Genetic and epigenetic approaches to Opioid Use Disorder

Nadeeka Dimuthu Ranadeva^{1*}, Praba Jalini Wijekumar^{1,2}, Caroline Anastasia Fernando^{3,4}, Akila Randika Jayamaha³, Nafeesa Noordeen⁵, Sureka Chackrewarthy⁶, Neluka Fernando⁷

*Corresponding author

1. N.D.K. Ranadeva

Faculty of Health Sciences, KIU, Sri Lanka

Email: nadeeka@kiu.ac.lk

Co-authors

2. P.J. Wijekumar

Faculty of Health Sciences, KIU, Sri Lanka
School of Life Sciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Anglia Ruskin
University, Cambridge
prabajalini11@gmail.com

3. C.A. Fernando

Research and Innovation Division, KIU, Sri Lanka
Faculty of Graduate Studies, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka
caroline@kiu.ac.lk

This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.

DOI: 10.1017/erm.2025.10024

¹ Faculty of Health Sciences, KIU, Sri Lanka

² School of Life Sciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge

³ Research and Innovation Division, KIU, Sri Lanka

⁴ Faculty of Graduate Studies, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka

⁵ Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka

⁶ Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka

⁷ Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka

4. A.R. Jayamaha

Research and Innovation Division, KIU, Sri Lanka akila@kiu.ac.lk

5. N. Noorden

Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka nnoordeen@hotmail.com

6. S. Chackrewarthy

Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka sureka@kln.ac.lk

7. S.S.N. Fernando

Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka fneluka@sjp.ac.lk

Abstract

Opioid use disorder is a major global-scale social issue affecting public health. Opioid use is a major concern due to its high potential for addiction and dependence, contributing to substancerelated disorders. Genetic and environmental factors contribute to the predisposition to opioid use disorders (OUD). The opioidergic, dopaminergic, and GABAergic systems are the primary systems involved in the onset of OUD. Consequently, this narrative review aims to document the association between the genes and their variants identified through the studies related to these key systems, along with current epigenetic interventions in OUD. Genetic associations play a prominent role in OUD, with single-nucleotide variants identified in OUD-affected populations. Previous research indicates that the OPRM1, OPRD1, OPRK1, PDYN, OPRL1 and POMC genes from the opioidergic system; the DRD1, DRD2, DRD3, DRD4, ANKK1 and COMT genes from the dopaminergic system, and the GABRA2, GABRB3, GABRG2, GAD1 and GAD2 genes from the GABAergic system are associated with the phenotypes of OUD in different ethnicities. In addition, the accumulated evidence suggests that chronic opioid use is associated with epigenetic changes in the DNA through post-translational histone modifications and DNA methylation processes. Although many candidate-gene associations have been proposed for OUD, a considerable number of studies have small sample sizes,

limited replication, and potential stratification biases, which are limitations in current studies. This stresses the importance of carrying out large, ancestrally diverse Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS) and systematic replication studies. Moreover, a profound understanding of the genetic, epigenetic, and neurobiological bases of addiction will aid the development of precisely targeted medications.

Keywords: DRD2, epigenetics, GAD1, heroin, OPRM1, opioid addiction, opioid use disorder

1. Introduction

Substance use disorders (SUDs) are multistage conditions (which typically progress through various stages, from initial substance use to dependence and addiction) characterized by exposure to addictive substances that result in dependence and addiction. Opioids are among the most commonly misused substances, known for their elevated risk of causing addiction and dependence [1]. Opioid tolerance, dependence, and addiction are all caused by chronic opioid abuse, which can lead to opioid use disorder (OUD). Clinically, OUD is a persistent, relapsing disorder marked by physical and psychological symptoms that promote opioid-seeking behavior. The 2013 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders of the American Psychiatric Association defines OUD as the recurrence of two or more of eleven problems within 12 months, such as withdrawal symptoms, seeking opioids, and excessive time spent using opioids [2]. OUD is prevalent among diverse ethnic and age groups.

Global trends in opioid usage

The World Drug Report 2023 [3] says that 296 million individuals around the world took drugs in 2021 [3]. This is 5.8% of the population aged 15 to 64 and a 23% rise over the preceding ten years. According to the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 61 million people around the world take opioids for non-medical reasons [3]. This is a big jump from the twenty-six to—thirty-six million people who did so in 2010. Opioids are still the most dangerous substance. In 2019, they were responsible for approximately 70% of the 600,000 drug-related deaths, including about 150,000 fatal overdoses [4].

Age-Group Prevalence and Regional Patterns

It is observed that drug use has an uneven distribution across the world. According to reported data, it has been indicated that in 2021, 39.5 million people were victims of a drug use disorder [3]. The contrasting regional patterns are also noteworthy: the use of Opioids is known to be

increased in Africa, Asia, Europe, and North America, where cannabis remains the most used substance in North and South America and Asia [3]. Age-specific prevalence was also noted, where the majority were in the young adults' category, aged 18 -35 years. Peer influence and living environment were identified as main risk factors [3]. In the South Asian region, the prevalence of opiate use has been reported as 1.1% of the adult population. This accounts for nearly twice the global average [5]. Synthetic opioids such as fentanyl can be found in North America predominantly, often creating an overdose crisis, while tramadol leads the misuse in the West African region [3].

Treatment Gaps and Global Access Challenges

Although there is an increasing prevalence of OUD, access to treatment remains limited. Less than 9% of individuals who were victims of drug use disorders in 2021 had received any form of treatment, which can be pointed out as a drop from 11% reported in 2015 [6]. The lowest treatment rates were reported in Africa (2.8%) and Asia (5.1%), whereas in the Americas, it has been reported as 10.7% [6]. Women have been found to represent 25% of the drug use disorders; however, it has been noted that women remain undertreated for the condition, accounting for only about 20% of those receiving treatment [6]. Access to essential opioid medications for pain and palliative care also has an unequal distribution. About 87% of the population in the world has no adequate access to the necessary medications, emphasizing a 46-fold disparity between high- and low-income countries [3]. These gaps highlight the urgent need for evidence-based, equitable, and gender-sensitive treatment expansion.

The Multifactorial Nature of Drug Addiction

There are three aspects to the nature of drug addiction: biological, psychological, and social [7]. Individual risk factors for developing a substance use disorder (including OUD) can include genetic predisposition as a biological factor, family background and peer influence as social factors, and psychiatric conditions, as well as personality traits as psychological factors [8]. The development of OUD can be attributed to both genetics and environment. For example, individuals who are predisposed to addiction have significantly worse outcomes when they reside in environments with easy access to intoxicating substances and few restrictions on their use. Among these risks, genetics is considered a major contributory factor for addiction development. There is a 40to 60% genetic contribution to developing an addiction to drugs [9–11]. It has been demonstrated that genetic factors influence the susceptibility to developing

addiction in general, where specific genetic variants can make individuals vulnerable to particular substances or types of addiction [1].

This narrative review has been written with the aim of capturing the knowledge regarding the genetic and epigenetic determinants of opioid use disorder. Emphasis is given to the findings related to the opioidergic, dopaminergic, and GABAergic neurotransmitter systems. Further, we examine known gene variants and epigenetic modifications linked to OUD. A rigorous assessment of the robustness of evidence for these associations across various populations has also been indicated. Epigenetic aspects related to OUD and Genome Wide Association Studies (GWAS) evidence related to OUD, have also been indicated. The existence of a knowledge gap in the field has been indicated while suggesting areas for future research. The primary aim is to elucidate how genetic and epigenetic research can enhance the comprehension of OUD etiology and inform tailored treatment approaches for this condition.

2. Opioid Use Disorder Mechanism

Opioids are natural or synthetic chemicals that reduce pain by interacting with opioid receptors on the cell surface. Opioids include the illicit drug heroin, lab-made opioids such as fentanyl, and legally available painkillers such as morphine, oxycodone, hydrocodone, and codeine [12].

Opioids exert their effect by traveling through the blood to the brain and binding to opioid receptors on the cell surfaces of opiate-sensitive neurons [13]. There are three types of opioid receptors: the mu opioid receptor (MOR), the delta opioid receptor (DOR), and the kappa opioid receptor (KOR). Enkephalin and β -endorphin are the two main endogenous ligands for the MOR. Dynorphins and enkephalins preferentially bind to KOR and DOR, respectively [14,15]. When opiates bind to receptors, the brain's reward system is activated, resulting in feelings of pleasure while engaging in brain-promoting activities such as eating [16].

Opioids (morphine, codeine, opium) are frequently prescribed for the treatment of moderate to severe pain, which controls pain, relieves cough and diarrhea, and, due to prolonged use, also induces euphoria and stimulates the brain's reward system [17]. The patient continues to use opioids despite the development of serious health issues, which results in OUD. A patient with drug addiction, which is a type of SUD, may become dependent on higher doses of drugs to achieve the desired feeling of pleasure, which can result in fatal conditions such as respiratory distress.

Opioids simply stimulate the mesolimbic (midbrain) reward system. This system generates signals in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) that cause the nucleus accumbens to release the neurotransmitter dopamine. This dopamine stimulation underlies the effect on pleasure sensations. Opioids prevent dopamine cells from inhibiting dopamine release. This allows dopamine to enter the nucleus accumbens and prefrontal cortex of the forebrain, which plays a crucial role in drug dependence [13]. Moreover, drug addiction has been linked to a decrease in GABA communication between the VTA and the nucleus accumbens [18]. GABA is an inhibitory amino acid neurotransmitter that modulates the release of dopamine, serotonin, noradrenaline, and glutamate, which can affect neurotransmitter systems [19]. These medications can cause the striatum to release more dopamine and glutamate while decreasing the GABA production in the thalamus [20]. Other parts of the brain create a long-term memory that links these positive emotions to their circumstances and surroundings. This frequently results in a drug craving [13] (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Distribution of dopamine (Dopaminergic system) - Left, opioid receptors, GABA, and mechanism of OUD in the Human Brain - Right.

The diagram represents the key pathways of the brain involved in development of Euphoria induced by opioids and the development of OUD. Left: Dopaminergic neurons are represented in blue in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and projects to the nucleus accumbens (NAc) in the mesolimbic reward pathway. Right: Opioids (e.g., heroin, morphine) bind to the muopioid receptors (MOR, depicted in red) on GABAergic interneurons in the VTA. This binding inhibits the release of GABA because the activation of MOR causes the hyperpolarization of GABA neurons. GABA (depicted in green) is an inhibitory neurotransmitter that normally suppresses the firing of VTA dopamine neurons. By inhibiting GABAergic neurons, opioids disinhibit the dopaminergic cells The outcome is an enhanced release of dopamine in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) and the prefrontal cortex. The rise in dopamine levels (represented in blue) within these areas leads to the strong sensations of pleasure or euphoria linked to opioid consumption, reinforcing the behavior of taking drugs. To sum up, the illustration indicates that opioids promote increased dopamine release by inhibiting GABA's inhibitory action — an essential process in the reward pathway. Important brain regions (VTA, NAc, prefrontal cortex) and neurotransmitters are identified in the diagram. (Note: To enhance clarity, the anatomical labels in the original figure have been magnified.)

3. Genetics and OUD

Many studies have examined the genetic causes of SUDs and have investigated the genes associated with OUD. However, over the past decade, the studies have concentrated on the single-nucleotide variants of genes reported to be associated with OUD. Numerous groups have hypothesized that addictions are complex disorders that may be influenced by the polymorphisms of many genes. Certain studies have also investigated whether a general set of genetic variables increases or decreases susceptibility to addiction [21].

There are diverse types of evidence to establish the genetic link between drug dependence and its etiology. Family, adoption, and twin studies were carried out in the early phase to examine substance dependence and abuse, supporting the theory that there is a substantial genetic component to drug addiction [22,23]. Further studies were carried out as candidate gene studies and GWAS [24]. The earliest studies used to establish a genetic contribution to specific addictions focused on the genetic epidemiology of alcoholism [24]. Recent epidemiological research has revealed both similarities and differences in the heritability of other addictions, especially OUD [25]. On the basis of twin and family studies, heritability estimates for OUD risk range from 23% to 54% [22,23].

Addiction, particularly opioid addiction, is influenced by specific genes and single-nucleotide variants. Knowledge of this aspect of the study will improve understanding of the mechanism of drug addiction and the identification of vulnerable individuals.

4. Opioidergic system

There are three types of opioid receptors: mu-opioid receptor (MOR), kappa-opioid receptor (KOR), and delta-opioid receptor (DOR). The MOR is encoded by the OPRM1 gene, which has been intensively studied in heroin addiction and other opioid addictions, as well as in methadone and buprenorphine-based treatments for drug addiction. The OPRM1 variant rs1799971, which results in the Asn40Asp amino acid substitution of the MOR [26], is one of the most extensively researched variants in the field of addiction [27]. The rs1799971 variant significantly reduces OPRM1 mRNA expression, which leads to low levels of MOR and high binding affinity of the endogenous ligand, β -endorphin [28,29].

The KOR systems (encoded by OPRK1) and their endogenous KOR agonist ligands, the dynorphins (encoded by PDYN), modulate addictive states, such as addiction to short-acting MOR agonists [15]. The DOR is encoded by the OPRD1 gene. Although the common opioid drugs that cause dependence do not primarily target the DOR, the receptor is involved in reward pathways of the neurobiology of drug addiction [30].

5. GABA-ergic system

GABA (gamma-aminobutyric acid) is the principal inhibitory neurotransmitter in the brain. It acts through the ionotropic GABA-A and metabotropic GABA-B receptors [31]. The GABA-A receptor belongs to the ligand-gated ion channel receptor superfamily, with α 1-6, β 1-3, γ 1-3, δ , ϵ , θ , π , and ρ 1-3 subunits. GABA-A receptors have primarily been linked to alcohol abuse

[32]. As there is a correlation between GABA-A receptor genes and the mechanism of OUD development, it is hypothesized that several GABA-A receptor genes may affect the susceptibility of individuals to drug (opioids) dependence. GABRA1, GABRA2, GABRA4, and GABRB1 are genes on chromosome 4p13-12 that encode GABA-A receptor subunits [33].

Preclinical research suggests that GABRA2 may play a role in drug addiction [34,35]. It is interesting to note that Enoch et al. [36] assert that GABRA2 variants can predict addiction vulnerability, specifically for heroin addiction, and that childhood trauma and GABRA2 variants can influence addiction risk, specifically for cocaine dependence.

6. Dopaminergic system

D1, D2, D3, D4, and D5 are the five subtypes of dopamine receptors. The D1 and D5 dopamine receptors belong to the D1-like family of dopamine receptors, while the D2, D3, and D4 receptors belong to the D2-like family. D1-like family receptor activation is coupled to the G protein, which then activates adenylyl cyclase, whereas D2-like family receptor activation inhibits cAMP formation by inhibiting adenylyl cyclase. The rewarding effects of opioids are mediated by dopamine release and post-synaptic receptor activation. DRD2 is a gene that encodes the dopamine D2 receptor located near ANKK1, a gene that encodes a serine/threonine protein kinase. The DRD2/ANKK1 locus contains two widely studied polymorphisms: rs1800497, a missense variant in ANKK1 exon eight, and rs1079597, located in DRD2 intron one. Rs1800497 and rs1079597 are often inherited together rather than by chance in non-African populations [37]. Both variants are reported among the Han Chinese population with opioid dependence [38].

Table 1 provides a brief overview of the genes and the variants studied among the varying ethnicities and their association with OUD. As highlighted in Table 1, various variants within the opioidergic system exhibit associations with OUD that are specific to certain populations. For example, the extensively researched OPRM1 variant rs1799971 (A118G) is more prevalent in non-African populations [39–42] and has been associated with the risk of OUD across different cohorts, whereas rs1799972 shows greater effects in individuals of African descent [43,44]. Additionally, OPRD1 variants such as rs2236861 and rs2236857 have been consistently linked to heroin dependence among European and Israeli populations [45–47].

Variants in the GABAergic pathway also display risk signals that are specific to ethnicity: GABRA2 rs11503014 has been linked to heroin addiction in African American individuals, while polymorphisms in GAD1 show significant associations within Han Chinese groups.

Furthermore, GABRG2 rs211014 has been independently associated with OUD in both American and Chinese male populations, indicating shared biological mechanisms across different ancestries.

In the dopaminergic system, significant variants include DRD2/ANKK1 rs1800497 (Taq1A) and DRD2 rs1079597, both of which are related to OUD risk in European and Han Chinese populations. Other polymorphisms, like COMT rs4680 (Val158Met), demonstrate associations that vary by sex, particularly being linked to opiate addiction in Hispanic women.

Collectively, these findings highlight that while numerous risk loci are involved in different neurotransmitter systems, the robustness and reproducibility of these associations tend to differ based on ancestry, sex, or environmental factors. This reinforces the necessity for replication efforts that are ancestrally diverse and adequately powered to authenticate candidate variants identified in smaller studies.

7. Epigenetics and OUD

Previous research has demonstrated a genetic link to OUD. The genetic role refers specifically to the association between OUD and particular genes, including their single-nucleotide variants. The other component of OUD causation is the influence of the individual's environment. In this context, the interaction of genetics and environment can be described through epigenetic processes. The risk of drug abuse is associated with epigenetic mechanisms that explain some of the interactions between genes and the environment [48]. Therefore, epigenetics is the study of DNA-independent alterations in the regulation of gene activity and expression. These modifications can occasionally be inherited [49].

Chronic substance use (repeated exposure) is partially linked to neuro-adaptive epigenetic changes in people's brains that result in substance use disorders [50–52]. DNA methylation, chromatin remodeling, non-coding RNA, and histone modifications all contribute to epigenetic events [53]. Even though all cells in the human body contain the same genetic information, epigenetic regulatory systems permit the development of several types of cells that, in response to the environment, form skin, heart, kidney, liver, and nerve cells. These epigenetic marks can affect the health of offspring and even the expression of inherited traits. When a person uses heroin, for example, the drug can alter the DNA, increasing the production of addiction-related proteins. There is a correlation between elevated levels of these altered proteins and drug-seeking behavior. There are studies widely carried out *in-vivo* animal studies investigating these. Histones are similar to protein threads in that they provide an organizational framework

for genes. The loosening or tightening of DNA around histones, exposing or concealing genes, in turn regulates gene expression. Drug exposure can modify gene expression in localized brain regions by affecting specific histones [54]. According to scientific evidence, manipulating enzymes that alter histones and proteins that bind to them may be an effective method for treating substance use disorders [55,56].

Changes in DNA methylation have been observed after chronic exposure to multiple rewarding drugs, including opioids [57–60]. In particular, Hwang et al. (2007) found that increased DNA methylation of the promoter regions of the opioid receptor gene resulted in downregulation of the gene. It is consistent with previous research that MeCP2 can suppress the expression of the MOR gene [57,58]. Although evidence is limited, it has been suggested that the levels of DNA methylation vary between individuals of different racial groups who are exposed to opioids [58]. Opioid exposure is known to be linked to germline changes that can be carried down to future generations via transgenerational epigenetic inheritance [61–63]. Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance refers to phenotypic variation that does not result from variations in DNA base sequences but is passed down through the germline to the progeny even when no direct opioid exposure occurs. More extensive genetic and epigenetic studies will be required to compare individual and group racial differences in OUD susceptibility or resistance [64]. The previous studies have shown that genetic and epigenetic markers vary between ethnic groups [65]. Thus, analytical studies should focus more on determining the contribution of different epigenetic mechanisms to OUD development, which can be used for personalized treatments.

Recent advances Epigenetics and OUD

DNA Methylation in OUD

It has been found in recent studies that consistent DNA methylation changes that may be connected to opioid use disorder (OUD). One of the main focuses in these studies is the OPRM1 gene that encodes the mu-opioid receptor. Elevated levels of methylation of promoter region of the OPRM1 have been discovered to decrease receptor expression and may have a connection in turn to tolerance and dependence [66]. On the contrary, Agulló et al. (2024) [67]reported a different finding from this pattern. This study was reported to have been done using 345 chronic pain patients who were on long-term opioid therapy. Out of these individuals, those who had OUD showed significantly lower methylation levels of OPRM1 compared to individuals without OUD. The hypomethylation of OPRM1 was also linked to

worse pain relief, increased depressive symptoms, and comparatively increased rates of adverse events related to opioid use, with significant sex-specific differences [67].

Wide-scale Epigenomic studies have evidence to indicate that OUD is linked to widespread dysregulation of DNA methylation. A research study by Rompala et al. (2023) examined the neuronal methylomes and hydroxy methylomes in postmortem tissue from the orbitofrontal cortex, identifying more than 1,700 differentially hydroxy methylated CpG sites in cases of opioid use disorder (OUD). These sites were related to genes in Wnt pathways, GPCR signaling, and neurogenesis, while many loci overlapped with risk loci of psychiatric disorders. Some genes that showed epigenetic alterations were also pharmacological targets of opioid treatments. This may prove the direct link of epigenetic changes to potential therapeutic pathways [68].

Perinatal studies further support the clinical significance of methylation changes. One study showed that lower levels of placental methylation of the transported gene ABCB1 were linked with more serious neonatal opioid withdrawal syndrome. Also, it was discovered that higher CYP19A1 methylation is associated with increased opioid metabolites in umbilical cord blood. The study results of these studies suggest that looking into placental epigenetics as a useful approach may serve to identify biomarkers for neonatal risk [69].

Histone Modifications and Chromatin in OUD

The other main epigenetic factor, histone modifications, has also been implicated in OUD occurrence. A study conducted using a case control study (51 OUD cases, fifty-one controls), by Corradin et al. (2022) has discovered a connection of H3K27 acetylation in prefrontal neurons with higher hypo-acetylation in OUD brains, leading to silencing of the genes. This process involved 388 loci and was able to distinguish cases from controls with the use of machine-learning classifiers. Despite each case showing unique changes, owing to the convergence analysis five repeatedly impacted genes, namely ASTN2, KCNMA1, DUSP4, GABBR2, and ENOX1 were identified. These findings highlight shared biological pathways [70].

Complementary to these findings, animal studies provide evidence that long-term exposure to opioids leads to histone modifications, consistent with modifications seen in human OUD brains [71]. Collectively, these findings may give a strong implication for the therapeutic potential of histone-modifying drugs. However, the safety and efficacy in use for OUD will have to be investigated extensively.

MicroRNA Regulation in OUD

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) have also been found as another critical player of epigenetic regulation in Opioid Use Disorder. A study conducted among opioid-dependent individuals found that miR-320a and let-7b-5p were significantly upregulated in heroin users [72]. It has also been found that the let-7 family downregulates OPRM1 translation, which may contribute to opioid tolerance [71].

Grimm et al. (2023), using brain samples, have identified eighty-nine dysregulated miRNAs in the prefrontal cortex of individuals with OUD [73] These included miR-92a-3p, which targeted many genes related to neuroplasticity [72]. Mahnke et al. (2022) have conducted a study in infants, extending the search for miRNA activity. A panel of cord blood miRNAs, such as miR-128-3p and miR-30c-5p was found to predict the severity of neonatal withdrawal syndrome with high accuracy (AUC up to 0.99) [74].

Therapeutic Implications related to epigenetics.

The above findings imply the potential of translational opportunities. Epigenetic modifications such as DNA methylation and miRNA profiles may have potential as biomarkers in predicting the risk, treatment response, and even relapse prediction in OUD [67,74]. Chromatin remodeling underscored the potential of utilizing HDAC inhibitors or mediators aimed at histone-modifying enzymes, although preclinical findings have been consistent [70]. Therapies based on miRNA such as antagomirs against let-7, might eventually enhance pharmacological treatments such as methadone or buprenorphine. In conclusion, epigenetics provides valuable mechanistic understanding and innovative treatment targets. However, translation of these findings into the clinical setting necessitates additional longitudinal, multi-omic, and cross-ancestry studies.

8. GWAS studies in the field

Large, global genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have started to identify the highly polygenic architecture of opioid use disorder (OUD) and provide replicable risk location. When broader meta-analytic datasets were included, cross-ancestry meta-analysis in the Million Veteran Program (MVP) with additional cohorts (such as the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium) revealed genome-wide significant signals at OPRM1 and a novel locus at FURIN, as well as other loci (e.g., RABEPK, FBXW4, NCAM1, KCNN1, TSNARE1) [75]. Utilizing genetic correlations between OUD, alcohol use disorder, and cannabis use disorder,

complementary work employing multi-trait GWAS enhanced the power of discovery and produced approximately nineteen independent loci [76]. When taken as a whole, these efforts put the current total of independent OUD risk loci across single-trait cross-ancestry and multi-trait frameworks at about 14–19, which is an order of magnitude higher than previous research [75,76].

OPRM1 rs1799971 (A118G/Asn40Asp), a long-standing candidate variant, now has strong support because it was recaptured in subsequent meta-analyses [75,76] and achieved genomewide significance in MVP European-ancestry samples with replication in two independent cohorts [77]. The importance of very large samples and strict control for population structure is highlighted by the fact that, significantly, genome-wide signals still concentrate at OPRM1 (and FURIN) across the largest analyses to date, while a number of previously discussed candidates have not achieved genome-wide significance for OUD in single-trait analyses [75,76].

These findings support the idea that OUD is extremely polygenic, meaning that meaningful prediction necessitates combining data from the entire genome, and that individual common variants have negligible effects. In line with an early-stage predictor for a complex, multifactorial trait, polygenic risk scores (PRS) derived from current OUD GWAS explain a modest fraction of variance (2.4–3.8% in case status across European-ancestry test sets) [78,79]. PRS performance should increase as discovery GWAS expands and diversifies; however, OUD PRS currently offers little additional predictive value beyond conventional clinical and environmental factors and are not clinically actionable [78].

Due to allele-frequency and LD differences, PRS accuracy is crucially dependent on ancestry match between the discovery and target samples; scores trained primarily in European cohorts perform worse in other populations, underscoring the urgent need for ancestrally diverse OUD GWAS [80,81]. It is apparent that GWAS consistently demonstrates genetic associations between OUD and other substance use and mental health conditions, indicating a shared polygenic liability that may eventually inform individualized, integrated prevention and treatment strategies [75,82].

9. Limitations related to available studies.

According to Vereczkei et al. (2013)[83], Hou & Li (2009)[84], Levran et al. (2008)[84], Zhang et al. (2008) [85], and Beer et al. (2013) [47], several early candidate-gene reports (including studies of OPRD1 and DRD2) used cohorts of approximately 300–600 cases (with comparable

controls). These studies were underpowered, which helps explain inconsistent replication across cohorts and ancestries, because OUD risk variants exert small effects ($OR \approx 1.05-1.15$). This picture has been clarified by recent large-scale GWAS (PGC/MVP and others), which have confirmed signals such as OPRM1 while finding modest support for a number of previously suggested candidate loci when tested at sufficient scale with strict stratification control [75–77,82]al.

A persistent problem in OUD genetics has been the lack of replication: in the largest GWAS/meta-analyses to date, genome-wide significant signals concentrate at OPRM1 (and FURIN), while previously suggested candidates like OPRD1 and DRD2/ANKK1 failed to achieve genome-wide significance for OUD in single-trait analyses [75–77]. For instance, OPRD1 and DRD2/ANKK1 were not included in the genome-wide significant set of a cross-ancestry Million Veteran Program meta-analysis (N≈425,944), which revealed genome-wide loci at OPRM1, FURIN, RABEPK, FBXW4, NCAM1, KCNN1, and TSNARE1 [75]. OPRM1 and FURIN were also found to be the main single-variant signals in a complementary meta-analysis (total N≈639,063; 20,686 OUD cases) [76].

This calls into question the accuracy of those early reports and emphasizes the necessity of interpreting them with caution [86–89]. In the past, false-positive associations could have been caused by potential confounding factors such as population stratification (differences in ancestry between cases and controls) [90–92]. Future research must address this by emphasizing independent replication, pre-registering analyses when feasible, and rigorously controlling population structure and other covariates [90,93,94]. The significance of large, ancestrally diverse GWAS and replication is further supported by the fact that, despite the large number of candidate-gene associations that have been proposed for OUD, many of them suffer from small sample sizes, lack of replication across cohorts, and potential stratification biases [89,95].

These insights further suggest that while there is significant progress in this field of study, there is a requirement for more robust evidence.

10. Conclusion

Current studies indicate that genetics play a significant role in the development of opioid addiction, but social, psychological, and environmental factors should not be overlooked. Numerous research indicates that the OPRM1, OPRD1, OPRK1, PDYN, OPRL1, and POMC genes of the Opioidergic system, the DRD1, DRD2, DRD3, DRD4, ANKK1, and COMT genes

of the dopaminergic system, and the GABRA2, GABRB3, GABRG2, GAD1, and GAD2 genes of the GABAergic system are associated with the phenotypes of OUD in various ethnic groups. In addition, the genetic mechanism that is influenced by the environment, i.e., epigenetics, is gaining prominence in genetic studies of addiction. Further, the evidence suggests that chronic opioid use is associated with epigenetic changes in the DNA of brain tissues' post-translational histone modifications and DNA methylation processes. The dopamine-mesolimbic motivation-reward-reinforcement cycle remains the most consistent physiological theory in addiction, with the Opioidergic, dopaminergic, and GABAergic systems driving this mechanism. Each class and subclass of addictive substances has its own pharmacokinetics, psychoactive properties, and role within the dopamine-mesolimbic system.

Moreover, these data demonstrate that strong, repeatable OUD genetics findings have mostly come from suitably powered, ancestrally diverse GWAS that consistently implicate OPRM1 (and FURIN), even though many historical candidate-gene signals (e.g., OPRD1, DRD2/ANKK1) lack genome-wide support. In the future, rigorous population-structure control, replication across ancestries, and pre-registered analyses will be essential to converting statistical associations into mechanistic understanding and clinical utility. The development of precisely targeted drugs and psychological techniques to address the worldwide opioid addiction epidemic will be made possible by an understanding of the genetics, epigenetics, and neurobiology of addiction.

There are still a lot of unanswered questions despite these advancements. It is still unclear how many risk variants contribute to OUD, particularly when they interact with environmental exposures like chronic stress or early-life trauma. Further research is needed to understand how environmental triggers alter the epigenome to affect the onset, progression, and risk of relapse of OUD, as well as the interaction between genetic susceptibility and epigenetic modifications. In order to capture dynamic gene environment, epigenome interactions over time and guarantee that findings are globally applicable, future research should prioritize longitudinal, multiomics, and multi-ancestry approaches.

Another unresolved issue is translational applications. Currently, treatment selection is rarely guided by genetic and epigenetic findings. Utilizing genetic profiles or epigenetic markers to forecast treatment response is still a promising but unexplored goal in personalized addiction medicine. Developing epigenetic interventions to reverse maladaptive transcriptional states in the brain's reward circuits or customizing pharmacotherapy (such as methadone,

buprenorphine, or naltrexone) based on genotype are two approaches. Coordinated international research, data exchange, and replication across various populations will be necessary for such therapeutic advancements.

In conclusion, the field needs to move toward incorporating these discoveries into a mechanistic and clinically applicable framework, even though noteworthy progress has been made in identifying the genetic and epigenetic architecture of OUD. To fully utilize genetic and epigenetic research in the fight against opioid addiction, it will be crucial to close the gap between association studies and practical treatment.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author Contributions

ND and PJ wrote the first draft of the manuscript. ND, PJ, CA, AJ, NN, SC, and SS revised and edited the article. All authors participated in the interpretation of the review findings and the preparation of the manuscript. All of the authors approved the final manuscript.

Declaration of interests

☑ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

☐ The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

References

- [1] Bevilacqua L, Goldman D. Genes and Addictions. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2009;85:359. https://doi.org/10.1038/CLPT.2009.6.
- [2] Brady KT, McCauley JL, Back SE. Prescription Opioid Misuse, Abuse, and Treatment in the United States: An Update. Https://DoiOrg/101176/AppiAjp201515020262 2015;173:18–26. https://doi.org/10.1176/APPI.AJP.2015.15020262.
- [3] United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. World Drug Report 2023. 2023.

- [4] World Health Organization. Opioid overdose 2023. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/opioid-overdose (accessed August 29, 2023).
- [5] EU Drug Market: Heroin and other opioids Global context | www.euda.europa.eu n.d. https://www.euda.europa.eu/publications/eu-drug-markets/heroin-and-other-opioids/global-context_en (accessed August 25, 2025).
- [6] OAS. OAS Organization of American States: Democracy for peace, security, and development 2009.
- [7] Saah T. The evolutionary origins and significance of drug addiction. Harm Reduct J 2005;2:1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7517-2-8/METRICS.
- [8] Williams AR. Cannabis as a Gateway Drug for Opioid Use Disorder. J Law Med Ethics 2020;48:268. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073110520935338.
- [9] Kendler KS, Myers J, Prescott CA. Specificity of Genetic and Environmental Risk Factors for Symptoms of Cannabis, Cocaine, Alcohol, Caffeine, and Nicotine Dependence. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2007;64:1313–20. https://doi.org/10.1001/ARCHPSYC.64.11.1313.
- [10] Tsuang MT, Lyons MJ, Meyer JM, Doyle T, Eisen SA, Goldberg J, et al. Cooccurrence of Abuse of Different Drugs in Men: The Role of Drug-Specific and Shared Vulnerabilities. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1998;55:967–72. https://doi.org/10.1001/ARCHPSYC.55.11.967.
- [11] Ducci F, Goldman D. The Genetic Basis of Addictive Disorders. Psychiatr Clin North Am 2012;35:495. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PSC.2012.03.010.
- [12] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Opioid Overdose 2019. https://www.cdc.gov/opioids/basics/terms.html (accessed June 25, 2022).
- [13] Kosten TR, George TP. The Neurobiology of Opioid Dependence: Implications for Treatment. Sci Pract Perspect 2002;1:13. https://doi.org/10.1151/SPP021113.
- [14] Minami M, Hosoi Y, Toya T, Katao Y, Maekawa K, Katsumata S, et al. In situ hybridization study of kappa-opioid receptor mRNA in the rat brain. Neurosci Lett 1993;162:161–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940(93)90585-9.
- [15] Reed B, Butelman ER, Yuferov V, Randesi M, Kreek MJ. Genetics of Opiate Addiction. Curr Psychiatry Rep 2014;16. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11920-014-0504-6.
- [16] Haile CN, Kosten TA, Kosten TR. Pharmacogenetic treatments for drug addiction: Alcohol and opiates. American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse 2008;34:355–81. https://doi.org/10.1080/00952990802122564.
- [17] Schuckit MA. Treatment of Opioid-Use Disorders. New England Journal of Medicine 2016;375:357–68. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMRA1604339/SUPPL_FILE/NEJMRA1604339_DISCLO SURES.PDF.
- [18] Reid A, Lingford-Hughes A. Neuropharmacology of addiction. Psychiatry 2006;5:449–54. https://doi.org/10.1053/J.MPPSY.2006.09.006.
- [19] Fatemi SH, Folsom TD, Thuras PD. Deficits in GABAB receptor system in schizophrenia and mood disorders: a postmortem study. Schizophr Res 2011;128:37. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCHRES.2010.12.025.

- [20] Mark KA, Soghomonian JJ, Yamamoto BK. High-dose methamphetamine acutely activates the striatonigral pathway to increase striatal glutamate and mediate long-term dopamine toxicity. J Neurosci 2004;24:11449–56. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3597-04.2004.
- [21] Kreek MJ, Nielsen DA, LaForge KS. Genes Associated with Addiction: Alcoholism, Opiate, and Cocaine Addiction. Neuromolecular Med 2004;5:85–108. https://doi.org/10.1385/NMM:5:1:085.
- [22] Kendler KS, Karkowski LM, Neale MC, Prescott CA. Illicit psychoactive substance use, heavy use, abuse, and dependence in a US population-based sample of male twins. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2000;57:261–9. https://doi.org/10.1001/ARCHPSYC.57.3.261.
- [23] Tsuang MT, Lyons MJ, Meyer JM, Doyle T, Eisen SA, Goldberg J, et al. Cooccurrence of abuse of different drugs in men: the role of drug-specific and shared vulnerabilities. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1998;55:967–72. https://doi.org/10.1001/ARCHPSYC.55.11.967.
- [24] Berrettini W. A brief review of the genetics and pharmacogenetics of opioid use disorders. Https://DoiOrg/1031887/DCNS2017193/Wberrettini 2022;19:229–36. https://doi.org/10.31887/DCNS.2017.19.3/WBERRETTINI.
- [25] Nudmamud-Thanoi S, Veerasakul S, Thanoi S. Pharmacogenetics of drug dependence: Polymorphisms of genes involved in GABA neurotransmission. Neurosci Lett 2020;726. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEULET.2019.134463.
- [26] Haerian BS, Haerian MS. OPRM1 rs1799971 polymorphism and opioid dependence: evidence from a meta-analysis. Pharmacogenomics 2013;14:813–24. https://doi.org/10.2217/PGS.13.57.
- [27] Bond C, Laforge KS, Tian M, Melia D, Zhang S, Borg L, et al. Single-nucleotide polymorphism in the human mu opioid receptor gene alters beta-endorphin binding and activity: possible implications for opiate addiction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998;95:9608–13. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.95.16.9608.
- [28] Kroslak T, Laforge KS, Gianotti RJ, Ho A, Nielsen DA, Kreek MJ. The single nucleotide polymorphism A118G alters functional properties of the human mu opioid receptor. J Neurochem 2007;103:77–87. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1471-4159.2007.04738.X.
- [29] Zhang Y, Wang D, Johnson AD, Papp AC, Sadée W. Allelic Expression Imbalance of Human mu Opioid Receptor (OPRM1) Caused by Variant A118G*. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2005;280:32618–24. https://doi.org/10.1074/JBC.M504942200.
- [30] Mitchell JM, Margolis EB, Coker AR, Allen DC, Fields HL. Intra-VTA Deltorphin, But Not DPDPE, Induces Place Preference in Ethanol-Drinking Rats: Distinct DOR-1 and DOR-2 Mechanisms Control Ethanol Consumption and Reward. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2014;38:195–203. https://doi.org/10.1111/ACER.12246.
- [31] Olsen RW, Sieghart W. GABAA receptors: Subtypes provide diversity of function and pharmacology. Neuropharmacology 2009;56:141–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROPHARM.2008.07.045.
- [32] Kumar S, Porcu P, Werner DF, Matthews DB, Diaz-Granados JL, Helfand RS, et al. The role of GABA(A) receptors in the acute and chronic effects of ethanol: a decade of

- progress. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 2009;205:529–64. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00213-009-1562-Z.
- [33] Hill SY, Shen S, Zezza N, Hoffman EK, Perlin M, Allan W. A genome wide search for alcoholism susceptibility genes. American Journal of Medical Genetics Neuropsychiatric Genetics 2004;128 B:102–13. https://doi.org/10.1002/AJMG.B.30013.
- [34] Morris H V., Dawson GR, Reynolds DS, Atack JR, Rosahl TW, Stephens DN. Alpha2-containing GABA(A) receptors are involved in mediating stimulant effects of cocaine. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2008;90:9–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PBB.2008.02.010.
- [35] Steffensen SC, Stobbs SH, Colago EEO, Lee RS, Koob GF, Gallegos RA, et al. Contingent and non-contingent effects of heroin on mu-opioid receptor-containing ventral tegmental area GABA neurons. Exp Neurol 2006;202:139–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EXPNEUROL.2006.05.023.
- [36] Enoch MA, Hodgkinson CA, Yuan Q, Shen PH, Goldman D, Roy A. The Influence of GABRA2, Childhood Trauma and their Interaction on Alcohol, Heroin and Cocaine Dependence. Biol Psychiatry 2010;67:20. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOPSYCH.2009.08.019.
- [37] Auton A, Abecasis GR, Altshuler DM, Durbin RM, Bentley DR, Chakravarti A, et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature 2015;526:68. https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE15393.
- [38] Hou QF, Li S Bin. Potential association of DRD2 and DAT1 genetic variation with heroin dependence. Neurosci Lett 2009;464:127–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEULET.2009.08.004.
- [39] Kumar D, Chakraborty J, Das S. Epistatic effects between variants of kappa-opioid receptor gene and A118G of mu-opioid receptor gene increase susceptibility to addiction in Indian population. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2012;36:225–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2011.10.018.
- [40] Bond C, LaForge KS, Tian M, Melia D, Zhang S, Borg L, et al. Single-nucleotide polymorphism in the human mu opioid receptor gene alters β-endorphin binding and activity: Possible implications for opiate addiction. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 1998;95:9608–13. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.16.9608.
- [41] Nagaya D, Ramanathan S, Ravichandran M, Navaratnam V. A118G mu opioid receptor polymorphism among drug addicts in Malaysia. J Integr Neurosci 2012;11:117–22. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219635212500082.
- [42] Clarke T-K, Crist RC, Kampman KM, Dackis CA, Pettinati HM, O'Brien CP, et al. Low frequency genetic variants in the μ-opioid receptor (OPRM1) affect risk for addiction to heroin and cocaine. Neurosci Lett 2013;542:71–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2013.02.018.
- [43] Bond C, LaForge KS, Tian M, Melia D, Zhang S, Borg L, et al. Single-nucleotide polymorphism in the human mu opioid receptor gene alters β-endorphin binding and activity: Possible implications for opiate addiction. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 1998;95:9608–13. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.16.9608.
- [44] Crystal HA, Hamon S, Randesi M, Cook J, Anastos K, Lazar J, et al. A C17T polymorphism in the mu opiate receptor is associated with quantitative measures of

- drug use in African American women. Addiction Biology 2012;17:181–91. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1369-1600.2010.00265.x.
- [45] Reed B, Butelman ER, Yuferov V, Randesi M, Kreek MJ. Genetics of Opiate Addiction. Curr Psychiatry Rep 2014;16:504. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-014-0504-6.
- [46] Levran O, Londono D, O'Hara K, Nielsen DA, Peles E, Rotrosen J, et al. Genetic susceptibility to heroin addiction: a candidate gene association study. Genes Brain Behav 2008;7:720–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-183X.2008.00410.x.
- [47] Beer B, Erb R, Pavlic M, Ulmer H, Giacomuzzi S, Riemer Y, et al. Association of Polymorphisms in Pharmacogenetic Candidate Genes (OPRD1, GAL, ABCB1, OPRM1) with Opioid Dependence in European Population: A Case-Control Study. PLoS One 2013;8:e75359. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0075359.
- [48] National Institute on Drug Abuse. Genetics and Epigenetics of Addiction DrugFacts | National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). 2019 n.d. https://nida.nih.gov/publications/drugfacts/genetics-epigenetics-addiction (accessed June 26, 2022).
- [49] Vanyukov MM, Tarter RE. Genetics and Epigenetics of Substance Use 2019:57–73. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-00627-3_4.
- [50] Cadet JL. Epigenetics of Stress, Addiction, and Resilience: Therapeutic Implications. Mol Neurobiol 2016;53:545–60. https://doi.org/10.1007/S12035-014-9040-Y.
- [51] Robison AJ, Nestler EJ. Transcriptional and epigenetic mechanisms of addiction. Nat Rev Neurosci 2011;12:623–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/NRN3111.
- [52] Cadet JL, Jayanthi S. Epigenetics of addiction. Neurochem Int 2021;147. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUINT.2021.105069.
- [53] Robison AJ, Nestler EJ. Transcriptional and epigenetic mechanisms of addiction. Nat Rev Neurosci 2011;12:623–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/NRN3111.
- [54] Maze I, Covingtoni HE, Dietz DM, Laplant Q, Renthal W, Russo SJ, et al. Essential role of the histone methyltransferase G9a in cocaine-induced plasticity. Science 2010;327:213–6. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.1179438.
- [55] Covington HE, Maze I, Sun HS, Bomze HM, DeMaio KD, Wu EY, et al. A role for repressive histone methylation in cocaine-induced vulnerability to stress. Neuron 2011;71:656. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEURON.2011.06.007.
- [56] Demontis D, Rajagopal VM, Thorgeirsson TE, Als TD, Grove J, Leppälä K, et al. Genome-wide association study implicates CHRNA2 in cannabis use disorder. Nat Neurosci 2019;22:1066–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41593-019-0416-1.
- [57] Manzardo AM, Henkhaus RS, Butler MG. Global DNA promoter methylation in frontal cortex of alcoholics and controls. Gene 2012;498:5–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GENE.2012.01.096.
- [58] Jayanthi S, Torres O V., Ladenheim B, Cadet JL. A Single Prior Injection of Methamphetamine Enhances Methamphetamine Self-Administration (SA) and Blocks SA-Induced Changes in DNA Methylation and mRNA Expression of Potassium

- Channels in the Rat Nucleus Accumbens. Mol Neurobiol 2020;57:1459–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/S12035-019-01830-3.
- [59] Host L, Dietrich JB, Carouge D, Aunis D, Zwiller J. Cocaine self-administration alters the expression of chromatin-remodelling proteins; Modulation by histone deacetylase inhibition. Journal of Psychopharmacology 2011;25:222–9. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881109348173.
- [60] Hwang CK, Song KY, Kim CS, Choi HS, Guo X-H, Law P-Y, et al. Evidence of endogenous mu opioid receptor regulation by epigenetic control of the promoters. Mol Cell Biol 2007;27:4720–36. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00073-07.
- [61] Byrnes JJ, Johnson NL, Schenk ME, Byrnes EM. Cannabinoid exposure in adolescent female rats induces transgenerational effects on morphine conditioned place preference in male offspring. Journal of Psychopharmacology 2012;26:1348–54. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881112443745.
- [62] Sarkaki A, Assaei R, Motamedi F, Badavi M, Pajouhi N. Effect of parental morphine addiction on hippocampal long-term potentiation in rats offspring. Behavioural Brain Research 2008;186:72–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BBR.2007.07.041.
- [63] Cicero T, Nock B, O'Connor L, ... MA-... of P and, 1995 undefined. Adverse effects of paternal opiate exposure on offspring development and sensitivity to morphine-induced analgesia. EvolocusCom n.d.
- [64] Vassoler FM, Byrnes EM, Pierce RC. The impact of exposure to addictive drugs on future generations: Physiological and behavioral effects. Neuropharmacology 2014;76 Pt B:269–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROPHARM.2013.06.016.
- [65] Nielsen DA, Hamon S, Yuferov V, Jackson C, Ho A, Ott J, et al. Ethnic diversity of DNA methylation in the OPRM1 promoter region in lymphocytes of heroin addicts. Hum Genet 2010;127:639–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00439-010-0807-6.
- [66] Reid KZ, Lemezis BM, Hou T-C, Chen R. Epigenetic Modulation of Opioid Receptors by Drugs of Abuse. Int J Mol Sci 2022;23:11804. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms231911804.
- [67] Agulló L, Escorial M, Orutño S, Muriel J, Sandoval J, Margarit C, et al. Epigenetic and sex differences in opioid use disorder in chronic pain: A real-world study linked with OPRM1 DNA methylation. Addiction Biology 2024;29. https://doi.org/10.1111/adb.13422.
- [68] Rompala G, Nagamatsu ST, Martínez-Magaña JJ, Nuñez-Ríos DL, Wang J, Girgenti MJ, et al. Profiling neuronal methylome and hydroxymethylome of opioid use disorder in the human orbitofrontal cortex. Nat Commun 2023;14:4544. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-40285-y.
- [69] Townsel C, Truax B, Quaid M, Covault J, Dolinoy DC, Goodrich JM. Increased risk of severe neonatal opioid withdrawal syndrome in pregnancies with low placental ABCB1 DNA methylation. Journal of Perinatology 2025;45:458–64. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41372-024-02060-9.
- [70] Corradin O, Sallari R, Hoang AT, Kassim BS, Ben Hutta G, Cuoto L, et al. Convergence of case-specific epigenetic alterations identify a confluence of genetic vulnerabilities tied to opioid overdose. Mol Psychiatry 2022;27:2158–70. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-022-01477-y.

- [71] Reid KZ, Lemezis BM, Hou T-C, Chen R. Epigenetic Modulation of Opioid Receptors by Drugs of Abuse. Int J Mol Sci 2022;23:11804. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms231911804.
- [72] Liu H, Xu W, Feng J, Ma H, Zhang J, Xie X, et al. Increased Expression of Plasma miRNA-320a and let-7b-5p in Heroin-Dependent Patients and Its Clinical Significance. Front Psychiatry 2021;12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.679206.
- [73] Grimm SL, Mendez EF, Stertz L, Meyer TD, Fries GR, Gandhi T, et al. MicroRNA—mRNA networks are dysregulated in opioid use disorder postmortem brain: Further evidence for opioid-induced neurovascular alterations. Front Psychiatry 2023;13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.1025346.
- [74] Mahnke AH, Roberts MH, Leeman L, Ma X, Bakhireva LN, Miranda RC. Prenatal opioid-exposed infant extracellular miRNA signature obtained at birth predicts severity of neonatal opioid withdrawal syndrome. Sci Rep 2022;12:5941. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-09793-7.
- [75] Kember RL, Vickers-Smith R, Xu H, Toikumo S, Niarchou M, Zhou H, et al. Cross-ancestry meta-analysis of opioid use disorder uncovers novel loci with predominant effects in brain regions associated with addiction. Nat Neurosci 2022;25:1279–87. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-022-01160-z.
- [76] Deak JD, Zhou H, Galimberti M, Levey DF, Wendt FR, Sanchez-Roige S, et al. Genome-wide association study in individuals of European and African ancestry and multi-trait analysis of opioid use disorder identifies 19 independent genome-wide significant risk loci. Mol Psychiatry 2022;27:3970–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-022-01709-1.
- [77] Zhou H, Rentsch CT, Cheng Z, Kember RL, Nunez YZ, Sherva RM, et al. Association of OPRM1 Functional Coding Variant With Opioid Use Disorder. JAMA Psychiatry 2020;77:1072. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.1206.
- [78] Kember RL, Davis CN, Feuer KL, Kranzler HR. Considerations for the application of polygenic scores to clinical care of individuals with substance use disorders. Journal of Clinical Investigation 2024;134. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI172882.
- [79] Na PJ, Deak JD, Kranzler HR, Pietrzak RH, Gelernter J. Genetic and non-genetic predictors of risk for opioid dependence. Psychol Med 2024;54:1779–86. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291723003732.
- [80] Martin AR, Kanai M, Kamatani Y, Okada Y, Neale BM, Daly MJ. Clinical use of current polygenic risk scores may exacerbate health disparities. Nat Genet 2019;51:584–91. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-0379-x.
- [81] Ding Y, Hou K, Xu Z, Pimplaskar A, Petter E, Boulier K, et al. Polygenic scoring accuracy varies across the genetic ancestry continuum. Nature 2023;618:774–81. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06079-4.
- [82] Holen B, Shadrin AA, Icick R, Filiz TT, Hindley G, Rødevand L, et al. Genome-wide analyses reveal novel opioid use disorder loci and genetic overlap with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and major depression. Addiction Biology 2023;28. https://doi.org/10.1111/adb.13282.
- [83] Vereczkei A, Demetrovics Z, Szekely A, Sarkozy P, Antal P, Szilagyi A, et al. Multivariate Analysis of Dopaminergic Gene Variants as Risk Factors of Heroin

- Dependence. PLoS One 2013;8:e66592. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0066592.
- [84] Levran O, Londono D, O'Hara K, Nielsen DA, Peles E, Rotrosen J, et al. Genetic susceptibility to heroin addiction: a candidate gene association study. Genes Brain Behav 2008;7:720–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1601-183X.2008.00410.X.
- [85] Zhang H, Kranzler HR, Yang B-Z, Luo X, Gelernter J. The OPRD1 and OPRK1 loci in alcohol or drug dependence: OPRD1 variation modulates substance dependence risk. Mol Psychiatry 2008;13:531–43. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.mp.4002035.
- [86] Ioannidis JPA. Why Most Published Research Findings Are False. PLoS Med 2005;2:e124. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0020124.
- [87] Button KS, Ioannidis JPA, Mokrysz C, Nosek BA, Flint J, Robinson ESJ, et al. Power failure: why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience. Nat Rev Neurosci 2013;14:365–76. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3475.
- [88] Border R, Johnson EC, Evans LM, Smolen A, Berley N, Sullivan PF, et al. No Support for Historical Candidate Gene or Candidate Gene-by-Interaction Hypotheses for Major Depression Across Multiple Large Samples. American Journal of Psychiatry 2019;176:376–87. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.18070881.
- [89] Crist RC, Reiner BC, Berrettini WH. A review of opioid addiction genetics. Curr Opin Psychol 2019;27:31–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.07.014.
- [90] Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 2006;38:904–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1847.
- [91] Devlin B, Roeder K. Genomic Control for Association Studies. Biometrics 1999;55:997–1004. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0006-341X.1999.00997.x.
- [92] Li M, Reilly MP, Rader DJ, Wang L-S. Correcting population stratification in genetic association studies using a phylogenetic approach. Bioinformatics 2010;26:798–806. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq025.
- [93] Chanock SJ, Manolio T, Boehnke M, Boerwinkle E, Hunter DJ, Thomas G, et al. Replicating genotype–phenotype associations. Nature 2007;447:655–60. https://doi.org/10.1038/447655a.
- [94] Kraft P, Zeggini E, Ioannidis JPA. Replication in Genome-Wide Association Studies. Statistical Science 2009;24. https://doi.org/10.1214/09-STS290.
- [95] Levran O, Randesi M, Adelson M, Kreek MJ. OPRD1 SNPs associated with opioid addiction are cis-eQTLs for the phosphatase and actin regulator 4 gene, PHACTR4, a mediator of cytoskeletal dynamics. Transl Psychiatry 2021;11:316. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-021-01439-y.