

## COMPARISON THEOREMS FOR LINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

V. B. HEADLEY

ABSTRACT. Two comparison theorems, one of pointwise type and one of integral type, will be obtained for linear elliptic equations of order  $2m$  on an exterior domain in  $R^n$ .

1. **Introduction.** Using Gårding's inequality, we will obtain comparison theorems for the linear elliptic differential equations

$$(1) \quad Lu := \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=0}^m (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha [A_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\beta u] = 0 \quad (x \in \Omega \subseteq R^n)$$

and

$$(2) \quad \ell u := \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=0}^m (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha [a_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\beta u] = 0.$$

Here,  $\Omega$  is an unbounded open set, the coefficient functions are sufficiently smooth, and we make use of the multi-index notation employed in [1]. Our results generalize two known comparison theorems:

- (i) work of the author [5] in which  $\ell u = (-1)^m \Delta^m u + h(x)u$ ;
- (ii) work of Butler and Erbe [2] on the ordinary differential equations

$$(3) \quad L_N v + p v = 0$$

and

$$(4) \quad L_N v + q v = 0,$$

where  $L_N$  is a linear, disconjugate, ordinary differential operator of order  $N$ .

We remind the reader that an  $N$ -th order, ordinary, linear differential operator  $L_N$  is said to be *disconjugate* on an interval  $J$  iff the equation  $L_N y = 0$  has no nontrivial solution with  $N$  zeros, counting multiplicities, on  $J$ .

---

This research was supported by an operating grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

Received by the editors May 23, 1991.

AMS subject classification: 35B05, 34C10.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1993.

REMARK 1.1. According to the Pólya-Levin disconjugacy criterion [9,7], an  $N$ -th order, ordinary, linear differential operator  $L_N$  with real-valued, locally integrable coefficients is disconjugate on a nondegenerate interval  $J$  if, and only if, there exist sufficiently smooth, nonvanishing functions  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_N$  such that, in the interior of  $J$ , we have

$$(5) \quad L_N v = \rho_N \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \rho_{N-1} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \dots \rho_1 \frac{d}{dt} [\rho_0 v] \dots \right] \right].$$

2. **Definitions and preliminary results.** Let  $G$  be a nonempty, open (possibly unbounded) subset of  $\Omega$ . If  $k$  is a nonnegative integer, we define the seminorm  $|\cdot|_{k,G}$ , the weighted seminorm  $|\cdot|_{k,G,w}$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{k,G}$  as follows:

$$(6) \quad |u|_{k,G} = \left[ \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \int_G |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \right]^{1/2},$$

$$(7) \quad |u|_{k,G,w} = \left[ \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \int_G (k!/\alpha!) |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \right]^{1/2},$$

$$(8) \quad \|u\|_{k,G} = \left[ \sum_{j=0}^k |u|_{j,G}^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

The definition of  $|u|_{k,G,w}$  is motivated by the following formula, which is valid for any real-valued  $\phi$  in  $C_0^\infty(G)$ :

$$(9) \quad (-1)^k \int_G \phi \Delta^k \phi dx = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \int_G (k!/\alpha!) |D^\alpha \phi|^2 dx.$$

To compare the seminorms  $|\cdot|_{m,G}$  and  $|\cdot|_{m,G,w}$ , we let

$$(10) \quad c_0 = \max\{m!/\alpha! : |\alpha| = m\}.$$

Then it is easily seen that

$$(11) \quad |u|_{m,G} \leq |u|_{m,G,w} \leq c_0^{1/2} |u|_{m,G}.$$

In (6) and (8), when there is no danger of confusion, we will omit the subscript  $G$ .

Let the Sobolev spaces  $H_k(G)$  and  $H_k^0(G)$  be defined as in [4]. If  $G$  is bounded, and if there exists a nontrivial function  $u$  in  $H_m^0(G) \cap C^{2m}(G)$  such that (1) holds, then  $G$  is called a *nodal domain* for  $L$  or a nodal domain for (1). If for every positive number  $r$  the region  $\{x \in \Omega : |x| > r\}$  contains a nodal domain for  $L$ , then (1) is said to be *nodally oscillatory* in  $\Omega$ .

Using integration by parts, we can easily show that if  $G$  is any nonempty, open (possibly unbounded) subset of  $\Omega$ , then for every real-valued  $\phi$  in  $C_0^\infty(G)$  we have:

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_G \phi L \phi dx &= \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} \int_G A_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\alpha \phi D^\beta \phi dx + \int_G \phi^2 A_{0,0}(x) dx \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=1}^{2m-1} \int_G A_{\alpha\beta} D^\alpha \phi D^\beta \phi dx \\ &:= f_G[L; \phi] + \int_G \phi^2 A_{0,0}(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_G \phi \ell \phi \, dx &= \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} \int_G a_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\alpha \phi D^\beta \phi \, dx + \int_G \phi^2 a_{0,0}(x) \, dx \\
 (13) \qquad &+ \sum_{|\alpha+|\beta|=1}^{2m-1} \int_G a_{\alpha\beta} D^\alpha \phi D^\beta \phi \, dx \\
 &:= f_G[\ell; \phi] + \int_G \phi^2 a_{0,0}(x) \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Define the set  $K(L; \Omega)$  as follows:

$$(14) \qquad K(L; \Omega) = \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta}(x) \xi^{\alpha+\beta} : x \in \Omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\xi| = 1 \right\};$$

and define  $K(\ell; \Omega)$  by replacing  $A_{\alpha\beta}$  in (14) with  $a_{\alpha\beta}$ .

We will suppose that the differential operators  $L$  and  $\ell$  are uniformly strongly elliptic in the following sense: there exist constants  $E_0$ ,  $e_0$ , and  $e_1$  such that

$$(15) \qquad 0 < E_0 := \inf K(L; \Omega)$$

and

$$(16) \qquad 0 < e_0 := \inf K(\ell; \Omega) \leq \sup K(\ell; \Omega) := e_1.$$

Modifying the well-known proof of the global version of Gårding’s inequality [1, Theorem 7.6], we can establish the following two results.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose that the principal coefficient-functions  $A_{\alpha,\beta}$  ( $|\alpha| = |\beta| = m$ ) are uniformly continuous on  $\Omega$ , and that the intermediate coefficient-functions  $A_{\alpha,\beta}$  ( $1 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2m - 1$ ) are bounded and measurable on  $\Omega$ . Let  $G$  be any nonempty, open subset of  $\Omega$  and let  $f_G[L; \phi]$  be as in (12). Then there exist constants  $c_1 \in (0, \infty)$  and  $c_2 \in [0, \infty)$  such that, for every real-valued  $\phi$  in  $C_0^\infty(G)$ , we have:*

$$(17) \qquad f_G[L; \phi] \geq c_1 E_0 \|\phi\|_{m,G}^2 - c_2 |\phi|_{0,G}^2.$$

*The constant  $c_1$  may be expressed explicitly in terms of the integers  $m$  and  $n$ ; the constant  $c_2$  may be expressed explicitly in terms of the following quantities:  $\sup\{|A_{\alpha\beta}(x)| : x \in \Omega; 1 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2m - 1\}$ ,  $m$ ,  $n$ ,  $E_0$ , and the modulus of continuity for the principal coefficients.*

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose that the regularity hypotheses in Lemma 2.1 hold, with  $A_{\alpha,\beta}$  replaced by  $a_{\alpha,\beta}$ . Let  $G$  be any nonempty, open subset of  $\Omega$ , and let  $f_G[\ell; \phi]$  be as in (13). Then there exist positive constants  $c_5$  and  $c_6$  such that, for every real-valued  $\phi$  in  $C_0^\infty(G)$ , we have:*

$$(18) \qquad f_G[\ell; \phi] \leq c_5 |\phi|_{m,G}^2 + c_6 |\phi|_{0,G}^2.$$

*The constants  $c_5$  and  $c_6$  may be expressed explicitly in terms of the following quantities:*

$m, n, e_1, \sup\{|a_{\alpha,\beta}(x)| : x \in \Omega; 1 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2m - 1\}$  and the modulus of continuity for the principal coefficients.

**3. The main results.** Our first comparison theorem is a generalization of the scalar case of [5, Theorem 3.1]. Note that in [5] we considered the case where  $L$  is vector-valued, and we compared the differential operator  $L$  with the differential operator  $(-1)^m \Delta^m + h$ , where  $h: \Omega \rightarrow R^{N \times N}$  is a continuous matrix-valued function,  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplace operator, and  $\Delta^m := \Delta(\Delta^{m-1})$  whenever  $m \geq 2$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Suppose that*

$$(19) \quad 0 < c_5 \leq c_4 := c_0^{-1} c_1 E_0$$

and that for all  $x \in \Omega$  we have

$$(20) \quad A_{0,0}(x) - a_{0,0}(x) \geq c_2 + c_6.$$

If (1) is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ , then (2) is also nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ .

**PROOF.** If (1) is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ , then for every positive number  $r$  the region  $\{x \in \Omega : |x| > r\}$  contains a nodal domain  $G$  for the differential operator  $L$ . Thus, there exists a nontrivial real-valued function  $u$  in  $C^{2m}(G) \cap H_m^0(G)$  such that (1) holds.

Furthermore, (13), (18) (i.e., Lemma 2.2) and (11) together imply that for every real-valued  $\phi$  in  $C_0^\infty(G)$  we have:

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_G \phi \ell \phi \, dx &= f_G[\ell; \phi] + \int_G \phi^2 a_{0,0}(x) \, dx \\ &\leq c_5 |\phi|_{m,G}^2 + \int_G |\phi|^2 [a_{0,0}(x) + c_6] \, dx \\ &\leq c_5 |\phi|_{m,G,w}^2 + \int_G |\phi|^2 [a_{0,0}(x) + c_6] \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

From (21), (19) and (20) we deduce that for every  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(G; R^1)$  we have

$$(22) \quad \int_G \phi \ell \phi \, dx \leq c_4 |\phi|_{m,G,w}^2 + \int_G |\phi|^2 [A_{0,0}(x) - c_2] \, dx.$$

We also note that (12), (17) (i.e., Lemma 2.1), (8) and (11) imply that for every  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(G; R^1)$  we have

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_G \phi L \phi \, dx &= f_G[L; G] + \int_G \phi^2 A_{0,0}(x) \, dx \\ &\geq c_1 E_0 |\phi|_{m,G}^2 + \int_G |\phi|^2 [A_{0,0}(x) - c_2] \, dx \\ &\geq c_1 E_0 |\phi|_{m,G}^2 + \int_G |\phi|^2 [A_{0,0}(x) - c_2] \, dx \\ &\geq c_0^{-1} c_1 E_0 |\phi|_{m,G,w}^2 + \int_G |\phi|^2 [A_{0,0}(x) - c_2] \, dx \\ &= c_4 |\phi|_{m,G,w}^2 + \int_G |\phi|^2 [A_{0,0}(x) - c_2] \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows, from (22) and (23), that for every real-valued  $\phi$  in  $C_0^\infty(G)$  we have

$$(24) \quad \int_G \phi \ell \phi \, dx \leq \int_G \phi L \phi \, dx.$$

Since  $u$  is in  $H_m^0(G) \cap C^{2m}(G)$  and satisfies (1), and since  $C_0^\infty(G)$  is dense in  $H_m^0(G)$ , it follows from (24) that

$$(25) \quad \int_G u \ell u \, dx \leq \int_G u L u \, dx = 0.$$

A standard variational argument [6, 3] may now be employed to find a nonempty open set  $G' \subseteq G$  such that zero is the smallest eigenvalue of the boundary-value problem

$$(26) \quad \ell y = \lambda y, \quad y \in H_m^0(G') \cap C^{2m}(G').$$

Thus, we have shown that for every positive number  $r$  the equation  $\ell y = 0$  has a non-trivial solution  $y$ , with a nodal domain  $G' \subseteq G \subseteq \{x \in \Omega : |x| > r\}$ . The proof of Theorem 3.1 is now complete.

Before formulating and proving our next comparison theorem, we recall some ideas and results from [2].

Suppose that the functions  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_N$  introduced in Remark 1.1 have the property that for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  we have  $\rho_j \in C^{N-j}(J; (0, \infty))$ . Define the quasiderivatives  $L_0 v, \dots, L_N v$  in the usual way:

$$(27) \quad L_0 v = \rho_0 v, \quad L_j v = \rho_j \frac{d}{dt}(L_{j-1} v) \quad (1 \leq j \leq N).$$

Furthermore, let  $\Gamma := \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  and  $\Lambda := \{j_1, \dots, j_{N-k}\}$  be subsets of  $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$  such that  $0 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq N-1$  and  $0 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{N-k} \leq N-1$ .

For any point  $a$  in the interval  $J$ , the *first right extremal point*  $\theta_1(\Gamma, \Lambda; a)$  for (3) is defined to be the first point  $s \in J \cap (a, \infty)$  for which there exists a nontrivial solution of (3) satisfying the boundary conditions

$$(28) \quad \begin{cases} L_i v(a) = 0, & (i \in \Gamma) \\ L_j v(s) = 0, & (j \in \Lambda) \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we can define  $\tilde{\theta}_1(\Gamma, \Lambda; a)$ , the first right extremal point for (4).

The differential equation (3) is said to be  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ -disconjugate on  $J$  iff for every  $a \in J$  the first right extremal point  $\theta_1(\Gamma, \Lambda; a)$  is nonexistent.

The pair  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$  is said to be *admissible* iff for every integer  $b \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ , at least  $b$  members of the sequence  $(i_1, \dots, i_k, \dots, j_1, \dots, j_{N-k})$  are less than  $b$ .

The following known criterion for  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$  to be admissible will be needed in the proof of our next comparison theorem.

PROPOSITION 3.2 (SEE [2, P. 216]). *The pair  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$  is admissible if, and only if, for every pair of points  $a$  and  $s$  in  $J$  satisfying  $a < s$ , there exists no nontrivial solution of the differential equation  $L_N y = 0$  satisfying (28).*

REMARK 3.3. We now prove a comparison theorem which extends [2, Theorem 2.5]. To facilitate the statement of the theorem, we introduce some additional notation. We define the differential operators  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  as follows:

$$(29) \quad M_0 u := (-1)^m c_4 \Delta^m u + [A_{0,0}(x) - c_2]u,$$

$$(30) \quad M_1 u := (-1)^m c_5 \Delta^m u + [a_{0,0}(x) + c_6]u.$$

Suppose that there exists  $r_0 \geq 0$  such that the interior of  $J$  is the open interval  $(r_0, \infty)$ , and suppose that there exists  $x^0 \in R^n$  such that  $\Omega \supset \{x \in R^n : |x - x^0| \geq r_0\}$ . For any positive  $r$ , let  $S_r = \{x \in R^n : |x| = r\}$ . Define the real-valued functions  $h_j$  ( $2 \leq j \leq 7$ ) as follows:

$$(31) \quad h_2(r) = \min\{A_{0,0}(x) - c_2 : x \in S_r\} \text{ whenever } r \in J,$$

$$(32) \quad h_3(x) = h_2(|x|) \text{ whenever } x \in \Omega,$$

$$(33) \quad h_4(r) = \max\{a_{0,0}(x) + c_6 : x \in S_r\} \text{ whenever } r \in J,$$

$$(34) \quad h_5(x) = h_4(|x|) \text{ whenever } x \in \Omega,$$

$$(35) \quad h_6(r) = \min\{0, h_2(r)\} \text{ whenever } r \in J,$$

$$(36) \quad h_7(x) = h_6(|x|) \text{ whenever } x \in \Omega.$$

Following [2, p. 216], we will suppose that  $h_6(r)$  is not identically zero and that  $h_4(r) < 0$ .

Define the differential operators  $M_3$  and  $M_4$  as follows:

$$(37) \quad M_3 u := (-1)^m c_5 \Delta^m u + h_5(x)u$$

and

$$(38) \quad M_4 u := (-1)^m c_4 \Delta^m u + h_7(x)u.$$

Let  $\Delta_{|x|}$  denote the radially symmetric form of the Laplace operator. In other words, if  $|x| = r$ , then

$$(39) \quad \Delta_r = r^{n-1} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r^{1-n} \frac{d}{dr} \right).$$

Furthermore, let  $M_5$  and  $M_6$  denote the radially symmetric forms of the differential operators  $M_3$  and  $M_4$ , respectively. In other words, let

$$(40) \quad M_5 v = (-1)^m c_5 \Delta_r^m v + h_4(r)v$$

$$(41) \quad M_6 v = (-1)^m c_4 \Delta_r^m v + h_6(r)v,$$

where  $h_4$  and  $h_6$  are defined in (33) and (35), respectively.

THEOREM 3.4. *Suppose that for all  $r \in J$  we have*

$$(42) \quad \int_r^\infty t^{1-n}|h_4(t)| dt \geq \int_r^\infty t^{1-n}|h_6(t)| dt.$$

*If (1) is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ , then so is (2).*

PROOF. Let  $G$  be any nonempty open subset of  $\Omega$ , and let  $\phi$  be any real-valued function in  $C_0^\infty(G)$ . Then (23), (9), (7) and (29) imply that

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_G \phi L\phi dx &\geq c_4|\phi|_{m,G,w}^2 + \int_G |\phi|^2[A_{0,0}(x) - c_2] dx \\ &= \int_G \phi M_0\phi dx. \end{aligned}$$

If (1) is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ , then (43) and the arguments following (25) in the proof of Theorem 3.1 together imply that the equation

$$(44) \quad M_0u = 0$$

is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ .

Furthermore, (29), (31), (32), (35), (36) and (38) imply that

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_G \phi M_0\phi dx &= (-1)^m c_4 \int_G \phi \Delta^m \phi + \int_G [A_{0,0}(x) - c_2] |\phi|^2 dx \\ &\geq \int_G [(-1)^m c_4 \phi \Delta^m \phi + h_7(x) |\phi|^2] dx \\ &= \int_G \phi M_4\phi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since (44) is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ , therefore (45) and the arguments following (25) in the proof of Theorem 3.1 together imply that the equation

$$(46) \quad M_4u = 0$$

is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ . In other words, for every positive number  $r_1$ , the region  $\{x \in \Omega : |x| > r_1\}$  contains a nodal domain for (46). Let  $J_1 := J \cap (r_1, \infty)$ . Then we can employ the method of spherical means (as in the proof of [3, Theorem 4.1]) to show that the ordinary differential equation

$$(47) \quad M_6v = 0$$

is  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ -nonconjugate on  $J_1$  in the case where  $\Gamma = \Lambda = \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$ . (See (41) for the definition of  $M_6$ .) Because of the representation (39), we can choose

$$(48) \quad \begin{cases} N = 2m, & \rho_N(r) = r^{n-1}, \rho_{N-1}(r) = r^{1-n}, \dots, \rho_1(r) = r^{1-n}, \rho_0(r) = 1, \\ L_N = \Delta_r^m \end{cases}$$

in (5). Since (47) is  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ -disconjugate on  $J_1$  in the case where  $\Gamma = \Lambda = \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$ , it follows from (42) and [2, Theorem 2.5] that either the pair  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$  is inadmissible or the ordinary differential equation

$$(49) \quad M_5v = 0$$

is  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ -nondisconjugate on  $J_1$  (See (40) for the definition of  $M_5$ ). But if the pair  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$  is inadmissible, then it follows from Proposition 3.2 that there will exist two points  $a$  and  $s$  in  $J_1$ , with  $a < s$ , such that the boundary-value problem consisting of the ordinary differential equation

$$(50) \quad L_n v_0 = 0 \text{ on } J_1$$

and the boundary conditions (28) (with  $v$  replaced by  $v_0$ ) has at least one nontrivial solution. It follows from (48) and (39) that the boundary-value problem consisting of the partial differential equation

$$(51) \quad \Delta^m u_0 = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_{a,s} := \{x \in \Omega : a < |x| < s\}$$

and the boundary conditions

$$(52) \quad \Delta^k u_0 = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_{a,s} \quad (0 \leq k \leq m - 1)$$

has at least one radially-symmetric nontrivial solution. (Here,  $\Delta^0 u_0 := u_0$ .) But since  $\Delta^m u_0 := \Delta(\Delta^{m-1} u_0)$  whenever  $m \geq 1$ , it follows from the maximum principle that any solution of (51) and (52) has the property that

$$(53) \quad \Delta^{m-1} u_0 = 0 \text{ throughout } \Omega_{a,s}.$$

Furthermore, (52) implies that

$$(54) \quad \Delta^k u_0 = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_{a,s} \quad (0 \leq k \leq m - 2).$$

Continuing recursively, we deduce eventually that  $u_0 = 0$  throughout  $\Omega_{a,s}$ . This contradicts the nontrivialness of  $u_0$ , and shows that the pair  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$  cannot be inadmissible. Thus, we have proved that (49) is  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ -nondisconjugate on  $J_1$  in the case where  $\Gamma = \Lambda = \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$ . In other words, there exist points  $a$  and  $s$  (in  $J_1$ ) and a real-valued function  $v \in C^{2m}(J_1)$  such that (49) and (28) hold.

Introducing spherical polar coordinates in the usual way [8, p. 58], we note that, for every multi-index  $\beta$ , if  $|x| = r$ , then the expression  $x^\beta / r^{|\beta|}$  is independent of  $r$ . It follows from the Chain Rule and the final statement in the last paragraph above that there exist points  $a$  and  $s$  (in  $J_1$ ) and a radially-symmetric, real-valued,  $C^{2m}$  function  $u: x \rightarrow v(|x|)$  such that

$$(55) \quad M_3 u = M_5 v = 0$$

throughout the spherical shell  $\Omega_{a,s}$ , and

$$(56) \quad D^\alpha u|_{\partial\Omega_{a,s}} = \frac{x^\alpha}{r^{|\alpha|}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^{|\alpha|} v|_{\partial\Omega_{a,s}} = 0 \text{ whenever } 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m - 1.$$

But (56) implies, because of [1, Lemma 9.10], that  $u \in H_m^0(\Omega_{a,s})$ . Since  $r_1$  was chosen arbitrarily, we have therefore shown that (55) is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ .

Furthermore, (37), (34), (33) and (30) imply that if  $G$  is any nonempty open subset of  $\Omega$ , and if  $\phi$  is any real-valued function in  $C_0^\infty(G)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_G \phi M_3 \phi \, dx &= \int_G [(-1)^m c_5 \phi \Delta^m \phi + h_5(x) |\phi|^2] \, dx \\
 (57) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\geq \int_G [(-1)^m c_5 \phi \Delta^m \phi + [a_{0,0}(x) + c_6] |\phi|^2] \, dx \\
 &= \int_G \phi M_1 \phi \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since (55) is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ , therefore (57) and a familiar argument imply that the partial differential equation

$$(58) \qquad \qquad \qquad M_1 u = 0$$

is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ .

Finally, (30), (7), (9) and (21) imply that if  $G$  is any nonempty open subset of  $\Omega$ , and if  $\phi$  is any real-valued function in  $C_0^\infty(G)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_G \phi M_1 \phi \, dx &= \int_G [(-1)^m c_5 \phi \Delta^m \phi + [a_{0,0}(x) + c_6] |\phi|^2] \, dx \\
 (59) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= c_5 |\phi|_{m,G,w}^2 + \int_G [a_{0,0}(x) + c_6] |\phi|^2 \, dx \\
 &\geq \int_G \phi \ell \phi \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since (58) is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ , (59) implies that (2) is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Agmon, *Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1965.
2. G. J. Butler and L. H. Erbe, *Integral comparison theorems and extremal points for linear differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **47**(1983), 214–226.
3. V. B. Headley, *Sharp nonoscillation theorems for even-order elliptic equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **120**(1986), 709–722.
4. ———, *Nonoscillation theorems for nonselfadjoint even-order elliptic equations*, Math. Nachr. **141**(1989), 289–297.
5. ———, *Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for linear elliptic systems*, Proceedings of an International Conference on Differential Equations: Stability and Control, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1990, 209–215.
6. V. B. Headley and C. A. Swanson, *Oscillation criteria for elliptic equations*, Pacific J. Math. **27**(1968), 501–506.
7. A. Ju. Levin, *Non-oscillation of solutions of the equation  $x^{(n)} + p_1(t)x^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_n(t)x = 0$* , Uspekhi Mat. Nauk (2) **24**(1969), 43–96; Russian Math. Surveys (2) **24**(1969), 43–99.
8. S. G. Mikhlin, *The Problem of the Minimum of a Quadratic Functional*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1965.
9. G. Pólya, *On the mean value theorem corresponding to a given linear homogenous differential equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **24**(1924), 312–324.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Brock University*  
*St. Catharines, Ontario*  
*L2S 3A1*