



# Spectral Flow for Nonunital Spectral Triples

A. L. Carey, V. Gayral, J. Phillips, A. Rennie, and F. A. Sukochev

*Abstract.* We prove two results about nonunital index theory left open in a previous paper. The first is that the spectral triple arising from an action of the reals on a  $C^*$ -algebra with invariant trace satisfies the hypotheses of the nonunital local index formula. The second result concerns the meaning of spectral flow in the nonunital case. For the special case of paths arising from the odd index pairing for smooth spectral triples in the nonunital setting, we are able to connect with earlier approaches to the analytic definition of spectral flow.

## 1 Introduction

The local index formula in noncommutative geometry originated in the paper of Connes and Moscovici [14]. Subsequent applications have revealed that it provides a unifying viewpoint for many formerly unrelated isolated classical theorems. It also produces a way to calculate topological invariants for noncommutative algebras.

In [7], a local index formula (generalising both [14, 18] and [10, 11]) was derived for nonunital spectral triples. Such spectral triples encompass as examples classical Dirac type operators on noncompact manifolds as well as noncommutative examples. The local index formula of [7] computes, in particular, a pairing of  $K$ -homology with  $K$ -theory using a generalisation of the residue cocycle first encountered in [14]. From a conceptual point of view, this index pairing is defined using the Kasparov product.

Recall that a nonunital spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  is given by a nonunital  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  acting on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , together with an unbounded self-adjoint operator  $\mathcal{D}$  such that all commutators  $[\mathcal{D}, a]$  are densely defined and bounded, and  $a(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2}$  is compact for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Typically however,  $(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2}$  is not compact. In the odd case, it was shown in [7] that this  $K$ -theoretical pairing can be realised as the index of a generalised Toeplitz operator even in the nonunital setting. Whereas in the unital case the relationship between spectral flow and the Toeplitz theory is not difficult (see for example the discussion in [2]), a lengthier argument is needed in the nonunital case in order to explain the sense in which we are computing the spectral flow. The issue is that the residue formula appears to be using a path of unbounded operators, none of which are Fredholm. This paper provides such an argument.

We present here two main results. The first is that the index formula for generalised Toeplitz operators in [23], arising from actions of the reals on a nonunital  $C^*$ -algebra, fits into the framework of the nonunital local index formula of [7].

---

Received by the editors February 26, 2014; revised November 12, 2014.

Published electronically February 24, 2015.

A.C., A.R., and F.S. acknowledge the support of the ARC, and J.P. acknowledges the support of NSERC.

AMS subject classification: 46H30.

Keywords: spectral triple, spectral flow, local index theorem.

The second result justifies the notion that the local index formula of [7] is computing spectral flow. We follow an idea originating with I. M. Singer [24], refined in [17], and introduce an exact one-form on a suitable affine space of perturbations of  $\mathcal{D}$ . We then show how to write the index of the generalised Toeplitz operator of [7] as the integral of this one-form in a fashion that provides a direct comparison with the unital formula of [9]. The idea is to reverse the argument in [10], which goes from an integral formula for spectral flow to the resolvent cocycle formula. Thus we start from the resolvent cocycle in the nonunital setting and derive from it a variant of the integral formulas for spectral flow that appear in [8, 9]. Our formula will apply to certain paths of operators with unitarily equivalent endpoints and is written in terms of paths of operators that are possibly non-Fredholm. We remark that in the unital case this formula has had many applications and its origins lie in the ‘variation of eta’ formula that appears in Atiyah, Patodi, and Singer [1].

The issue that arises in the nonunital case is that both bounded and unbounded Kasparov modules (and thus spectral triples for nonunital algebras) do not lead directly to the study of Fredholm operators. Rather, one needs to modify the operator that appears in the definition of the Kasparov module in some fashion in order to obtain a Fredholm operator. This fact is already well known in the traditional approach to Dirac type operators on non-compact manifolds where one needs to twist the Dirac operator by special connections in order to have a Fredholm problem. That this issue does have a sensible answer for the paths considered here suggests that there may be broader classes of paths for which we can obtain spectral flow formulas; however, we leave these speculative issues for the future.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall the integration and pseudodifferential operator theories (for nonunital spectral triples) of [7]. In addition, Section 2 extends some results of [7] to identify an affine space of perturbations adapted to the above-mentioned problem of spectral flow in the nonunital case. All our constructions are done in the context of general semifinite spectral triples, which is necessary to handle numerous examples, including the generalised Toeplitz examples of [23].

Section 3 proves that there is a (semifinite) spectral triple that satisfies the hypotheses of the local index formula such that the index theorems of Lesch [19] and Phillips–Raeburn [23] can be recovered using the procedure of [7]. Indeed, the unital result of Lesch is already contained in [10] (see also [12] for the connection to the spectral flow formula).

Finally, in Section 4, we prove our main result. It states that given a spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  satisfying the hypotheses that lead to the local index formula of [7], and a unitary  $u \in \mathcal{A}^{\sim}$  in the minimal unitisation of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we can compute the odd index pairing between  $[u] \in K_1(\mathcal{A})$  and  $[(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})] \in K^1(\mathcal{A})$  using a formula analogous to those in [8, 9] for spectral flow in the unital case. We stress that the path we consider here, namely  $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto \mathcal{D} + tu[\mathcal{D}, u^*]$ , need not be a path of unbounded Fredholm operators. Nevertheless, the method we adopt may be seen to determine, from our initial path, a related path of Fredholm operators and our formula in terms of  $\mathcal{D}$  computes the spectral flow of this related Fredholm path. Moreover, we show that this is also the index of the generalised Toeplitz operator  $PuP$ , where  $P$  is the non-negative spectral projection of  $\mathcal{D}$  as would be expected given the formulations of [2, 3, 14].

## 2 Technical Preliminaries

### 2.1 Background Material

In this preliminary section, we import notation, definitions, and results from [7]. In all that follows,  $\mathcal{D}$  is a self-adjoint operator affiliated to a semifinite von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  equipped with faithful normal semifinite trace  $\tau$ , where  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , and  $\mathcal{H}$  is a separable Hilbert space.

**Definition 2.1** For any positive number  $s > 0$ , we define the weight  $\phi_s$  on  $\mathcal{N}$  by

$$T \in \mathcal{N}_+ \mapsto \phi_s(T) := \tau\left((1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/4} T (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/4}\right) \in [0, +\infty].$$

As usual, we set  $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_s} := \text{span}\{\mathcal{N}_{\phi_s,+}\} = \text{span}\left\{\left(\mathcal{N}_{\phi_s}^{1/2}\right)^* \mathcal{N}_{\phi_s}^{1/2}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}$ , where

$$\mathcal{N}_{\phi_s,+} := \{T \in \mathcal{N}_+ : \phi_s(T) < \infty\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_{\phi_s}^{1/2} := \{T \in \mathcal{N} : T^* T \in \mathcal{N}_{\phi_s,+}\}.$$

With the notation as in Definition 2.1, the weights  $\phi_s$ ,  $s > 0$ , are faithful, normal, and semifinite [7, Lemma 1.2] (but see also the more general theory in [20]). We will also need the spaces  $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$  of measurable operators  $T$  affiliated to  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $\tau(|T|^p) < \infty$ . With this notation,  $\mathcal{N}_\tau = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$  and  $\mathcal{N}_\tau^{1/2} = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ . This differs from the notation of [7].

**Definition 2.2** Retain the notation of Definition 2.1.

- (i) For each  $p \geq 1$  we define  $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{D}, p) := \bigcap_{s>p} (\mathcal{N}_{\phi_s}^{1/2} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\phi_s}^{1/2*})$ .
- (ii) We set  $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{D}, p) = \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{D}, p)^2 := \text{span}\{TS : T, S \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{D}, p)\}$ .
- (iii) Set  $\mathcal{H}_\infty = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \text{dom } \mathcal{D}^k$ . For an operator  $T \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $T: \mathcal{H}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\infty$  we set

$$\delta(T) := [(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{1/2}, T], \quad T \in \mathcal{N}.$$

- (iv) In addition, if  $T: \mathcal{H}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\infty$ , we define  $L(T), R(T): \mathcal{H}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\infty$  by

$$(2.1) \quad L(T) := (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2} [\mathcal{D}^2, T], \quad R(T) := [\mathcal{D}^2, T] (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2}.$$

- (v) Define  $\mathcal{B}_q^k(\mathcal{D}, p) := \{T \in \mathcal{N} : \forall l = 0, \dots, k, \delta^l(T) \in \mathcal{B}_q(\mathcal{D}, p)\}$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

The spaces  $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{D}, p)$  and  $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{D}, p)$  are Fréchet subalgebras of  $\mathcal{N}$  (see [7, Subsections 1.1, 1.2]). The natural topology of  $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{D}, p)$  is determined by the family of seminorms

$$\mathcal{Q}_n(T) := \left(\|T\|^2 + \phi_{p+1/n}(|T|^2) + \phi_{p+1/n}(|T^*|^2)\right)^{1/2}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the norm of  $\mathcal{N}$ . The topology of  $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{D}, p)$  is then determined by the family of seminorms

$$\mathcal{P}_n(T) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{Q}_n(T_{1,i}) \mathcal{Q}_n(T_{2,i}) : T = \sum_{i=1}^k T_{1,i} T_{2,i} \right\}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

We equip  $\mathcal{B}_1^k(\mathcal{D}, p)$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{D}, p)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ , with the topology determined by the seminorms  $\mathcal{P}_{n,l}$  defined for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, l = 0, \dots, k$  by

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,l}(T) := \sum_{j=0}^l \mathcal{P}_n(\delta^j(T)), \quad \mathcal{Q}_{n,l}(T) := \sum_{j=0}^l \mathcal{Q}_n(\delta^j(T)).$$

**Definition 2.3** The set of regular order- $r$  pseudodifferential operators is

$$\text{OP}^r(\mathcal{D}) := (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{r/2} \left( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom } \delta^n \right), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{OP}^*(\mathcal{D}) := \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \text{OP}^r(\mathcal{D}).$$

The set of order- $r$  tame pseudodifferential operators associated with  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$  for  $p \geq 1$  is given by

$$\text{OP}_0^r(\mathcal{D}) := (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{r/2} \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, p), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{OP}_0^*(\mathcal{D}) := \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \text{OP}_0^r(\mathcal{D}).$$

We topologise  $\text{OP}_0^r(\mathcal{D})$  with the family of norms

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,l}^r(T) := \mathcal{P}_{n,l} \left( (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-r/2} T \right), \quad n, l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

All of the operators in  $\text{OP}^r(\mathcal{D})$  have  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  as a common core, and this allows us to compose pseudodifferential operators.

With this definition,  $\text{OP}^r(\mathcal{D})$  and  $\text{OP}_0^r(\mathcal{D})$  are Fréchet spaces, while  $\text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D})$  and  $\text{OP}_0^0(\mathcal{D})$  are Fréchet  $*$ -algebras, and [7, Lemma 1.31] proves that  $\text{OP}^r(\mathcal{D}) \text{OP}_0^t(\mathcal{D})$ ,  $\text{OP}_0^t(\mathcal{D}) \text{OP}^r(\mathcal{D}) \subset \text{OP}_0^{r+t}(\mathcal{D})$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, p) = \text{OP}_0^0(\mathcal{D})$  is a two-sided  $*$ -ideal in  $\text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D}) = \bigcap \text{dom } \delta^m$ . In [7, Corollary 1.30] it is shown that

$$\bigcup_{r < -p} \text{OP}_0^r(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{N}, \tau) \cap \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_\tau,$$

which is the basic justification for the introduction of tame pseudodifferential operators in the nonunital setting.

The last ingredient from the pseudodifferential calculus is the complex one-parameter group of automorphisms on  $\text{OP}^*(\mathcal{D})$ , defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma^z(T) := (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{z/2} T (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-z/2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad T \in \text{OP}^*(\mathcal{D}).$$

This group is strongly continuous and preserves each of the spaces  $\text{OP}^r(\mathcal{D})$  and  $\text{OP}_0^r(\mathcal{D})$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  (see [7, Subsection 1.4]).

Next we recall the definition of spectral triple and summability of spectral triples from [7].

**Definition 2.4** A semifinite spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ , relative to  $(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ , is given by a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , a  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{N}$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and a densely defined unbounded self-adjoint operator  $\mathcal{D}$  affiliated to  $\mathcal{N}$  such that:

- (i) for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $a: \text{dom } \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{dom } \mathcal{D}$ ;
- (ii)  $da := [\mathcal{D}, a]: \text{dom } \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  extends to a bounded operator in  $\mathcal{N}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ;
- (iii)  $a(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$  is the ideal of  $\tau$ -compact operators in  $\mathcal{N}$  (the norm closure of the algebra generated by finite trace projections).

We say that  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  is even if in addition there is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is even and  $\mathcal{D}$  is odd. This means there is an operator  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma = \gamma^*$ ,  $\gamma^2 = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{N}}$ ,  $\gamma a = a\gamma$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{D}\gamma + \gamma\mathcal{D} = 0$ . Otherwise we say that  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  is odd.

A semifinite spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  is said to be finitely summable if there exists  $s > 0$  such that for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $a(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2} \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ . In such a case, we let

$$p := \inf \{ s > 0 : \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, \tau(|a|^{1/2}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/2}|a|^{1/2}) < \infty \}$$

and call  $p$  the spectral dimension of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ .

It is shown in [7, Propositions 2.16, 2.17] that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{D}, p)$  is a necessary condition for  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  to be finitely summable with spectral dimension  $p$ , and that this condition is almost sufficient as well.

**Definition 2.5** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  be a semifinite spectral triple relative to  $(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ . Then we say that  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  is smoothly summable if  $\mathcal{A} \cup [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}] \subset \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, p)$ .

## 2.2 An Affine Space of Perturbations

This subsection proves that the self-adjoint part of  $\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, p)$  provides an affine space of perturbations of the operator  $\mathcal{D}$  suitable for the purpose of studying spectral flow as an integral of a one-form. We begin with some preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 2.6** For  $B \in \text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D})_{\text{sa}}$ , set  $\mathcal{D}_B := \mathcal{D} + B$ . Then  $(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{s/2}$  belongs to  $\text{OP}^s(\mathcal{D})$  for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof** Clearly,  $1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2 \in \text{OP}^2(\mathcal{D})$ . So by [7, Proposition 1.31],  $(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}$  and  $(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)$  belong to  $\text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D})$ . Next, we prove that  $(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1} \in \text{OP}^{-2}(\mathcal{D})$ , which is equivalent to  $(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2) \in \text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D})$ . But we already know by writing  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_B - B$  that  $(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2) \in \text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D}_B) \subset \mathcal{N}$ , so that  $(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)$  is bounded. It remains to show that  $\delta^k((1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)) \in \mathcal{N}$ , for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . For  $k = 1$ , we have

$$\delta((1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)) = -(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}\delta(\mathcal{D}_B^2)(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2),$$

which is bounded, since  $(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)$  is bounded and  $(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}\delta(\mathcal{D}_B^2) \in \text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D})$ . An easy inductive argument shows that  $\delta^k((1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2))$  is bounded for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Taking products, we deduce that  $(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^n \in \text{OP}^{2n}(\mathcal{D})$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now take an arbitrary  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and write  $s = n - \alpha$  with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Thus, it remains to show that for such  $\alpha$ ,  $(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-\alpha}$  belongs to  $\text{OP}^{-2\alpha}(\mathcal{D})$ . For this, we use the integral formula for fractional powers

$$(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-\alpha} = \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\alpha} (1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1} d\lambda.$$

Writing  $(1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1} = (1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1} - (1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}(\mathcal{D}B + B\mathcal{D}_B)(1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1}$ , we arrive at

$$(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^\alpha (1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-\alpha} = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{N}} - \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\alpha} (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^\alpha (1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1} (\mathcal{D}B + B\mathcal{D}_B) (1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1} d\lambda.$$

We estimate the integrand in operator norm using

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^\alpha (1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1} \mathcal{D}B (1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1}\| &\leq \|B\| (1 + \lambda)^{-3/2+\alpha} \\ \|(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^\alpha (1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1} B\mathcal{D}_B (1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1}\| &\leq \|B\| (1 + \lambda)^{-3/2+\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

showing the norm-convergence of the integral. Next, writing

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta((1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-\alpha}) \\ &= \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\alpha} \delta((1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1}) d\lambda \\ &= -\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\alpha} (1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1} (\delta(B)\mathcal{D}_B + \mathcal{D}_B\delta(B)) (1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1} d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^\alpha \delta((1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-\alpha})\| \\ &\leq C_\alpha \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\alpha} \|(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^\alpha (1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1} (\delta(B)\mathcal{D}_B + \mathcal{D}_B\delta(B)) (1 + \lambda + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1}\| d\lambda \\ &\leq 2C_\alpha \|\delta(B)\| \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\alpha} (1 + \lambda)^{-3/2+\alpha} d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

which converges since  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . On the basis of this, an easy recursive argument shows that  $(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^\alpha \delta^k((1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-\alpha})$  is bounded for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This completes the proof. ■

We then deduce an immediate corollary.

**Corollary 2.7** *Let  $B \in \text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D})_{\text{sa}}$ . Then  $(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^s (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-s}$  is bounded for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

We have next our first preliminary result concerning affine spaces of perturbations.

**Proposition 2.8** *Let  $B \in \text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D})_{\text{sa}}$  and  $p \geq 1$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{D}_B, p) = \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{D}, p)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{D}_B, p) = \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{D}, p)$ ) with equivalent  $\mathcal{Q}_n$ -seminorms (resp.  $\mathcal{P}_n$ -seminorms).*

**Proof** Let  $T \in \mathcal{N}_+$  and  $s > 0$ . By Corollary 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau((1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-s/4} T (1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-s/4}) \\ &= \tau((1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-s/4} (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{s/4} (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/4} T (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/4} (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{s/4} (1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-s/4}) \\ &\leq \|(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{s/4} (1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-s/4}\|^2 \tau((1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/4} T (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/4}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\tau\left((1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/4} T(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/4}\right) \leq \|(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{s/4} (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-s/4}\|^2 \left( (1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-s/4} T(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-s/4} \right).$$

Thus, the weights  $\phi_s$  defined with  $\mathcal{D}$  or with  $\mathcal{D}_B$  are equivalent. Substituting  $s = p + 4/n$  and comparing with the definition of the norms  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  and  $\mathcal{P}_n$  completes the proof. ■

To state an analogous result in the smooth case, namely when we use  $\mathcal{B}_2^\infty$  and  $\mathcal{B}_1^\infty$ , we will compare the operators (defined in (2.1))  $L$  and  $L_B$  associated with  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_B$ , respectively. We arrive now at the main technical result.

**Proposition 2.9** *Let  $B \in \text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D})_{\text{sa}}$ . Then  $\text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D}_B) = \text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D})$  and  $\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}_B, p) =: \text{OP}_0^0(\mathcal{D}_B) = \text{OP}_0^0(\mathcal{D}) =: \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, p)$  with equivalent topologies. In particular,  $\mathcal{D} + \text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D})$  is an affine Fréchet subspace of  $\text{OP}^1(\mathcal{D})$  whose topology is independent of the base point.*

**Proof** First we need to prove that  $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom } \delta^k = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom } \delta_B^k$ , where  $\delta_B(\cdot) := [(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{1/2}, \cdot]$ . Using  $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom } \delta^k = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom } L^k$  (see [10] for a proof), we see that we equivalently need to prove that  $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom } L^k = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom } L_B^k$ , where  $L_B$  is the linear operator defined in (2.1) with  $\mathcal{D}_B$  instead of  $\mathcal{D}$ . For this, we observe the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_B^2 - \mathcal{D}^2 &= \mathcal{D}B + B\mathcal{D} + B^2 = (\mathcal{D} + B)B + B(\mathcal{D} + B) - B^2 \\ &= (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{1/2} (\mathcal{D}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2} B + \sigma^{-1}(B)\mathcal{D}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2} + (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2} B^2) \\ &= (1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{1/2} (\sigma_B^{-1}(B)\mathcal{D}_B(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{D}_B(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1/2} B - (1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1/2} B^2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the one-parameter complex group of automorphisms for  $\mathcal{D}$  given in (2.2), and  $\sigma_B$  is the one-parameter group defined using  $\mathcal{D}_B$ . Defining the transformations

$$\begin{aligned} T: B &\mapsto \mathcal{D}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2} B + \sigma^{-1}(B)\mathcal{D}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2} + (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2} B^2 \\ T_B: B &\mapsto \sigma_B^{-1}(B)\mathcal{D}_B(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1/2} + \mathcal{D}_B(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1/2} B - (1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1/2} B^2, \end{aligned}$$

we see that  $T$  and  $T_B$  map  $\text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D})$  to itself (and similarly for  $\text{OP}_0^0(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, p)$ ). Moreover, we have the following relations between the maps  $L$  and  $L_B$ :

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} L_B(\cdot) &= (1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1/2} (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{1/2} (L(\cdot) + [T(B), \cdot]) + (1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1/2} \delta(\cdot) T(B), \\ L(\cdot) &= (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2} (1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{1/2} (L_B(\cdot) - [T_B(B), \cdot]) - (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2} \delta_B(\cdot) T_B(B). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6, we have that  $(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-1/2} (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{1/2}$  and  $(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2} (1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{1/2}$  belong to  $\text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D})$ , and by the replacement  $(\mathcal{D}, B) \mapsto (\mathcal{D}_B, -B)$ , they also belong to  $\text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D}_B)$ . Now, the first equation of (2.3) shows that  $B$  belongs to the domain of  $L_B$ . By an iterative use of this equation, we deduce that  $B \in \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom } L_B^k = \text{OP}^0(\mathcal{D}_B)$ . Last, writing  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_B - B$ , Lemma 2.6 applied to  $\mathcal{D}_B$ , shows that  $T(B)$  also belongs

to  $OP^0(\mathcal{D}_B)$ . This is clearly enough to conclude that  $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom } L^k = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom } L_B^k$ . That  $\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}_B, \rho) = \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, \rho)$  now follows, since  $\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, \rho)$  is an ideal in  $OP^0(\mathcal{D})$  and that all the transformations used above also preserve  $\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, \rho)$ . ■

**Corollary 2.10** *Let  $B \in OP^0(\mathcal{D})_{sa}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $OP^r(\mathcal{D}_B) = OP^r(\mathcal{D})$  and  $OP_0^r(\mathcal{D}_B) = OP_0^r(\mathcal{D})$ .*

**Proof** By definition and Proposition 2.9,

$$\begin{aligned} OP^r(\mathcal{D}_B) &= OP^0(\mathcal{D}_B)(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-r/2} = OP^0(\mathcal{D})(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-r/2} \\ &= OP^r(\mathcal{D})(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{r/2}(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-r/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6,  $(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{r/2}(1 + \mathcal{D}_B^2)^{-r/2} \in OP^0(\mathcal{D})$ , thus,

$$OP^r(\mathcal{D}_B) \subset OP^r(\mathcal{D}) \cdot OP^0(\mathcal{D}) \subset OP^r(\mathcal{D}).$$

Reversing the role of  $(\mathcal{D}, B)$  and  $(\mathcal{D}_B, -B)$ , we get the second inclusion. The statements about  $OP_0^r(\mathcal{D})$  are proved the same way. ■

We require one more technical estimate for later use.

**Lemma 2.11** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an unbounded self-adjoint operator affiliated with a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  and let  $B = B^* \in OP^0(\mathcal{D})$ . Then for all  $\rho > 0$  and  $s > 2\|B\|$ , the operator  $(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^\rho(1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-\rho}$  is bounded, with*

$$\sup_{s > 2\|B\|} \left\| (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^\rho (1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-\rho} \right\| < \infty.$$

**Proof** We let  $C_\rho = (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^\rho(1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-\rho}$ . For  $\rho = 0$ ,  $C_\rho$  is bounded, and also for  $\rho = 1$ , we have

$$(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)(1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-1} = 1 - (sB + s^2)(1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-1}.$$

Since  $2\|B\| < s$ , we find that  $s^2/2 - sB \geq 0$ , and so we obtain, for some constant  $M > 0$ ,

$$\|C_1\| = \left\| (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)(1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-1} \right\| \leq 1 + (s\|B\| + s^2)(1 - s\|B\| + s^2)^{-1} \leq M.$$

To handle  $0 < \rho < 1$ , we first observe that the boundedness of  $C_1$  says that for all  $s > 2\|B\|$ ,

$$0 < (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)(1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-2}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2) \leq M^2.$$

Conjugating by  $(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}$  and raising to the power  $\rho$  yields, by operator monotonicity,

$$0 < (1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-2\rho} \leq M^{2\rho}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-2\rho},$$

and conjugating by  $(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^\rho$  yields

$$0 < (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^\rho(1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-2\rho}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^\rho \leq M^{2\rho}.$$

Hence,  $\|C_\rho\| \leq M^{2\rho}$  independent of  $s > 2\|B\|$  whenever  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ .

So, let us assume that the result holds for some given  $\rho$ . Then for  $C_{\rho+1}$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\rho+1} &= (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{\rho+1} (1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-\rho-1} \\ &= (1 + \mathcal{D}^2) (1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-1} (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{\rho} (1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-\rho} \\ &\quad + (1 + \mathcal{D}^2) \left[ (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{\rho}, (1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-1} \right] (1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-\rho} \\ &= C_1 C_{\rho} - s (1 + \mathcal{D}^2) (1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-1} \left[ (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{\rho}, B \right] (1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-\rho-1} \\ &= C_1 C_{\rho} - C_1 (\sigma^{2\rho}(B) - B) (1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{\rho} (1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-\rho} s (1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-1} \\ &= C_1 C_{\rho} - C_1 (\sigma^{2\rho}(B) - B) C_{\rho} s (1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now it is straightforward to show that  $\|s(1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + sB + s^2)^{-1}\|$  is bounded independently of  $s > 2\|B\|$ , so if  $C_{\rho}$  is bounded uniformly in  $s$ , so too is  $C_{\rho+1}$ . This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

### 3 Nonunital Phillips–Raeburn Examples

In this section we prove that the examples studied by Phillips and Raeburn in [23] give rise to smoothly summable semifinite spectral triples. We begin by recalling the construction in [23] in order to set our notation and assumptions. To this end,  $A$  will denote a  $C^*$ -algebra (usually non-unital) with a fixed, faithful, norm-lower semi-continuous, densely defined trace,  $\tau$ , which is invariant under a strongly continuous, isometric action of the reals,  $\alpha: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ . We let  $A_{\tau}$  denote the dense ideal of trace class elements in the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , that is

$$A_{\tau} = \{a \in A \mid \tau(|a|) < \infty\} = \text{span}\{a \in A_+ \mid \tau(a) < \infty\}.$$

We define a Banach- $*$ -algebra norm on  $A_{\tau}$  via  $\|a\|_{\tau} = \|a\| + \tau(|a|) := \|a\| + \|a\|_1$ , and observe that the action  $\alpha$  restricts to a strongly continuous action of  $\mathbb{R}$  as isometric  $*$ -automorphisms of  $A_{\tau}$ .

Now  $\alpha$  determines densely defined derivations,  $\partial$  and  $\partial_{\tau}$  on  $A$  and  $A_{\tau}$ , respectively, given by the formulas

$$\partial(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha_t(a) - a}{t} \quad a \in A \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\tau}(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha_t(a) - a}{t} \quad a \in A_{\tau},$$

where the limit in each case is taken with respect to the complete norm topologies of the respective algebras. Moreover,  $\text{dom}(\partial_{\tau}) \subseteq \text{dom}(\partial)$  and  $\partial|_{\text{dom}(\partial_{\tau})} = \partial_{\tau}$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *The smooth  $*$ -subalgebra,  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{dom}(\partial^k)$  is dense in  $A$ , and the smooth  $*$ -subalgebra,  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{dom}(\partial_{\tau}^k)$  is dense in  $A_{\tau}$ .*

**Proof** Let  $f$  be a smooth, compactly supported, complex valued function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $a \in A$ , define  $a_f = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \alpha_t(a) dt \in A$ . By a change of variable we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(a_f) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha_s(a_f) - a_f}{s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(r-s) - f(r)}{-s} \alpha_r(a) dr \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(r) \alpha_r(a) dr = -a_{f'}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have  $\partial^k(a_f) = (-1)^k a_{f^{(k)}}$ , where  $f^{(k)}$  denotes the  $k$ -th derivative of  $f$ . Hence,  $a_f \in \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \text{dom}(\partial^k)$ . Now take a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of non-negative smooth bump functions symmetric about 0, each with integral 1 and supports shrinking to  $\{0\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_{f_n} - a\| &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n(t)\alpha_t(a)dt - a \right\| = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n(t)\alpha_t(a)dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n(t)adt \right\| \\ &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n(t)(\alpha_t(a) - a)dt \right\| \leq \sup_{t \in \text{supp}(f_n)} \|\alpha_t(a) - a\|, \end{aligned}$$

and we see that  $\|a_{f_n} - a\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by the strong continuity of  $\alpha$ . The same argument works equally well with  $A_\tau$ . ■

### 3.1 The Induced Representation of the Crossed Product of $A$ by $\mathbb{R}$

In this subsection we review some well known facts about crossed products in order to set notations and to recall the framework of [23]. The first thing to recall here is that  $\mathbb{R}$  is amenable, so that there is no distinction between the full and reduced crossed products. We denote the crossed product by  $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$ . We remind the reader of the multiplication and involution for  $x, y \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, A) \subset A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$ :

$$(x *_\alpha y)(r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x(t)\alpha_t(y(r-t))dt, \quad x^*(r) = \alpha_r(x(-r))^*.$$

We let  $H_\tau = L^2(A, \tau)$  be the (GNS) Hilbert space completion of the pre-Hilbert space  $A_\tau^{1/2} := \{a \in A \mid a^*a \in A_\tau\}$ . Of course the action of  $A$  on the ideal  $A_\tau^{1/2}$  by left multiplication extends to a  $*$ -representation of  $A$  on  $H_\tau$ . We denote this  $*$ -representation by juxtaposition since if  $a \in A$  and  $b \in A_\tau^{1/2}$ , then the action of  $a$  on the vector  $b$  is just  $ab$ .

**Definition 3.2** The covariant pair  $(\pi, \lambda)$  of representations of  $(A, \mathbb{R})$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_\tau) \cong L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes H_\tau$  is defined for  $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_\tau)$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  by taking

$$(\pi(a)\xi)(s) := \alpha_s^{-1}(a)\xi(s) \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda(t)\xi)(s) := \xi(s-t).$$

Then one easily checks the covariance condition  $\lambda(t)\pi(a)\lambda(-t) = \pi(\alpha_t(a))$ . Thus, we get a  $*$ -representation  $\tilde{\pi}$  of the crossed product algebra  $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_\tau)$ , which for  $x$  in the algebra  $L^1(\mathbb{R}, A) \subset A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_\tau)$  is given by

$$(\tilde{\pi}(x)\xi)(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_s^{-1}(x(t))\xi(s-t)dt.$$

One checks directly that  $\tilde{\pi}(x *_\alpha y) = \tilde{\pi}(x)\tilde{\pi}(y)$  as required.

Our interest now is in  $\mathcal{N} = (\tilde{\pi}(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}))''$ , the von Neumann algebra generated by this representation. The essential point is that  $\mathfrak{A} = L^1(\mathbb{R}, A_\tau) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_\tau)$  is a Hilbert algebra with Hilbert space completion  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_\tau)$  satisfying  $\tilde{\pi}(\mathfrak{A})'' = \mathcal{N}$ . Moreover, letting  $M = \pi(A)'' \subset \mathcal{B}(H_\tau)$  and  $\bar{\tau}$  be the normal extension of  $\tau$  to  $M$ , then with  $M_{\bar{\tau}}$  the domain of  $\bar{\tau}$  in  $M$ , and  $M_{\bar{\tau}}^{1/2}$  the half-domain, we have  $\pi(A) \cap M_{\bar{\tau}} = \pi(A_\tau)$  and  $\pi(A) \cap M_{\bar{\tau}}^{1/2} = \pi(A_\tau^{1/2})$ . We also note that  $H_\tau \cong \mathcal{L}^2(M, \bar{\tau})$  is the GNS space of  $M$  for  $\bar{\tau}$ , and thus  $H_\tau \cap M = M_{\bar{\tau}}^{1/2}$ .

By [15, Théorème 1, p. 85] there is a faithful, normal, semifinite trace  $\widehat{\tau}$  induced on  $\mathcal{N}$  that is defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \widehat{\tau}(\widetilde{\pi}(x)^* \widetilde{\pi}(y)) := \langle x|y \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\tau}(x(t)^* y(t)) dt$$

for elements  $x$  and  $y$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_\tau)$  such that  $\widetilde{\pi}(x), \widetilde{\pi}(y) \in \mathcal{N}$ .

### 3.2 Constructing a Nonunital Spectral Triple

We have already introduced the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  needed for a semifinite spectral triple. Now we need the remaining ingredients.

We let  $D = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{dt} \otimes 1$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes H_\tau$ , so that  $D$  is an unbounded self-adjoint operator affiliated to  $\mathcal{N}$ .

**Proposition 3.3** For  $a \in \text{dom}(\partial)$  we have  $[D, \pi(a)] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \pi(\partial(a))$ .

**Proof** Let  $\xi \in \text{dom}(D)$  and  $a \in \text{dom}(\partial)$ . Then we claim that  $\pi(a)\xi \in \text{dom}(D)$ . This follows from the computation

$$\begin{aligned} (D\pi(a)\xi)(s) &= (D\pi(a)\xi)(s) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{ds} (\pi(a)\xi)(s) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{ds} (\alpha_s^{-1}(a)\xi)(s) \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} (-\alpha_s^{-1}(\partial(a))\xi(s) + \alpha_s^{-1}(a)\xi'(s)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\pi(a)D\xi)(s) = \alpha_s^{-1}(a)\left(\frac{-1}{2\pi i}\xi'(s)\right)$ , the result follows.  $\blacksquare$

To analyse functions of  $D$ , we first suppose that  $A = \mathbb{C}$ . If we define the Fourier transform of a function  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  via  $\widehat{g}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i t s} g(t) dt$ , then (provided  $\widehat{g} \in \text{dom}(D)$ ) by a familiar calculation,  $D(\widehat{g}(t)) = t\widehat{g}(t)$ . Applying the functional calculus then yields

$$f(D)\widehat{g} = \widehat{f g} = \widehat{f} * \widehat{g} = \lambda(\widehat{f})\widehat{g}$$

for functions  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . In other words,  $f(D) = \lambda(\widehat{f})$ . In particular, if  $f_s(t) = (1 + t^2)^{-s/2}$  for  $s > 1$  so that  $f_s \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $f_s(D) = (1 + D^2)^{-s/2} = \lambda(\widehat{f}_s)$ . For general  $A$ , the same computations go through unchanged.

**Lemma 3.4** Let  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  be such that  $\lambda(h)$  is in  $\mathcal{N}$ , and let  $T \in M_{\widehat{\tau}}^{1/2}$ . Then  $T\lambda(h)$  is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator in  $\mathcal{N}$  with respect to  $\widehat{\tau}$ , and, moreover, we have

$$\widehat{\tau}((T\lambda(h))^* T\lambda(h)) = \overline{\tau}(T^* T) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{h}(t) h(t) dt.$$

**Proof** First, by construction, we have  $T\lambda(h) \in \mathcal{N}$ . Moreover,  $x(t) := h(t)T$ , and for  $\xi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, H_\tau) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_\tau)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{\pi}(x)\xi)(s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_s^{-1}(x(t))\xi(s-t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_s^{-1}(T)h(t)\xi(s-t) dt \\ &= \alpha_s^{-1}(T) \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(t)\xi(s-t) dt = \alpha_s^{-1}(T)(\lambda(h)\xi)(s) = (T\lambda(h)\xi)(s). \end{aligned}$$

By density of  $C_c(\mathbb{R}, H_\tau)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_\tau)$ , we deduce that  $T\lambda(h)$  is of the form  $\tilde{\pi}(x)$  for  $x \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_\tau)$ , since as previously remarked  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, M_\tau^{1/2}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_\tau)$ . The result follows by equation (3.1), since

$$\langle x|x \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\tau}(x(t)^* x(t)) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\tau}(T^* T \overline{h(t)} h(t)) dt = \bar{\tau}(T^* T) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(t)|^2 dt < \infty. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Corollary 3.5** *Let  $s > 1$ . The restriction of the weight  $\phi_s$  associated with  $D$  (see Definition 2.1) to  $M := \pi(A)'' \subset \mathcal{N} := \tilde{\pi}(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{R})''$  is proportional to  $\bar{\tau}$ , the normal extension of  $\tau$  to  $M$ .*

**Proof** By definition of  $\phi_s$ , for  $0 \leq a \in M_{\bar{\tau}}$  and with  $h_s(t) := (1 + t^2)^{-s/4}$ , we have from Lemma 3.4 that

$$\phi_s(\pi(a)) = \tilde{\tau}(\lambda(\widehat{h}_s)\pi(a)\lambda(\widehat{h}_s)) = \|h_s\|_2^2 \tau(a) = \|h_s\|_2^2 \bar{\tau}(\pi(a)).$$

Hence,  $\phi_s|_{(M_{\bar{\tau}})_+} = \|h_s\|_2^2 \bar{\tau}|_{(M_{\bar{\tau}})_+}$ .

Now let  $T \in M \setminus M_{\bar{\tau}}$  satisfy  $0 \leq T$  so that  $\bar{\tau}(T) = +\infty$ . We construct a sequence  $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $M_{\bar{\tau}}$  such that  $T_k$  converges to  $T$  in the weak operator topology and such that  $0 \leq T_k \leq T$ . To do this, we choose  $0 \leq b_k \leq 1$  in  $M_{\bar{\tau}}$  converging in the weak operator topology to the identity of  $M$  and set  $T_k := T^{1/2} b_k T^{1/2}$ . By construction,  $0 \leq T_k \leq T$ , and since  $M_{\bar{\tau}}$  is an ideal in  $M$ ,  $T_k \in M_{\bar{\tau}}$ . The convergence follows from  $\langle \psi, T_k \phi \rangle = \langle T^{1/2} \psi, b_k T^{1/2} \phi \rangle \rightarrow \langle T^{1/2} \psi, T^{1/2} \phi \rangle = \langle \psi, T \phi \rangle$ , for all  $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$ .

Hence, we find that  $\phi_s(T) \geq \phi_s(T_k) = \|h_s\|_2^2 \bar{\tau}(T_k)$ , and thus

$$\phi_s(T) \geq \liminf_k \|h_s\|_2^2 \bar{\tau}(T_k).$$

Since the weak operator topology and the ultra-weak topology agree on bounded sets, and  $\bar{\tau}$  is ultraweakly lower semicontinuous, we deduce that  $\liminf_k \bar{\tau}(T_k) \geq \bar{\tau}(T) = +\infty$ . Hence,  $\phi_s(T) = +\infty$  and therefore  $\phi_s|_{M_+} = \|h_s\|_2^2 \bar{\tau}|_{M_+}$  as needed.  $\blacksquare$

**Notation** We use  $(\text{dom}(\partial_\tau))^2$  for the  $*$ -algebra of finite sums of products of two elements in  $\text{dom}(\partial_\tau)$ .

**Lemma 3.6** *Let  $a \in (\text{dom}(\partial_\tau))^2 \subset \text{dom}(\partial_\tau)$  so that  $a$  is a finite sum of factors  $b_i c_i$ , where  $b_i, c_i \in \text{dom}(\partial_\tau)$ . Then for all  $s > 1$ , the operator  $\pi(a)(1 + D^2)^{-s/2}$  is trace class in  $\mathcal{N}$  with respect to  $\bar{\tau}$ .*

**Proof** Without loss of generality we assume that  $a = bc$  with  $b, c \in \text{dom}(\partial_\tau)$ . Observe that

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi(a)(1 + D^2)^{-s/2} &= \pi(bc)(1 + D^2)^{-s/2} \\ &= \pi(b)[\pi(c), (1 + D^2)^{-s/2}] + \pi(b)(1 + D^2)^{-s/2} \pi(c). \end{aligned}$$

The last term is trace class in  $\mathcal{N}$ , since it is the product of two Hilbert–Schmidt operators in  $(\mathcal{N}, \bar{\tau})$ . Indeed, if we define the bounded  $L^2$ -function,  $f(t) = (1 + t^2)^{-s/4}$ ,

then

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(b)(1+D^2)^{-s/2}\pi(c) &= \pi(b)(1+D^2)^{-s/4}(1+D^2)^{-s/4}\pi(c) = \pi(b)\lambda(\widehat{f})\lambda(\widehat{f})\pi(c) \\ &= \pi(b)\lambda(\widehat{f})\{\pi(c^*)\lambda(\widehat{f})\}^* = \widetilde{\pi}(x)\widetilde{\pi}(y)^*,\end{aligned}$$

where  $x(t) = bf(t)$  and  $y(t) = c^*f(t)$ . So by the previous lemma  $\widetilde{\pi}(x)$  and  $\widetilde{\pi}(y)$  are Hilbert–Schmidt, and hence  $\widetilde{\pi}(x)\widetilde{\pi}(y)^*$  is trace class in  $(\mathcal{N}, \widehat{\tau})$ .

We next show that the first term is trace class in  $(\mathcal{N}, \widehat{\tau})$ . This is more subtle. It suffices to assume that  $s < 2$ , so that  $s/2 < 1$ . Let  $C_s = \sin(s\pi/2)/\pi$ , so by the integral formula for fractional powers, [8, p. 701], we have

$$(1+D^2)^{-s/2} = C_s \int_0^\infty t^{-s/2}(1+D^2+t)^{-1}dt.$$

Now we calculate, using the fact that  $c \in \text{dom}(\partial_\tau)$  and using Proposition 3.3:

$$\begin{aligned}& [\pi(c), (1+D^2)^{-s/2}] \\ &= C_s \int_0^\infty t^{-s/2}[\pi(c), (1+D^2+t)^{-1}]dt \\ &= C_s \int_0^\infty t^{-s/2}(1+D^2+t)^{-1}[D^2, \pi(c)](1+D^2+t)^{-1}dt \\ &= C_s \int_0^\infty t^{-s/2}(1+D^2+t)^{-1}(D[D, \pi(c)] + [D, \pi(c)]D)(1+D^2+t)^{-1}dt \\ &= \frac{C_s}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty t^{-s/2}(1+D^2+t)^{-1}(D\pi(\partial_\tau(c)) + \pi(\partial_\tau(c))D)(1+D^2+t)^{-1}dt \\ &= \frac{C_s}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty t^{-s/2}D(1+D^2+t)^{-1}\pi(\partial_\tau(c))(1+D^2+t)^{-1}dt \\ &\quad + \frac{C_s}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty t^{-s/2}(1+D^2+t)^{-1}\pi(\partial_\tau(c))D(1+D^2+t)^{-1}dt.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the first term on the right-hand side of equation (3.2) equals

$$\begin{aligned}& \pi(b)[\pi(c), (1+D^2)^{-s/2}] \\ &= \frac{C_s}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty t^{-s/2}\pi(b)D(1+D^2+t)^{-1}\pi(\partial_\tau(c))(1+D^2+t)^{-1}dt \\ &\quad + \frac{C_s}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty t^{-s/2}\pi(b)(1+D^2+t)^{-1}\pi(\partial_\tau(c))D(1+D^2+t)^{-1}dt.\end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof, we show that both of these integrands are trace class in  $\mathcal{N}$  and that the integrals converge in trace-norm. We do this for the first integral, as the argument for the second integral is the same. We factor the integrand as a product of Hilbert–Schmidt operators and estimate their Hilbert–Schmidt norms.

First,

$$\pi(b)D(1+D^2+t)^{-1} = \pi(b)\lambda(\widehat{f}) = \widetilde{\pi}(b \cdot f),$$

where  $f(x) = \frac{x}{1+x^2+t}$  is a bounded  $L^2$  function. Hence, and writing  $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{op}$  for the Hilbert–Schmidt and operator norms, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(b)D(1+D^2+t)^{-1}\|_{HS} &= \langle \tilde{\pi}(b \cdot f) \mid \tilde{\pi}(b \cdot f) \rangle^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \tau(b^*b) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{x}{1+x^2+t} \right)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \tau(b^*b) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{\pi} (\tau(b^*b))^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now letting  $c' = \partial(c) = \partial_{\tau}(c) \in A_{\tau}$ , we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\pi(c')(1+D^2+t)^{-1}\|_{HS} \\ &\leq \|\pi(c')(1+D^2+t)^{-1/2}\|_{HS} \|(1+D^2+t)^{-1/2}\|_{op} \\ &= \left( \tau((c')^*c') \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^2+t}} \right)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \|(1+D^2+t)^{-1/2}\|_{op} \\ &\leq (\tau((c')^*c'))^{1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t}} \\ &= (\tau((c')^*c'))^{1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the integrand is trace class in  $\mathcal{N}$  with trace-norm bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \|t^{-s/2}\pi(b)D(1+D^2+t)^{-1}\pi(\partial(c))(1+D^2+t)^{-1}\|_1 &\leq \\ &t^{-s/2}(\tau(b^*b)\tau((c')^*c'))^{1/2}\pi\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since for  $1 < s < 2$  the function  $t \mapsto t^{-s/2}/\sqrt{1+t}$  is integrable as a function of  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , we see that the integral is a trace class operator in  $\mathcal{N}$ . ■

This completes the proof that  $(\text{dom}(\partial_{\tau})^2, L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_{\tau}), D)$  is a spectral triple.

We now extend our analysis with a useful formula for the trace of certain elements. First we need a technical result.

**Lemma 3.7** *If  $\{A_n\}$  is a sequence of operators in  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $0 \leq A_n \leq 1$  for all  $n$  and  $A_n \rightarrow 1$  in the weak operator topology on  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_{\tau}))$ , then for all trace class operators  $T \in \mathcal{N}$ , we have  $\widehat{\tau}(T) = \lim_n \widehat{\tau}(A_n T)$ .*

**Proof** Using the Jordan decomposition, it suffices to prove this for trace class operators  $T \geq 0$ . In this case,  $T^{1/2}A_nT^{1/2} \leq T\|A_n\| \leq T$ , and therefore  $\widehat{\tau}(A_nT) = \widehat{\tau}(T^{1/2}A_nT^{1/2}) \leq \widehat{\tau}(T)$ , so that  $\overline{\lim}_n \widehat{\tau}(A_nT) \leq \widehat{\tau}(T)$ . On the other hand, one easily shows that  $T^{1/2}A_nT^{1/2} \rightarrow T$  in the weak operator topology; that is, for  $\xi, \eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_{\tau})$ ,

$$\langle T^{1/2}A_nT^{1/2}\xi \mid \eta \rangle = \langle A_nT^{1/2}\xi \mid T^{1/2}\eta \rangle \rightarrow \langle T^{1/2}\xi \mid T^{1/2}\eta \rangle = \langle T\xi \mid \eta \rangle.$$

Since the weak operator topology and the ultra-weak topology agree on bounded sets and  $\widehat{\tau}$  is ultraweakly lower semicontinuous,

$$\widehat{\tau}(T) \leq \underline{\lim}_n \widehat{\tau}(T^{1/2} A_n T^{1/2}) = \underline{\lim}_n \widehat{\tau}(A_n T).$$

Thus we have the bounds

$$\overline{\lim}_n \widehat{\tau}(A_n T) \leq \widehat{\tau}(T) \leq \underline{\lim}_n \widehat{\tau}(A_n T),$$

and the result follows.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 3.8** *Let  $a \in (\text{dom}(\partial_\tau))^2 \subset \text{dom}(\partial_\tau)$ . Then for all  $s > 1$*

$$\widehat{\tau}(\pi(a)(1 + D^2)^{-s/2}) = \tau(a) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + t^2)^{-s/2} dt.$$

**Proof** Without loss of generality we assume that  $a$  factors as  $a = bc$ , where  $b, c \in \text{dom}(\partial_\tau)$ . Let  $g_n = \chi_{[-n, n]}$  so that  $g_n \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then the multiplication operators  $M_{g_n}$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  satisfy

$$0 \leq M_{g_n} \leq 1, \quad \|M_{g_n}\| = 1, \quad M_{g_n} \rightarrow 1 \text{ weakly on } L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Therefore, by the Fourier transform, we see that  $\widehat{g}_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R})$  and the convolution operators  $\lambda(\widehat{g}_n)$  satisfy

$$0 \leq \lambda(\widehat{g}_n) \leq 1, \quad \|\lambda(\widehat{g}_n)\| = 1, \quad \lambda(\widehat{g}_n) \rightarrow 1 \text{ weakly on } L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

By the previous two lemmas,  $\widehat{\tau}(\pi(a)(1 + D^2)^{-s/2}) = \lim_n \widehat{\tau}(\lambda(\widehat{g}_n)\pi(bc)(1 + D^2)^{-s/2})$ . We let  $f_s(t) = (1 + t^2)^{-s/2}$ , so that

$$\widehat{\tau}(\lambda(\widehat{g}_n)\pi(bc)(1 + D^2)^{-s/2}) = \widehat{\tau}(\lambda(\widehat{g}_n)\pi(b)\pi(c)\lambda(\widehat{f}_s)).$$

If we define  $x_n(t) = b^* \widehat{g}_n(t)$  and  $y(t) = c \widehat{f}_s(t)$ , then  $\widetilde{\pi}(x_n) = \pi(b^*)\lambda(\widehat{g}_n)$  and  $\widetilde{\pi}(y) = \pi(c)\lambda(\widehat{f}_s)$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\tau}(\lambda(\widehat{g}_n)\pi(bc)(1 + D^2)^{-s/2}) &= \widehat{\tau}(\widetilde{\pi}(x_n)^* \widetilde{\pi}(y)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau(x_n(t)^* y(t)) dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau(\overline{\widehat{g}_n(t)} bc \widehat{f}_s(t)) dt = \tau(bc) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\widehat{g}_n(t)} \widehat{f}_s(t) dt \\ &= \tau(a) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{g_n(t)} f_s(t) dt = \tau(a) \int_{-n}^n (1 + t^2)^{-s/2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\widehat{\tau}(\pi(a)(1 + D^2)^{-s/2}) = \lim_n \tau(a) \int_{-n}^n (1 + t^2)^{-s/2} dt = \tau(a) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + t^2)^{-s/2} dt. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Corollary 3.9** *Let  $a \in (\text{dom}(\partial_\tau^2))^2 \subset \text{dom}(\partial_\tau)$  so that  $a$  is a sum of factors  $bc$ , where  $b, c \in \text{dom}(\partial_\tau^2)$ . Then with  $e = 1 + a$  invertible in  $A^\sim$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\tau}(\pi(e^{-1})[D, \pi(e)](1 + D^2)^{-s/2}) \right\} \\ = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2} (s - 1) \widehat{\tau}(\pi(e^{-1})[D, \pi(e)](1 + D^2)^{-s/2}) \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \tau(e^{-1} \partial(e)). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** It suffices to see that  $e^{-1}\partial_\tau(e)$  is a finite sum of products satisfying the hypotheses of the previous lemma. To this end, let  $e^{-1} = 1 + b$  where  $b \in A$ . Then in fact  $b \in \text{dom}(\partial_\tau^2)$ . This follows, since  $(1 + a)(1 + b) = 1$  implies that  $a + (1 + a)b = 0$  and so  $b = -(1 + a)^{-1}a$ . Now the  $*$ -algebra  $\text{dom}(\partial_\tau^2)$  is complete in the norm  $\|c\|_{\partial_\tau^2} := \|c\|_\tau + \|\partial(c)\|_\tau + \|\partial^2(c)\|_\tau$ , and so a Banach algebra, and hence is stable under the holomorphic functional calculus. Hence  $(1 + a)^{-1} - 1 \in \text{dom}(\partial_\tau^2)$ , and so  $(1 + a)^{-1}a - a \in \text{dom}(\partial_\tau^2)$ , and finally  $b = -(1 + a)^{-1}a \in \text{dom}(\partial_\tau^2)$ .

Now write  $a = \sum a_j^1 a_j^2$  with  $a_j^i \in \text{dom}(\partial_\tau^2)$ . Then

$$e^{-1}\partial_\tau(e) = \sum \partial_\tau(a_j^1)a_j^2 + b\partial_\tau(a_j^1)a_j^2 + a_j^1\partial_\tau(a_j^2) + ba_j^1\partial_\tau(a_j^2)$$

is clearly in  $(\text{dom}(\partial_\tau))^2$ . It follows from Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.8 that

$$\widehat{\tau}(\pi(e^{-1})[D, \pi(e)](1 + D^2)^{-s/2}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \tau(e^{-1}\partial(e)) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + t^2)^{-s/2} dt.$$

The result follows from the fact that  $\text{Res}_{s=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + t^2)^{-s/2} dt = 2$ . ■

### 3.3 Connection with Noncommutative Integration Theory and the Smoothness Question

The remainder of this section is devoted to explaining how this example fits with the formulation of the nonunital local index formula as proved in [7]. In other words we will prove a version of the Phillips–Raeburn index theorem. Recall now the notation from Section 2.

**Proposition 3.10** *With  $(A, \tau, \alpha)$  as above and defining  $\mathcal{B}_1(D, 1)$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2(D, 1)$  relative to  $(\mathcal{N}, \widehat{\tau})$ , we have  $\pi(A) \cap \mathcal{B}_2(D, 1) = \pi(A_\tau^{1/2})$  and  $\pi(A) \cap \mathcal{B}_1(D, 1) = \pi(A_\tau)$ .*

**Proof** First, Lemma 3.4 shows that  $\pi(A_\tau^{1/2}) \subset \mathcal{B}_2(D, 1)$ . Conversely, if  $a \in A$  and also  $\pi(a) \in \mathcal{B}_2(D, 1)$ , then by definition  $\pi(a)(1 + D^2)^{-s/4} \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{N}, \widehat{\tau})$  for all  $s > 1$ . As before we write  $M = \pi(A)'' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$  and  $\bar{\tau}$  for the normal extension of  $\tau$  to  $M$ , and since  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{N}, \widehat{\tau}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^2(M, \bar{\tau}))$ , we have  $\pi(a) \in M_\tau^{1/2}$ . Hence  $\pi(a) \in M_\tau^{1/2} \cap \pi(A) = \pi(A_\tau^{1/2})$ . Thus  $\pi(A) \cap \mathcal{B}_2(D, 1) = \pi(A_\tau^{1/2})$ .

For the final statement, we recall the result in Corollary 3.5 together with the notation given there. Combining this with [7, Proposition 1.19], we deduce that

$$\mathcal{B}_1(D, 1) \cap \pi(A)'' = \bigcap_{s>1} \text{dom}(\|h_s\|_2^2 \bar{\tau}) = \text{dom}(\bar{\tau}) = \pi(M_\tau).$$

Taking the intersection with  $\pi(A)$  gives  $\mathcal{B}_1(D, 1) \cap \pi(A) = \pi(A_\tau)$ , as needed. ■

The argument of the previous proposition analyses the integration theory that forms the first ingredient for the local index formula. What remains is to find a subalgebra of  $\text{dom}(\partial_\tau) \subset A$  which yields a smoothly summable spectral triple in the sense of Definition 2.5.

We recall from Definition 2.2 the (partially defined) operators  $\mathcal{N} \ni T \mapsto L(T) := (1 + D^2)^{-1/2}[D^2, T]$  and  $\mathcal{N} \ni T \mapsto R(T) := [D^2, T](1 + D^2)^{-1/2}$ . We also set  $F_D = D(1 + D^2)^{-1/2}$ .

**Lemma 3.11** *If  $a \in \text{dom}(\partial^2)$ , then  $\pi(a) \in \text{dom}(L) \cap \text{dom}(R)$  and on the space  $H_\infty = \cap \text{dom}(D^k)$*

$$\begin{aligned} L(\pi(a)) &= \frac{1}{\pi i} F_D \pi(\partial(a)) + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} (1 + D^2)^{-1/2} \pi(\partial^2(a)), \\ R(\pi(a)) &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \pi(\partial(a)) F_D + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \pi(\partial^2(a)) (1 + D^2)^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** The following calculation takes place on  $H_\infty = \cap_k \text{dom}(D^k)$ , where we may commute  $D$  with bounded functions of  $D$ . The calculation for  $R$  is similar, as  $R(\pi(a))^* = -L(\pi(a^*))$ :

$$\begin{aligned} L(\pi(a)) &= (1 + D^2)^{-1/2} [D^2, \pi(a)] = (1 + D^2)^{-1/2} \{D[D, \pi(a)] + [D, \pi(a)]D\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} F_D \pi(\partial(a)) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} (1 + D^2)^{-1/2} \pi(\partial(a)) D \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} F_D \pi(\partial(a)) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} (1 + D^2)^{-1/2} ([\pi(\partial(a)), D] + D\pi(\partial(a))) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi i} F_D \pi(\partial(a)) + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} (1 + D^2)^{-1/2} \pi(\partial^2(a)). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.12** *If  $a \in \cap_{n=1}^\infty \text{dom}(\partial^n)$ , then  $\pi(a) \in \cap_{l,k} \text{dom}(R^l \circ L^k)$ . Hence, by the equality of  $\cap_{l,k} \text{dom}(R^l \circ L^k)$  and  $\cap_{n=1}^\infty \text{dom}(\delta^n)$  (see [10]), if  $a \in A$  is smooth in the sense of the action  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $A$ , then  $\pi(a)$  is smooth in the sense of the derivation  $\delta$ .*

**Proof** It suffices to prove the following fact by induction on  $n = l + k$ : if  $a \in \cap_{j=1}^\infty \text{dom}(\partial^j)$ , then  $R^l \circ L^k(\pi(a))$  is a finite sum of terms of the form  $g(D)\pi(b)f(D)$ , where  $g, f$  are continuous bounded functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $b = \partial^m(a)$  is a smooth element in  $A$  with  $m \leq 2n$ .

When  $n = 1$ , we are looking at  $L(\pi(a))$  or  $R(\pi(a))$ , which have the correct form by the previous lemma. Now if the result holds for some  $n = (l+k) \geq 1$ , then we obtain the case  $n + 1$  by applying either  $L$  or  $R$  to this case, since  $L$  and  $R$  commute. By the inductive hypothesis it suffices to apply  $L$  or  $R$  to a term of the form  $g(D)\pi(b)f(D)$ . We apply  $L$ , as the other case is similar. A computation like those above yields

$$L(g(D)\pi(b)f(D)) = g(D) \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi i} F_D \pi(\partial(b)) + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} (1 + D^2)^{-1/2} \pi(\partial^2(b)) \right\} f(D).$$

Since  $b = \partial^m(a)$ ,  $\partial(b) = \partial^{m+1}(a)$ , and  $\partial^2(b) = \partial^{m+2}(a)$ , the induction is complete.  $\blacksquare$

**Remark** Proposition 3.12 shows that taking  $\mathcal{A} \subset A$  to be the smooth elements for the action of  $\alpha$ , the spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_\tau), D)$  is  $QC^\infty$ , or *smooth*. However, we need more than this to deal with integrability as well as smoothness. The next result combines our smoothness and integrability results, and recovers the Phillips–Raeburn and Lesch index theorems.

**Theorem 3.13** *Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset A_\tau$  be the  $*$ -algebra generated by*

$$\{ab \in A_\tau : \partial_\tau^k(a), \partial_\tau^k(b) \in A_\tau^{1/2} \text{ for all } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Then  $(\mathcal{C}, L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_\tau), D)$  is a smoothly summable semifinite spectral triple relative to  $(\mathcal{N}, \widehat{\tau})$  with spectral dimension 1. The spectral dimension is isolated, and the formula

$$\mathcal{C} \ni a_0, a_1 \mapsto \phi_1(a_0, a_1) := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \widehat{\tau}(a_0[D, a_1](1 + D^2)^{-s/2})$$

defines a  $(b, B)$  cocycle for  $\mathcal{C}$ . Moreover, for  $P = \chi_{[0, \infty)}(D)$  and  $u = 1 + a$  unitary with  $a \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\operatorname{Index}_{\widehat{\tau}}(PuP) = -\phi_1(u^*, u) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \tau(u^* \partial(u)).$$

**Proof** From Proposition 3.10, each  $\pi(\partial_\tau^k(a))$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{C}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{B}_1(D, 1)$ , and hence  $\pi(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(D, 1)$ . Also, since  $[D, \pi(\mathcal{C})] \subset \pi(\mathcal{C})$ , we have  $[D, \pi(\mathcal{C})] \subset \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(D, 1)$ . By [7, Proposition 2.16], the spectral triple is smoothly summable with spectral dimension 1. That the spectral dimension is isolated follows from the fact that only one zeta function arises in the local index formula, and so (see [7]) is guaranteed to have at worst a simple pole at  $s = 1$ . All the remaining claims follow from Corollary 3.9, and the proof of the local index formula in [7]. ■

Our result here shows that an important class of examples falls into the framework of [7]. Notice that in this case our formula involves the path  $D + tu[D, u^*]$ , which is generically not a path of (Breuer-)Fredholm operators. The same issue arises in general, as can be seen from [7] and the resolution of this apparent difficulty in general will be to replace this path by one in the “double”, which is introduced in the next section. Using the double it is straightforward to prove as in [7] that  $\chi_{[0, \infty)}(D) - \chi_{[0, \infty)}(uD u^*) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{N}, \widehat{\tau})$ . Hence  $\chi_{[0, \infty)}(D)$ ,  $\chi_{[0, \infty)}(uD u^*)$  form a Fredholm pair. What we have done in this section is show that

$$\operatorname{Index}(\chi_{[0, \infty)}(D)\chi_{[0, \infty)}(uD u^*)) = \operatorname{Index}(\chi_{[0, \infty)}(D) u \chi_{[0, \infty)}(D))$$

is given by the local index formula as a residue that is recognisably the Phillips–Raeburn–Lesch formula [19, 23].

In the next section we will attempt to generalise this strategy, namely to go from the local index formula to a spectral flow formula for paths of the form  $D + tu[D, u^*]$ . As noted in the introduction, we leave open the possibility of a definition and computation of spectral flow for paths in our affine space of perturbations of  $\mathcal{D}$  where the endpoints are not unitarily equivalent.

## 4 From the Resolvent Cocycle to the Spectral Flow Formula

### 4.1 Spectral Flow and the Index

To place our results in their proper setting we need some background from [11, 21–23]. Let  $\pi: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{N}}$  be the canonical mapping onto the Calkin algebra. A Breuer–Fredholm operator is one that maps to an invertible operator under  $\pi$ . The theory of Breuer–Fredholm operators for the case where  $\mathcal{N}$  is not a factor was developed in [11, 23], by analogy with the factor case of Breuer [4, 5].

We say that an unbounded, densely defined, self-adjoint operator  $D$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Breuer–Fredholm operator if  $F_D := D(1 + D^2)^{-1/2}$  is Breuer–Fredholm in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Recall

that the Breuer–Fredholm index of a Breuer–Fredholm operator  $F$  is defined by

$$\text{Index}_\tau(F) = \tau(Q_{\ker F}) - \tau(Q_{\ker F^*}),$$

where  $Q_{\ker F}$  and  $Q_{\ker F^*}$  are the projections onto the kernel of  $F$  and  $F^*$ , respectively. The Breuer–Fredholm index is in general real-valued.

We use the function  $\text{sign}$  defined by  $\text{sign}(t) = 1$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $\text{sign}(t) = -1$  for  $t < 0$ .

**Definition 4.1** If  $\{F_t\}$  is a norm continuous path of self-adjoint Breuer–Fredholm operators in  $\mathcal{N}$ , then the definition of the *spectral flow* of the path,  $sf_\tau(\{F_t\})$  is based on the following sequence of observations in [22].

- (i) The function  $t \mapsto \text{sign}(F_t)$  is typically discontinuous as is the projection-valued mapping  $t \mapsto P_t = \frac{1}{2}(\text{sign}(F_t) + 1)$ .
- (ii) However,  $t \mapsto \pi(P_t)$  is norm continuous.
- (iii) If  $P$  and  $Q$  are projections in  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\|\pi(P) - \pi(Q)\| < 1$ , then  $PQ: Q\mathcal{H} \rightarrow P\mathcal{H}$  is a Breuer–Fredholm operator and so  $\text{Index}_\tau(PQ) \in \mathbb{R}$  is well defined. (This needs [11, Section 3].)
- (iv) If we partition the parameter interval of  $\{F_t\}$  so that the  $\pi(P_t)$  do not vary much in norm on each subinterval of the partition, then

$$sf_\tau(\{F_t\}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Index}_\tau(P_{t_{i-1}}P_{t_i})$$

is a well-defined and (path-) homotopy-invariant real number that agrees with the usual notion of spectral flow in the type  $I_\infty$  case.

- (v) Let  $\{D_t\}$  be a path of unbounded Breuer–Fredholm operators such that the path  $\{(F_D)_t\}$  is a norm continuous path of Breuer–Fredholm operators. We define the spectral flow of the path  $\{D_t\}$  to be the spectral flow of the path  $\{(F_D)_t\}$ . We observe that this is an integer in the  $I_\infty$  case and a real number in the general semifinite case.

Fix an unbounded, self-adjoint, Breuer–Fredholm operator  $D$ , and let  $P$  denote the projection onto the non-negative spectral subspace of  $D$ . Suppose that  $u$  is a unitary in  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $D_t := D + tu[D, u^*]$  is a path of Breuer–Fredholm operators such that  $F_t := F_{D+tu[D, u^*]}$  is a norm continuous path and  $F_1 - F_0$  is compact. In this special case we denote the spectral flow by  $sf_\tau(D, uDu^*) := sf_\tau(\{D_t\}) := sf_\tau(\{F_t\})$ . That is, the spectral flow along  $\{D_t\}$  is defined to be  $sf_\tau(\{F_t\})$ , and by [8] this is the Breuer–Fredholm index of  $PuPu^*$ . (Note that  $\text{sign}(F_1) = 2uPu^* - 1$ , and since we assume  $\text{sign}(F_1) - \text{sign}(F_0) = 2(uPu^* - P)$  is compact,  $PuPu^*$  is certainly Breuer–Fredholm from  $uPu^*\mathcal{H} \rightarrow P\mathcal{H}$ .) Now, by [23, Appendix B], we have  $\text{Index}_\tau(PuPu^*) = \text{Index}_\tau(PuP)$ . Hence,  $sf_\tau(D, uDu^*) = \text{Index}_\tau(PuP)$ .

All of the above works well when we have  $(1 + D^2)^{-1/2}$  compact, because then one can show that with  $D_t = D + tu[D, u^*]$ , the path  $F_t$  is indeed a continuous path of Breuer–Fredholms. When the resolvent of  $D$  is not compact, we require additional assumptions, as in the next result.

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  be an odd nonunital, semifinite, spectral triple relative to  $(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$  (no smoothness assumptions) with  $\mathcal{D}$  invertible and let  $\mathcal{A}^\sim$  denote  $\mathcal{A}$  with a

unit adjointed. Let  $u \in \mathcal{A}^\sim$  be a unitary such that  $[\mathcal{D}, u](1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2}$  is compact. Setting  $P = \chi_{[0, \infty)}(\mathcal{D})$ , we have

$$sf_\tau(\mathcal{D}, u\mathcal{D}u^*) = \text{Index}_\tau(PuP).$$

**Proof** As  $\mathcal{D}$  is invertible, it is Fredholm. The bounded operator  $F_{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{D}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2}$  is also invertible and so Fredholm. Consider the difference

$$F_{\mathcal{D}} - F_{u\mathcal{D}u^*} = \mathcal{D}(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2} - (u\mathcal{D}u^* - \mathcal{D})(1 + u\mathcal{D}^2u^*)^{-1/2} - \mathcal{D}(1 + u\mathcal{D}^2u^*)^{-1/2}.$$

The middle term in this expression is  $[\mathcal{D}, u](1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2}u^*$ , which is compact by assumption. Next we combine the remaining two terms in the previous equation as

$$\mathcal{D}[(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2} - (1 + u\mathcal{D}^2u^*)^{-1/2}]$$

and use the integral formula for fractional powers from [8] to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{D}[(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2} - (1 + u\mathcal{D}^2u^*)^{-1/2}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{D} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} [(\lambda + 1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1} - (\lambda + 1 + u\mathcal{D}^2u^*)^{-1}] d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} \mathcal{D}(\lambda + 1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1} \\ & \quad \times (\mathcal{D}u[\mathcal{D}, u^*] + u[\mathcal{D}, u^*]\mathcal{D} + (u[\mathcal{D}, u^*])^2)u(\lambda + 1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}u^* d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By [8, Lemma 6(2), Appendix A], the integral above converges in norm. Since  $u[\mathcal{D}, u^*]u = -[\mathcal{D}, u]$ , the three operator terms in the integrand can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{D}^2(\lambda + 1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}u[\mathcal{D}, u^*]u(\lambda + 1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}u^* \\ & \quad = -\mathcal{D}^2(\lambda + 1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}[\mathcal{D}, u](\lambda + 1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}u^*; \\ & \mathcal{D}(\lambda + 1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}u[\mathcal{D}, u^*][\mathcal{D}, u](\lambda + 1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}u^* \\ & \quad - \mathcal{D}(\lambda + 1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}[\mathcal{D}, u](\lambda + 1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}\mathcal{D}u^*; \\ & \quad - \mathcal{D}(\lambda + 1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}u[\mathcal{D}, u^*][\mathcal{D}, u](\lambda + 1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}u^*. \end{aligned}$$

Inspection now shows that each of these terms contains a resolvent times  $[\mathcal{D}, u]$ , which by our assumptions is compact. Thus the integrand is compact. So  $F_{\mathcal{D}} - F_{u\mathcal{D}u^*}$  is compact, since the integral converges in norm. Therefore, the spectral flow is indeed given as the index of  $PuP$  by the definitions and results in [22] (see also [2] for the extension to the non-factor case). ■

We can always put ourselves into the situation where  $\mathcal{D}$  is invertible, using the following doubling construction due originally to Connes [13].

**Definition 4.3** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  be a semifinite spectral triple relative to  $(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ . For any  $\mu > 0$ , define the “double” of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  to be the semifinite spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}^2, \mathcal{D}_\mu)$  relative to  $(M_2(\mathcal{N}), \tau \otimes \text{tr}_2)$ , with  $\mathcal{H}^2 := \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$  and with the action of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\mu$  given by

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D} & \mu \\ \mu & -\mathcal{D} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \mapsto \widehat{a} := \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

**Remark** Whether  $\mathcal{D}$  is invertible or not,  $\mathcal{D}_\mu$  is always invertible, and  $F_\mu = \mathcal{D}_\mu |\mathcal{D}_\mu|^{-1}$  has square 1. This is the chief reason for introducing this construction.

We also need to extend the action of  $M_n(\mathcal{A}^\sim)$  on  $(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ , in a way that is compatible with the extended action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ . So, for a generic element  $b \in M_n(\mathcal{A}^\sim)$ , we let

$$(4.1) \quad \widehat{b} := \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_b \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2n}(\mathcal{N}),$$

with  $\mathbf{1}_b := \rho^n(b) \text{Id}_{M_n(\mathcal{N})}$ , where  $\rho^n: M_n(\mathcal{A}^\sim) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is the quotient map.

The index pairings of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  and  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}^2, \mathcal{D}_\mu)$  with  $K_*(\mathcal{A})$  agree. This is proved in [7, Section 2], and more information can be found there.

Let  $u \in M_n(\mathcal{A}^\sim)$  be a unitary, and suppose that  $[\mathcal{D} \otimes \text{Id}_n, u](1 + \mathcal{D}^2 \otimes \text{Id}_n)^{-1/2}$  is compact. This implies that  $[\mathcal{D}_\mu \otimes \text{Id}_n, \widehat{u}](1 + \mathcal{D}_\mu^2 \otimes \text{Id}_n)^{-1/2}$  is also compact. Together with the fact that  $\mathcal{D}_\mu$  is invertible, the spectral flow from  $\mathcal{D}_\mu \otimes \text{Id}_n$  to  $\widehat{u}(\mathcal{D}_\mu \otimes \text{Id}_n)\widehat{u}^*$  is well defined, by Theorem 4.2. Consequently, if  $P_\mu$  is the spectral projection of  $\mathcal{D}_\mu$  corresponding to the interval  $[0, \infty)$ , then

$$\text{Index}_{\tau \otimes \text{tr}_2 \otimes \text{tr}_n}(P_\mu \widehat{u} P_\mu) = sf_{\tau \otimes \text{tr}_2 \otimes \text{tr}_n}(\mathcal{D}_\mu, \widehat{u} \mathcal{D}_\mu \widehat{u}^*).$$

**Corollary 4.4** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  be an odd nonunital semifinite spectral triple relative to  $(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$  (no smoothness assumptions) and let  $\mathcal{A}^\sim$  denote  $\mathcal{A}$  with a unit adjoined. Let  $u \in M_n(\mathcal{A}^\sim)$  be a unitary such that  $[\mathcal{D} \otimes \text{Id}_n, u](1 + \mathcal{D}^2 \otimes \text{Id}_n)^{-1/2}$  is compact. Setting  $P = \chi_{[0, \infty)}(\mathcal{D})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Index}_{\tau \otimes \text{tr}_2 \otimes \text{tr}_n}(P_\mu \otimes \text{Id}_n \widehat{u} P_\mu \otimes \text{Id}_n) &= sf_{\tau \otimes \text{tr}_2 \otimes \text{tr}_n}(\mathcal{D}_\mu \otimes \text{Id}_n, \widehat{u}(\mathcal{D}_\mu \otimes \text{Id}_n)\widehat{u}^*) \\ &= \text{Index}_{\tau \otimes \text{tr}_n}((P \otimes \text{Id}_n) u (P \otimes \text{Id}_n)). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** This follows from the comments above and [7, Corollary 2.26] where the final equality is proved. ■

For the next few definitions and results, we assume that we have a nonunital, smoothly summable, spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ , relative to  $(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ , and of spectral dimension  $p \geq 1$ . This implies that  $[\mathcal{D}, u](1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2}$  is compact, and similarly with  $\mathcal{D}$  replaced by  $\mathcal{D}_\mu$ , so that the spectral flow of  $t \mapsto \mathcal{D}_\mu + tu[\mathcal{D}_\mu, u^*]$  is well defined.

In this context we can define the resolvent cocycle, which can be used to compute the pairing with  $K$ -theory.

**Definition 4.5** For  $0 < a < 1/2$ , let  $\ell$  be the vertical line  $\ell = \{a + iv : v \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{C}$  and operators  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \text{OP}^{k_i}(\mathcal{D})$  and  $A_0 \in \text{OP}_0^{k_0}(\mathcal{D})$  such that  $|k| - 2m < 2\Re(r)$ , we define

$$\langle A_0, \dots, A_m \rangle_{m,r,s} := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \tau \left( \gamma \int_\ell \lambda^{-p/2-r} A_0 R_s(\lambda) \cdots A_m R_s(\lambda) d\lambda \right).$$

Here  $\gamma$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading in the even case and the identity operator in the odd case, and  $R_s(\lambda) = (\lambda - (1 + s^2 + \mathcal{D}^2))^{-1}$ .

We now state the definition of the resolvent cocycle for odd spectral triples in terms of the expectations  $\langle \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle_{m,r,s}$ . Let  $N := \lfloor p/2 \rfloor + 1$  and  $M := 2N - 1$ .

**Definition 4.6** For  $m = 1, 3, \dots, M$ , we introduce the constants  $\eta_m$  by

$$\eta_m = (-\sqrt{2i}) 2^{m+1} \frac{\Gamma(m/2 + 1)}{\Gamma(m + 1)}.$$

Then for  $\Re(r) > (1 - m)/2$ , the  $m$ -th component of the *resolvent cocycle*  $\phi_m^r: \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by

$$\phi_m^r(a_0, \dots, a_m) := \eta_m \int_0^\infty s^m \langle a_0, da_1, \dots, da_m \rangle_{m,r,s} ds.$$

**Remark** It is important to note that the resolvent cocycle  $\phi_m^r$  is well defined even when  $\mathcal{D}$  is not invertible. This follows from [7, Lemma 3.3].

To state our main theorem we need the definition of the Chern character of a unitary. The (infinite)  $(b, B)$ -cycle  $\text{Ch}(u) = (\text{Ch}_{2j+1}(u))_{j \geq 0}$  of  $u \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ch}_{2j+1}(u) &= \\ (-1)^j j! \sum_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{2j+1}} (u^*)_{i_0, i_1} \otimes (u)_{i_1, i_2} \otimes (u^*)_{i_2, i_3} \otimes \dots \otimes (u)_{i_{2j+1}, i_0} \quad (2j + 2 \text{ entries}). \end{aligned}$$

We refer to [10] for more information in this context.

**Theorem 4.7** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  be an odd, nonunital, smoothly summable, semifinite, spectral triple relative to  $(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}^\sim$  denote  $\mathcal{A}$  with a unit adjoined. Let  $u \in M_n(\mathcal{A}^\sim)$  be a unitary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Index}_{\tau \otimes \text{tr}_n} \left( (P \otimes \text{Id}_n) u (P \otimes \text{Id}_n) \right) \\ &= sf_{\tau \otimes \text{tr}_2 \otimes \text{tr}_n} \left( \mathcal{D}_\mu \otimes \text{Id}_n, \widehat{u}(\mathcal{D}_\mu \otimes \text{Id}_n) \widehat{u}^* \right) \\ &= \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \text{Res}_{r=(1-p)/2} \sum_{m=1, \text{odd}}^M \phi_m^r(\text{Ch}_m(u)) \\ &= \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \frac{1}{2} \text{Res}_{r=(1-p)/2} \sum_{m=1, \text{odd}}^M \phi_m^r(\text{Ch}_m(u) - \text{Ch}_m(u^*)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the residues exist.

**Proof** The first equality has already been discussed. The second is the nonunital local index formula [7, Theorem 3.33], and the third equality is again the local index formula together with the fact that the (entire)  $(b, B)$ -cycle

$$(\text{Ch}_m(\widehat{u}) + \text{Ch}_m(\widehat{u}^*))_{m=1,3,\dots},$$

is a boundary (see [10, Lemma 3.1] for a simple proof). ■

#### 4.2 The Statement of the Main Result

Our main result shows that we can obtain a formula analogous to that of [8, 9] for the paths we are considering.

**Theorem 4.8** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  be an odd, nonunital, smoothly summable, spectral triple, relative to  $(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ , of spectral dimension  $p \geq 1$ . Let  $F_\mu = \mathcal{D}_\mu |\mathcal{D}_\mu|^{-1}$  (where  $\mathcal{D}_\mu$

comes from the double picture),  $P_\mu = (1 + F_\mu)/2$  and  $P = \chi_{[0, \infty)}(\mathcal{D})$ . Then for any unitary  $u \in M_n(\mathcal{A}^\sim)$ , we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Index}_{\tau \otimes \text{tr}_2 \otimes \text{tr}_n} \left( (P_\mu \otimes \text{Id}_n) \widehat{u} (P_\mu \otimes \text{Id}_n) \right) \\ &= \text{Index}_{\tau \otimes \text{tr}_n} \left( (P \otimes \text{Id}_n) u (P \otimes \text{Id}_n) \right) \\ &= \text{Res}_{z=0} \int_0^1 \tau \otimes \text{tr}_n \left( u [\mathcal{D} \otimes \text{Id}_n, u^*] \left( 1 + (\mathcal{D} \otimes \text{Id}_n + tu [\mathcal{D} \otimes \text{Id}_n, u^*])^2 \right)^{\frac{-p}{2-z}} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

To prove this theorem, we are going to follow closely some aspects of the argument of [10, Section 5.3].

We fix the following data for the remainder of this section. Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  be an odd, nonunital, semifinite, spectral triple relative to  $(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ , smoothly summable with spectral dimension  $p \geq 1$ . To simplify the discussion, we restrict ourselves to the case where  $u$  is a unitary in  $\mathcal{A}^\sim$  and not in  $M_n(\mathcal{A}^\sim)$ . (The general  $u \in M_n(\mathcal{A}^\sim)$  case, will follow by replacing  $\mathcal{D}$  by  $\mathcal{D} \otimes \text{Id}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$  by  $M_n(\mathcal{N})$ , and  $\tau$  by  $\tau \otimes \text{tr}_n$  in all the formulas below.)

### 4.3 Notation and Basic Results for Exploiting Clifford Periodicity

The idea behind the construction in this section comes from [17], but we use the analytic formulation in [9, 10].

We form the Hilbert space  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} := \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{H}$  acted upon by the von Neumann algebra,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} := M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{N}$ . Note that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$  is naturally endowed with the normal semifinite faithful trace  $\widetilde{\tau} := \text{tr}_4 \otimes \tau$ . Introduce the two dimensional Clifford algebra, with generators in the form (Pauli matrices)

$$\sigma_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define the grading on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$  by  $\Gamma := \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{N}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ .

For  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $s \in [0, \infty)$  and  $u \in \mathcal{A}^\sim$ , introduce the even operators (i.e., they commute with  $\Gamma$ )

$$\begin{aligned} q \equiv q(u) &:= \sigma_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -iu^* \\ iu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \widetilde{\mathcal{D}} &:= \sigma_2 \otimes \text{Id}_2 \otimes \mathcal{D}, \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_t &:= (1-t)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}} - tq\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}q, & \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s} &:= \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_t + sq. \end{aligned}$$

These unbounded operators are affiliated with  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . We begin by identifying  $\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}, p)$ .

**Lemma 4.9** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a self-adjoint operator affiliated with a semifinite von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  endowed with a semifinite normal faithful trace  $\tau$ . Then, with the notations introduced above,  $\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}, p) = M_4(\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, p))$ .*

**Proof** Note that  $|\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}| = \text{Id}_4 \otimes |\mathcal{D}|$  so that the result follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, p)$ . ■

We also let  $q_e$  be the operator  $q(u)$  when  $u$  is replaced by  $\mathbf{1}_u$ , the image of  $u$  in  $A^\sim/A$ . Thus,

$$q_e = \sigma_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\overline{\mathbf{1}_u} \\ i\mathbf{1}_u & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q - q_e = \sigma_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i(u - \mathbf{1}_u)^* \\ i(u - \mathbf{1}_u) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and by the lemma above,  $q - q_e$  belongs to the algebra  $M_4(\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, p)) = \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, p)$  by the assumption of smooth summability (Definition 2.5). Note also that

$$(4.2) \quad \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} := \tilde{\mathcal{D}}q + q\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \sigma_1 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & [\mathcal{D}, u^*] \\ -[\mathcal{D}, u] & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_4(\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, p)).$$

Set  $\rho := \sigma_2 \otimes \text{Id}_2 \otimes \text{Id}_N$ , so that  $\rho$  anticommutes with  $q$  and commutes with  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $\Gamma$ . Note that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,0} = \sigma_2 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D} + tu^*[\mathcal{D}, u] & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{D} + tu[\mathcal{D}, u^*] \end{pmatrix} \in M_4(\text{OP}^1(\mathcal{D})).$$

Taking derivatives in  $\text{OP}^1(\mathcal{D})$ , we get

$$\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t}{dt} = \sigma_2 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} u^*[\mathcal{D}, u] & 0 \\ 0 & u[\mathcal{D}, u^*] \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, p).$$

Define the graded trace on  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ , by setting  $S\tau(A) := \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\tau}(\Gamma A)$ , for  $A$  of trace class in  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ . For example, for  $r > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t}{dt} (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t^2)^{-p/2-r} = \\ \sigma_2 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} u^*[\mathcal{D}, u](1 + (\mathcal{D} + tu^*[\mathcal{D}, u])^2)^{-p/2-r} & 0 \\ 0 & u[\mathcal{D}, u^*](1 + (\mathcal{D} + tu[\mathcal{D}, u^*])^2)^{-p/2-r} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which is of trace class on  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$  by [7, Corollary 1.30], since  $u^*[\mathcal{D}, u]$  and  $u[\mathcal{D}, u^*]$  belong to the algebra  $\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, p)$ , and since

$$\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, p) = \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D} + tu^*[\mathcal{D}, u], p) = \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D} + tu[\mathcal{D}, u^*], p)$$

by Proposition 2.9. These observations prove most of the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.10** *With the notation above and  $r > 0$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 S\tau\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t}{dt} (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \tilde{\tau}\left(\text{Id}_2 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} u^*[\mathcal{D}, u](1 + (\mathcal{D} + tu^*[\mathcal{D}, u])^2)^{-p/2-r} & 0 \\ 0 & -u[\mathcal{D}, u^*](1 + (\mathcal{D} + tu[\mathcal{D}, u^*])^2)^{-p/2-r} \end{pmatrix}\right) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \tau\left(u^*[\mathcal{D}, u](1 + (\mathcal{D} + tu^*[\mathcal{D}, u])^2)^{-p/2-r} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - u[\mathcal{D}, u^*](1 + (\mathcal{D} + tu[\mathcal{D}, u^*])^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 \tau\left(u^*[\mathcal{D}, u](1 + (\mathcal{D} + tu^*[\mathcal{D}, u])^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** We only need to justify the last equality. The following elementary calculation does this:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 \tau \left( u[\mathcal{D}, u^*] (1 + (\mathcal{D} + tu[\mathcal{D}, u^*])^2)^{-p/2-r} \right) dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 \tau \left( u[\mathcal{D}, u^*] u (1 + (u^* \mathcal{D} u + t[\mathcal{D}, u^*] u)^2)^{-p/2-r} u^* \right) dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 \tau \left( -[\mathcal{D}, u] (1 + (u^* \mathcal{D} u - tu^*[\mathcal{D}, u])^2)^{-p/2-r} u^* \right) dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 \tau \left( -u^*[\mathcal{D}, u] (1 + ((1-t)u^* \mathcal{D} u + t\mathcal{D})^2)^{-p/2-r} \right) dt \\
 &= \int_1^0 \tau \left( u^*[\mathcal{D}, u] (1 + (wu^* \mathcal{D} u + (1-w)\mathcal{D})^2)^{-p/2-r} \right) dw, \quad w = 1-t \\
 &= - \int_0^1 \tau \left( u^*[\mathcal{D}, u] (1 + (\mathcal{D} + wu^*[\mathcal{D}, u])^2)^{-p/2-r} \right) dw. \quad \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.4 Obtaining a Preliminary Formula from the Resolvent Cocycle

Our plan is to reverse the argument in [10]. This means we plan to go from the resolvent cocycle to a spectral flow formula. First we calculate

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s}^2 = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t^2 + s(1-2t)\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} + s^2.$$

We prove a trace class result for this family of operators.

**Lemma 4.11** *With the notations above, we have*

$$(q - q_e)(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2 + s\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\})^{-p/2-r} \in \mathcal{L}^1(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}, \tilde{\tau}), \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{with} \quad \Re(r) > 0.$$

**Proof** As seen earlier,  $q - q_e \in \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, p)$ . Set  $\tilde{\delta} := [(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2)^{1/2}, \cdot]$  and  $\delta := [(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{1/2}, \cdot]$ . Then we get

$$\tilde{\delta}^n(q) = \sigma_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\delta^n(u^*) \\ i\delta^n(u) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $q$  belongs to the intersection of the domains of the powers of the derivation  $\tilde{\delta}$ , so that we can apply Proposition 2.8, which in this context gives  $\mathcal{B}_1(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, p) = \mathcal{B}_1(\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + sq, p)$ . The proof is completed by using  $q^2 = 1$  so that one has  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2 + s\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} = (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + sq)^2$ .  $\blacksquare$

To assist the perturbative calculations to come, we introduce the notation

$$R_s(\lambda) = (\lambda - (1 + s^2 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2))^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{R}_s(\lambda) = (\lambda - (1 + s^2 + s\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2))^{-1}.$$

**Lemma 4.12** *With the notation as above, and with  $\Re(r) > 0$ , there exists  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that with  $M = 2\lfloor p/2 \rfloor + 1$ :*

$$\int_0^\infty \mathcal{S}\tau \left( q(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2 + s\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\})^{-p/2-r} - q_e(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r} \right) ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=1, \text{ odd}}^M \int_0^\infty s^m \mathcal{S}\tau \left( \int_\ell \lambda^{-p/2-r} q(R_s(\lambda)\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\})^m R_s(\lambda) d\lambda \right) ds + \text{holo},$$

where holo is a function of  $r$  holomorphic for  $\Re(r) > -p/2 + \delta$ .

**Proof** We use Cauchy’s formula to write

$$q(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2 + s\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\})^{-p/2-r} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\ell \lambda^{-p/2-r} q(\lambda - (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} + s^2))^{-1} d\lambda,$$

where  $\ell$  is the vertical line  $\ell = \{a + iv : v \in \mathbb{R}\}$  with  $0 < a < 1/2$ . Then we apply the resolvent expansion (as in [10, Section 7]) to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad & q(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2 + s\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\})^{-p/2-r} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\ell \lambda^{-p/2-r} \sum_{m=0}^M s^m q(R_s(\lambda)\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\})^m R_s(\lambda) d\lambda \\ & \quad + s^{M+1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\ell \lambda^{-p/2-r} q(R_s(\lambda)\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\})^{M+1} \tilde{R}_s(\lambda) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M = 2\lfloor p/2 \rfloor + 1$ .

By [7, Lemma 3.3] and equation (4.2), we see that the terms with  $m = 1, \dots, M$  are trace class for  $\Re(r) > 0$ . Using a norm estimate on  $\tilde{R}_s(\lambda)$ , we can then employ [7, Lemma 1.39] to see that the remainder term is also trace class. Thus, the only term in this expansion that is not trace class is the term with  $m = 0$ , namely,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\ell \lambda^{-p/2-r} q R_s(\lambda) d\lambda = q(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r}.$$

However,  $(q - q_e)(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r}$  is trace class and has a vanishing super-trace. Indeed, with  $\rho = \sigma_2 \otimes \text{Id}_2 \otimes \text{Id}_N$ ,  $\rho^2 = \text{Id}_{\tilde{N}}$  and  $\rho$  commutes with  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $\Gamma$  but anti-commutes with  $q$  and  $q_e$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}\tau \left( (q - q_e)(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\tau}(\Gamma \rho^2 (q - q_e)(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\tau}(\Gamma \rho (q - q_e)(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r} \rho) \\ &= -\mathcal{S}\tau \left( (q - q_e)(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if we consider a single term in the sum (4.4) with  $m > 0$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}\tau \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\ell \lambda^{-p/2-r} q(R_s(\lambda)\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\})^m R_s(\lambda) d\lambda \right) &= \\ & (-1)^{m+1} \mathcal{S}\tau \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\ell \lambda^{-p/2-r} q(R_s(\lambda)\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\})^m R_s(\lambda) d\lambda \right). \end{aligned}$$

So if  $m$  is even, we get zero. This argument does not apply to the remainder term

$$s^{M+1} S\tau \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\ell} \lambda^{-p/2-r} q(R_s(\lambda)\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\})^{M+1} \tilde{R}_s(\lambda) d\lambda \right),$$

as  $\rho$  neither commutes nor anticommutes with  $\tilde{R}_s(\lambda)$ . However, the integral over  $s$  of this remainder term is holomorphic at  $r = (1 - p)/2$ , by [7, Lemma 1.39]. Integrating the remaining terms over  $s \in [0, \infty]$  using [7, Lemma 3.16] yields the result. ■

Next we need to relate this expression to the resolvent cocycle evaluated on the Chern character  $\text{Ch}(u)$ . Following [10, Section 7], we get

$$q(R_s(\lambda)\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\})^m R_s(\lambda) = i(-1)^{(m-1)/2} \sigma_3 \sigma_1^m \otimes \left( \begin{matrix} u^* R[\mathcal{D}, u] R[\mathcal{D}, u^*] \cdots [\mathcal{D}, u] R \\ 0 \\ u R[\mathcal{D}, u^*] R[\mathcal{D}, u] \cdots [\mathcal{D}, u^*] R \end{matrix} \right).$$

On the right-hand side we have written  $R \equiv (\lambda - (1 + s^2 + \mathcal{D}^2))^{-1}$  for the resolvent of  $\mathcal{D}^2$ . Recall that the grading operator is  $\Gamma = \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \text{Id}_{M_2(\mathcal{N})}$ , and that  $\sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_1^m = i \text{Id}_2$  for  $m$  odd. Writing  $\text{tr}_4$  for the operator-valued weight that maps  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = M_4(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_4 (\Gamma q R_s(\lambda)\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} R_s(\lambda) \cdots \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} R_s(\lambda)) = \\ 2(-1)^{(m+1)/2} (u^* R[\mathcal{D}, u] R[\mathcal{D}, u^*] \cdots [\mathcal{D}, u] R - u R[\mathcal{D}, u^*] R[\mathcal{D}, u] \cdots [\mathcal{D}, u^*] R). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, there is a  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that for  $\Re(r) > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty S\tau \left( q(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2 + s\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\})^{-p/2-r} - q_e(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r} \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=1, \text{odd}}^M (-1)^{(m+1)/2} \int_0^\infty s^m \tau \left( \int_{\ell} \lambda^{-p/2-r} (u^* R[\mathcal{D}, u] R[\mathcal{D}, u^*] \cdots [\mathcal{D}, u] R \right. \\ & \quad \left. - u R[\mathcal{D}, u^*] R[\mathcal{D}, u] \cdots [\mathcal{D}, u^*] R) d\lambda \right) ds + \text{holo} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1, \text{odd}}^M \phi_m^r (\text{Ch}_m(u) - \text{Ch}_m(u^*)) + \text{holo}, \end{aligned}$$

where holo is a function of  $r$  holomorphic for  $\Re(r) > -p/2 + \delta/2$ , and the last line just comes from comparing constants in the definition of  $\phi_m^r$  and  $\text{Ch}_m$ .

The following integral formula for the spectral flow now follows directly from Theorem 4.7. This is the main intermediate step to the proof of Theorem 4.8.

**Proposition 4.13** For  $u \in \mathcal{A}^\sim$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} sf(\mathcal{D}_\mu, \widehat{u}^* \mathcal{D}_\mu \widehat{u}) = \\ \text{Res}_{r=(1-p)/2} \int_0^\infty S\tau \left( q(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2 + s\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\})^{-p/2-r} - q_e(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark** Since  $q_e$  anticommutes with  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ , the formula above may also be written as

$$sf(\mathcal{D}_\mu, \widehat{u}^* \mathcal{D}_\mu \widehat{u}) = \operatorname{Res}_{r=(1-p)/2} \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Str} \left( q(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + sq)^2)^{-p/2-r} - q_e(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + sq_e)^2)^{-p/2-r} \right) ds.$$

### 4.5 Exact One-forms

Proposition 2.9 shows that if  $\mathcal{D}$  is unbounded and self-adjoint, then the space  $\mathcal{D} + \operatorname{OP}_0^0(\mathcal{D})_{\text{sa}} = \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\mathcal{D}, p)_{\text{sa}}$  is a real affine Fréchet space whose topology is independent of the base point. To avoid infinite-dimensional complications, we now restrict to a finite-dimensional affine subspace.

**Definition 4.14** Let  $\Phi$  be the two-dimensional real affine space

$$\Phi := \{ \tilde{\mathcal{D}} + X : X = \alpha q \{ \tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q \} + \beta q, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

For  $X = \alpha q \{ \tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q \} + \beta q$ , set  $\mathbf{1}_X := \beta q_e$ . (This is consistent with the earlier notation of equation (4.1).) We then consider the one-form

$$(4.5) \quad X \in T_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+Y} \Phi \mapsto \tilde{\tau} \left( X(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + Y)^2)^{-p/2-r} - \mathbf{1}_X(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + \mathbf{1}_Y)^2)^{-p/2-r} \right), \quad \Re(r) > 0,$$

defined on the tangent space of  $\Phi$  at  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + Y$ .

Our strategy in this subsection is to prove that the one-form of equation (4.5) is well defined, differentiable in trace norm, and closed. Since  $\Phi$  is a finite-dimensional affine space, the Poincaré Lemma then shows that the one-form is exact.

**Lemma 4.15** For any  $r \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(r) > 0$ , the map (4.5) is well defined.

**Proof** Let  $r \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(r) > 0$ . First write

$$X(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + Y)^2)^{-p/2-r} - \mathbf{1}_X(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + \mathbf{1}_Y)^2)^{-p/2-r} = (X - \mathbf{1}_X)(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + Y)^2)^{-p/2-r} - \mathbf{1}_X \left( (1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + \mathbf{1}_Y)^2)^{-p/2-r} - (1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + Y)^2)^{-p/2-r} \right).$$

The first term is trace class, since  $\Re(r) > 0$ ,  $X - \mathbf{1}_X \in \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, p)$  and  $\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + Y, p) = \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, p)$  by Proposition 2.9. For the second term, we employ the Laplace transform representation and Duhamel formula yielding

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + Y)^2)^{-p/2-r} - (1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + \mathbf{1}_Y)^2)^{-p/2-r} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(p/2+r)} \int_0^\infty t^{p/2+r-1} e^{-t} \left( e^{-t(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+Y)^2} - e^{-t(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+\mathbf{1}_Y)^2} \right) dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(p/2+r)} \int_0^\infty t^{p/2+r} e^{-t} \left( \int_0^1 e^{-st(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+Y)^2} Z e^{-(1-s)t(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+\mathbf{1}_Y)^2} ds \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$Z := (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + Y)^2 - (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + \mathbf{1}_Y)^2 = (Y - \mathbf{1}_Y)\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(Y - \mathbf{1}_Y) + Y^2 - \mathbf{1}_Y^2.$$

By assumption,  $Y - \mathbf{1}_Y$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, p)$  and  $Y^2 - \mathbf{1}_Y^2 = (Y - \mathbf{1}_Y)(Y + \mathbf{1}_Y)$  belongs to the same space. Let us estimate the trace-norm of the operator corresponding to the first term in  $Z$ . First, for  $s \in [0, 1/2]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| e^{-st(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+Y)^2} (Y - \mathbf{1}_Y) \tilde{\mathcal{D}} e^{-(1-s)t(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+\mathbf{1}_Y)^2} \|_1 \leq \\ & \| (Y - \mathbf{1}_Y)(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} - \mathbf{1}_Y)^2)^{-p/2-\Re(r)} \|_1 \| (1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} - \mathbf{1}_Y)^2)^{p/2+\Re(r)} \tilde{\mathcal{D}} e^{-t(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+\mathbf{1}_Y)^2/2} \|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbf{1}_Y$  is proportional to  $q_e$ , by Definition 4.14, it anticommutes with  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  and thus (on  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  initially, and then everywhere by taking closures)

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}} e^{-t(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+\mathbf{1}_Y)^2/2} = e^{-t(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}-\mathbf{1}_Y)^2/2} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Hence we obtain the norm estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} - \mathbf{1}_Y)^2)^{p/2+\Re(r)} \tilde{\mathcal{D}} e^{-t(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+\mathbf{1}_Y)^2/2} \| \\ & = \| (1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} - \mathbf{1}_Y)^2)^{p/2+\Re(r)} e^{-t(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}-\mathbf{1}_Y)^2/2} \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \| \\ & \leq \| (1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} - \mathbf{1}_Y)^2)^{p/2+\Re(r)} e^{-t(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}-\mathbf{1}_Y)^2/2} (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} - \mathbf{1}_Y) \| \\ & \quad + \| \mathbf{1}_Y \| \| (1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} - \mathbf{1}_Y)^2)^{p/2+\Re(r)} e^{-t(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}-\mathbf{1}_Y)^2/2} \| \\ & \leq c_1 t^{-p/2-\Re(r)-1/2} + c_2 t^{-p/2-\Re(r)}, \end{aligned}$$

by elementary spectral theory. For  $s \in [1/2, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| e^{-st(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+Y)^2} (Y - \mathbf{1}_Y) \tilde{\mathcal{D}} e^{-(1-s)t(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+\mathbf{1}_Y)^2} \|_1 \\ & \leq \| e^{-t(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+Y)^2/2} (1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + Y)^2)^{p/2+\Re(r)+1/2} \| \\ & \quad \times \| (1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + Y)^2)^{-p/2-\Re(r)-1/2} (Y - \mathbf{1}_Y) \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \|_1 \\ & \leq c_3 t^{-p/2-\Re(r)-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have estimated the last trace norm by a constant (depending on  $r$ ) using [7, Lemma 1.39]. The operator corresponding to the second term in  $Z$  gives the same contribution. Indeed, as a change of variable under the  $s$ -integral shows, it is the adjoint of the first term. The third and last term in  $Z$  is even more easily estimated in trace norm, again using [7, Lemma 1.39], by  $c_4 t^{-p/2-\Re(r)}$ . Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + Y)^2)^{-p/2-r} - (1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + \mathbf{1}_Y)^2)^{-p/2-r} \|_1 \leq \\ & \frac{1}{\Gamma(p/2+r)} \int_0^\infty (C_1 t^{-1/2} + C_2) e^{-t} dt, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete.  $\blacksquare$

To prove that the one-form is closed, we must establish

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \tilde{\tau} \left( X(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + tY)^2)^{-p/2-r} - \mathbf{1}_X(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + t\mathbf{1}_Y)^2)^{-p/2-r} \right) = \\ & \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \tilde{\tau} \left( Y(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + tX)^2)^{-p/2-r} - \mathbf{1}_Y(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + t\mathbf{1}_X)^2)^{-p/2-r} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\Re(r) > 0$  and for all  $X, Y$  in the tangent space of  $\Phi$  at  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ . This fact is a corollary of the following result.

**Proposition 4.16** *Let  $X, Y \in T_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}}\Phi$ . Then for all  $\Re(r) > 0$ , the map*

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \alpha_{X,Y}^r(t) := Y(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + tX)^2)^{-p/2-r} - \mathbf{1}_Y(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + t\mathbf{1}_X)^2)^{-p/2-r} \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$$

*is differentiable at  $t = 0$  in the trace-norm topology. Moreover, the value of its derivative at  $t = 0$  is given by*

$$\dot{\alpha}_{X,Y}^r(0) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(p/2+r)} \int_0^\infty u^{p/2+r} e^{-u} \int_0^1 Y e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, X\} e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} ds du.$$

**Remark** There is a more general exactness result of this type in [16]; however, we adopt a different approach sufficient for our purposes.

**Proof** We use the same integral representation as in Lemma 4.15, and the fact that  $\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \mathbf{1}_X\} = 0$ , to get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{X,Y}^r(t) &= Y(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + tX)^2)^{-p/2-r} - \mathbf{1}_Y(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + t\mathbf{1}_X)^2)^{-p/2-r} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(p/2+r)} \int_0^\infty u^{p/2+r-1} e^{-u} (Ye^{-u(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+tX)^2} - \mathbf{1}_Y e^{-u(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+t\mathbf{1}_X)^2}) du \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(p/2+r)} \int_0^\infty u^{p/2+r-1} e^{-u} \left( (Y - \mathbf{1}_Y)e^{-u(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+tX)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - u \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_Y e^{-su(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+tX)^2} (t\{X, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}\} + t^2X^2 - t^2\mathbf{1}_X^2) e^{-(1-s)u(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+t\mathbf{1}_X)^2} ds \right) du. \end{aligned}$$

The same method now allows us to represent the difference

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{X,Y}^r(t) - \alpha_{X,Y}^r(0) &= \frac{-1}{\Gamma(p/2+r)} \int_0^\infty u^{p/2+r} e^{-u} \\ &\times \left( \int_0^1 (Y - \mathbf{1}_Y) e^{-us(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+tX)^2} (t\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, X\} + t^2X^2) e^{-u(1-s)\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_Y e^{-us(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+tX)^2} (t\{X, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}\} + t^2X^2 - t^2\mathbf{1}_X^2) e^{-u(1-s)(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+t\mathbf{1}_X)^2} ds \right) du. \end{aligned}$$

With  $\dot{\alpha}_{X,Y}^r(0)$  as in the statement, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha_{X,Y}^r(t) - \alpha_{X,Y}^r(0)}{t} - \dot{\alpha}_{X,Y}^r(0) &= \\ &= \frac{-1}{\Gamma(p/2+r)} \int_0^\infty u^{p/2+r} e^{-u} \left( \int_0^1 Y (e^{-us(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+tX)^2} - e^{-us\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}) \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, X\} e^{-u(1-s)\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} ds \right. \\ &\quad + t \int_0^1 (Y - \mathbf{1}_Y) e^{-us(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+tX)^2} X^2 e^{-u(1-s)\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_Y e^{-us(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+tX)^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, X\} (e^{-u(1-s)(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+t\mathbf{1}_X)^2} - e^{-u(1-s)\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}) ds \\ &\quad \left. + t \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_Y e^{-us(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+tX)^2} (X^2 - \mathbf{1}_X^2) e^{-u(1-s)(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}+t\mathbf{1}_X)^2} ds \right) du. \end{aligned}$$

Each of the four summands on the right hand-side is uniformly trace class. For the second and fourth terms this is clear, and the trace norms can be seen to go to zero using the method of Lemma 4.15. The first and third terms require one more application of Duhamel's method to explicitly see the convergence to zero, but as the argument is the same as that above, we omit the details. ■

We may now prove that our one-form is closed.

**Corollary 4.17** *Let  $X, Y \in T_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}}\Phi$ . Then for all  $\Re(r) > 0$ , we have*

$$\tilde{\tau}(\dot{\alpha}_{X,Y}^r(0)) = \tilde{\tau}(\dot{\alpha}_{Y,X}^r(0)).$$

**Proof** From Proposition 4.16, we know that in trace-norm topology

$$\dot{\alpha}_{X,Y}^r(0) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(r+p/2)} \int_0^\infty u^{p/2+r} e^{-u} \int_0^1 Y e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, X\} e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} ds du.$$

Since

$$\|Y e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, X\} e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}\|_1 \leq c_1 t^{-p/2-\Re(r)-1/2} + c_2 t^{-p/2-\Re(r)},$$

as shown by elementary estimates as in the proof of Proposition 4.16, we deduce that the integral representation above for  $\dot{\alpha}_{X,Y}^r(0)$  is absolutely convergent in trace-norm.

Since  $\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \mathbf{1}_X\} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \mathbf{1}_Y\} = 0$ , the cyclicity of the trace (see [6]) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}(Y e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, X\} e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}) &= \tilde{\tau}(Y e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, X - \mathbf{1}_X\} e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}) \\ &= \tilde{\tau}((X - \mathbf{1}_X) e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, Y\} e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}) \\ &= \tilde{\tau}((X - \mathbf{1}_X) e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, Y - \mathbf{1}_Y\} e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}) \\ &= \tilde{\tau}((Y - \mathbf{1}_Y) e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, X - \mathbf{1}_X\} e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}) \\ &= \tilde{\tau}((Y - \mathbf{1}_Y) e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, X\} e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the difference of the first and last terms in this chain of equalities shows that

$$\tilde{\tau}(\mathbf{1}_Y e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, X\} e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}) = 0.$$

Reversing the roles of  $X$  and  $Y$  yields  $\tilde{\tau}(\mathbf{1}_X e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, Y\} e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}) = 0$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}(Y e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, X\} e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}) &= \tilde{\tau}((X - \mathbf{1}_X) e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, Y\} e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}) \\ &= \tilde{\tau}(X e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, Y\} e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, with these equalities we may apply the Fubini Theorem to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\tau}(\dot{\alpha}_{X,Y}^r(0)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(p/2+r)} \int_0^\infty u^{p/2+r} e^{-u} \int_0^1 \tilde{\tau}(Y e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, X\} e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}) ds du \\ &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(p/2+r)} \int_0^\infty u^{p/2+r} e^{-u} \int_0^1 \tilde{\tau}(X e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, Y\} e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}) ds du \\ &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(p/2+r)} \int_0^\infty u^{p/2+r} e^{-u} \int_0^1 \tilde{\tau}(X e^{-su\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2} \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, Y\} e^{-(1-s)u\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2}) ds du \\ &= \tilde{\tau}(\dot{\alpha}_{Y,X}^r(0)), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the change of variable  $s \mapsto 1 - s$  to get the third equality. ■

The corollary establishes that our one-form is closed, and as  $\Phi$  is a two dimensional affine space, the Poincaré Lemma shows that our one-form is exact.

#### 4.6 A New Spectral Flow Formula

We now use the exactness of our one-form to change the integration path and obtain a formula similar to those in [8, 9]. We start with the following observation.

**Lemma 4.18** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  be a nonunital, smoothly summable, spectral triple of spectral dimension  $p \geq 1$ . Then, for any  $s_0 > 0$  and any  $\mathfrak{R}(r) > 0$ ,*

$$(4.6) \quad \int_0^{s_0} \text{Str}\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s}}{ds} (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s}^2)^{-p/2-r} - q_e (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) ds = \\ - \int_0^{s_0} \text{Str}\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}}{ds} (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}^2)^{-p/2-r} - q_e (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) ds.$$

**Proof** Note that since  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s} = -q\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + sq$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s} = \tilde{\mathcal{D}} + sq$ , we have

$$\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s}}{ds} = q = \frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}}{ds}.$$

Now observe that if  $X = q$  and  $Y = -q\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} + sq$ , we have (with the notation of Definition 4.14)  $\mathbf{1}_X = q_e$  and  $\mathbf{1}_Y = sq_e$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s}}{ds} (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s}^2)^{-p/2-r} - q_e (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r} = \\ X(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + Y)^2)^{-p/2-r} - \mathbf{1}_X(1 + (\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + \mathbf{1}_Y)^2)^{-p/2-r}, \end{aligned}$$

which is trace class by Lemma 4.15. Similar comments apply to the second line in (4.6). Thus, each side of equality (4.6) is well defined.

Recall that  $\rho = \sigma_2 \otimes \text{Id}_2 \otimes \text{Id}_2$  so that  $\rho q \rho = -q$ ,  $\rho q_e \rho = -q_e$ ,  $\rho^2 = 1$  and  $\rho \Gamma \rho = \Gamma$ . Since also  $\rho \tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rho$ , one easily calculates that

$$\rho q \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s} = -(\tilde{\mathcal{D}} + sq) \rho q = -\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s} \rho q,$$

so that  $\rho q \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s}^2 = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}^2 \rho q$ , and hence for any Borel function,  $f$ , we have  $\rho q f(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s}^2) = f(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}^2) \rho q$ . Also, since  $q_e$  anticommutes with  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ , it commutes with  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2$ , so that

$$\rho q_e (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r} = (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r} \rho q_e.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & 2S\tau\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s}}{ds}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s}^2)^{-p/2-r} - q_e(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) \\ &= \tilde{\tau}\left(\Gamma\rho^2(q(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s}^2)^{-p/2-r} - \Gamma\rho^2 q_e(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r})\right) \\ &= \tilde{\tau}\left(\Gamma\rho(q(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s}^2)^{-p/2-r} - q_e(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r})\rho\right) \\ &= \tilde{\tau}\left(\Gamma((1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}^2)^{-p/2-r}\rho q\rho + q_e(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r})\right) \\ &= -\tilde{\tau}\left(\Gamma((1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}^2)^{-p/2-r}q - q_e(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r})\right) \\ &= -\tilde{\tau}\left(\Gamma((1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}^2)^{-p/2-r}q - (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r}q_e)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to rewrite this expression so that we can again exploit cyclicity of the trace to arrive at our desired formula. We rewrite the last line as

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\tilde{\tau}\left(\Gamma(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}^2)^{-p/2-r}(q - q_e)\right) \\ &\quad - \tilde{\tau}\left(\Gamma((1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}^2)^{-p/2-r} - (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r})q_e\right) \\ &= -\tilde{\tau}\left(\Gamma(q - q_e)(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) \\ &\quad - \tilde{\tau}\left(\Gamma q_e((1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}^2)^{-p/2-r} - (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r})\right) \\ &= -\tilde{\tau}\left(\Gamma q(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}^2)^{-p/2-r} - q_e(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) \\ &= -2S\tau\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}}{ds}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}^2)^{-p/2-r} - q_e(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

Integrating our one-form (Definition 4.14) around the boundary of the closed rectangle

$$\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s}, (t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, s_0]\} \subset \Phi$$

of our two-dimensional affine space  $\Phi$  gives zero by exactness (Corollary 4.17), and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{s_0} S\tau\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s}}{ds}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,s}^2)^{-p/2-r} - q_e(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) ds \\ & \quad - \int_0^{s_0} S\tau\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}}{ds}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}^2)^{-p/2-r} - q_e(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) ds \\ &= - \int_0^1 S\tau\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,0}}{dt}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,0}^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) dt + \int_0^1 S\tau\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s_0}}{dt}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s_0}^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Note that there are no “extra terms” coming from  $q_e$  on the right-hand side of the preceding equality, because if

$$X := \frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s}}{dt} = -q\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} \in \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, p),$$

one has  $\mathbf{1}_X = 0$ . Rearranging, using Lemma 4.18 to combine the first two integrals gives

$$(4.7) \quad 2 \int_0^{s_0} S\tau\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}}{ds}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{0,s}^2)^{-p/2-r} - q_e(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) ds = \int_0^1 S\tau\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,0}}{dt}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,0}^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) dt - \int_0^1 S\tau\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s_0}}{dt}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s_0}^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) dt.$$

To finish the argument we have to establish the next result.

**Proposition 4.19** *Let  $(A, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  be an odd, nonunital, smoothly summable, spectral triple of spectral dimension  $p \geq 1$ . Then, with the notations displayed above, we have*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s}}{dt} (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s}^2)^{-p/2-r} \right\|_1 dt = 0.$$

**Proof** Remember that

$$\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s}}{dt} = -q\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} \in \mathcal{B}_1^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, p).$$

Then, as noted in equation (4.3), we also have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s}^2 = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t^2 + s(1 - 2t)\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} + s^2.$$

Let  $s \geq 2\|\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\}\|$ . For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we then we have the operator inequality

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t^2 + s(1 - 2t)\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} + s^2 \geq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t^2 - s|1 - 2t|\|\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\}\| + s^2 \geq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}s^2.$$

This leads to

$$\|(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s}^2)^{-\delta}\| \leq \|(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}s^2)^{-\delta}\| \leq \left(\frac{s^2}{2}\right)^{-\delta}, \quad \forall \delta > 0.$$

Now, let us fix  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\Re(r) - \delta > 0$ . We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s}}{dt} (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,s}^2)^{-r-p/2} \right\|_1 \\ &= \left\| q\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t^2 + s(1 - 2t)\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} + s^2)^{-p/2-r} \right\|_1 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{s^2}{2}\right)^{-\delta} \left\| \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t^2 + s(1 - 2t)\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} + s^2)^{-p/2-r+\delta} \right\|_1 \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{s^2}{2}\right)^{-\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant

$$C := \|\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t^2)^{-p/2-r+\delta}\|_1 \\ \|(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t^2)^{p/2+r-\delta}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t^2 + s(1-2t)\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} + s^2)^{-p/2-r+\delta}\|_\infty$$

is a bounded function of  $s \geq 2\|\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\}\|$  by Lemma 2.11. This is enough to conclude the proof. ■

From Proposition 4.19, we can let  $s_0 \rightarrow \infty$  in equation (4.7), to give explicitly

$$\int_0^\infty S\tau(q(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s\{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, q\} + s^2)^{-p/2-r} - q_e(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^2 + s^2)^{-p/2-r}) ds = \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 S\tau\left(\frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,0}}{dt}(1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{t,0}^2)^{-p/2-r}\right) dt.$$

By Proposition 4.13, the residue of the left-hand side gives the numerical index, and by Lemma 4.10 the right-hand side is

$$\int_0^1 \tau(u^*[\mathcal{D}, u](1 + (\mathcal{D} + tu^*[\mathcal{D}, u])^2)^{-p/2-r}) dt.$$

This latter formula is formally similar to the spectral flow formulas in [8, 9] for the special case of unitarily equivalent endpoints, but the hypotheses we have used to derive it are very different from those in these earlier papers. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.8.

**Acknowledgments** A.C. acknowledges the Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung and thanks colleagues at the University of Münster for support while this research was undertaken. J.P. acknowledges the financial research support of NSERC (Canada). All authors thank the referee for a thorough reading that resulted in numerous improvements.

## References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, and I. M. Singer, *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. III.* Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **79**(1976), no. 1, 71–99. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100052105>
- [2] M.-T. Benameur, A. L. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie, F. A. Sukochev, and K. P. Wojciechowski, *An analytic approach to spectral flow in von Neumann algebras.* In: Analysis, geometry and topology of elliptic operators, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2006, pp. 297–352.
- [3] M.-T. Benameur and T. Fack *Type II noncommutative geometry. I. Dixmier trace in von Neumann algebras.* Adv. Math. **199**(2006), no. 1, 29–87. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2004.11.001>
- [4] M. Breuer, *Fredholm theories in von Neumann algebras. I.* Math. Ann. **178**(1968), 243–254. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01350663>
- [5] ———, *Fredholm theories in von Neumann algebras. II.* Math. Ann. **180**(1969), 313–325. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01351884>
- [6] L. G. Brown and H. Kosaki, *Jensen's inequality in semifinite von Neumann algebras.* J. Operator Theory **23**(1990), 3–19.
- [7] A. L. Carey, V. Gayral, A. Rennie, and F. A. Sukochev, *Index theory for locally compact noncommutative geometries.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **231**(2014), no. 1085.
- [8] A. L. Carey and J. Phillips, *Unbounded Fredholm modules and spectral flow.* Canad. J. Math. **50**(1998), no. 4, 673–718. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1998-038-x>

- [9] ———, *Spectral flow in  $\Theta$ -summable Fredholm modules, eta invariants and the JLO cocycle*. J. K-Theory 31(2004), no. 2, 135–194. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:KTHE.0000022922.68170.61>
- [10] A. L. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie, F. A. Sukochev, *The local index formula in semifinite von Neumann algebras I. Spectral flow*. Adv. Math. 202(2006), no. 2, 451–516. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2005.03.011>
- [11] ———, *The local index formula in semifinite von Neumann algebras II: the even case*. Adv. Math. 202(2006), no. 2, 517–554. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2005.03.010>
- [12] A. L. Carey, J. Phillips, and F. A. Sukochev, *Spectral flow and Dixmier traces*. Adv. Math. 173(2003), 68–113. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8708\(02\)00015-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8708(02)00015-4)
- [13] A. Connes, *Noncommutative differential geometry*. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 62(1985), 257–360.
- [14] A. Connes and H. Moscovici, *The local index formula in noncommutative geometry*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 5(1995), no. 2, 174–243. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01895667>
- [15] J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien (Algèbres de von Neumann)*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [16] M. Georgescu, *Spectral flow in semi-finite von Neumann algebras*. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Victoria, BC.
- [17] E. Getzler, *The odd Chern character in cyclic homology and spectral flow*. Topology 32(1993), no. 3, 489–507. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383\(93\)90002-D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(93)90002-D)
- [18] N. Higson, *The local index formula in noncommutative geometry*. In: Contemporary developments in algebraic K-theory, ICTP Lecture Notes, 15, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2004, pp. 444–536.
- [19] M. Lesch, *On the index of the infinitesimal generator of a flow*. J. Operator Theory 26(1991), no. 1, 73–92.
- [20] G. Pedersen and M. Takesaki, *The Radon-Nikodym theorem for von Neumann algebras*. Acta Math. 130(1973), 53–87. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02392262>
- [21] J. Phillips, *Self-adjoint Fredholm operators and spectral flow*. Canad. Math. Bull. 39(1996), no. 4, 460–467. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1996-054-4>
- [22] ———, *Spectral flow in type I and type II factors—a new approach*. In: Cyclic cohomology and noncommutative geometry (Waterloo, ON, 1995), Fields Institute Commun., 17, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 137–153.
- [23] J. Phillips and Iain Raeburn, *An index theorem for Toeplitz operators with noncommutative symbol space*. J. Funct. Anal. 120(1994), no. 2, 239–263. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1994.1032>
- [24] I. M. Singer, *Eigenvalues of the Laplacian and invariants of manifolds*. In: Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Vancouver, BC, 1974), vol. 1, Canad. Math. Congress, Montreal, QC, 1975, pp. 187–200.

Mathematical Sciences Institute, Australian National University, Canberra ACT, 0200 Australia  
and

School of Mathematics and Applied Statistics, University of Wollongong, Wollongong NSW, 2500 Australia  
e-mail: [alan.carey@anu.edu.au](mailto:alan.carey@anu.edu.au) [renniea@uow.edu.au](mailto:renniea@uow.edu.au)

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Moulin de la Housse-BP 1039,  
51687 Reims France  
e-mail: [victor.gayral@univ-reims.fr](mailto:victor.gayral@univ-reims.fr)

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria BC  
e-mail: [johnphil@uvic.ca](mailto:johnphil@uvic.ca)

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Kensington NSW, 2052 Australia  
e-mail: [f.sukochev@unsw.edu.au](mailto:f.sukochev@unsw.edu.au)