

# ON SOME CLASSES OF WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS

by JAMES T. CAMPBELL and JAMES E. JAMISON

(Received 4 October, 1988)

**Introduction.** Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  denote a complete  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  a measurable ( $T^{-1}A \in \Sigma$  for each  $A \in \Sigma$ ) point transformation from  $X$  into itself with the property that the measure  $\mu \circ T^{-1}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . Given any measurable, complex-valued function  $w(x)$  on  $X$ , and a function  $f$  in  $L^2(\mu)$ , define  $W_T f(x)$  via the equation

$$W_T f(x) = w(x)f(Tx). \quad (1)$$

Known regularity conditions (given below) are both necessary and sufficient for the linear transformation  $f \rightarrow W_T f$  to define a bounded operator on  $L^2(\mu)$ ; such operators are called *weighted composition operators*. In case  $w(x) = 1$  the operator  $C_T$  defined via composition with  $T$  is simply a *composition operator*. In this paper we characterize the normal, quasi-normal and hermitian weighted composition operators in terms of  $w$ ,  $T$ , and  $d\mu \circ T^{-1}/d\mu$ . Some known results on seminormal composition operators and weighted composition operators are obtained as corollaries. We give an example of quasinormal  $W_T$  with non-constant weight which is not normal. Campbell and Dibrell [2] give sufficient conditions for a composition operator  $C_T$  to be *power hyponormal*; that is, for  $(C_T)^n$  to be hyponormal for all natural numbers  $n$ . We give a sufficient condition for  $W_T$  to be power hyponormal, generalizing in a natural way the corresponding result for weighted shifts on the integers.

**Preliminaries.** To avoid semantic complexities we take  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  as the relative completion of the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{T^{-1}A: A \in \Sigma\}$ . If  $f \in L^p$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) or  $f$  is non-negative and measurable, there exists a unique (a.e.)  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  measurable function  $F$  whose integral over  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  measurable sets agrees with the integral of  $f$  over the same sets, whenever the integral of  $f$  over such a set converges. Following Lambert ([4]) we refer to  $F$  as the conditional expectation of  $f$  with respect to  $T^{-1}\Sigma$ , and write  $F = E(f | T^{-1}\Sigma)$ , or simply  $E(f)$ . Let  $h = d\mu \circ T^{-1}/d\mu$ ; we always assume that  $h$  is finite-valued a.e. An arbitrary function  $f$  is  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  measurable if and only if there is some  $\Sigma$ -measurable function  $g$  so that  $g \circ T = f$  a.e. We would like to point out, however, that this function  $g$  need not be unique (a.e.) even if  $T$  is surjective. Indeed, if  $H$  denotes the support of  $h$ , and  $k$  is any measurable function, then  $k \circ T = 0$  a.e. if and only if  $k$  is supported on  $X - H$ . Hence the equation  $g \circ T = f$  a.e. has a unique a.e. solution  $g$  for each  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  measurable function  $f$  if and only if  $h > 0$  a.e. on  $X$ . In particular, *property (E4) of [4, p. 396] is false*. In fact, we have the following example.

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  equipped with Lebesgue measure  $m$  on the Lebesgue measurable sets, and let  $C$  denote the Cantor set in  $X$ . If  $Q$  is any measurable transformation mapping  $C$  bijectively onto  $[1/2, 1]$  and  $S$  is any measurable transformation mapping the complement of  $C$  onto  $[0, 1/2)$  so that  $m \circ S^{-1}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $m$ , then define  $T: X \rightarrow X$  by  $Tx = Qx$ ,  $x \in C$ , and  $Tx = Sx$ ,  $x \notin C$ . This  $T$  is measurable, surjective, satisfies  $m \circ T^{-1} \ll m$ , and  $h = 0$  on  $[1/2, 1]$ .

Glasgow Math. J. 32 (1990) 87-94.

Such examples are important both here and in [4] because of possible interplay between the weight and the Radon–Nikodym derivative in the weighted case. However we note that if we require a solution  $g$  of  $g \circ T = f$  to be supported in  $H$ , then  $g$  is (a.e.) uniquely determined. For  $T^{-1}\Sigma$ -measurable functions  $f$ , we define  $f \circ T^{-1}$  as the unique (a.e.)  $\Sigma$ -measurable function  $g$ , supported in  $H$ , which satisfies  $g \circ T = f$  a.e. (One should not interpret this as implying the invertibility of  $T$  as a transformation.) With this convention the following change of variables formula holds for measurable functions  $p(x)$ :

$$\int_X p \, d\mu = \int_X hE(p) \circ T^{-1} \, d\mu,$$

in the sense that if one of the integrals exists then so does the other, and they have the same value.

The transformation  $W_T$  is a bounded operator on  $L^2$  if and only if  $\|hE(w^2) \circ T^{-1}\|_\infty$  is finite, and in this case the operator norm of  $W_T$  is related to this function norm by  $\|W_T\|^2 = \|hE(w^2) \circ T^{-1}\|_\infty$ . In case  $w(x) = 1$ ,  $C_T$  induces a bounded operator precisely when  $h \in L^\infty$ , and then  $\|C_T\| = \|h\|_\infty^{1/2}$ . When this occurs, the closure of the range of  $C_T$  consists of those  $L^2$  functions which are  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  measurable, and  $E: L^2 \rightarrow L^2$  is the projection whose range is the closure of the range of  $C_T$ . One of the reasons weighted composition operators are interesting is that many natural and apparently innocuous measurable transformations  $T$  do not induce bounded composition operators (for example  $x \rightarrow x^2$  on  $[0, 1]$ ), but it is usually easy to weight them to make the resultant weighted operator bounded. If  $f$  and  $g$  are arbitrary  $T^{-1}\Sigma$ -measurable functions then  $(fg) \circ T^{-1} = (f \circ T^{-1})(g \circ T^{-1})$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions for which  $E(f)$  and  $E(fg \circ T)$  are defined we have  $E(fg \circ T) = g \circ TE(f)$ . If  $B \in \Sigma$ , we define  $\Sigma_B$  as  $\{C \cap B : C \in \Sigma\}$ , and  $L^2(B)$  as those  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions supported on  $B$  whose modulus squared has a finite integral over  $B$ .

**Results.**

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  be the support of  $w(x)h(Tx)$ . Then  $W_T$  is normal if and only if*

- (i)  $A = \text{support of } w$ ,
- (ii)  $T^{-1}A = A$  and  $T^{-1}\Sigma_A \cap \Sigma_A = \Sigma_A$ , and
- (iii)  $w$  is  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  measurable and  $h \circ T |w|^2 = h |w|^2 \circ T^{-1}$  a.e.

The statement that  $w$  is  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  measurable in part (iii) actually follows from (i) and (ii), but we include it for emphasis.

For ease during calculation, we assume that  $w(x) \geq 0$ . The general complex case is easily deduced from our calculations. The proof in the case when  $A$  is all of  $X$  is enlightening so we will present it first. It follows from the following lemma.

**LEMMA 1.**  *$W_T$  has dense range if and only if  $\mu\{w = 0\} = 0$  and  $T^{-1}\Sigma = \Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $W_T$  has dense range. If  $B$  is a measurable set of finite measure on which  $w$  is zero, then  $L^2(B)$  is orthogonal to the range of  $W_T$  and hence  $B$  must have zero measure. Since  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -finite we have  $\mu\{w = 0\} = 0$ . Let  $B \in \Sigma$  have finite measure.

Since  $W_T$  has dense range we may find a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of  $L^2$  functions so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_T f_n = \chi_B$ , both in  $L^2$  and a.e. Since  $\mu\{w = 0\} = 0$ , we have  $f_n \circ T \rightarrow w^{-1}\chi_B$  a.e. Thus on any set  $B$  of finite measure  $w^{-1}\chi_B$  is  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  measurable; hence  $w^{-1}$  is  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  measurable and therefore so is  $w$ . Since  $\chi_B = \lim W_T f_n$  a.e.,  $B$  is also  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  measurable so that  $T^{-1}\Sigma = \Sigma$ .

Suppose that  $\mu\{w = 0\} = 0$  and  $T^{-1}\Sigma = \Sigma$ . Let  $\sigma_m = \{w > 1/m\} \in \Sigma$ . Let  $B \in \Sigma$  have finite measure, set  $B_m = B \cap \sigma_m$  and  $F_m = w^{-1}\chi_{B_m}$ . We claim there exist sequences  $\{f_{m,n}\}$  in  $L^2$  so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{m,n} \circ T = F_m$  in  $L^2$ . (This would be immediate if  $C_T$  were bounded.)

Define  $p_m(x) = [(\chi_{B_m}) \circ T^{-1}](x)$ , and let  $P_m$  denote the support of  $p_m$ , so that (i)  $P_m \subseteq H$  (the support of  $h$ ) and (ii)  $T^{-1}P_m = B_m$ . We have  $0 = \mu\{\chi_{B_m} w < 1/m\} = \mu T^{-1}\{p_m < 1/m\}$ ; since  $h > 0$  on  $P_m$ , this implies  $\mu\{p_m < 1/m\} = 0$ . Let  $P_{m,n}$  be an increasing sequence of measurable sets, each of finite measure, whose union is  $P_m$ . Then  $f_{m,n} = \chi_{P_{m,n}}/p_m$  is a sequence of  $L^2$ -functions which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{m,n} \circ T - F_m\|_2^2 &= \int_x \left| \frac{1}{w} \right|^2 (\chi_{P_m} - \chi_{P_{m,n}})^2 \circ T d\mu \\ &\leq m^2 \mu(T^{-1}P_m - T^{-1}P_{m,n}), \end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $W_T f_{m,n} \rightarrow \chi_{B_m}$  in  $L^2$ , so that  $\chi_{B_m}$  and hence  $\chi_B$  are in the closure of the range of  $W_T$  and  $W_T$  has dense range.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Suppose that  $W_T$  has dense range. Then  $W_T$  is normal if and only if  $h \circ Tw^2 = hw^2 \circ T^{-1}$  a.e.*

*Proof.* By calculating  $W_T W_T^* f$  and  $W_T W_T f$  we see that  $W_T$  is normal if and only if  $wh \circ TE(wf) = hE(w^2) \circ T^{-1}f$  a.e., for each  $f \in L^2$ . Since  $W_T$  has dense range, Lemma 1 implies that  $E$  is the identity map on measurable, conditionable functions, and the result follows by allowing  $f$  to vary through the characteristic functions of sets of finite measure.

The idea behind the proof of Theorem 1 is to show that the action of  $W_T$  may be localized to  $L^2(A)$ , and then apply Lemma 1 and Corollary 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Suppose first that  $W_T$  is normal. For each  $f \in L^2$  write  $f = \chi_A f + \chi_{X-A} f$  so that

$$W_T^* W_T f = hE(w^2) \circ T^{-1}[\chi_A f + \chi_{X-A} f], \tag{2}$$

$$W_T W_T^* f = wh \circ T[E(w\chi_A f) + E(w\chi_{X-A} f)]. \tag{3}$$

The right-hand side of (3) is 0 a.e. on  $X - A$  and by normality so is the r.h.s. of (2). Because we may choose  $f \in L^2$  which is positive on  $X - A$  we must have  $hE(w^2) \circ T^{-1} = 0$  a.e. on  $X - A$ , i.e.  $\text{supp } hE(w^2) \circ T^{-1} \subseteq A$ . On the other hand it is elementary to calculate that  $\text{supp } w \subseteq \text{supp } hE(w^2) \circ T^{-1}$ . Since  $A \subseteq \text{supp } w$  all these inclusions must be equalities. This proves (i).

Now we may rewrite (2) and (3) in their equivalent forms

$$W_T^*W_Tf = hE(w^2) \circ T^{-1}[\chi_A f], \tag{2}'$$

$$W_TW_T^*f = wh \circ T[E(w\chi_A f)]. \tag{3}'$$

Observe that  $L^2(A)$  is reducing for  $W_T$ ; we claim  $W_T$  has dense range in  $L^2(A)$ . This may be seen by setting  $J(x) = [hE(w^2) \circ T^{-1}](x)$  and  $A_n = \{J > 1/n\}$ . Then  $A = \bigcap_1^\infty A_n$ , for each  $f \in L^2(A)$ ,  $\chi_{A_n}f \rightarrow f$  in  $L^2$ , and  $g_n = \chi_{A_n}f/J$  is in  $L^2(A)$  for every  $n$ . But  $W_T^*W_Tg_n = W_TW_T^*g_n = \chi_{A_n}f$ , so that  $f$  is in the closure of the range of  $W_T$ , and  $W_T$  has dense range in  $L^2(A)$ ; by applying Lemma 1 we see that  $T^{-1}\Sigma = \Sigma_A$ , so that (ii) holds. It follows that for each  $\Sigma$ -measurable function  $g$  supported on  $A$  for which  $E(g)$  may be defined we have  $E(g) = g$ ; and in particular  $w$  is  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  measurable. Thus for each  $f \in L^2$

$$W_T^*W_Tf = hw^2 \circ T^{-1}f, \tag{2}''$$

$$W_TW_T^*f = w^2h \circ Tf. \tag{3}''$$

(iii) follows by letting  $f$  vary through characteristic functions of measurable subsets of finite measure. Now suppose that (i) (ii) and (iii) hold. (ii) implies that if a measurable, conditionable function  $f$  is supported in either  $X - A$  or  $A$  then so is  $E(f)$ ; and if  $f$  is supported in  $A$ ,  $E(f) = f$ . Thus for each  $f \in L^2$ ,

$$W_T^*W_Tf = hw^2 \circ T^{-1}f = hw^2 \circ T^{-1}\chi_A f,$$

and

$$W_TW_T^*f = wh \circ TE(w\chi_A f) + E(w\chi_{X-A}f) = w^2h \circ T\chi_A f.$$

Normality follows immediately from (iii).

*Special Cases:* In case  $w = 1$  we are considering a composition operator  $C_T$  and Theorem 1 specializes to the following result.

**COROLLARY 2.**  $C_T$  is normal if and only if

- (i)  $T^{-1}\Sigma = \Sigma$ , and
- (ii)  $h = h \circ T > 0$  a.e.

This is the content of Lemma 2 of Whitley [6], although he does not include the positivity of  $h$  in his statement (it follows from the proof).

**COROLLARY 3 (BASTIAN [1]).** Suppose that  $T$  is measure preserving.

- (a) If  $T$  is ergodic and non-invertible, then  $W_T$  is not normal for any (non-zero) choice of  $w$ .
- (b) If  $\mu(X) < \infty$  and  $T$  is invertible, then  $W_T$  is hyponormal if and only if  $W_T$  is normal.

*Proof.* (a) By Theorem 1,  $W_T$  is normal iff  $w$  is  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  measurable and (apply  $C_T$  to both sides of the equation appearing in condition (iii))  $|w|^2$  is invariant under  $C_T$ . Since  $T$  is ergodic,  $|w|^2$  must be constant. Since  $w$  is nonzero,  $A$  must be all of  $X$ . But  $T$  is non-invertible and measure-preserving; hence  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  cannot be all of  $\Sigma$ , so that condition (ii) of Theorem 1 cannot hold.

(b) From Corollary 2 of (Lambert [4]) we see that when  $T$  is invertible, hyponormality is equivalent to  $|w|^2 \leq |w|^2 \circ T^{-1}$  a.e. Because  $C_T$  is order preserving and invertible,

this is equivalent to  $|w|^2 \circ T \leq |w|^2$ . By the boundedness of  $W_T$  we have that  $|w|^2$  (and hence  $|w|^2 \circ T$ ) is in  $L^\infty$ . Hence  $|w|^2 - |w|^2 \circ T$  is a bounded non-negative function. But  $T$  is measure preserving and therefore  $\int_X |w|^2 - |w|^2 \circ T \, d\mu = 0$ , so that  $|w|^2 = |w|^2 \circ T$  a.e.

REMARKS. Part (a) of Corollary 3 is stated in [1] only in the case of finite measure. Part (b) is not true in the infinite measure case (consider weighted shifts on  $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

COROLLARY 4.  $W_T$  is hermitian if and only if

- (a)  $T$  is periodic on  $A$  with period at most 2, and
- (b)  $h\bar{w} \circ T = w$  a.e.

Proof. We may suppose that  $W_T$  is normal; since  $L^2(X - A) = \ker W_T$  and  $L^2(A)$  is reducing for  $W_T$  we may assume that  $A = X$ .

Suppose that  $W_T$  is hermitian. For each measurable set  $B$  of finite measure we have

$$W_T(\chi_B) = w\chi_{T^{-1}B} = W_T^*(\chi_B) = h\bar{w} \circ T^{-1}\chi_B \circ T^{-1}.$$

We claim that  $g \rightarrow g \circ T^{-1}$  is the composition operator  $g \rightarrow g \circ T$ , i.e., that  $T^2 = I$ . Because  $w$  is non-zero a.e. we may divide both sides of the equality above by  $w$  and obtain

$$\chi_{T^{-1}B} = U\chi_B \circ T^{-1} \text{ a.e.,}$$

where  $U(x) = (h\bar{w} \circ T^{-1}/w)(x)$ . Composing with  $T$  we have

$$\chi_B \circ T^2 = (U \circ T)\chi_B \text{ a.e.}$$

$U(x)$  is positive a.e. and hence so is  $U \circ T$ . Since  $B$  is arbitrary we must have  $T^2 = I$  and  $U \circ T = 1$  a.e., so that  $U = 1$  a.e. This shows that (a) and (b) must hold. The converse is immediate.

EXAMPLE. (Deborah Hart) It is not true that  $T^{-1}\Sigma = \Sigma$  implies that  $T$  is invertible as a transformation or that  $g \rightarrow g \circ T^{-1}$  is a composition operator. Consider  $X = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\Sigma = \{X, \emptyset\}$  and  $T(0) = T(1) = 0$ .  $T$  is measurable and  $T^{-1}\Sigma = \Sigma$  but  $T$  does not take the measurable set  $X$  to a measurable set.

EXAMPLE. Suppose  $T$  has period 2; then  $1 = d\mu \circ T^{-2}/d\mu = h \circ Th$ . If  $w = \sqrt{h} = \bar{w}$  then a direct calculation shows that this choice of a weight always gives a hermitian  $W_T$ .

EXAMPLE.  $w$  need not be real for  $W_T$  to be hermitian. Let  $X = [0, 1)$  equipped with Lebesgue measure on the Borel sets,  $T : x \rightarrow (1 - x)$ , and  $w(x) = (2x - 1)i$ .

**Quasinormality.** The characterization of quasinormality may be approached in many ways; the following we found most interesting. Via change of variables we have

$$\|W_T f\|^2 = \int w^2 |f|^2 \circ T^2 \, d\mu = \int hE(w^2) \circ T^{-1} |f|^2 \, d\mu. \tag{4}$$

Let  $B = \text{support of } J$ , where  $J$  is the function  $hE(w^2) \circ T^{-1}$ . Then  $\ker W_T = L^2(X - B) = L^2(B)^\perp$ . For each  $f$  in  $l^2$  write

$$f = \chi_B f + \chi_{X-B} f, \tag{5}$$

so that  $W_T f = W_T \chi_B f$ . We may define a partial isometry  $V$  with initial space  $(\ker W_T)^\perp = L^2(B)$  and final space  $\text{Ran } W_T$  by

$$V_g = w \left( \frac{\chi_B g}{\sqrt{J}} \right) \circ T, \quad g \in L^2. \tag{6}$$

If we write multiplication by  $\phi$  as  $M_\phi$ , then the (unique, canonical) polar form for  $W_T$  is given by

$$W_T = V(M_{\sqrt{J}}). \tag{7}$$

Since an operator is quasinormal if and only if the factors in its canonical polar form commute, direct calculation yields the following result.

**THEOREM 2.**  *$W_T$  is quasinormal if and only if  $hE(w^2) \circ T^{-1} = h \circ TE(w^2)$ .*

It is known that quasinormality is strictly weaker than normality in the non-weighted case ([6]). An example illustrating this phenomenon in the weighted case, with a non-constant weight, is given by following the composition  $x \rightarrow 2x \pmod{1}$  on  $L^2(0, 1)$  (Lebesgue measure) with the weight  $w = \chi_{(0, 1/2)}$ .

**Power Hyponormality.** We now state and prove the sufficiency of a condition for the power hyponormality of  $W_T$ . This theorem says that the general  $\sigma$ -finite case generalizes the case of a weighted shift on the integers in the natural way.

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose that  $W_T$  is hyponormal and  $T^{-1}\Sigma = \Sigma$ . Then  $W_T$  is power hyponormal.*

*Proof.* In order to prove Theorem 3 we need the following lemma and its corollary.

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $f, g \in L^\infty$  satisfy  $f \circ T \geq g \circ T$  a.e., and  $h = d\mu \circ T^{-1}/d\mu$ , then  $f \geq g$  a.e. on the support of  $h$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 2.* Whenever  $B \subseteq \text{supp } h$  has finite measure we have

$$0 \leq \int_{T^{-1}B} f \circ T - g \circ T \, d\mu = \int_B (f - g)h \, d\mu,$$

and the result follows since  $h > 0$  a.e. on every such  $B$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Suppose that  $T^{-1}\Sigma = \Sigma$ , and  $J = hw^2 \circ T^{-1}$ . Then  $J \geq J \circ T$  a.e. implies that  $J \circ T^{-1} \geq J$  a.e.*

*Proof.* The desired inequality is true a.e. on the support of  $h$  by Lemma 2. Off the support of  $h$  the right-hand side is 0 a.e. Since the left-hand side is  $\geq 0$  a.e., the desired inequality holds a.e.

The hyponormality of  $W_T$ , coupled with the hypothesis that  $T^{-1}\Sigma = \Sigma$  implies that  $h \circ Tw^2 \leq hw^2 \circ T^{-1}$  a.e. (Lambert [4]). We will use this fact to complete the proof of Theorem 3 by inductively establishing the following inequality: for each  $f \in L^2$  we have

$$\|(W_T^*)^n f\|_2^2 \leq \int h^n \circ Tw^{2n} |f|^2 \, d\mu \leq \|(W_T)^n f\|_2^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{8}$$

Fix  $f$ . For  $n = 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_{Tf}^*\|_2^2 &= \int h^2 w^2 \circ T^{-1} |f|^2 \circ T^{-1} d\mu \\ &= \int h \circ Tw^2 |f|^2 d\mu \\ &\leq \int hw^2 \circ T^{-1} |f|^2 d\mu \text{ (by hyponormality and } T^{-1}\Sigma = \Sigma) \\ &= \int w^2 |f|^2 \circ T d\mu = \|W_T f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose (8) holds for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ . Then for  $n = k$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(W_T^*)^k f\|_2^2 &= \|(W_T^*)^{k-1} W_{Tf}^*\|_2^2 \leq \int (h \circ T)^{k-1} w^{2k-2} |W_{Tf}^*|^2 d\mu \\ &= \int (h \circ T)^{k-1} w^{2k-2} h^2 w^2 \circ T^{-1} |f|^2 \circ T^{-1} d\mu \\ &= \int (h \circ T^2)^{k-1} w^{2k-2} \circ Th \circ Tw^2 |f|^2 d\mu \\ &= \int (h \circ T)^{k-1} w^{2k-2} h \circ Tw^2 |f|^2 d\mu \text{ (by Corollary 3)} \\ &= \int h^k \circ Tw^{2k} |f|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(W_T)^k f\|_2^2 &= \|(W_T)^{k-1} W_T f\|_2^2 \geq \int (h \circ T)^{k-1} w^{2k-2} w^2 |f|^2 \circ T d\mu \\ &= \int h^{k-1} w^{2k-2} \circ T^{-1} w^2 \circ T^{-1} h |f|^2 d\mu \\ &= \int h^k w^{2k} \circ T^{-1} |f|^2 d\mu \\ &\geq \int h^k \circ Tw^{2k} |f|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction and also the proof of Theorem 3.

**REMARK.** In the unweighted case this is easily proved using Corollary 11 of (Harrington and Whitley [3]) and Corollary 3 in (Campbell and Dibrell [2]), as follows. Corollary 11 says that if  $h$  is  $T^{-1}\Sigma$  measurable then  $C_T$  is hyponormal if and only if  $h \circ T \leq h$  a.e. Thus  $C_T$  hyponormal and  $T^{-1}\Sigma = \Sigma$  implies  $h \circ T \leq h$ , and the aforementioned Corollary 3 states that this is sufficient for power hyponormality.

We note here that the converse to Corollary 3 in Campbell and Dibrell is not true; Lambert ([5]) has constructed an example of a shift on  $l^2(\mathbb{N}, m)$  ( $m$  a non-constant weight sequence) for which  $h \circ T \leq h$  (so that  $C_T$  is power hyponormal) but  $(h_2 \circ T)(n) > h_2(n)$  for some  $n$ . (Here,  $h_2 = d\mu \circ T^{-2}/d\mu$ ). We also remark that the power hyponormal class (unweighted) is larger than the subnormal class (see Example 14 in Harrington and Whitley [3]). It would be interesting to characterize the power hyponormal class.

## REFERENCES

1. J. J. Bastian, A decomposition of weighted translation operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **224** (1976), 217–230.
2. J. T. Campbell and P. Dibrell, Hyponormal powers of composition operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **102** (1988), 914–918.
3. D. J. Harrington and R. Whitley, Seminormal composition operators, *J. Operator Theory* **11** (1984), 125–135.
4. A. Lambert, Hyponormal composition operators, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **18** (1986), 395–400.
5. A. Lambert, Personal communication, 1987.
6. R. Whitley, Normal and quasinormal composition operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **70** (1978), 114–118.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
MEMPHIS STATE UNIVERSITY  
MEMPHIS  
TENNESSEE 38152  
USA