

## FULLNESS OF MAPS

BY  
ABRAHAM BOYARSKY\* AND WILLIAM BYERS

ABSTRACT. An example is given of a surjective map  $\tau: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which takes every interval of  $[0, 1]$  onto  $[0, 1]$  eventually, but does not do so for certain other sets of positive measure.

1. **Introduction.** Let  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{A : A \subset I, A \text{ Lebesgue measurable}\}$  and let  $\lambda$  denote the Lebesgue measure on  $(I, \mathcal{B})$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $\tau: I \rightarrow I$  be measurable and surjective. We say  $\tau$  is *full* if for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\lambda(A) > 0$ , and  $\tau(A), \tau^2(A), \dots$ , measurable,

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\tau^n(A)) = 1$$

holds. If (1) is true for any interval  $A \subset I$ , we say  $\tau$  is *interval full*.

In this note we prove the existence of a surjective map that is interval full but not full. The key to the construction lies in the observation that while topological conjugation preserves topological properties it does not preserve measure-theoretic properties.

2. **Main Results.** Define the continuous surjective map  $\tau: I \rightarrow I$  as follows:

$$(2) \quad \tau(x) = \begin{cases} 3x, & x \in I_1 = [0, \frac{1}{3}] \\ 2 - 3x, & x \in I_2 = [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}] \\ 3x - 2, & x \in I_3 = [\frac{2}{3}, 1] \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 1.  $\tau$  is interval full.

**Proof.** Let  $J = [\alpha, \beta]$  be any subinterval of  $I$ . If  $\frac{2}{3} \in J$ , then since  $\tau(\frac{2}{3}) = 0$  and  $\tau(0) = 0$ ,  $\tau^n(J)$  is an interval about 0 for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . If  $\tau^k(j) \in [0, \frac{1}{3}]$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ , then the length of  $\tau^n(J)$  is  $3^{n-1}$  times the length of  $\tau(J)$  since  $\tau|_{[0, \frac{1}{3}]}$  is given by  $\tau(x) = 3x$ . Thus for some  $n$  we must have  $\frac{1}{3} \in \tau^n(J)$ . Then  $\tau^{n+1}(J)$  is an interval containing 0 and  $\tau(\frac{1}{3}) = 1$  and  $\tau^{n+1}(J) = [0, 1]$ . On the other hand, if  $\frac{1}{3} \in J$  then  $\tau^n(J)$  is an interval about 1 since  $\tau(\frac{1}{3}) = 1$  and  $\tau(1) = 1$ . Reasoning as above  $\tau^n(J)$  must contain  $\frac{2}{3}$  for some  $n$  and then  $\tau^{n+1}(J) = [0, 1]$ .

If now  $J \subset I_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , or  $3$ , then  $\lambda(\tau(J)) = 3\lambda(J)$ , since  $|d\tau/dx| = 3$  on each of the subintervals  $I_1, I_2, I_3$ . If  $\frac{1}{3}$  or  $\frac{2}{3} \in \tau(J)$ , we proceed as above to obtain the

Received by the editors August 25, 1980 and, in revised form, October 31, 1980.

AMS(MOS) subject classification (1980) Primary 26A18 Secondary 28D05

\* The research of this author was supported by NSERC Grant # A-9072.

result. If not, then we get  $\lambda(\tau^2(J)) = 9\lambda(J)$ . More generally,

$$\lambda(\tau^k(J)) = 3^k\lambda(J),$$

where  $J, \tau(J), \dots, \tau^k(J)$  are all in one of  $I_1, I_2, I_3$ . The expansion, however, forces  $\tau^l(J)$  to contain  $\frac{1}{3}$  or  $\frac{2}{3}$  for some  $l$ . Then we proceed as above.

Q.E.D.

**Remark.** The  $\tau$  defined above is an example of a piecewise linear map Markov map. In [1] it is shown that a class of non-linear Markov maps are interval full.

Now, the standard ternary representation of the elements of the Cantor set  $\mathcal{C}$  leads directly to the conclusion  $\tau(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . Recall  $\mathcal{C}$  has Lebesgue measure 0. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be any Cantor set in  $I$  that has positive Lebesgue measure.

LEMMA 2. *There exists a homeomorphism  $\phi$  of  $I$  onto itself such that  $\phi(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A}$ .*

**Proof.** [2, p. 101].

PROPOSITION. *Let  $\sigma = \phi \circ \tau \circ \phi^{-1}$ , where  $\tau$  is defined by (2) and  $\phi$  is the homeomorphism of Lemma 2. Then  $\sigma : I \rightarrow I$  is interval full but not full.*

**Proof.** Let  $J$  be an interval. Then  $\phi^{-1}(J)$  is an interval, and it follows that there exists an integer  $n$  such that  $\tau^n(\phi^{-1}(J)) = I$ , since  $\tau$  is interval full. Noting that  $\sigma^n = \phi \circ \tau^n \circ \phi^{-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^n(J) &= \phi(\tau^n(\phi^{-1}(J))) \\ &= \phi(I) = I,\end{aligned}$$

since  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism. Thus  $\sigma$  is interval full. It is, however, not full, since for any integer  $n$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^n(\mathcal{A}) &= \phi(\tau^n(\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}))) \\ &= \phi(\tau^n(\mathcal{C})) \subseteq \phi(\mathcal{C}),\end{aligned}$$

since  $\tau(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{C}$ . But  $\phi(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A}$ . Thus,

$$\sigma^n(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  has Lebesgue measure strictly less than 1, the conclusion follows.

Q.E.D.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Friedman and A. Boyarsky, *Irreducibility and primitivity using Markov maps*, *Linear Algebra and Appl.*, **37** (1981) 103–117.
2. B. R. Gelbaum and J. N. Olmsted, *Counterexamples in Analysis*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1964.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SIR GEORGE WILLIAMS CAMPUS  
CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY  
MONTREAL, CANADA