simply n.n.h. and can be established by continuity from the case A positive definite. Actually Fan's Theorem for the sum can be proved in exactly the same way using only the condition that A is Hermitian. It is worth noting that Theorem 1 cannot be obtained directly by applying Fan's result to $C_r(A)$. The difficulty arises from the fact that the lexicographic ordering of the eigenvalues of $C_r(A)$ does not necessarily coincide with the ordering by magnitude. Throughout this section we will assume A is n.n.h. unless otherwise stated. A result of A. Ostrowski (5) now follows easily.

COROLLARY 1. For $1 \leq r \leq k \leq n$

$$\min E_r((Ax_1, x_1), \dots, (Ax_k, x_k)) = E_r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k).$$

where the min is taken over all sets of k o.n. vectors x_1, \dots, x_k in V .

Proof. It follows from the Hadamard determinant Theorem and Theorem 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} E_r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) &\leq g(x_1, \dots, x_k) \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in Q_{kr}} (C_r(A) x_\omega, x_\omega) \\ &\leq \sum_{\omega \in Q_{kr}} \prod_{s=1}^r (Ax_{i_s}, x_{i_s}) \\ &= E_r((Ax_1, x_1), \dots, (Ax_k, x_k)). \end{aligned}$$

As before, the minimum is taken on.

COROLLARY 2. Under the same hypotheses as Corollary 1,

$$\max E_r((Ax_1, x_1), \dots, (Ax_k, x_k)) = \binom{k}{r} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{n-j+1} \right)^r.$$

Proof. By Fan's result

$$\max \sum_{i=1}^k (Ax_i, x_i) = E_1(\alpha_n, \dots, \alpha_{n-k+1}).$$

Then by (3; Theorem 52)

$$\begin{aligned} E_r((Ax_1, x_1), \dots, (Ax_k, x_k)) &\leq \binom{k}{r} \left(\frac{E_1((Ax_1, x_1), \dots, (Ax_k, x_k))}{k} \right)^r \\ &\leq \binom{k}{r} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{n-j+1} \right)^r. \end{aligned}$$

We must show that this value is actually taken on. This is accomplished by use of the following elementary lemma.

LEMMA 2. If T is a linear transformation on V to V then there exists an o.n. set of vectors $v_j \in V, j = 1, \dots, m, m \leq n$ such that

$$(T v_j, v_j) = n^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(T), \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Proof. We use an induction argument to exhibit a unitary matrix R such that

$$(R^* T R)_{ii} = n^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(T), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

For $m = 1$ it is clear since $n^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(T) \in F(T)$. Suppose there exists a unitary U such that

$$U^* T U = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

with T_{11}, T_{22}, r and $(n - r)$ square matrices respectively and $(T_{11})_{ii} = n^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(T)$. Then $\operatorname{tr}(T_{22}) = (n - r)r^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(T)$ and applying the case $m = 1$ to T_{22} we select a unitary $(n - r)$ -square matrix S such that

$$(S^* T_{22} S)_{11} = r^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(T).$$

Define the n -square unitary matrix V by $V = \operatorname{diag}(I, S)$ and set $R = U V$. This completes the induction.

Actually, for the purposes of this proof, we need only know Lemma 2 for T Hermitian. In this case we can readily exhibit an o.n. set v_j satisfying Lemma 2; let u_1, \dots, u_n be an o.n. set of eigenvectors of T and let θ be a primitive n th root of unity. Then set

$$v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\theta^{ij}}{n} u_j.$$

Returning to the proof of Corollary 2, we select y_n, \dots, y_{n-k+1} corresponding to the eigenvalues $\alpha_n, \dots, \alpha_{n-k+1}$ respectively. These span a subspace invariant under A and by restricting A to this k -dimensional subspace and applying

Lemma 2 to the restricted transformation we select k o.n. vectors x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$$(Ax_j, x_j) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{n-j+1}.$$

Clearly, for this choice of the x_i

$$E_r((Ax_1, x_1), \dots, (Ax_k, x_k)) = \binom{k}{r} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{n-j+1} \right)^r,$$

and the proof is complete.

COROLLARY 3. For $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$,

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \leq \prod_{j=1}^k A_{i_j i_j} \leq \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{n-j+1} \right)^k.$$

Proof. Let ϵ_j be the unit vector with 1 in the j th position and 0 elsewhere. Then

$$(A\epsilon_{i_j}, \epsilon_{i_j}) = A_{i_j i_j}$$

and the result follows from Corollaries 1 and 2. We remark that for $k = n$ we have the Hadamard determinant inequality. We also note that the lower inequality is contained in (5).

COROLLARY 4. If A is an arbitrary matrix with row vectors A_1, \dots, A_n then for $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \leq \prod_{j=1}^k \|A_{i_j}\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{n-j+1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}k}$$

where $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$ are the non-negative square roots of the eigenvalues of A^*A .

Proof. Apply Corollary 3 to A^*A .

COROLLARY 5. Assume A satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1. Let $0 \leq \omega_1 \leq \dots \leq \omega_k$ be k non-negative numbers $k \leq n$. Then

$$\min \prod_{j=1}^k (Ax_j, x_j)^{\omega_j} = \prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_j^{\omega_k - j + 1}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^k (Ax_j, x_j)^{\omega_j} &= \prod_{j=1}^k (Ax_j, x_j)^{\omega_1} \prod_{j=2}^k (Ax_j, x_j)^{\omega_2 - \omega_1} \dots (Ax_k, x_k)^{\omega_k - \omega_{k-1}} \\ &\geq \prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_j^{\omega_1} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \alpha_j^{\omega_2 - \omega_1} \dots \alpha_1^{\omega_k - \omega_{k-1}} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k \alpha_j^{\omega_k - j + 1} \end{aligned}$$

and the latter value is clearly assumed.

COROLLARY 6. *If A and B are arbitrary n -square complex matrices then*

$$\|AB\|^2 \geq \max \left\{ \|A\|^2 \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \beta_j^{\alpha_{n-j+1}} \right)^{\|A\|^{-2}}, \|B\|^2 \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^{\beta_{n-j+1}} \right)^{\|B\|^{-2}} \right\}$$

where $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \alpha_{i+1}$ and $0 \leq \beta_i \leq \beta_{i+1}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n - 1$) are the eigenvalues of A^*A and B^*B respectively.

Proof. $\|AB\|^2 = \text{tr} \{ ABB^*A^* \} = \text{tr} \{ (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}} (BB^*) (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}} \}$. Let y_1, \dots, y_n be an o.n. set of eigenvectors of $(A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ corresponding respectively to $\alpha_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \dots, \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then by Corollary 5

$$\begin{aligned} \|AB\|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (B^* B y_j, y_j) \\ &\geq \|A\|^2 \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (B^* B y_j, y_j)^{\alpha_j} \right)^{\|A\|^{-2}} \\ &\geq \|A\|^2 \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \beta_j^{\alpha_{n-j+1}} \right)^{\|A\|^{-2}} \end{aligned}$$

The argument is symmetric in A and B and the result follows.

THEOREM 2. *Let A and B be n.n.h. with eigenvalues $\alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$ and $\beta_1 \leq \dots \leq \beta_n$ respectively. Let $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \dots \leq \theta_n$ denote the eigenvalues of $A + B$. Then for $r \leq k \leq n$,*

$$\begin{aligned} E_r(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) &\geq \max \left\{ \binom{k}{r} \sum_{s=0}^r \prod_{j=1}^{r-s} \beta_j E_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \binom{k}{r} \sum_{s=0}^r \prod_{j=1}^{r-s} \alpha_j E_s(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \right\}, \\ E_r(\theta_n, \dots, \theta_{n-k+1}) &\leq \min \left\{ \binom{k}{r} \sum_{s=0}^r \binom{k}{s} \left(\frac{1}{r-s} \sum_{j=1}^{r-s} \beta_{n-j+1} \right)^{r-s} \left(\frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_{n-j+1} \right)^s, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \binom{k}{r} \sum_{s=0}^r \binom{k}{s} \left(\frac{1}{r-s} \sum_{j=1}^{r-s} \alpha_{n-j+1} \right)^{r-s} \left(\frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_{n-j+1} \right)^s \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let x_1, \dots, x_k be an o.n. set of eigenvectors of $C = A + B$ corresponding respectively to $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$. Let $a_i = (Ax_i, x_i)$ and $b_i = (Bx_i, x_i)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_r(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) &= E_r(a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_k + b_k) \\ &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r = \omega \in Q_{kr}} \sum_{s=0}^r \sum_{\mu = (i_1 < \dots < i_s) \subset \omega} \prod_{j=1}^s a_{i_j} \prod_{t \in \omega - \mu} b_t \\ &\geq \sum_{\omega \in Q_{kr}} \sum_{s=0}^r \prod_{j=1}^{r-s} \beta_j E_s(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_r}) \\ &\geq \sum_{\omega \in Q_{kr}} \sum_{s=0}^r \prod_{j=1}^{r-s} \beta_j E_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \\ &= \binom{k}{r} \sum_{s=0}^r \prod_{j=1}^{r-s} \beta_j E_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r). \end{aligned}$$

The result is symmetric in A and B and the first inequality follows. The second inequality is proved analogously.

REFERENCES

1. Ky Fan, *On a theorem of Weyl concerning eigenvalues of linear transformations I*, Proc. N.A.S. (U.S.A.), *35* (1949), 652–655.
2. H. Flanders, *A note on the Sylvester-Franke theorem*, Amer. Math. Monthly *60* (1954), 8, 543.
3. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Polya, *Inequalities* (Cambridge, 2nd ed., 1952).
4. A. Horn, *On the singular values of a product of completely continuous operators*, Proc. N.A.S. (U.S.A.), *36* (1950), 374.
5. A. Ostrowski, *Sur quelques applications des fonctions convexes et concaves au sens de I. Schur*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) *31* (1952), 253–292.
6. J. H. M. Wedderburn, *Lectures on matrices*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub., *17* (1934).

*United States Naval Ordnance Test Station,
Pasadena, California and
University of British Columbia.*

*California Institute
of Technology.*